Clinical efficacy of computed tomography-guided iodine-125 seed implantation therapy in patients with advanced spinal metastatic tumors
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Objective: The purpose of this study was to examine the safety and clinical efficacy of computed tomography (CT)-guided radioactive iodine-125 (¹²⁵I) seed implantation treatment in patients with spinal metastatic tumors.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 20 cases of spinal metastatic tumors, including nine men and eleven women aged 50–79 years (mean age: 61.1 years). We used treatment planning system (TPS) to construct three-dimensional images of the spinal metastatic tumors and to determine what number and dose rate distribution to use for the ¹²⁵I seeds. The matched peripheral dose of the ¹²⁵I seed implantation was 90–130 Gy. Twenty-four spinal metastatic tumors were treated by CT-guided radioactive ¹²⁵I seed implantation. A median of 19 (range: 4–43) ¹²⁵I seeds were implanted.

Results: Twenty cases were followed for a median of 15.3 months (range: 7–32 months). The rate of pain relief was 95%. The median control time for all of the patients was 12.5 months. The 3-, 6-, and 12-month cumulative local control rates were 100%, 95%, and 60%, respectively. The median survival time for all of the patients was 16 months. The cumulative 6- and 12-month survival rates were 100% and 78.81%, respectively. No major complications were observed. No ¹²⁵I seeds were lost or migrated to other tissues or organs.

Conclusion: CT-guided radioactive ¹²⁵I seed implantation is a safe, effective, and minimally invasive method for the treatment of patients with spinal metastatic tumors. It is a possible alternative therapy for the treatment of spinal metastases.

Keywords: spinal metastatic tumor, iodine isotopes, computed tomography guided, interventional treatment

Introduction

The spine is a common location for metastases for many malignant tumors.¹ A common symptom of spinal metastases is back pain, and it can severely affect patient’s quality of life. The traditional treatment for spinal metastases is open surgery, which often causes considerable trauma, results in complications, and delays treatment of the primary disease because of prolonged hospitalization.²,³ With the development of interventional therapy, radioactive iodine-125 (¹²⁵I) seed implantation has been widely used in many primary and secondary malignant tumors and has achieved satisfactory clinical outcomes.⁴–⁷ Because the ¹²⁵I seed has a long half-life and low energy, it destroys tumor cells persistently but is also relatively safe for surrounding normal tissues.⁸,⁹ The results of our previous studies showed that ¹²⁵I seed implantation can produce adequate pain relief for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer and symptomatic retroperitoneal lymph node metastases.⁵,¹⁰ The aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of ¹²⁵I seed implantation for the treatment of spinal metastases.
Materials and methods

Patients

Between May 2008 and October 2014, 20 consecutive patients with 24 spinal metastases were included in this prospective study. Nine of the patients were men and eleven were women, with ages ranging from 50 years to 79 years (median: 61.1 years). Among the patients, seven had lung cancer, three had hepatocarcinoma, three had rectal cancer, two had gastric cancer, two had renal carcinoma, one had thyroid cancer, one had breast cancer, and one had ovarian cancer. All of the primary tumors were confirmed by operation or puncture biopsy. Among the 24 vertebral lesions, eleven were located in thoracic vertebrae and 13 were located in lumbar vertebrae. Two patients had two lesions, and one patient had three lesions. The study protocol was approved by the Rujin Hospital, Luwan Branch ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Instruments

We used a Siemens computed tomography (CT) scanner with the following spinal imaging conditions: 120 kV, 275 mA, and a 5 mm width. The $^{125}$I-sealed seed sources were supplied by XinKe Pharmaceutical Ltd., Shanghai, People’s Republic of China. Before the $^{125}$I seed brachytherapy, the Fudan TPS2.00 brachytherapy planning system (Fudan University, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) was used to determine the number and position of the $^{125}$I seeds to implant by generating isodose curves of different percentages of predicted tumor regression and a dose-volume histogram based on the prescribed matched peripheral dose (MPD) of 90–130 Gy designed to encompass the planned target volume (PTV). $^{125}$I-sealed seeds were manufactured from silver rods, which absorbed $^{125}$I, and were enclosed in a titanium capsule welded by a laser. The diameter of each seed was 0.8 mm, the length of each seed was 4.5 mm, and the thickness of the wall of the titanium capsule was 0.05 mm. $^{125}$I produces gamma rays (5% 35 keV and 95% 28 keV) with a half-life of 59.6 days, a half-value thickness of 0.025 mm of lead, a penetration of 17 mm, an incipient rate of 7 cGy/h, and a 0.5–1.5 cm expansion of the gross tumor volume. The PTV was defined as the complete disappearance of the lesion lasting 7–10 indicating severe pain. Scoring that began after the expected number of implanted seeds was calculated using the modified level formula.

