Human immune globulin infusion in the management of multifocal motor neuropathy
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Abstract: Multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN) is a debilitating and rare disease causing profound weakness with minimal to no sensory symptoms. Conduction block is frequently seen on electrodiagnostic testing. An immune-mediated pathology is suspected though the exact underlying pathophysiology has yet to be elucidated. The presence of anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies coupled with favorable response to intravenous and subcutaneous immunoglobulins supports a complement-mediated mechanism which leads to destruction of nerve tissue with probable predilection to the nodes of Ranvier. High-dose immunoglobulin currently is the only treatment with proven efficacy for MMN patients. Unfortunately, many patients experience decreased responsiveness to immunoglobulins over time, requiring higher and more frequent dosing. In this review, we will focus on the pharmacology, efficacy, safety, and tolerability of intravenous and subcutaneous immune globulin infusion for treatment of MMN.
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Introduction

Multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN) is an immune-mediated peripheral neuropathy affecting only motor nerves. MMN is rare; one study in the Netherlands estimated the prevalence to be approximately 0.6 per 100,000 individuals. The disease is more common in men than women by a ratio of 2.7:1. Though there have been case reports of MMN in patients as young as 6 years of age, onset typically occurs between 20 and 70 years of age with approximately 80% of patients reporting onset between 20 and 50 years of age. Classic presenting symptoms include progressive painless, distal, asymmetric weakness in the upper extremities. The ulnar, radial, median, and tibial nerves frequently are affected. Though exceedingly rare, involvement of cranial nerves has been reported. Autonomic dysfunction is absent. Muscle cramps, fasciculations, and exacerbation of weakness in cold weather may occur. In early stages of disease, there is weakness without significant muscle atrophy since the disease is caused by conduction block. With disease progression, however, muscle atrophy can occur.

Prominent sensory symptoms are not typical and this may help differentiate MMN from compression neuropathies. Electrophysiological studies demonstrating conduction block in motor nerves not exposed to compression or entrapment and sparing of sensory nerves are characteristics of MMN. Notably, some patients with MMN will not have detectable conduction block. Routine nerve conduction studies may miss conduction block if present proximally. Activity-dependent conduction...
block, defined as a temporary conduction block induced by exercise, can also go undetected on routine nerve conduction studies. Conduction block may also be difficult to demonstrate in cases of advanced disease with severe, confluent denervation. Though serum anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies may be increased in MMN, these antibodies are not sensitive markers for the disease since they may be detected in as few as 25% of patients.

MMN occasionally may be misdiagnosed as motor neuron disease, progressive muscular atrophy, or lower motor neuron predominant amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). However, clinical findings as summarized in Table 1 and electrophysiology can help differentiate these. It is important to distinguish among these diseases as each has a dramatically different course. Most forms of ALS are rapidly progressive and the disease is incurable with little more than supportive treatments available currently. In contrast, MMN frequently responds well to treatment with IVIG, giving patients the potential for a normal lifespan. IVIG may also be used in a diagnostic trial for confirmation if a diagnosis of MMN cannot be made on the basis of physical examination and electrodiagnostic studies.

MMN typically follows a chronic progressive course. However, some patients may present with an acute form of the disease. The goal of treatment is to improve motor deficits by reducing conduction block, slowing axonal degeneration, and promoting reinnervation. Most patients require treatments for many years though some do achieve prolonged remission. The mainstay of treatment is intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) or subcutaneous immunoglobulin (SCIG). Other treatments which have been investigated include corticosteroids and plasma exchange. These currently are not recommended due to potential to worsen weakness. Cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), rituximab, and eculizumab have been explored as well though all have worse side effect profiles and are not as effective as IVIG or SCIG which remain the gold standard treatments for MMN. In some patients, IVIG may lose its efficacy. In those patients, IV cyclophosphamide may reset the immune system and provide prolonged recovery. In this review, we will focus on the pharmacology, efficacy, safety, and tolerability of IVIG and SCIG infusion for treatment of MMN.

### Overview of IVIG pharmacology in MMN

The pathophysiology behind MMN is not entirely clear. The few available studies investigating tissue pathology of motor nerves have shown differing findings, though demyelination typically is absent as MMN likely is not a demyelinating neuropathy. The presence of anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies, efficacy of IVIG treatment, and similar clinical features to the pure motor axonal variant of Guillain–Barre syndrome (acute motor axonal neuropathy) are suggestive of an autoimmune pathophysiology.

