Safety and tolerability considerations in the use of sildenafil for children with pulmonary arterial hypertension
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Abstract: Sildenafil is a phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitor approved for treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in adults. Data from pediatric trials demonstrate a similar acute safety profile to the adult population but have raised concerns regarding the safety of long-term use in children. Interpretation of these trials remains controversial with major regulatory agencies differing in their recommendations—the US Food and Drug Administration recommends against the use of sildenafil for treatment of PAH in children, while the European Medicines Agency supports its use at “low doses”. Here, we review the available pediatric data regarding dosing, acute, and long-term safety and efficacy of sildenafil for the treatment of PAH in children.
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Introduction

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a disease-causing pathologic changes primarily at the arteriolar level of the pulmonary vascular bed. These changes include development of a vasomotor imbalance favoring pulmonary vasoconstriction, inflammation, and remodeling of the vessel walls. This results in luminal narrowing or obliteration, and possible thrombosis in situ.1–4 Ultimately, these factors lead to increased pulmonary vascular resistance with secondarily increased pulmonary arterial pressures. When pulmonary vascular resistance and pressures are significantly elevated, right ventricular dysfunction ensues with associated low cardiac output. It is these hemodynamic consequences that cause the progressive and debilitating clinical symptoms of PAH.

Historically, survival for patients diagnosed with PAH has been poor. However, outcomes have improved over the past 3 decades following the development of targeted PAH agents. Only two of these agents have been studied in children in rigorous randomized controlled trials: inhaled nitric oxide (NO), which can only be administered in an intensive care setting, and sildenafil. Sildenafil was studied in the Sildenafil in Treatment-Naïve Children, Aged 1 to 17 Years, With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (STARTS) trial, a multicenter, multinational, randomized, and placebo-controlled trial in children with PAH.5,6 The results of this trial and the STARTS-II extension trial have been controversial with interpretations ranging from “safe and efficacious” to “dangerous and ineffective”.7–9 Here we will review the clinical presentation, diagnosis, and natural history of PAH.

Clinical presentation and diagnosis

Patients affected with PAH often present with nonspecific symptoms, which can make clinical diagnosis difficult, sometimes leading to delays in diagnosis.10,11
Another diagnostic challenge is the significant heterogeneity in age at presentation and in symptoms in children of different ages. In the prospective Tracking Outcomes and Practice in Pediatric Pulmonary Hypertension registry the median age at presentation for pediatric PAH was 8 years, however, 17% of patients presented before 2 years of age and 28% presented during adolescence. Among infants and younger children, the clinical presentation of PAH may consist of failure to thrive, tachypnea, or symptoms of compromised cardiac output such as feeding intolerance. Older children and adolescents typically present with excessive fatigue, exercise intolerance, syncope or near syncope, and occasionally chest pain.

Given the nonspecific nature of clinical symptoms, accurate hemodynamic assessment is recommended for diagnosis. There are several noninvasive methods to estimate pulmonary artery pressures, including echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. However, cardiac catheterization remains the gold standard diagnostic test. Diagnostic criteria for PAH include a mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥25 mmHg in the setting of a mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of ≤15 mmHg. In younger children and in those with associated intracardiac shunts, pulmonary arterial pressures alone may inaccurately represent severity of disease. Therefore, pulmonary arterial pressures are often compared to systemic pressures and a pulmonary vascular resistance index above 3 Wood Units × m⁻² is typically also considered necessary for diagnosis.

Classification and epidemiology

PAH represents a distinct category of pulmonary hypertension that must be distinguished from other causes of elevated pulmonary arterial pressures including left heart diseases (eg, cardiomyopathy or mitral stenosis), lung diseases (eg, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or obstructive sleep apnea), and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. The most recent guidelines for classification of pulmonary hypertension, updated by the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension at the Fifth World Symposium on Pulmonary Hypertension in Nice, France in 2013, identify PAH as “Group I”. Within this group are several subcategories of PAH, including idiopathic PAH, heritable PAH, drug- and toxin-induced PAH, conditions with histopathological changes of idiopathic PAH and a similar clinical presentation, such as connective tissue disease and congenital heart disease.

