Non-leukemic myeloid sarcoma involving the vulva, vagina, and cervix: a case report and literature review
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Abstract: Myeloid sarcoma (MS) is defined as a tumor mass consisting of myeloid blast with or without maturation occurring at an anatomical site other than bone marrow with normal architectural effacement. It can also precede the onset of leukemia which is called non-leukemic MS. Non-leukemic MS is a kind of rare disease and easy to be misdiagnosed as other common malignancies due to the rarity and nonspecific manifestation. We herein report an unusual case of non-leukemic MS involving the vulva, vagina, and cervix in a female patient. The bone marrow aspiration and biopsy of the patient revealed no hematological abnormality. Immunohistochemical staining of the biopsies was strongly positive for myeloperoxidase, CD68, leukocyte common antigen (LCA), CD117, CD34, CD38, CD79a, and negative for cytokeratin (CK), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), CD2, CD3, CD20, CD5, CD138. Then a diagnosis of non-leukemic MS was made. Unfortunately, our patient received only one cycle of chemotherapy consisting of cytosine arabinoside and daunorubicin, then refused any further treatment and died 4 months after diagnosis. Although systemic chemotherapy is widely accepted to be a promising strategy, its benefit still needs to be further assessed. Certain questions still need to be answered for this disease: 1) Why can approximately 20% of the patients with non-leukemic MS remain disease-free after local therapy alone? 2) How many cycles of chemotherapy are needed for these patients after achievement of complete remission? 3) What are the prognostic or risk factors in these patients who have no abnormality of karyotype, fusion genes, or gene mutation to predict responsiveness to chemotherapy and outcome? 4) What is the risk factor for relapse? The rarity of non-leukemic MS makes it almost impossible to conduct large-scale randomized trials, but judicious study for each patient with MS is helpful for a further understanding of the nature of the disease.
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Introduction

Myeloid sarcoma (MS) is defined as a tumor mass consisting of myeloid blast with or without maturation occurring at an anatomical site other than bone marrow (BM) with normal architectural effacement.1 It was first described by Burns2 in 1811 and then referred as chloroma by King3 in 1853 because of its greenish color on exposure to the air. Most MS cases have close relationships with acute or chronic leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome or myeloproliferative neoplasm.4–11

The MS can also precede acute myeloid leukemia (AML) at which time the BM aspiration and biopsy reveal no hematological disease. This type of MS is called isolated, primary, or non-leukemic MS. It is a rare disease with an incidence of 2/1,000,000 in adults. It has been identified to be solitary or multiple.5,12 The non-leukemic MS is always difficult to be diagnosed. There are only case reports and some small series recorded in the literature, most of which are retrospective studies. We herein report...
an unusual case of the non-leukemic MS that involved the
vulva, vagina, and cervix, and review the literatures.

Case report

A 40-year-old woman with Eastern Cooperative Oncology
Group performance score of 1 was hospitalized because of
small amount of vaginal bleeding for 2 months and a painless
mass in vulva as the first presentation. There was no history of
fever, fatigue, or bleedings from other sites of the body. Physi-
cal examination revealed several swelling lymph nodes in the
left inguinal region. Other superficial lymph nodes, liver, and
spleen were impalpable. There was no sternal tenderness.

Gynecological and colposcopic examination revealed nor-
mal skin color of the vulva, swelling of both bilateral greater
and lesser lips of the pudendum. Tough masses were palpable
and extended to the bottom of the pelvic cavity. The top of
the vagina and zone of the fornix swelled up like strip, and
almost surrounded the cervix. The cervix was also swelling
and bleeding when palpated. There was a small ulcer on the
lower lip. The uterine corpus was antepositioned and normal
in size. Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography
scan was performed and found a soft tissue mass lesion of
5.5×5.7×9.0 cm across the vulva, vagina, and cervix with an
irregular shape, ill-defined boundary, and with a maximum
standardized uptake value (SUV[\text{max}]) of 2.4 (Figure 1). Sev-
eral lymph nodes were detected in the left inguinal region.

The patient underwent a biopsy of the tumor mass. Two
pieces of tissue approximately 1.5×1 cm and 2×1 cm were
surgically resected from the involved cervix and left greater
lips of pudendum, and electric coagulation was used to stop
bleeding. The cut surface of the biopsies was gray color.
Pathohistologic study revealed infiltration of medium-sized
to large blasts with finely dispersed chromatin, prominent
nucleoli, and abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm. Immuno-
histochemical staining was strongly positive for myeloperox-
adase, CD68, leukocyte common antigen (LCA), CD117,
CD34, CD38, CD79a, and negative for cytokeratin (CK),
epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), CD2, CD3, CD20,
CD5, CD138. Ki67 was expressed in 70% of the tumor cells
(Figures 2 and 3). Complete blood count (CBC) was normal:

![Figure 1 PET-CT, showing a soft tissue mass approximately 5.5×5.7×9.0 cm in the vulva, vagina, and cervix, with irregular shape, unclear border, and with a maximum standardized uptake value (SUV[\text{max}]) of 2.4.](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Notes:** (A) Axial volume, (B) coronal volume, and (C) sagittal volume.

