Ambulatory anesthesia for patients with sleep apnea
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Abstract: The prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is increasing, and a significant number of patients with OSA presenting for ambulatory surgeries are undiagnosed. It is well known that patients with OSA have increased postoperative complications. The suitability of ambulatory surgery in patients with OSA remains controversial, and the evidence regarding the safety of OSA patients for ambulatory surgery is inadequate. Anesthesiologists play an important role in identifying, evaluating, and optimizing the OSA patient. Preoperative screening and careful selection of patients for ambulatory surgery is the key step during the perioperative management of OSA patients. With proper screening and clinical algorithm-based management, patients with OSA may be treated safely as ambulatory surgical patients.
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Introduction
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) syndrome is the most common type of sleep-disordered breathing, and is characterized by recurrent apnea and hypopnea lasting ≥10 seconds during sleep. In patients with OSA, there is an increased depression of pharyngeal muscle tone during sleep and anesthesia, resulting in a recurrent pattern of partial or complete upper-airway obstruction with impaired respiration.1 The prevalence of mild OSA is one in four males and one in ten females;2,3 moderate OSA is one in nine males and one in 20 females.4,5 A significant number of OSA patients are undiagnosed when they come for elective surgery.6 Approximately 10%–20% of surgical patients, of whom 80% had not been previously diagnosed with OSA, have been found to be at high risk of OSA based on preoperative screening.7,8 An increase in the prevalence of OSA as well as an increase in surgeries performed as ambulatory procedures poses a practical challenge to the anesthesiologist. OSA is associated with multiple comorbidities, and the suitability of ambulatory surgery in OSA patients remains controversial, due to the concerns of increased perioperative complications, including postdischarge death. At present, the evidence related to the safety of OSA patients for ambulatory surgery is limited. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA),9,10 and Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia (SAMBA)11 have published guidelines to emphasize the importance of proper patient selection and management of OSA patients for ambulatory surgery.

Risk factors and pathophysiology
OSA is predisposed by various pathophysiological, demographic, and lifestyle factors. These include anatomical abnormalities that may cause mechanical changes in the...
airway lumen (eg, craniofacial deformities, retrognathia, macroglossia), connective tissue diseases (eg, Marfan’s syndrome), endocrine diseases (eg, hypothyroidism, Cushing’s disease), male sex, neck circumference >40 cm, age above 50 years, and lifestyle factors, including alcohol consumption and smoking. The prevalence of OSA may be higher – up to 78% – in morbidly obese patients scheduled for bariatric surgery. Obesity causes enlargement of soft-tissue structures around the airway, causing pharyngeal airway narrowing. Lung volumes are markedly reduced by increase in the abdominal fat mass. Reduction of lung volume may decrease longitudinal tracheal traction forces and pharyngeal wall tension, which causes narrowing of the airway. Visceral obesity is common in subjects with OSA. OSA is associated with various comorbidities, such as myocardial ischemia, heart failure, hypertension, arrhythmias, metabolic syndrome, cerebrovascular disease, insulin resistance, gastroesophageal reflux, and obesity. Sympathetic activation is increased by apneic episodes, which prevents the normal nocturnal decline in blood pressure. Sleep apnea associated with obesity leads to increased sympathetic tone, hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, chronic hypoxemia, and exaggerated swings in intrathoracic pressure during obstructive episodes. OSA also causes an increase in right ventricular cavity size and wall thickness. OSA is one of the common reasons for resistance hypertension.

Though OSA is not a component of metabolic syndrome (central obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and insulin resistance), there is experimental and clinical evidence to show the relationship between OSA and cardiometabolic syndrome. Anesthetics agents, including sedative hypnotics, opioids, and muscle relaxants, exaggerate OSA-related airway instability and worsen the apnea. Surgical stress response during the postoperative period significantly changes the sleep architecture. This warrants a careful understanding of pathophysiology of OSA and the effects of anesthetic on the syndrome.

Obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS) is a condition with the triad of obesity, daytime hypoventilation, and sleep-disordered breathing without an alternative neuromuscular, mechanical, or metabolic cause of hypoventilation. It is a disease distinct from simple obesity and OSA. OHS is often undiagnosed, with a prevalence of 10%–20% in obese patients with OSA and 0.15%–0.3% among general adult population. Compared to eucapnic obese patients, OHS patients present with blunted central respiratory drive, severe upper-airway obstruction, restrictive chest physiology, pulmonary hypertension, and increased mortality.

Noninvasive positive airway pressure (PAP) is the mainstay of therapy.

Diagnostic criteria of OSA

The gold standard for the diagnosis of OSA is polysomnography or sleep study. The Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI), defined as the average episode of abnormal breathing events per hour of sleep, is used to diagnose and assess the severity of OSA. An apneic event is cessation of airflow for 10 seconds, and hypopnea is reduced airflow with desaturation ≥4%. Diagnostic criteria for OSA by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine require either an AHI ≥15 or AHI ≥5 with symptoms, such as daytime sleepiness, loud snoring, or observed obstruction during sleep. OSA severity is considered mild for AHI ≥5–15, moderate for AHI 15–30, and severe for AHI >30.

Methods for preoperative screening for OSA

As screening with polysomnography is expensive and resource-intensive, many other screening tools have been developed. The SAMBA guidelines recommend using the STOP-Bang questionnaire as a first step, because it is simple to administer. The STOP-Bang questionnaire was initially developed in surgical patients, but has been validated in various patient populations (Table 1). Patients with a STOP-Bang score of 0–2 are considered low risk, 3–4 intermediate risk, and 5–8 high risk of OSA. The STOP-Bang questionnaire has the highest methodological validity and accuracy in predicting a diagnosis of OSA and a STOP-Bang score of 5–8 identifies patients with a high possibility of moderate-to-severe OSA. The addition of a serum bicarbonate level ≥28 mmol/L to a STOP-Bang score ≥3 increases the specificity for a preoperative diagnosis of OSA. For obese or morbidly obese patients, a STOP-Bang score of 4 or greater can be used as a cutoff. Patients with a higher STOP-Bang score are more likely to have increased postoperative complications.

Also, the Oxygen Desaturation Index (ODI) from a high-resolution oximeter is sensitive and specific to identify undiagnosed sleep-disordered breathing in surgical patients. ODI is a good predictor of AHI. ODI ≥10 demonstrates high sensitivity (93%) and reasonable specificity (75%) to detect moderate and severe OSA. Patients with preoperative mean overnight SpO₂ <93%, or ODI >29 events/hour were recently shown to be at higher risk for postoperative complications. These screening tests are not adequate to differentiate OSA from other sleep disorders, such as OHS.
Table I STOP-Bang questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Snoring?</th>
<th>Tired?</th>
<th>Observed?</th>
<th>Pressure?</th>
<th>Body mass index more than 35 kg/m²?</th>
<th>Age older than 50 years?</th>
<th>Neck size large? (measured around Adam’s apple)</th>
<th>Sex = male?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you snore loudly (loud enough to be heard through closed doors or your bed partner elbows you for snoring at night)</td>
<td>Do you often feel tired, fatigued, or sleepy during the daytime (such as falling asleep during driving)</td>
<td>Has anyone observed you stop breathing or choking/gasping during your sleep</td>
<td>Do you have or are being treated for high blood pressure</td>
<td>Body mass index more than 35 kg/m²?</td>
<td>For male, is your shirt collar 17 inches or larger?</td>
<td>For female, is your shirt collar 16 inches or larger?</td>
<td>Sex = male?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Scoring criteria (for general population): low risk of OSA, yes to 0–2 questions; intermediate risk of OSA, yes to 3–4 questions; high risk of OSA; yes to 5–8 questions, yes to 2 of 4 STOP questions + individual’s sex is male, yes to 2 of 4 STOP questions + BMI >35 kg/m², yes to 2 of 4 STOP questions + neck circumference (male) 17″/(female) 16″. Property of University Health Network.

Abbreviations: OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; BMI, body mass index.