A proposed mechanism of injury from anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies in MMN involves complement-mediated damage to the sodium channels in the nodes of Ranvier which has been observed in the rabbit model of acute motor axonal neuropathy. This model demonstrates how IgM GM1 activates the complement cascade, causing production of a membrane attack complex which weakens membrane integrity by disrupting sodium channels and facilitating antibody binding to the axolemma. In this model, complement inhibitors prevent further antibody-mediated damage, supporting a complement-mediated mechanism of injury. By extension, a 2015 case-control study demonstrated that increased activity of the classical complement pathway and anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies determined disease severity in MMN patients, providing further evidence in support of a complement-mediated disease mechanism. Since not all patients with MMN have detectable anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies, T-cells and cytokines are thought to play a significant role as well.

### Table 1: Diagnostic clinical criteria for multifocal motor neuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary clinical criteria: must be present for diagnosis</th>
<th>Secondary clinical criteria: support diagnosis</th>
<th>Exclusion clinical criteria: must be absent for diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gradual or stepwise progressing focal, asymmetrical</td>
<td>Mostly upper extremities involved</td>
<td>Upper motor neuron signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extremity weakness with involvement of at least two</td>
<td>Absent or diminished deep tendon reflexes in</td>
<td>Notable involvement of cranial nerves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motor nerves for over 1 month. With involvement of</td>
<td>extremities affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one motor nerve, a diagnosis sometimes can be made</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sparing of cranial nerves</td>
<td>Notable changes or loss of sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cramps and fasciculations in extremities</td>
<td>Diffuse symmetrical weakness on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>affected</td>
<td>initial presentation and early in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Favorable response with increased strength</td>
<td>disease course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and functionality to IVIG/SCIG therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; SCIG, subcutaneous immunoglobulins.
As with MMN, the exact mechanism by which IVIG affects immunomodulation in the treatment of MMN and other inflammatory neuropathies is not fully understood, though several mechanisms have been proposed. IVIG contains antibodies against components of the classical complement pathway to prevent membrane attack complex formation leading to tissue degradation at the axolemma.\textsuperscript{23,24} The presence of anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies and possible complement-mediated mechanism described suggests the effect of IVIG on the complement pathway may play a significant role in its efficacy for treating MMN as shown in Figure 1.

Other mechanisms may be at work. IVIG affects B-cells and antibodies. The anti-idiotype antibodies to different gangliosides present in IVIG may prevent binding of pathogenic antibodies to target epitopes in MMN, helping to regulate immune function.\textsuperscript{25} IVIG also has been shown to inhibit antibody production and B-cell differentiation and to downregulate certain autoreactive B-cells\textsuperscript{26,27} all of which may have an effect. Another proposed mechanism of IVIG involves T-cell regulation. In 2008, several regulatory T-cell epitopes (Tregitopes) were discovered in the heavy and light chains of IgG, and administration of these Tregitopes in vivo for several animal models of autoimmune disease suppressed immune responses to antigen.\textsuperscript{30} Similarly, in humans IVIG treatment selectively activated Tregitopes, enhancing suppressive function and potentially explaining how IVIG restores balanced immune function.\textsuperscript{22,31} Other proposed mechanisms include IVIG’s effect on cytokines,\textsuperscript{32} mediation of Fc receptor blockade on macrophages,\textsuperscript{33} and cell migration.\textsuperscript{33,34} None of these proposed mechanisms has been proven as the dominant pathway and several mechanisms may work synergistically in treatment of MMN and other autoimmune diseases for which IVIG is effective.\textsuperscript{22}

MMN typically responds well to treatment with IVIG; however, the degree of recovery is variable, and optimal dosing and treatment intervals for IVIG maintenance therapy have not conclusively been established.\textsuperscript{35} In our experience, patients treated early in their disease, ie, prior to significant muscle atrophy, tend to do better than patients treated later when atrophy clearly is present. Increased IgG concentration (ΔIgG) after IVIG infusion recently was identified as a major factor in determining outcomes of IVIG therapy for GBS\textsuperscript{36} and some posit ΔIgG could be a useful indicator for optimizing outcomes of IVIG therapy and dosing for treatment of MMN.\textsuperscript{37} A recent small study\textsuperscript{37} examined the variability of IVIG pharmacokinetics among MMN patients relative to treatment response. ΔIgG was greater in patients who responded favorably to IVIG treatment. Researchers also examined if variability in pharmacokinetics was associated with genetic polymorphisms in the endothelial IgG receptor (FcRn), a determinant of IgG half-life. IVIG likely saturates these receptors, thereby accelerating the degradation of endogenous IgG and potentially balancing immune function.\textsuperscript{38} Researchers found no association of ΔIgG levels and responsiveness to treatment with genetic variation in the FcRn gene. However, there was a high degree of variability in ΔIgG levels among patients who received identical dosing protocols as the underlying mechanisms behind variable IVIG efficacy and metabolism have yet to be elucidated. This poses a challenge for establishing standardized treatment dosages and interval protocols.