Accurate classification of PAH (Group I pulmonary hypertension) and differentiation from other forms of pulmonary hypertension has important epidemiologic and therapeutic implications. For example, pulmonary vasodilator therapy is the mainstay of treatment for adults with PAH (Group I), but there is evidence to suggest that potent pulmonary vasodilator therapy can be harmful in Group II pulmonary hypertension (ie, associated with left heart disease). Moreover, the benefits have not been well studied in children with pulmonary hypertension associated with lung diseases (Group III). Overall PAH is a rare disease in children. In a retrospective cohort from the Netherlands, the reported annual incidence was 3.0 cases per million children including 2.2 cases per million children for PAH related to congenital heart disease and 0.7 cases per million for idiopathic PAH. A similar retrospective registry-based study out of the UK reported an annual incidence of idiopathic PAH of 0.48 cases per million children. The pathophysiology of pediatric PAH also differs from PAH in adults where entities like collagen vascular disease and portal hypertension account for ~25% of cases. In contrast, these subgroups are uncommon in children, accounting for less than 2% of all cases in the multinational Tracking Outcomes and Practice in Pediatric Pulmonary Hypertension registry where 57% of PAH cases were identified as having idiopathic or familial PAH, while 38% had PAH associated with congenital heart disease.

Outcomes

Untreated, PAH leads to progressive clinical deterioration and ultimately death from right heart failure. Historical data indicate that PAH outcomes among children have been quite poor, with survival rates beyond 1 and 7 years in 1965 having been reported as 37% and 0%, respectively. Over the course of the next several decades survival rates improved, with reported 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates from the 1950s to 1990s of 66%, 52%, and 35%, respectively. However, prior to the development of targeted therapies, and as recently as 1995, the median survival time following diagnosis of PAH was still very poor at just over 4 years. Concurrent with the advent of targeted therapies for PAH, reported survival rates have improved; 72%–74% at 5 years in contemporary analyses from the UK and the USA.

Treatment of PAH

Historically, there have been very few rigorous clinical trials of PAH therapies in children. Even relatively smaller and easier to conduct pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic studies have been limited in this population and instead dosing, safety, and efficacy of drugs have been extrapolated...
Sildenafil (marketed for PAH under the brand name Revatio®, Pfizer, Inc, New York, NY, USA) is available as a 20 mg film-coated tablet, a 10 mg/12.5 mL vial for injection, and as a powder for reconstitution into a liquid suspension (with instructions on the package insert). The recommended dosing interval is three times a day (tid) with maximum plasma concentrations observed within 30–120 minutes (median 60 minutes) of oral dosing in the fasted state. The mean absolute bioavailability for the tablet is 41% (range 25%–63%) and the recommended intravenous dose is half that of the oral dose.28

Sildenafil is almost exclusively metabolized in the liver and there are important dosing considerations when prescribing sildenafil to children, particularly neonates and patients with hepatic dysfunction. In the first few days of life, clearance of sildenafil is approximately one-third of that seen in adults with a markedly prolonged half-life of 48–56 hours (vs 2.2–3.9 hours in healthy adults). This has been attributed to immaturity of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) metabolizing system, which undergoes rapid maturation in the first week of life with clearance increasing accordingly.29–31 Additionally, hepatic metabolism of sildenafil can vary depending on the hemodynamic conditions under which it is administered. Elevated hepatic pressures, hepatic congestion, and hepatic cirrhosis have all been shown to delay sildenafil clearance and increase drug exposure.32–35

Sildenafil clearance is also affected by agents that induce or inhibit major CYP isoforms.36 Perhaps most commonly this is an issue with coadministration of bosentan, a known CYP3A4 inducer frequently used in combination with sildenafil for treatment of PAH. Bosentan accelerates sildenafil clearance and reduces sildenafil concentrations by ~60% when used at standard doses.37 Caution is also warranted when using medications with similar side effect profiles or mechanisms of action to sildenafil.38 Most notably, medications with hypotensive effects have the potential to induce severe hypotension when administered in combination with sildenafil. For example, given that both exert their therapeutic effects by increasing the activity of c-GMP, the combination of sildenafil and organic nitrates is generally contraindicated.38

Sildenafil efficacy and tolerability in adults with PAH

Perhaps the most notable sildenafil trials conducted in the adult population are the double-blinded, placebo-controlled sildenafil use in PAH study, or Sildenafil Use in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (SUPER)-1 study, and its extension study, SUPER-2.25,39 In the SUPER-1 trial, sildenafil use in adult patients with idiopathic PAH or PAH associated with...
connective tissue or congenital heart disease was associated with enhanced exercise capacity and improved hemodynamics after 12 weeks of therapy. Assessment of exercise capacity in this study was based on the 6-minute walk test, with a dose-related increase in the average distance walked by 13% (average improvement of 38 m; 99% confidence interval [CI]: 12–64 m), 13.3% (average improvement of 45 m; 99% CI: 21–70 m), and 14.7% (average improvement of 42 m; 99% CI: 9–75 m), for sildenafil tid doses of 20, 40, or 80 mg, respectively.25