**Abbreviation:** PET-CT, positron emission tomography–computed tomography.
WBC 7.5×10^9/L, hemoglobin 12.1 g/dL, platelet 344×10^9/L, and 54% neutrophils, 36% lymphocytes, 6% eosinophils, and 4% monocytes in peripheral blood smear. Tumor markers (CA199, CA125, CEA) and complete biochemical panel including lactose dehydrogenase and uric acid were all in the normal range. The BM aspiration on left iliac bone demonstrated normal marrow cellularity with trilineage differentiation, and there were no increased percentage of myeloid blasts. The BM biopsy was normal.

Recurrent fusion genes in AML such as AML1/ETO, Bcr/Abl, PML/RARα, and CBFB/MYH11 were all negative. Nucleophosmin (NPM1), FMS-like tyrosine kinase (FLT3), CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (CEBPA), and c-KIT mutation were not detected. Chromosomal analysis of the BM showed a normal 46, XX karyotype, and flowcytometric analysis revealed no abnormality. The diagnosis of the non-leukemic MS was finally made. She was then treated with conventional chemotherapy for acute myeloblastic leukemia, consisting of cytosine arabinoside 100 mg/m²/d for 7 days and daunorubicin 45 mg/m²/d for 3 days. She received only one cycle of chemotherapy and refused any further treatment or any kind of physical or laboratory examination except for CBC because of personal reason. Three months later, the patient experienced fatigue, low-grade fever, and recurrent irregular vaginal bleeding, and she gradually developed leukocytosis, anemia, and thrombocytopenia. The last CBC showed: WBC 57.4×10^9/L, hemoglobin 6.5 g/dL, platelet 17×10^9/L. Four months after telephone follow-up, she was said to have a sudden headache, vomiting, and unconsciousness. Then she was reported to die suddenly at home 4 hours after the onset of the aforementioned symptoms, presumably due to intracranial hemorrhage.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient’s family.

Discussion
The MS is a solid tumor composed of myeloblasts with heterogenetic extramedullary involvements. The disease can occur solely, or can be the antecedent manifestation of hematological diseases, AML in particular over months or years before an overt leukemia occurs, or can be the first
sign of leukemia relapse. Commonly affected areas include the skin, bone, soft tissue, gastrointestinal tract, and lymph nodes, and rarely affected areas are the heart, spinal cord, liver, etc.\textsuperscript{1,13–16}

Non-leukemic MS can be presented with miscellaneous clinical manifestations depending on the initially involved sites or organs and the size of the lesions. In the past decades, misdiagnosis of the MS was common. Approximately 75% of the patients with non-leukemic MS were misdiagnosed with other malignancies such as lymphoma (50%) or non-hematopoietic neoplasms (25%),\textsuperscript{17–19} due partially to the rarity and nonspecific manifestation of the disease. However, in recent days, the misdiagnosis rate has been reported to be declined.\textsuperscript{20,21} The diagnosis of MS depends majorly on biopsy of the tumor mass and its histopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis. Hematologic malignancies such as lymphoma, blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm, and non-hematopoietic tumors should be differentiated. An immunohistochemical panel, including CD34, CD43, lysozyme, myeloperoxidase, CD68 (or CD163), CD117, CD3, and CD20 can successfully identify the majority of MS in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue specimens. With extensive morphological and immunohistochemical analyses, the MS were classified into five types: a) immature granulocytic sarcoma; b) differentiated granulocytic sarcoma; c) monoblastic sarcoma; d) monocytic sarcoma; and e) myelomonocytic sarcoma.\textsuperscript{22,23}

At the same time, the morphological, immunophenotypical, and genetic characteristics of the MS features are all contributive to its further classification into the AML subgroups.\textsuperscript{24}

Nearly half of the patients with non-leukemic MS will develop acute leukemia in a short interval. The mean interval between initial diagnosis and the onset of overt acute leukemia has been reported to be approximately 5–11 months.\textsuperscript{19,21,25}

Since only approximately 20% of the non-leukemic MS cases will remain disease-free after local therapy only (either surgical excision or radiotherapy or both), it is considered not an optimal choice for these patients but can be used in emergent situations. Rapid symptomatic relief, initial debulking, inadequate response to chemotherapy, and recurrence after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation are some of the indications for these ancillary therapeutic modalities.\textsuperscript{26}

Although it is widely accepted that systemic treatment with agents used in the patients with AML should be given to the patients with primary MS immediately, the most optimal therapy is still unclear by now.\textsuperscript{21,27,28} Yamauchi and Yasuda\textsuperscript{21} analyzed 74 patients with non-leukemic MS using a literature search on Medline (including two patients in their institute), and found that the non-leukemic period after the diagnosis of granulocytic sarcoma was significantly longer in the patients who received systemic chemotherapy than those who received surgical resection or irradiation (12 months versus 3 months versus 6 months), this study also revealed that in the patients treated with chemotherapeutic agents containing cytosine arabinoside and anthracycline, the period of progression to acute leukemia was significantly longer than in those who were treated with regimens used in lymphomas.

