Evolution of transversus abdominis plane infiltration techniques for postsurgical analgesia following abdominal surgeries
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Abstract: Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) infiltration is a regional anesthetic technique that has been demonstrated to be effective for management of postsurgical pain after abdominal surgery. There are several different clinical variations in the approaches used for achieving analgesia via TAP infiltration, and methods for identification of the TAP have evolved considerably since the landmark-guided technique was first described in 2001. There are many factors that impact the analgesic outcomes following TAP infiltration, and the various nuances of this technique have led to debate regarding procedural classification of TAP infiltration. Based on our current understanding of fascial and neuronal anatomy of the anterior abdominal wall, as well as available evidence from studies assessing local anesthetic spread and cutaneous sensory block following TAP infiltration, it is clear that TAP infiltration techniques are appropriately classified as field blocks. While the objective of peripheral nerve block and TAP infiltration are similar in that both approaches block sensory response in order to achieve analgesia, the technical components of the two procedures are different. Unlike peripheral nerve block, which involves identification or stimulation of a specific nerve or nerve plexus, followed by administration of a local anesthetic in close proximity, TAP infiltration involves administration and spread of local anesthetic within an anatomical plane of the surgical site.
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Introduction

In the age of health care reform, institutions are asking providers to continually improve the quality of care while reducing costs. Patient satisfaction with pain management is a critically important measure of quality health care. While opioids are effective analgesics, their use is frequently associated with adverse effects such as dizziness, nausea, sedation, constipation, and in some cases, respiratory depression. Guidelines suggest that opioid-sparing multimodal analgesia should be used whenever possible in order to optimize analgesic efficacy while minimizing the risk of opioid-related adverse events. Regional anesthesia techniques are an effective mode of analgesia, considered safe with a low risk for complications, and are a frequently utilized component in multimodal analgesic regimens. Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) infiltration is a regional anesthesia technique that provides analgesia to the anterior abdominal wall. Abdominal field blocks have been utilized for more than a century, but infiltration techniques used in TAP were developed and refined within the last 15 years. TAP infiltration has been demonstrated to be an effective regional anesthesia approach to controlling postsurgical pain, frequently allowing patients a faster recovery time after undergoing abdominal surgery.
Anatomy of the TAP

The TAP is a potential anatomical space in the anterior wall that spans the entire abdomen between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles (Figure 1).9 The anterior rami of the lower six thoracic nerves (T7–T12) and first lumbar nerve (L1) pass through the plane and provide somatic innervation to the anterior and lateral abdominal walls.8,10,11 The nerves are bound to the transversus abdominis muscle by a layer of fascia, suggesting that local anesthetics should be deposited underneath the fascial layer to ensure optimal analgesia.11 Detailed anatomic studies of the TAP have revealed individual variability in the extent of spinal nerve branching. In a cadaveric dissection study of innervation of the anterior abdominal wall (n=20 subjects),11 extensive branching was noted for T9–L1 starting at the anterior axillary line to the midline. In addition, a large, longitudinal plexus of communicating branches was observed within the TAP in the anterolateral abdominal wall lateral to the deep circumflex iliac artery. A second longitudinal plexus exists closer to the midline, running cephalocaudally with the inferior epigastric artery.11 Another cadaveric study9 noted individual variation in the dimensions of the lumbar triangle of Petit, usually situated just behind the highest point of the iliac crest (Figure 2),6,12,13 which serves as an anatomical landmark for TAP infiltration. The study also noted that the T9–L1 nerves held a relatively constant course in the TAP prior to the midaxillary line, but that branching after this point was commonly observed.9

Evolution of TAP infiltration techniques

TAP infiltration was originally characterized as a landmark-guided abdominal field block based on the lumbar triangle of Petit in 2001.12 Appropriate needle placement using the landmark technique resulted in a “pop” or “sensation of giving way”, which indicated that the needle had reached the fascial plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles.12 The technique, initially described as a field block or a regional abdominal field infiltration, was eventually referred to as a “TAP block”.7,14 This technique was evaluated and modified through a series of cadaveric and healthy volunteer studies.9,15–18 An alternative “double pop” method was described in which the needle is advanced perpendicularly until the first pop is felt in between the external and internal oblique muscles, and then advanced again until a second pop is felt, indicating entry into the transversus abdominis fascial plane.6,18

As spread of the local anesthetic is critical for analgesic efficacy, an ultrasound-guided (USG) technique was a logical progression for TAP infiltration. The initial USG approach involved transverse application of the ultrasound probe to the anterolateral abdominal wall where the three muscle layers are most distinct (Figure 3).19,20 The needle, as well as the hypoechoic layer of local anesthetic in the fascia, was visualized in the plane.20 A series of clinical studies evaluated the extent of analgesia in multiple surgical models, including prostatectomy, abdominal surgeries (bowel resections), appendectomy, cesarean section, and abdominal hysterectomy. Initial clinical results deviated from the landmark-based technique, as the spread of analgesia did not seem to be as extensive.21–25 Although the reason for this is not well understood, it has been suggested that the key objective in ultrasound is visualization of the spread of the injectate between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles. Two possible infiltrative approaches are illustrated. Illustration courtesy of Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Figure 1 Muscular anatomy of the posterolateral abdominal wall.