CT-guided implantation protocol

The patients were placed in the prone position. CT imaging was performed at 5 mm intervals. The puncture region was sterilized and locally anesthetized with 1% lidocaine. The puncture point position was determined on the basis of the title angle of the pedicle, the distance between the puncture point and the spinous process, and the depth from the skin to the pedicle, which were all determined by CT imaging. Then, 18 G implantation needles were inserted with a distance between adjacent needles. The direction of the needle tip was adjusted constantly during the implantation process to achieve an ideal distribution of the $^{125}$I particles. During the needle placement, care was taken to keep the needles at least 1 cm away from large blood vessels and the spine. All of the $^{125}$I seeds were placed at distances of 0.5–1.0 cm from one another. Then, the implantation puncture site was bandaged and compressed to achieve hemostasis.

Postimplant dosimetry identification

A CT scan was performed within 24 hours after seed implantation. CT images were input into TPS to allow a radiation therapist to assess the implant quality. The actual dose was evaluated according to the dose-volume histogram, and the OAR doses were assessed.

Pain relief

Numerical rating scale pain scores were recorded as 0–10, with a score of 0 indicating no pain, scores of 1–3 indicating mild pain, scores of 4–6 indicating moderate pain, and scores of 7–10 indicating severe pain. Scoring that began after the $^{125}$I seeds was implanted.

Evaluation of curative effect

The efficacy of the treatment was determined according to the tumor response standards suggested by the World Health Organization. Briefly, complete response (CR) was defined as the complete disappearance of the lesion lasting
for >4 weeks. Partial response (PR) was defined as a decrease in the size of the lesion (ie, the longest dimension multiplied by the maximal upright dimension) by >50% lasting for 4 weeks. Stable disease (SD) was defined as a decrease in the size of the tumor of <50% or an increase in the size of the tumor of <25%. Local tumor control after brachytherapy was defined as the absence of tumor progression on CT (SD + PR + CR).

**Follow-up**

The intended follow-up period was 2 years, with visits at 1 month, 3 months, and every 3 months thereafter for postintervention clinical examinations, blood sampling, and CT and/or MRI examinations of the spine. Follow-up CT scans to evaluate the response were obtained for all of the patients at various intervals after implantation. To calculate survival, all deaths were scored as an event. The times of local control and survival were calculated from the date of seed implantation to the date of death or the last follow-up.

**Statistical analysis**

Using STATA 13.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA), local control rates and survival rates were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method.

**Results**

**Pain relief**

No patients were lost to follow-up. A median of 19 (range: 4–43) $^{125}$I seeds was implanted. Symptoms of refractory pain were significantly resolved after treatment ($P<0.05$). The pain relief rate was 95%. The changes in pain scores are shown in Table 1.

**Response to treatment**

Twenty cases (100%) were followed, with a median follow-up time of 15.3 months (range: 7–32 months). The success rate of the puncture operations was 100%. The average number of seeds implanted per patient was 19 (range: 4–43). The activity of the seeds ranged from 0.5 mCi to 0.8 mCi, and the MPD was 90–130 Gy. The PTV edge was covered by 80%–90% of the isodose curves. The cord doses were ≤30 Gy, and the doses for the other OARs, such as the intestine and liver, were <25 Gy. CT imaging was performed every 3 months after treatment. Thirteen patients survived beyond 12 months of follow-up, and the longest survival time was 32 months. The soft masses around the vertebrae became smaller by differing degrees among 16 patients (Figure 1).

**Local control and survival time**

Because of poor health, many patients refused to undergo further therapy after seed implantation. Only four patients received chemotherapy, and they received two to six cycles of treatment. The median control time for all of the patients was 12.5 months (95% confidence interval: 8–15.5 months). The 3-, 6-, and 12-month cumulative local control rates were 100%, 95%, and 60%, respectively (Figure 2). The median survival time for all of the patients was 16 months (95% confidence interval: 13–27 months). The cumulative 6- and 12-month survival rates were 100% and 78.81%, respectively (Figure 3).

**Complications**

All of the operations were successfully performed. No mortality or morbidity was attributable to the $^{125}$I seed implantation. No serious complications, such as fever, infection, or osteoradionecrosis, were observed during the follow-up period. No $^{125}$I seeds were lost or migrated to other tissues or organs. Six patients developed a hemorrhage at the puncture site, and the hemorrhage was stopped by local compression in all six cases.