The SUPER-2 extension trial evaluated the long-term safety and tolerability of sildenafil for the treatment of PAH, enrolling 93% of SUPER-1 participants. Each patient enrolled in SUPER-2 was titrated to the maximum tolerable tid dose of sildenafil (up to 80 mg per dose) with 6-minute walk assessed at each scheduled visit. At the end of the 3-year study period, 87% of enrollees who remained on treatment were receiving 80 mg of sildenafil tid, while 8% were receiving 40 mg tid, and 5% were receiving 20 mg tid. Overall 39 (14%) subjects discontinued treatment due to known or perceived sildenafil-related adverse effects and 59 (22%) died. Of the 277 patients who completed SUPER-1, 31% improved and 29% maintained their baseline New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class at 3-year follow-up.39

In addition to the SUPER-1 and SUPER-2 trials, several other randomized controlled trials have evaluated efficacy of sildenafil for treatment of PAH in adults. In the 16-week Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Combination Study of Epoprostenol and Sildenafil (PACES) study, 267 patients with PAH receiving long-term intravenous epoprostenol were randomized to placebo or sildenafil, dosed at 20 mg tid and titrated up to a maximum of 80 mg tid.40 Compared to epoprostenol alone, subjects also receiving sildenafil demonstrated increased 6-minute walk distance (+28.8 m, 95% CI: 13.9–43.8 m), decreased mean pulmonary artery pressure (−3.8 mmHg, 95% CI: −5.6 to −2.1 mmHg), and increased cardiac output (+0.9 L/min, 95% CI: 0.5–1.2 L/min). In a smaller crossover trial, Sastry et al41 randomized 22 patients to placebo versus sildenafil (dose ranging from 25 to 100 mg tid) for a total of 6 weeks. After completion of the initial 6 weeks of therapy, each participant then crossed over to the alternative therapy to complete an additional 6 weeks of therapy. Sildenafil therapy was associated with improvements in both exercise time (improved by 44%, P<0.0001) and cardiac index (improved from 2.80±0.9 to 3.45±1.1 L/m², P<0.0001).41

Numerous trials of other pulmonary vasodilator therapies have been completed in adults with PAH. At least one meta-analysis of the available randomized controlled trials has been performed. The main outcome measure of this analysis was all-cause mortality and a total of 23 randomized controlled trials were included with an average duration of 14.3 weeks. The results of this analysis demonstrated a 43% reduction in all-cause mortality, as well as a 61% reduction in hospitalizations in patients undergoing targeted pharmacologic treatment of PAH compared to patients receiving placebo.42

The STARTS trials
Positive results from adult studies of pulmonary vasodilator therapies, coupled with the availability of appropriate pediatric sildenafil preparations, prompted numerous smaller, mostly single-center reports describing positive outcomes with off-label use of sildenafil. However, sildenafil had not been well studied for pediatric PAH (with the possible exception of neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) – see “Sildenafil for PPHN” section) until the STARTS trials. STARTS-I was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study on the use of oral sildenafil monotherapy for PAH in treatment-naïve children.4 A total of 235 children aged 1–17 years were enrolled in the study and randomized to placebo, low-, medium-, or high-dose sildenafil administered tid for a total of 16 weeks. Administered dosages varied by weight; for patients <45 kg, doses were calculated to achieve target plasma concentrations based on scaled adult pharmacokinetic data (targeting peak plasma concentrations of 47, 140, and 373 ng/mL for low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, respectively). For subjects weighing >45 kg, the dosing groups mirrored those used in the SUPER-1 trials in adults (10, 40, and 80 mg for low-, medium-, and high–dose groups, respectively). The primary outcome measure was percent change in peak oxygen consumption in all patients receiving sildenafil combined versus placebo, with exercise testing being performed in all patients able to exercise reliably. Although the study ultimately enrolled 235 subjects, it was expected that many of the younger patients would not be able to complete exercise testing (yet were enrolled for the purposes of safety follow-up). The investigators accounted for this limitation in their sample size estimates; an enrollment of 104 subjects who could complete exercise testing was targeted (ultimately 106 were enrolled) to provide 90% power to demonstrate a 15% improvement in peak oxygen consumption for the combined sildenafil treatment groups relative to placebo. The decision was made to combine the sildenafil treatment groups for the purposes of this analysis.
as adult data indicated a lack of dose-related improvement in 6-minute walk test results. By combining the sildenafil dose groups, the authors were able to reduce the sample size and treatment effect size on which to base the study.