![Figure 1 Proposed mechanism of IVIG within the classical complement pathway for treatment of multifocal motor neuropathy.](https://www.dovepress.com/10.2147/DNND.S111111)
Efficacy studies

IVIG remains the first-line treatment for patients with MMN and has been investigated thoroughly with studies evaluating efficacy, dosing, and routes of administration.\textsuperscript{5,39,40} Meta-analysis of multiple randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trials\textsuperscript{41,42} showed that nearly 80% of all subjects had a significant improvement in strength short term after treatment with IVIG as compared to less than 5% after treatment with placebo.\textsuperscript{43}

A 2008 retrospective study (Study A, Table 2) examined dosing in 40 patients with MMN, 22 of whom were IVIG naïve.\textsuperscript{44} A cumulative dose of 2 g/kg IVIG was effective in 70% of patients. Though not statistically significant, researchers found that positive predictors for favorable response to IVIG were female and lower Medical Research Council (MRC) muscle strength scores. No correlation was found between electrophysiological findings of conduction block and clinical improvements in this study, though correlation has been found in other trials. A recent randomized, double-blind, controlled trial\textsuperscript{45} from 2013 (Study B, Table 2) assessed efficacy, safety, and tolerability of 10% liquid IVIG. Forty-four patients were randomized to 12 weeks of treatment with IVIG followed by 12 weeks of placebo or vice versa. To ensure stability, all patients received IVIG for 12 weeks at the beginning and end of the study. To prevent carry-over effects, IVIG was given between the two double-blind 12-week periods. While on IVIG, patients’ mean maximal grip strength increased by nearly 4% and while on placebo it declined by approximately 31% ($P=0.005$). Using Guy’s Neurological Disability Scale to assess disability related to upper limb function, researchers found a significant number of subjects worsened while on placebo with over two-thirds of participants needing to stop placebo prematurely and resume IVIG therapy. These findings supported researchers’ conclusions that IVIG significantly improves muscle strength and function in MMN patients.