**Discussion**

The spine is the most common site for bone metastases, and the incidence of spinal metastases is increasing. The most common primary carcinoma sites in patients with spinal metastases are the lungs, prostate, kidneys, breasts, and other organs. Choosing the right therapy for metastatic spinal tumors is often difficult and depends on many factors, including vertebral involvement, vertebral canal invasion, neurological status, life expectancy, general health status, and malignancy prognosis.

With improvements in chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and hormonal therapies, survival times have increased. Although surgery is now accepted as the preferred treatment for spinal metastases, the surgical complication rate can be as high as 20%–30%, with the most common complication being wound infection. In some cases, surgery is not possible. Botelho et al performed a study to quantify the distribution of vertebral involvement in spinal metastases and to determine...

**Table 1 Pain release (n=20)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No pain (%)</th>
<th>Mild pain (%)</th>
<th>Moderate pain (%)</th>
<th>Severe pain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperation</td>
<td>0.0 (20)</td>
<td>5.0 (1/20)</td>
<td>20.0 (4/20)</td>
<td>75.0 (15/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperation</td>
<td>25.0 (5/20)</td>
<td>45.0 (9/20)</td>
<td>25.0 (5/20)</td>
<td>5.0 (1/20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as % (cases).
the proportion of patients that can be considered candidates for radical surgery (en bloc spondylectomy). Fifty-one consecutive patients were evaluated. Because of the diffuse vertebral involvement in the metastases, no patients in this sample were considered candidates for radical spondylectomy for the removal of vertebral metastases.22

Conventional external beam radiotherapy remains the mainstay treatment modality for spinal metastases. The total dose of radiation with conventional external beam radiotherapy ranges from 25 Gy to 40 Gy and is fractionated daily into 8–20 doses.23 A broad margin is used in the radiation field, typically one or two vertebral segments above and two segments below the affected level, to compensate for internal vertebral motion. This technique exposes healthy tissue to radiation, including the sensitive spinal cord.

The implantation of radioactive isotopes for the treatment of many carcinomas has been used for the past several decades. Numerous studies have confirmed that the implantation of radioactive $^{125}$I seeds is a safe and effective method for the treatment of malignant tumors. $^{125}$I seeds have the following advantages over other forms of radiotherapy: 1) $^{125}$I seeds can kill tumor cells continuously by keeping the cells in the resting state and promoting tumor stem cell apoptosis; 2) seed radiation is characterized by attenuation over a short distance, which can maintain a higher accumulative dose (up to 160 Gy) within the tumor; 3) the internal irradiation is relatively long acting, lasting up to 180 days; 4) the $^{125}$I seeds can be arranged selectively according to the asymmetrical growth of the tumor; 5) the $^{125}$I seeds can maximize the local dose and minimize their radiation to the surrounding normal tissues; and 6) the radiation distance of the $^{125}$I seeds is only $\sim$1.7 cm, which prevents any potential injury to the sounding tissues.4–6,24–26

Yang et al generated a Banna mini-pigs model to mimic percutaneous vertebroplasty with $^{125}$I seed implantation.27 None of the Banna pigs developed radiation myelopathy, and no significant cellular impairment was observed by pathological analysis. Our study showed that the cord doses were $\leq$30 Gy, and the doses for the other OARs, such as the intestine and liver, were $<25$ Gy.

The precise implantation of radioactive seeds into the tumors and the reasonable distribution of the seeds based on the volume and density of the tumor and its relationship with the adjacent vital organs achieve directional blasting, maximum destruction of tumor cells, and minimal damage to normal tissue. The $^{125}$I seeds could be arranged selectively according to the asymmetrical growth of the tumor. Our study revealed certain key steps of this procedure that require
particular attention. In the needle deployment plan, the source energy, target size, nearby OARs, and insertion procedures were taken into account. During needle placement, the operator should take care to keep the needles at least 1 cm away from large blood vessels and the spine. The $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds were implanted at 1.0 cm intervals. The internal irradiation was relatively long acting, lasting up to 180 days.

Our study had certain limitations. Because of the time-limited study period, the sample size was small; however, our results were statistically significant. Further studies with larger sample sizes may yield more accurate results.

Conclusion
The implantation of radioactive isotopes for the treatment of spinal metastatic tumors can alleviate pain, preserve or improve neurologic function, achieve mechanical stability, optimize local tumor control, and improve quality of life. This is a potential alternative therapy for the treatment of spinal metastases. Determining the long-term effectiveness of this therapy requires further study.
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