According to SAMBA guidelines, patients with a diagnosis of OSA and compliant with continuous PAP (CPAP), with optimized comorbid conditions and minimal postoperative opioid requirements, can be considered for ambulatory surgery (Figure 1). However, patients who are noncompliant with CPAP may not be appropriate for ambulatory surgery. At the same time, patients with a presumed diagnosis of OSA based on the screening tool and optimized comorbid conditions may be considered for ambulatory surgery, if postoperative pain relief can be managed predominantly with nonopioid analgesic techniques. In contrast to the ASA OSA guidelines, laparoscopic upper-abdominal surgeries like gastric banding may be safely performed on a day-surgery basis provided perioperative precautions are followed. Because of limited evidence, no guidance was provided for OSA patients undergoing upper-airway surgery. A recent systematic review on obese patient selection for ambulatory surgery showed that the literature lacks enough information to make recommendations regarding the selection of obese patients for ambulatory surgery.

Outcome of OSA patients undergoing ambulatory surgery

Recent outcome studies on inpatient surgeries have clearly shown serious cardiac and pulmonary complications in OSA patients, but evidence is limited regarding postoperative outcome in OSA patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. A systematic review by SAMBA evaluated five prospective and two retrospective studies with various ambulatory surgical procedures, including general surgery, orthopedic surgery, laparoscopic bariatric surgery, and upper-airway surgery. In this review, the postoperative outcomes of 1,491 OSA patients and 2,036 low-risk OSA patients were compared with 2,095 non-OSA patients. None of these included studies reported any clinically significant adverse outcomes, such as the need for a surgical airway, hypoxic brain injury, longer discharge time, unanticipated hospital admission, or death. Also, the systematic review showed that OSA patients had higher incidence of postoperative hypoxemia, but there was no variation in the need for ventilatory assistance or reintubation. In a prospective cohort study, those patients with greater possibility for OSA had more attempts of laryngoscopy, difficult laryngoscopic grade, and fiber-optic intubation. Also, the use of intraop-
for OSA, anesthetic management needs to address issues related to obesity as well as OSA. The incidence of difficult intubation and difficult mask ventilation is high in the obese compared to the nonobese population. The presence of OSA is associated with difficult mask ventilation, as well as eight times more chance of difficult intubation. A neck circumference more than 43 cm has been shown to have an increased risk of difficult intubation. However, there is contradictory evidence regarding the predictors of difficult intubation, like severity of OSA, neck circumference, pretrochloral soft-tissue thickness, and BMI. A Mallampati score of 3 or 4 and male sex may be associated with difficult intubation. Neck circumference to thyromental distance ratio can predict difficult intubation in obese patients. A recent study has shown that a STOP-Bang score ≥3 predicts difficult intubation. Obesity was identified as an independent predictor of failed use of a laryngeal mask airway requiring endotracheal intubation.

Adequately skilled help and equipment, including choice of airway aids, should be available before induction of anesthesia. Anesthesia team members should be familiar with a specific difficult airway algorithm, such as the ASA Difficult Airway Guideline. The head-elevated laryngoscopy position using specific positioning pillows could facilitate direct laryngoscopy. Preoxygenation with continuous application of 10 cm H$_2$O PAP for 3–5 minutes with a 25° head-up tilt has been shown to increase the end-tidal concentrations of oxygen. In morbidly obese patients with a difficult airway, video laryngoscopic intubation with the GlideScope, Storz V-Mac, or McGrath has a better success rate. The use of awake video laryngoscopy-assisted tracheal intubation has been shown as an alternate method to flexible bronchoscopic intubation.