Though IVIG therapy is helpful for nearly all MMN patients to some degree, the treatment does not always prevent loss of muscle strength and function, and patients often require increased doses and frequency of treatments over time. MMN follows a chronic progressive course and most patients require maintenance therapy with IVIG for years. Prolonged remission is achieved for a minority, some remain stable, and many experience a gradual decline in strength despite being on maintenance therapy. Long-term therapy has been investigated in several studies. A 2002 study\textsuperscript{46} (Study C, Table 2), over a period of 4–8 years, followed eleven patients with MMN initially treated with one full course of IVIG (2 g/kg) followed by 0.4 g/kg every week and then with maintenance therapy of one infusion every 1–7 weeks. Patients’ strength was evaluated using the MRC score summed for 20 muscle groups, handheld dynamometry, electrophysiological studies, and Guy’s Neurological Disability Scale. Muscle strength was reevaluated within 3 weeks after starting IVIG and significant gains were noted for all patients as compared to baseline evaluations performed prior to starting treatment. Slight but significant decreases in strength were noted during subsequent follow-ups over the 4–8-year period. Electrophysiological evaluations showed improvement in 13 nerves and decline in 14 nerves. Researchers concluded that maintenance treatment with IVIG improved overall muscle strength and function over time but did not prevent minimal but statistically significant decreases in muscle strength. These findings correlated with electrophysiological data showing a link between IVIG treatments and diminished ongoing axon loss. A 2004 study\textsuperscript{47} (Study D, Table 2) of ten MMN patients revealed similar findings clinically and electrophysiologically with ongoing maintenance therapy. Patients responded well to initial treatment with IVIG, but by the time of the final follow-up, after anywhere from 5 to 12 years of maintenance treatments, only two maintained the maximum gains, while eight showed a decline after 3–7 years despite ongoing treatments. Decreased responsiveness to IVIG leading to clinical decline correlated with electrophysiological findings showing reduced compound motor action potential amplitudes. Diminished efficacy of IVIG with decreasing compound motor action potential supports the theory that loss of efficacy of IVIG over time occurs due to worsening axonal loss with more advanced stages of disease.\textsuperscript{48,49} As compared to the previous two studies, another study from 2004\textsuperscript{50} (Study E, Table 2) examined MMN patients on significantly higher IVIG dosing regimens of 2 g/kg over 5 days monthly for 3 consecutive months, followed by monthly maintenance therapy over 3.5–12 years. Patients on higher doses had improved outcomes, with ongoing statistically significant gains in strength and function and lower disability scores based on the modified Rankin Disability Scale.\textsuperscript{50} Clinical improvements for these patients correlated with improvements in electrophysiological testing including resolution of conduction blocks, decreased evidence of axonal degeneration, and ongoing reinnervation. A 2008 retrospective study\textsuperscript{44} (Study A, Table 2) of 40 patients with MMN found that only eight participants from the original cohort remained in remission, defined as clinical improvement without further treatment lasting for at least 6 months. Twenty-five participants required IVIG maintenance therapy.
### Table 2 Summary of studies evaluating efficacy of IVIG/SCIG for treatment of MMN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study examined</th>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Doses administered</th>
<th>Average follow-up period</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study A&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40 total</td>
<td>2 g/kg IVIG over 3–5 days initial treatment followed by maintenance dosing of 2 g/kg over 3–5 days if needed due to clinical worsening</td>
<td>Short term: &lt; 6 months</td>
<td>MRC scores improved (P &lt; 0.0001) in 14 (70%; 95% CI 0.46–0.88) of 20 treatment-naïve patients short term. Long term, only eight of 40 patients had sustained remission and 25 patients remained dependent on maintenance IVIG. CB decreased or were unchanged in 12 IVIG naïve patients and increased in two IVIG naïve patients. IVIG provided a significant short-term benefit but in the majority of patients maintenance dosing was required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study B&lt;sup&gt;45&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44 total</td>
<td>Randomized to double-blind placebo followed by a median dose of 1.2 g/kg IVIG every month infused over 5 days or less vs the same IVIG dosing followed by placebo each for 12 weeks. IVIG given for 12 weeks at start, end, and between double-blinded periods</td>
<td>After 12 weeks of either IVIG or placebo</td>
<td>MMG strength decreased in 31.38% during placebo and increased in 3.75% during IVIG (P = 0.005). GND scores declined in 35.7% during placebo but not during IVIG. 69.0% of patients had to switch from placebo to open-label IVIG early due to worsening symptoms. IVIG improved disability and strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study C&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11 total</td>
<td>Initial treatment with IVIG (0.4 g/kg for 5 days) followed by an IVIG infusion (0.4 g/kg) every 1–7 weeks with an average dose of 7–48 g each week</td>
<td>4–8 years</td>
<td>MRC, handheld dynamometry, and GND scores increased significantly within 3 weeks starting IVIG therapy and declined significantly in follow-up but remained significantly increased at final follow-up as compared to scores prior to starting treatment. EDX performed annually showed a resolution of CB in six nerve segments but new CB in eight nerve segments. Remyelination or reinnervation occurred in 13 nerves and demyelination or axon loss occurred in 14 nerves during follow-up. IVIG maintenance treatment improved overall strength and disability but did not prevent decreases in muscle strength over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study D&lt;sup&gt;47&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 total</td>
<td>Initial dose 2 g/kg IVIG over 4–5 days then maintenance infusions (1–1.2 g/kg over 2–3 days) if worsening symptoms occurred. If patients experienced “end-of-dose” effects maintenance doses were increased to 1.5–2 g/kg over 3–4 days to stop progression</td>
<td>5–12 years</td>
<td>All ten patients responded to initial IVIG therapy with statistically significant improvements in MRC and RDS scores. At final follow-up, just two patients maintained maximum improvement achieved during therapy and eight showed a decline despite increased IVIG dosing. Decline began after 3–7 years (mean 4.8 years) of treatment. EDX CMAP reduction correlated with clinical decline (P &lt; 0.019). Efficacy of IVIG declined after several years and may have been correlated to worsening CB or axonal degeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study E&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 total</td>
<td>2 g/kg IVIG over 5 days monthly for 3 consecutive months followed by monthly maintenance therapy of 0.6–3.4 g/kg over 5 days. Maintenance therapy was increased or decreased by 0.4 g/kg every 4 weeks with clinical decline or improvement, respectively, until strength levels were stable</td>
<td>3.5–12 years</td>
<td>Statistically significant sustained improvement in MRC and RDS scores were seen in all patients while receiving IVIG. Significant improvements in CB and reinnervation were seen on EDX at final follow-up. Long-term IVIG therapy at higher maintenance doses improved outcomes as compared to results from studies using lower IVIG maintenance doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study F&lt;sup&gt;49&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88 total</td>
<td>Initial dose of 2 g/kg IVIG over several days followed by variable doses of monthly maintenance treatments with median duration of 6 years (range 0–17 years) and median dose increases from 12 to 17 g/week</td>
<td>0–17 years (mean of 6 years)</td>
<td>Ninety-four percent responded to IVIG therapy: non-responders had longer disease duration before the first treatment (P = 0.03). Seventy-six percent received IVIG maintenance treatment at the time of this study. The median maintenance dose increases over the years were statistically significant (P &lt; 0.01). Independent determinants of more severe weakness and disability were axon loss (P &lt; 0.001; P &lt; 0.0001) and longer disease duration without IVIG (P = 0.03; P = 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study examined</td>
<td>Patient number</td>
<td>Doses administered</td>
<td>Average follow-up period</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study G&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9 total</td>
<td>Two groups randomized for a period equivalent to three IVIG doses to either IVIG at 1.2–2.1 g/kg over several days administered every 18–56 days or SCIG therapy of 12.8–24.8 g or 80–155 mL injected two to three times weekly. Groups then were switched</td>
<td>1–3 years</td>
<td>Dynamometric strength and quality of life as measured by SF-36 questionnaire were equally improved on IVIG and SCIG therapy. Strength was 3.6% (95% CI −3.6% to 10.9%) improved after SCIG vs 4.3% (−1.3% to 10.0%, P=0.86) after IVIG. No serious adverse events occurred with either therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study H&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 total</td>
<td>Two groups of five each randomized to either SCIG equivalent to 50% of their IVIG maintenance doses at 0.27–0.62 g/kg/month or SCIG equivalent to their IVIG maintenance doses at 0.61–1.1 g/kg/month. SCIG was given one to two times weekly</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Of patients receiving 50% SCIG equivalent doses, one withdrew and four had significant decline in MRC scores. Of patients receiving equivalent SCIG doses, four of five maintained equal MRC scores. At higher doses, SCIG therapy maintained strength as well as IVIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study I&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 total</td>
<td>IVIG 0.4 g/kg for 2 days every 3–4 weeks then transitioned incrementally to final dose of SCIG 25% greater than prior IVIG dose at 0.3125 g/kg weekly</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Stable and increased MRC and modified RDS scores were noted after successful transition to SCIG therapy at final dose with stable IgG serum concentration at 21 g/L. Patient also reported to be living at home independently without any hospitalizations since switching to SCIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study J&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8 total</td>
<td>Total weekly SCIG dose calculated by dividing the average monthly IVIG dose (0.4 to 2 g/kg/month of IVIG) by 4. Patients were transitioned from IVIG to SCIG gradually. Week 1: SCIG dose at 25% of total calculated weekly SCIG dose. Week 2: SCIG dose at 50%. Week 3: Full calculated weekly SCIG dose. Continued 100% of the weekly dose until study end for 24 weeks. Weekly SCIG dose ranged from 100 to 488 mg/kg</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Seven of eight patients were able to maintain trough IgG serum levels of 1,680 mg/dL (±5.0) on SCIG, comparable to trough IgG serum levels of 1,750 mg/dL (±4.9) measured before the last IVG dose. SCIG preserved strength as measured by MRC sum scores (MRC score mean change: 0.4; 95% CI −4.50 to 5.00) and GND scores (Guy’s disability score mean change: 0.1; 95% CI 1.00–0.00). One patient unable to achieve trough IgG serum levels above 935 mg/dL declined and had to resume IVIG therapy with subsequent normalization of strength and trough IgG serum levels. Seven of eight patients successfully transitioned to SCIG without clinical decline and all elected to remain on SCIG therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; SCIG, subcutaneous immunoglobulins; MMN, multifocal motor neuropathy; MRC, Medical Research Council Scoring for muscle strength; CB, conduction block; CI, confidence interval; MMG, mean maximal grip strength; GND, Guy’s Neurological Disability scores for upper extremities; EDX, electrodiagnostic studies; RDS, Rankin Disability Scale; CMAP, distal compound muscle action potential amplitudes.
to preserve motor function. Of these, eight were selected at various times to try adjunct immunosuppressive agents but with limited success. A retrospective study from 2010 (Study F, Table 2) examined increases in strength with IVIG therapy as well as dosage increases required for maintenance therapy over time. Of 88 patients, 94% responded favorably to IVIG. The 6% who did not respond had been diagnosed much later in their disease course and had far more advanced MMN symptoms. Delayed initiation of treatment with IVIG was a statistically significant independent determinant for greater weakness and disability. Seventy-six percent of patients evaluated were given IVIG maintenance therapy with a median duration of 6 years. Median dosage increased from 12 to 17 g/week for these patients. Thirty-five patients tried immunosuppressive agents other than immunoglobulin without improvement. In light of these findings, guidelines established in 2010 by the European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society recommends initial dosing of 2 g/kg infused over 2–5 consecutive days. If clinical improvement occurs but is not sustained, then maintenance therapy of 1 g/kg infused every 2–4 weeks or 2 g/kg every 1–2 months is recommended. Some clinicians recommend holding initiation of maintenance therapy until symptoms plateau or begin to decline. Other clinicians advocate starting a specific regimen every 14 days and making adjustments gradually based upon clinical response. Typical maintenance therapy dosages are 0.4 g/kg weekly or 1–2 g/kg every 2–6 weeks depending on responsiveness and ability to tolerate therapy. Adjunctive or alternative immunosuppressive treatments can be considered if IVIG alone is not sufficient.