Notes: The spinal nerves travel anteriorly between quadratus lumborum and latissimus dorsi before entering the plane between internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles. Two possible infiltrative approaches are illustrated. Illustration courtesy of Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Figure 2 Surface anatomical landmarks used in transversus abdominis plane block.


Abbreviations: EX OBL, external oblique; LAT DORSI, latissimus dorsi.
muscles, possibly causing the operator to ignore the tactile pop that indicates optimal needle placement in the anatomical space underneath the fascial layer. In response to this clinical variation, the technique evolved further. Multiple and single injections as well as lateral, subcostal, and posterior approaches have all been described. A report describing four different approaches to TAP infiltration found that local anesthetic spread resulting from the posterior approach mirrored most closely the original landmark-guided triangle of Petit approach. Spread of local anesthetic was measured radiologically, and was found to cover T5–L1 levels using these techniques. A four-point single-shot technique that combines both the posterior and subcostal approaches has also been described and purportedly provides wider analgesic coverage. In sum, there are many factors that impact analgesic outcomes in TAP: in addition to method of nerve localization and needle placement, population type (pregnant vs nonpregnant, obese vs nonobese); surgical procedure; practitioner’s level of skill; type, dose, and volume of local anesthetic used; timing of injection; and clinical assessments used all have an impacting role.

Key differences between TAP infiltration and peripheral nerve blocks

A 2015 study in 16 healthy volunteers evaluated cutaneous sensory block following TAP infiltration. It was determined that extent of spread was variable and nondermatomal, indicating that the specific nerves that are blocked as a result of a TAP infiltration likely vary from patient to patient based on their anatomy. The many nuances of the technique have led to a debate in the field: is there a need for standardization of techniques or technique nomenclature? The “TAP block family” and regional abdominal field infiltration have both been proposed to describe the group of techniques that have evolved from the initial triangle of Petit landmark technique. However, the fundamentals of the technique remain consistent across approaches: no specific nerve within the TAP is targeted; localization of the plane and the spread of local anesthetic within the plane are the key determinants of analgesia. Multiple surgeon-assisted approaches have been described, suggesting that techniques used to access the plane itself have also broadened. Intra-abdominal laparoscopic cameras operated by surgeons, rather than ultrasound, have been used to visualize the plane. For example, one report describes a technique developed to enable identification of a peritoneal bulge in the area of injection that confirms delivery of local anesthetic into the TAP. Other reports describe a surgeon-assisted intraoperative transperitoneal approach in which the blunt-tipped block needle was advanced from inside the abdominal wall through the parietal peritoneum, into the transversus abdominis muscle, and then into the TAP. Direct visualization of local anesthetic spread in the TAP using blunt dissection of the oblique muscles has also been described. The goal of the surgeon-assisted approach is to conduct the same field block as traditional surgical infiltrative techniques where local anesthetic is deposited near the incision. Whether local anesthetics are utilized for a peripheral nerve block or for infiltration, the objective is the same – to block the sensory response. However, key technical components diverge when comparing a peripheral nerve block to an infiltration technique. As described above, TAP infiltration comprises multiple approaches used to identify an anatomical

Figure 3 Ultrasound-guided lateral approach to transversus abdominis plane block.

Notes: (A) is the external view and (B) is the internal ultrasound image (the arrow shows the transversus abdominis plane). Reproduced from Børglum J, Jensen K. Abdominal surgery: advances in the use of ultrasound-guided truncal blocks for perioperative pain management. In: Derbel F, editor. Abdominal Surgery. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech; 2012:69–94 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Abbreviations: EO, external oblique; IO, internal oblique; TA, transversus abdominis; PC, peritoneal cavity.
plane, instead of a specific nerve or nerve plexus. This differs from peripheral nerve blocks as well as other field block approaches. For example, in a peripheral nerve block of the upper or lower extremity, USG and/or nerve stimulation are frequently used to identify the nerve that is to be blocked along with surrounding anatomy. The optimal needle position is near or toward that specific nerve, and spread of local anesthetic around the nerve is observed. Precision and ability to maintain appropriate needle placement are of the utmost importance in peripheral nerve blocks.

**Conclusion**

While the objective of peripheral nerve block and TAP infiltration are similar in that both approaches block sensory response in order to achieve analgesia, the technical components of these two approaches are different. Unlike peripheral nerve block, which involves administration of a local anesthetic in close proximity to a specific nerve or nerve plexus, TAP infiltration is a field block technique in which a local anesthetic is administered into an anatomical plane.
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