**Perioperative management of OSA patients for ambulatory surgery**

General anesthesia in patients with OSA is challenging, as the administration of anesthetics, sedatives, and analgesics can further deteriorate pharyngeal obstruction in a preexisting airway dysfunction. Perioperatively, various approaches can be used to mitigate the risks and adverse outcomes in OSA patients (Table 2). Since obesity is the major risk factor for OSA, anesthetic management needs to address issues related to obesity.
Table 2 Perioperative precautions and risk mitigation for OSA patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic concern</th>
<th>Principles of management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premedication</td>
<td>Avoid sedating premedication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider (\alpha_2)-adrenergic agonists (clonidine, dexmedetomidine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential difficult airway (difficult mask ventilation and tracheal intubation)</td>
<td>Optimal positioning (head-elevated laryngoscopy position) if patient obese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate preoxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider CPAP preoxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-handed triple airway maneuvers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipate difficult airway; personnel familiar with a specific difficult airway algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroesophageal reflux disease</td>
<td>Consider proton-pump inhibitors, antacids, rapid-sequence induction with cricoid pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid-related respiratory depression</td>
<td>Minimize opioid use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of short-acting agents (remifentanil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multimodal approach to analgesia (NSAIDs, acetaminophen, tramadol, ketamine, gabapentin, pregabalin, dexmedetomidine, clonidine, dexamethasone, melatonin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider local and regional anesthesia where appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryover sedation effects from longer-acting intravenous and volatile anesthetic agents</td>
<td>Use of propofol/remifentanil for maintenance of anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of insoluble potent anesthetic agents (desflurane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of regional blocks as a sole anesthetic technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive sedation in monitored anesthetic care</td>
<td>Use of intraoperative capnography for monitoring of ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verify full reversal of neuromuscular blockade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postextubation airway obstruction</td>
<td>Extubate only when fully conscious and cooperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsupine posture for extubation and recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resume use of positive airway-pressure device after surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Abbreviations: OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Discharge from PACU for patients with obstructive sleep apnea

- **Known OSA**
  - Severe OSA (AHI >30), or
  - Nonadherence with PAP therapy*, or
  - Significant comorbidities*, or
  - Postoperative parenteral opioids, or
  - Recurrent PACU respiratory events*

  - **No**
    - Consider discharge to home if minor surgery
  - **Yes**
    - Consider postoperative care on the surgical ward with oximetry monitors

- **Suspected OSA**
  - Postoperative parenteral opioids, or
  - Recurrent PACU respiratory events*, or
  - STOP-Bang ≥ 5

  - **Yes**
    - Consider care in a monitored bed* with continuous oximetry and/or postoperative PAP therapy*
  - **No**
    - Consider discharge to home if minor surgery and not requiring high-dose oral opioids

Figure 2 Postoperative management of the diagnosed or suspected OSA patient after general anesthesia.

Notes: Postoperative PACU discharge timing and location for the patient with known or suspected OSA after general anesthesia. Such patients should be monitored for >60 minutes after usual PACU criteria are met. *Positive airway pressure (PAP) therapy, including continuous PAP, bilevel PAP, or automatically adjusting PAP. **significant comorbidities (eg, heart failure, arrhythmias, uncontrolled hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, BMI > 35 kg/m²); †recurrent postanesthesia care unit (PACU) respiratory event: repeated occurrence of oxygen saturation <90 percent, or bradypnea < 8 breaths/min, or apnea ≥ 10 seconds, or pain sedation mismatch (high pain and sedation scores concurrently). §Equianalgesic doses of oral opioids: codeine 120 mg every 4 hours, oxycodone 10 mg every 4 hours, hydrocodone 4 mg every 4 hours; ‡monitored bed: environment with continuous oximetry and the possibility of early medical intervention (eg, intensive care unit, step-down unit, or remote pulse oximetry with telemetry in surgical ward). Adapted from Seet E, Chung F. Management of sleep apnea in adults – functional algorithms for the perioperative period: Continuing Professional Development. Can J Anaesth. 2010; 57:849.

Abbreviations: PACU, postanesthesia care unit; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; PAP, positive airway pressure.
Gastroesophageal reflux disease due to hypotonia of the lower esophageal sphincter is common in patients with OSA. Measures should be taken to reduce the risk of gastric acid aspiration by using preoperative proton-pump inhibitors, antacids, and rapid-sequence induction with cricoid pressure. Patients with OSA have an increased sensitivity to the respiratory depressant effects of general anesthetic agents, due to their increased vulnerability for airway collapse, chronic sleep deprivation, and blunted response to hypoxia and hypercarbia. Nocturnal hypoxemia in OSA patients is associated with an increased sensitivity to opioid analgesia. At the same time, nocturnal desaturation may be associated with increased pain in patients with sleep-disordered breathing. Pulmonary hypertension is a known complication of long-standing OSA. Intraoperative triggers for increase in pulmonary artery pressures, namely hypoxemia, hypercarbia, hypothermia, and acidosis, should be avoided.