Results demonstrated a percent change of 7.7%±4.0% in peak oxygen consumption in the combined sildenafil group with a nonsignificant P-value of 0.056 (95% CI, −0.2% to 15.6%). Patients with idiopathic PAH demonstrated greater improvements than patients with associated PAH but these improvements did not reach statistical significance for either of these subgroups (note: it is likely that these subgroup analyses were underpowered). Secondary endpoints, included change from baseline to week 16 in mean hemodynamics, functional class, physical, and psychosocial scales of the Child Health Questionnaire–Parent Form 28 (for patients aged ≥5 years), and percent change from baseline in exercise duration. These secondary endpoints were assessed in all patients enrolled in the trial and some of these improved in select subgroups when compared to placebo. However, patients receiving low-dose sildenafil demonstrated no significant improvement in any of the secondary outcome measures (Table 1).

In the STARTS-II extension trial, all STARTS-I placebo enrolrees were re-randomized to low-, medium-, or high-dose sildenafil, with those having received sildenafil during STARTS-I continuing their previously assigned dose. Dose titration was permitted throughout the STARTS-II trial at the discretion of the investigator. After 3 years of treatment, a total of 37 patients had died, 26 of which remained on sildenafil monotherapy at the time of death. No deaths occurred in the first 16 weeks of therapy and only one occurred in the first year of the trial; therefore, all deaths occurred in patients who had been assigned to a sildenafil treatment group. Based on their original treatment assignment, deaths occurred in 5/55 (9%), 10/74 (14%), and 22/100 (22%) for low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, respectively, with estimated 3-year survival of 94%, 93%, and 88% for the sequential dosing groups (Figure 1). Hazard ratios for mortality were 3.95 (95% CI, 1.46–10.65) for high-dose sildenafil use compared to low-dose sildenafil and 1.92 for medium-dose sildenafil compared to low-dose sildenafil (95% CI, 0.65–5.65). These results prompted the US FDA to issue a recommendation against the use of sildenafil for PAH in pediatric patients, citing increased mortality risk with high-dose use and no evidence to support efficacy with low-dose use. However, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) reviewed identical data from the STARTS trials but determined that the available data supported the use of sildenafil in pediatric patients. The EMA approved sildenafil at oral doses of 10 mg tid for children <20 kg and 20 mg tid for children ≥20 kg. For children <45 kg, these recommendations correspond to the medium-dose groups in the STARTS trial, while for children ≥45 kg, they correspond to the low-dose groups. The US FDA later released a clarification of their original recommendations, stating that there may be situations in which the risks of sildenafil use may be acceptable in individual children.

The disparate opinions of the FDA and EMA stem from several inconsistencies in the data, as well as from inherent difficulties in conducting clinical trials in children with a rare disease:

First, the study cohort consisted of a heterogeneous collection of patients including a broad range of ages (1–17 years), diagnoses (33% had idiopathic PAH/heritable PAH and 67% had PAH associated with congenital heart disease) and disease severity (World Health Organization functional class I, II, III, and IV). Trials that enroll heterogeneous patient cohorts can be difficult to analyze and in the subanalyses of the STARTS trials, there were discrepancies in mortality rates across weight ranges, by functional class

Table 1 Secondary and tertiary outcomes evaluated in the STARTS-I trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Low dose</th>
<th>Medium dose</th>
<th>High dose</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO2 (% change from baseline)</td>
<td>3.8 (−6.1, 13.7)</td>
<td>11.3 (−1.7, 20.9)</td>
<td>8.0 (−1.6, 17.6)</td>
<td>7.7 (−0.2, 15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PA pressure (mmHg change from baseline)</td>
<td>1.6 (−4.5, 7.6)</td>
<td>−3.5 (−8.9, 1.9)</td>
<td>−7.3 (−12.4, −2.1)</td>
<td>−3.1 (−7.5, 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVRi (ratio 16 weeks to baseline)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.80, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.68, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.61, 0.86)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.72, 0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA pressure (mmHg change from baseline)</td>
<td>−0.2 (−1.9, 1.6)</td>
<td>−0.2 (−1.7, 1.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (−2.6, 0.3)</td>
<td>−0.5 (−1.8, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index ratio (16 weeks to baseline)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.96, 1.26)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.93, 1.18)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.03, 1.29)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.99, 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration (% change from baseline)</td>
<td>10.3 (−5.2, 25.9)</td>
<td>11.4 (−3.8, 26.6)</td>
<td>6.0 (−9.2, 21.1)</td>
<td>9.2 (−3.1, 21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional class (odds of improvement vs placebo)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2–2.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.8–6.7)</td>
<td>4.5 (1.6–13.1)</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient/parent reported global improvement (%)</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician reported global improvement (%)</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals. * Statistically significant (P<0.05) findings when compared to placebo. † Representing the percent reporting moderate or marked improvement versus 21.6% of patient/parents and 10% of physicians reporting improvement for the placebo group. Absolute values not available for the combined treatment groups.