While effective, IVIG is not without associated risks, which include potentially life threatening complications such as thromboembolic events and anaphylaxis. Intravenous administration is also expensive and burdensome, requiring patients to be monitored closely in a hospital or clinic setting while undergoing infusion. Because of these risks, expenses, and logistical burdens, a popular alternative is subcutaneous dosing. Though a direct link between serum IgG levels and degree of strength and functionality has yet to be established conclusively, two small studies examining SCIG and IVIG dosing show results supporting the hypothesis that strength is related directly to serum IgG concentration. One study (Study I, Table 2) examined transitioning from equivalent monthly IVIG to weekly SCIG in eight MMN patients and showed similar dose-dependent effects. Seven of eight patients were able to maintain trough IgG serum levels of 1,680 mg/dL. The patient showed improvement in strength with fewer fluctuations after switching to weekly SCIG infusions with total monthly dosing increased by 25% resulting in a steady-state concentration of IgG serum levels at 1,500 mg/dL. The patient showed improvement in strength with fewer fluctuations after switching to weekly SCIG infusions with total monthly dosing increased by 25% resulting in a steady-state concentration of IgG serum levels at 1,500 mg/dL. A small, open-label, multicenter, Phase II study (Study J, Table 2) examined transitioning from equivalent monthly IVIG to weekly SCIG in eight MMN patients and showed similar dose-dependent effects. Seven of eight patients were able to maintain trough IgG serum levels of 1,680 mg/dL (±5.0) on SCIG, comparable to trough IgG serum levels of 1,750 mg/dL (±4.9) measured before the last IVIG dose. SCIG preserved strength as measured by MRC sum scores for over 6 months. One patient, despite receiving equivalent doses of SCIG and subsequent...
dosage increases by 25%, had deterioration in strength and correspondingly low IgG serum trough at 935 mg/dL. This was significantly lower than the IgG serum trough of 1,890 mg/dL while on IVIG. Once this patient resumed IVIG therapy, IgG serum troughs rose to previous levels and strength improved to baseline. These studies support the idea that higher steady-state concentrations of serum IgG are beneficial for maintaining strength. Since all studies to date have been small, larger trials are needed comparing IVIG and SCIG to determine if one form of delivery is more effective than the other. Since a minority of patients with MMN are non-responders to IVIG and SCIG and since a majority of patients who do respond favorably to immunoglobulins require progressively more aggressive regimens to remain in remission, alternate or adjunctive immunosuppressive treatments are necessary. Unfortunately, no other immunosuppressive therapies used in place of or in addition to IVIG or SCIG conclusively have demonstrated benefit in clinical trials. Corticosteroids and plasma exchanges can lead to worsening of motor function and are not recommended for treatment of MMN. A randomized controlled trial of 28 patients at a single center examined MMF as an adjunctive treatment for MMN. Researchers used 1 g MMF twice daily in addition to pre-established IVIG maintenance therapy over the course of a year. Patients did not have significant increases in muscle strength, functional scores, or a reduction in maintenance IVIG dosing. Since no adverse side effects occurred, researchers determined that adjunctive treatment with MMF was safe but ineffective.