In the latest National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline, the initial treatment should still be based on systemic induction chemotherapy. However, all these modalities, if needed at all, should be optimally deferred until after blood cell count recovery to avoid excess toxicity. The risk-strategy is necessary to decide how and when and yes or no to start the systemic treatment. In the study of Pileri et al, the clinical behavior and response to therapy of the MS did not seem to be influenced by any of the following factors: age; sex; anatomic site; de novo presentation or clinical history related to AML, myelodysplastic syndrome, or myeloproliferative neoplasm; histotype; phenotype; or cytogenetic findings. Notably, the patients treated with allogeneic or autologous BM transplantation seem to have a higher probability of prolonged survival or cure.\textsuperscript{7} Each patient should be individually assessed based upon multiple prognostic factors to work out an optimal treatment plan. The patients with central nervous system MS can be treated with intrathecal injections of chemotherapeutic agents and radiotherapy, particularly those with myeloblasts in the cerebrospinal fluid.\textsuperscript{29}

Clinically, the non-leukemic MS involving the female genital tract is very unusual. The most commonly involved organ is the ovary estimated at 36.4% followed by the cervix and uterus.\textsuperscript{30,31} The patients usually have various presentations such as bleeding, mass, pain, abnormal leukorrhea or discharge, and abnormal cells on routine Papanicolaou test. Thirty-one patients with non-leukemic MS involving the female genital tract were reported (30 in the literature, including one additional case in this report). The age of them ranged from 12 to 77 years with a mean age of 39 years, and they were treated with different regimens (Table 1).\textsuperscript{31–50} Two patients’ follow-ups were not available. Ten patients were alive with no evidence of leukemia and three patients were alive with leukemia at last follow-up. A total of 16 patients died of the disease (6 days to 11 years after diagnosis). The common regimens used for them are similar to those for AML mentioned earlier, which include systemic chemotherapy and/or locoregional therapy, BM transplantation/hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Recently, hypomethylating agents like decitabine and 5-azacitidine have been an alternative treatment option for the elderly patients who are not candidates
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It is generally well tolerated and may take longer than four cycles to obtain a complete or partial remission.

### Conclusion

The non-leukemic MS is a rare disease, and involvement of the female genital tract is even rarer. There are only scant cases reported in the literatures by now. Biopsy and histopathologic workup are crucial to the definitive diagnosis and management strategy. Unfortunately, our patient received only one cycle of chemotherapy consisting of cytosine arabinoside and daunorubicin, and then refused any further treatment and died 4 months after diagnosis. Leukocytosis, anemia, and thrombocytopenia indicated that the disease has developed to acute leukemia due to the inadequate chemotherapy.

Although systemic chemotherapy is widely accepted to be a promising strategy, its benefit still needs to be further assessed. Certain questions still need to be answered for this disease: 1) Why can approximately 20% of the patients with non-leukemic MS remain disease-free after local therapy alone? 2) How many cycles of chemotherapy are needed for the patients with non-leukemic MS after achievement of complete remission? 3) What are the prognostic or risk factors in the patients with non-leukemic MS patients who have no abnormality of karyotype, fusion genes, or gene mutation to predict responsiveness to chemotherapy and outcome? 4) What is the risk factor for relapse? The rarity of the non-leukemic MS makes it almost impossible to conduct large-scale randomized trials, but judicious study for each patient with MS is helpful for further a understanding of the nature of the disease.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ involved/references</th>
<th>Published date</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome after diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uterine cervix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berard et al36</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Died, 5 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seo et al37</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Recurrence, 26 mo; died, 34 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abel et al38</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>AML, 3 mo; died, 4 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris and Scully39</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>AML, 12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kao et al40</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Died, 17 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banik et al41</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Radiation and CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 11 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynaud et al42</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Died, 24 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez et al43</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>CT and BMT</td>
<td>AML-M2, 8 mo; died, 10 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al44</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 2 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 12.5 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine corpus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spahr et al44</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Died, 6 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schafer et al45</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>AML, 3 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressler et al46</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>ANEL, 12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aguiar et al47</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>AML-M2, 12 mo; died, 14 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva et al48</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Died, 24 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 18 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al48</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>ANEL, 7 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sreejith et al49</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al50</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Recurrence, 24 mo; ANEL, 30 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva et al50</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Recurrence, 30 mo; died, 31 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez et al51</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>CT and BMT</td>
<td>AML-M5a, 4 mo; died, 10 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modi et al52</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 4 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeeter et al53</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Died, 5 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policarpo-Nicolas et al54</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>ANEL, 11 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 gynecologic sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris and Scully39</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Radiation and CT</td>
<td>AML, 2 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al55</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Died, 2 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>AML, 2 mo; died, 5 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang and Chen56</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>CT and operation</td>
<td>Died, 11 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our case</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Died, 4 mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AML, acute myeloid leukemia; ANEL, alive with no evidence of leukemia; BMT, bone marrow transplantation; CT, chemotherapy; MS, myeloid sarcoma; d, day; mo, month; y, year.
Acknowledgment

This study was partially supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No 81300384).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