Abbreviations: PA, pulmonary arterial; RA, right atrial; vs, versus; PVRi, pulmonary vascular resistance index; STARTS, Sildenafil in Treatment-Naive Children, Aged 1 to 17 Years, With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension; VO2, oxygen consumption.
and by diagnostic cohorts. Most notably, patients with idiopathic PAH/heritable PAH accounted for 77% of all deaths but only 33% of the study population.5,9

Second, despite randomization the placebo group appeared to have better baseline hemodynamics with lower pulmonary vascular resistance than the combined treatment cohort (15±10 Wood Units × m² vs 20±15 Wood Units × m²). Moreover, subjects who received placebo in the initial study and then went on to receive sildenafil in the long-term extension had better survival than those who received sildenafil from the start of the trial, suggesting that a 16-week delay in the start of sildenafil treatment is beneficial for survival. There is no physiologically plausible explanation as to why delay in treatment by 16 weeks would confer a long-term survival advantage.5,9

Third, the highest mortality rates were observed in a small subset of developing countries, with rates of 44%, 36%, and 21% occurring in India, Mexico, and Poland, respectively, while a rate of only 5% was observed in the USA.5,9

Fourth, most deaths were deemed by the investigators to be related to disease progression and none of the observed deaths were deemed attributable to study treatment.5,7,9

Fifth, there were inconsistencies in the clinical pharmacology data with an exposure-dependent increase in mortality noted in the low- and medium-dose groups, but a trend in the opposite direction in the high-dose group.5,7,9

Finally, critics of the US FDA opinion note that the entire treatment cohort had improved mortality rates when compared to the “untreated” natural history of PAH. Indeed, the STARTS-II investigators offered an alternative hypothesis to the interpretation that high-dose sildenafil is associated with increased mortality, postulating that low-dose sildenafil is associated with an improved survival rate and that this treatment benefit diminishes at higher doses.5,7,9

In summary, it is reasonable to conclude that the higher doses used in the STARTS trials are associated with worse outcomes than the lower dose groups. However, controversy persists over whether the potential clinical benefit of low-dose sildenafil use in pediatric PAH justifies the potential risks of use. Continued research is needed and will likely be required prior to any change in the US FDA recommendation against its use in children.

**Sildenafil for PPHN**

Sildenafil has also been studied rigorously in the treatment of PPHN.43 In a dose-ranging trial, a total of 36 neonates were assigned to one of eight sequential sildenafil dosing groups and underwent continuous treatment with intravenous sildenafil for a minimum of 48 hours. Baseline oxygenation index was calculated for each patient and then repeated at assigned intervals during the course of treatment. For the study group as a whole, the oxygenation index was significantly improved from baseline measurements over the first 24 hours of treatment (27.7±4.2 to 11.3±2.3; P<0.0001). Additionally, a dose-related effect was seen, with groups 1–3 (mean initial sildenafil plasma concentration of 3.7±4.6 ng/mL) experiencing no change from baseline oxygenation index over the initial 4 hours of treatment while groups 4–8 (mean initial sildenafil plasma concentration of 58.4±44.8 ng/mL) experienced a significant improvement over the same time period (29±6 to 19±6; P=0.0002). Six treatment-related adverse events were reported during the study and ranged from mild to moderate.
in terms of severity, including hypotension, blood pressure lability, and development of a Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA) that resolved without intervention.43