Eculizumab, a monoclonal antibody successfully used to treat complement-mediated disorders, has shown some promise as an adjunctive therapy. Eculizumab binds to and inactivates complement factor C5, thereby blocking terminal complement activation and subsequent lysis of membranes via membrane attack complexes in a manner similar to the complement-mediated mechanism of IVIG outlined in Figure 1. A single small clinical trial of 14 patients with aggressive neuromyelitis optica, a complement-mediated disease, demonstrated favorable responses including reduced frequency of attacks and disability scores following treatment with eculizumab. Further studies including a Phase III randomized, double-blind, open-label clinical trial have yet to be completed. Similarly, studies of eculizumab’s efficacy in treating myasthenia gravis (MG), another complement-mediated disease, are limited. A single pilot Phase II trial of eculizumab in 14 patients with severe MG refractory to other treatments demonstrated significant clinical benefits of increased strength and functionality for patients receiving eculizumab as compared to those receiving placebo. As discussed previously, a proposed mechanism of MMN involves anti-GM1 ganglioside IgM antibodies activating complement-mediated destruction of axolemmal membranes. Inhibition of the complement cascade could protect motor nerves. A 2011 open-label clinical trial was conducted testing eculizumab over a period of 14 weeks on 13 MMN patients. Of the patients included in the study, ten were concurrently receiving maintenance therapy with IVIG. Improvements were noted in patient-rated subjective scores, myometric measurements of muscle strength, and prevalence of nerves with conduction block on electrophysiologic testing. Researchers noted a small treatment effect in patients with higher baseline motor function whether eculizumab was used in conjunction with or independent of IVIG. Given limited available studies of eculizumab for treatment of MMN, MG, and neuromyelitis optica, it is difficult to compare efficacy for the drug among these disorders though the preliminary data suggest that eculizumab may be a promising treatment for all of these conditions.