Sildenafil after Fontan surgery
Other relatively more rigorous studies regarding the use of sildenafil in children have dealt with specific subsets of the pediatric population, including patients with congenital heart disease who have undergone complete Fontan palliation. In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial in children and young adults (mean age 14.9±5.1 years) following Fontan surgery, subjects were randomized to receive placebo or sildenafil (20 mg tid) for 6 weeks.44 This was followed by a 6-week washout period, after which subjects crossed over to receive the alternate therapy for an additional 6 weeks. Exercise stress testing was performed at the beginning and end of each phase and the primary endpoint of oxygen consumption at peak exercise was assessed. Following sildenafil no change in oxygen consumption during peak exercise was observed. However, subjects had improvements in measures of ventilatory efficiency, including a significantly decreased respiratory rate and decreased minute ventilation at peak exercise.44 The lack of a significant improvement in the primary outcome measure differs from results in the adult Fontan population where peak oxygen consumption improved among Fontan patients receiving sildenafil compared to placebo 1 hour following dose administration, although the study design was not as rigorous as the aforementioned crossover trial in adolescents.45

Safety considerations
Adverse effects associated with sildenafil use in children have been described in both the STARTS-I and STARTS-II trials, and at least one dedicated study of pediatric tolerability.5,6,46 Reported adverse effects seen in children are mostly of mild-to-moderate severity, similar to those observed in adults (Table 2) and to the premarket sildenafil trials.25,28,39 Treatment-related serious adverse effects have been uncommon in the pediatric trials, occurring in a total of two STARTS-I enrollees and five STARTS-II enrollees, and including hypersensitivity and stridor (n=2), ventricular arrhythmia (n=2), enterocolitis (n=1), hypoxia (n=1), and convulsions (n=1).

Table 2 Frequency of adverse events associated with sildenafil use in adults as reported on the US Food and Drug Administration Drug Label

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>Percentage of patients reporting event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sildenafil (n=70)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epistaxis</td>
<td>9% 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>46% 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing</td>
<td>10% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>7% 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema</td>
<td>6% 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea exacerbated</td>
<td>7% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>13% 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinitis</td>
<td>4% 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>9% 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>7% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrexia</td>
<td>6% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastritis</td>
<td>3% 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>3% 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paresthesia</td>
<td>3% 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Reproduced from the US Food and Drug Administration.47 Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/021845s011,022473s004,0203109s002lbl.pdf. Includes all adverse events with placebo adjusted incidence ≥ 3%; adverse events reported in adult subjects with pulmonary arterial hypertension taking doses of 20mg three times daily.

Favorable safety and efficacy profiles, very few studies have been conducted specifically in children with PAH. Sildenafil, a PDE-5 inhibitor approved for treatment of PAH in adults, was the first chronic PAH therapy to be studied in a rigorous fashion in children in the STARTS-I and STARTS-II trials. In these trials, sildenafil demonstrated a similar acute adverse effect profile to that seen in adult populations, however, low-dose sildenafil failed to demonstrate significant improvement in the primary trial endpoint when compared to placebo and high-dose sildenafil was associated with increased mortality at long-term follow-up. Interpretation of these trials has proven very difficult due to several inconsistencies in the data. Although most agree that high doses of sildenafil should be avoided in children, even major regulatory agencies differ in their recommendations regarding lower doses of sildenafil; the EMA supports use at lower doses, while the US FDA recommends against use of sildenafil at any dose in children. Further studies are needed to clarify the long-term safety and efficacy of sildenafil as well as other targeted PAH agents for use in children. These studies can benefit from lessons learned in the STARTS trials, including the critical importance of powering studies for stratified analysis based on age and underlying PAH diagnosis.

Conclusion
PAH is a rare but serious disease in children with a historically poor prognosis. Tremendous strides have been made in the treatment of PAH over the past several decades including the development of numerous targeted PAH agents. Although these agents have all been rigorously studied in adults with favorable safety and efficacy profiles, very few studies have been conducted specifically in children with PAH. Sildenafil, a PDE-5 inhibitor approved for treatment of PAH in adults, was the first chronic PAH therapy to be studied in a rigorous fashion in children in the STARTS-I and STARTS-II trials. In these trials, sildenafil demonstrated a similar acute adverse effect profile to that seen in adult populations, however, low-dose sildenafil failed to demonstrate significant improvement in the primary trial endpoint when compared to placebo and high-dose sildenafil was associated with increased mortality at long-term follow-up. Interpretation of these trials has proven very difficult due to several inconsistencies in the data. Although most agree that high doses of sildenafil should be avoided in children, even major regulatory agencies differ in their recommendations regarding lower doses of sildenafil; the EMA supports use at lower doses, while the US FDA recommends against use of sildenafil at any dose in children. Further studies are needed to clarify the long-term safety and efficacy of sildenafil as well as other targeted PAH agents for use in children. These studies can benefit from lessons learned in the STARTS trials, including the critical importance of powering studies for stratified analysis based on age and underlying PAH diagnosis.
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