Treatment with rituximab, a monoclonal antibody against CD20 surface antigen for B-cell apoptosis, has also demonstrated some clinical improvement in patients with diminishing or insufficient responsiveness to IVIG. However, reports of efficacy are inconsistent and based on a small number of patients. Established dosing recommendations call for 375 mg/m² weekly for 2–4 weeks for B-cell depletion with single booster doses of 375 mg/m² given for maintenance if patients develop worsening weakness. The largest study with 14 patients showed strength improved by 13% in patients taking rituximab as compared to 3% in controls over 1 year. Strength improved 23% over 2 years. However, the next largest study with six IVIG responsive patients showed no significant improvement in strength and patients could not have their IVIG dosage reduced while on adjuvant therapy.

Though cyclophosphamide carries a much greater risk of toxicity compared to rituximab, there is evidence of its efficacy for treating MMN even in patients with no response to IVIG. High-dose but not low-dose IV cyclophosphamide has been shown to be effective in up to half of patients in small uncontrolled trials. Unfortunately, use of high-dose IV cyclophosphamide is limited due to its toxicity, which include bone marrow suppression, hemorrhagic cystitis, bladder cancer, risk of infection, teratogenic effects, and infertility. In the studies listed earlier, several patients involved experienced severe side effects.
Safety/tolerability of IVIG

IVIG carries risks of adverse side effects with initial dosing and maintenance therapy. There is always potential for anaphylaxis, though risks are increased for patients with anti-IgA antibodies and selective IgA deficiency. More commonly, patients can develop flu-like symptoms and should be offered symptomatic therapy.

One of the most common and serious adverse side effects is thromboembolic events including deep venous thrombosis, stroke, pulmonary embolism, and arterial ischemia leading to myocardial infarction, with average incidence reported anywhere from 3% to 13%. Thrombotic events are more likely to occur in patients receiving higher doses of IVIG. Males and patients over 60 years of age are at higher risk for IVIG-induced thrombosis, as are those with diabetes, hyperlipidemia, coronary and peripheral vascular disease, renal insufficiency, hypertension, immobility, and coronary disease. Patients predisposed to form blood clots due to atrial fibrillation, pregnancy, and prolonged immobility as well as those with a family history of thromboembolic disease are also at increased risk. A retrospective review demonstrated that arterial ischemic events such as stroke and myocardial infarction are more likely to occur within 12 hours following infusion, with over half occurring within this time frame, whereas venous thromboses are far more likely to occur later, with three-quarters occurring over 24 hours after infusion. No correlation between total number of infusions and arterial or venous thrombotic events was seen. Assessment of patient risk factors and careful monitoring for thrombosis through serial physical examinations and, if indicated, Doppler ultrasound could be helpful in preventing adverse events.

Mechanisms underlying IVIG-associated thrombosis have yet to be elucidated. Theories proposed include a hypercoaguable state due to increased blood viscosity and the passive transfer of anticardiolipin antibodies or high-molecular-weight proteins via IVIG. Passive transfer of factor Xla and other clotting factors could also occur due to insufficient anticoagulation of donated blood/plasma due to neglecting safety protocols or altering established manufacturing processes.

Other less common serious side effects include renal tubular necrosis, hemolytic anemia, and aseptic meningitis. Patients with pre-existing renal dysfunction are at greater risk of developing renal tubular necrosis on IVIG and must have renal function monitored regularly and receive proper hydration prior to infusion. Hemolytic anemia typically occurs only with high-dose IVIG (over 100 g of IVIG in 2–4 days) with a 5.8% incidence rate. Low-dose IgG replacement therapy rarely causes hemolysis with only a few cases reported. Females with blood group type A, B, or AB are at greater risk for IVIG-induced hemolysis. Basic lab work including complete blood counts before starting therapy with IVIG coupled with close follow-up is helpful for early detection of hemolytic anemia. Aseptic meningitis is a rare complication and is usually self-limited, though systemic steroids sometimes are needed for severe cases. Infusing at a slower rate coupled with proper hydration prior to infusion and antihistamines when indicated can be helpful for prevention.

Most patients do not develop severe complications on IVIG. Subcutaneous formulations of immunoglobulins may further reduce the risk of serious systemic complications as compared to intravenous formulations. This could be due to limitations of patients tolerating large volume doses of immunoglobulin subcutaneously. A 2009 study of SCIG as compared to IVIG showed good results for SCIG without severe adverse events and reported only minimal and transient side effects, primarily local irritation at injection sites. Review of long-term treatment with SCIG also showed good outcomes without severe complications.

Patient-focused perspectives

MMN profoundly affects patients’ ability to function and definitive diagnosis can be difficult. Due to focal distribution, patients frequently are misdiagnosed with entrapment mononeuropathies despite lack of sensory symptoms and may undergo unnecessary surgeries before being correctly diagnosed. Progressive weakness coupled with fasciculations and cramping can lead to misdiagnosis of ALS. Many patients labor under an ALS diagnosis for years prior to receiving the correct determination of MMN. Median time from symptom onset to diagnosis is approximately 4 years. Though IVIG is highly effective in reversing symptoms, the longer the treatment is delayed, typically, the less effective IVIG will be. Univariate analysis from a 2010 study suggested a correlation with greater severity of disability and greater length of time untreated; thus, earlier diagnosis is crucial and could prevent patients from acquiring debilitating impairments. Nearly one-fifth of patients in this study were found to have severe disability on the Overall Disability Sum Score due to profound upper extremity weakness. Another study from 2010 evaluated how patients’ weakness impacted their daily functioning. This cross-sectional study examined 47 patients with MMN and found that, in addition to muscle weakness, overall functionality was affected by...
multiple factors including fatigue, impairments in dexterity, and impaired ambulation. Fatigue, a factor often overlooked, had a significant impact. Some electrodiagnostic studies have demonstrated activity-dependent conduction block, which may relate to fatigue. Though fatigue was an ever-present issue, use of gait and hand aids significantly improved scores for autonomy and 94% of these patients were employed.

MMN clearly impacts strength and functionality, but there continues to be no consensus on how to evaluate degree of disability and quality of life for patients. Progress has been made however and in 2013 at the 196th ENMC International workshop, a panel of experts recommended that the primary outcome of patient activity and participation levels be measured by the disease-specific Rasch-built Overall Disability Scale (R-ODS). These researchers anticipate creating a Rasch-transformed quality of life scale based on findings of future studies to help standardize assessments of quality of life, a parameter which is essential but difficult to quantify. Using these standardized measurements hopefully will provide a more reliable method with which to assess patients’ functioning, thereby making it easier to tailor interventions such as walking and hand aids, physical and occupational therapies, and immunoglobulin dosing protocols to better meet their needs.

Conclusion/place in therapy

MMN is a rare but treatable neuropathy. Early diagnosis and treatment is a crucial factor for preserving strength and functionality long term. It is critical to recognize MMN’s unique symptoms and to differentiate MMN from mimicking conditions such as compression neuropathies and ALS for which immunomodulatory therapies are not effective. The only proven treatments available at present are IVIG and SCIG. Further studies are needed to determine optimum steady-state IgG serum concentrations for therapy as well as the best individual doses, dosing intervals, and routes of administration to achieve these concentrations.

Though effective, IVIG and SCIG are costly to produce and administer. Unfortunately, patients still typically experience progressive motor decline due to diminished responsiveness to treatment over time. Patients often require higher and more frequent doses, thereby increasing the risk of adverse and potentially fatal outcomes including myocardial infarction and stroke. Research to elucidate the underlying pathophysiology behind MMN is ongoing. Hopefully, a more complete understanding of disease mechanisms will lead to development of more targeted and economical treatments with lasting effectiveness. For now, IVIG and SCIG remain the first-line agents for treatment of MMN.
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