Induction of anesthesia increases atelectasis from 1% to 11% of total lung volume in patients with morbid obesity. Recruitment maneuvers like positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and Valsalva can reduce these effects. Preoxygensation with noninvasive pressure-support ventilation and PEEP with intraoperative recruitment maneuver (40 cm H$_2$O for 40 seconds) increases arterial oxygenation and end-expiratory lung volume. A recent meta-analysis showed that the recruitment maneuver added to PEEP compared with using PEEP alone increased intraoperative oxygenation and lung compliance.

Short-acting anesthetic agents like propofol, desflurane, and remifentanil are preferred to long-acting agents. General anesthesia with intermediate-acting neuromuscular blocking agents was associated with more risk of respiratory adverse events. Even a minimal level of residual neuromuscular blockade can cause an increase in postoperative morbidity, due to aspiration, airway obstruction, hypoxia, hyperventilation, and reintubation. OSAs should be extubated only when awake, obeying commands, and after confirming adequate airway patency. The Difficult Airway Society has published guidelines for the management of tracheal extubation using a systematic approach. Patients with obesity and OSA are classified into a category of extubation “at risk” of major complications.

Intraoperative usage of opioid-sparing medications like paracetamol, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, COX-2 inhibitors, tramadol, pregabalin, and gabapentin may decrease postoperative opioid requirements. Sedation for surgical procedures under monitored care anesthesia should be monitored with capnography to confirm the adequacy of ventilation. Patients using PAP therapy for OSA at home can use their PAP devices during surgery under mild-to-moderate sedation. For procedures requiring deep sedation, a secured airway is preferred to an unprotected one. With regard to childhood OSA and the indication for polysomnography before tonsillectomy, clinical practice guidelines have been published. Recently, a patient-safety tool kit specifically designed for OSA patients undergoing ambulatory surgery was published. It is a single-page guideline incorporating the key elements of patient selection, intraoperative management, and postoperative issues.

**Regional anesthesia**

Regional anesthesia in OSA patients provides definite advantages, which allow minimal manipulation of the airway, avoidance of anesthetic drugs with cardiopulmonary depression, reduced perioperative opioid requirements, and reduced postoperative nausea and vomiting. The rate of block failure is directly proportional with a higher BMI. However, the use of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia in the obese population has led to improved success rates. Ultrasound-guided neuraxial anesthesia is a viable option to increase the success rate of spinal and epidural anesthesia in obese patients. For shoulder surgery, interscalene block in patients with OSA needs a careful evaluation. Phrenic nerve blockade may be reduced by using ultrasound, a small volume of local anesthetic, and a catheter technique for titrating the dose. A recent technique of superior trunk block, an improvised version of interscalene block that helps to avoid phrenic nerve blockade, is a viable option for OSA patients undergoing painful ambulatory procedures, such as shoulder repair, foot arthrodesis, anterior cruciate ligament repair, and reconstructive plastic surgeries, may be at higher risk of adverse events, due to a higher requirement of postoperative opioid analgesic.

**Postoperative disposition and unplanned admission after ambulatory surgery**

Diagnosed or suspected OSA patients receiving general anesthesia should have extended monitoring for an additional 60 minutes after they have met the modified Aldrete criteria for discharge. The incidence of recurrent respiratory events in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) is an indication for continuous postoperative monitoring. These respiratory events are episodes of apnea ≥10 seconds, (Figure 2)
bradypnea <8 breaths/minute, pain–sedation mismatch, or repeated O₂ desaturation <90%. Repeated occurrence of any of these events is considered recurrent PACU respiratory events. OSA patients with recurrent respiratory events have an increased risk of postoperative respiratory complications.75,76 These patients may require postoperative PAP therapy with monitoring.67 Ambulatory surgical centers that handle OSA patients should have the backup to manage postoperative complications related to OSA and an agreement with an appropriate inpatient facility. The anesthesiologist and surgeon should agree on postoperative analgesic medication, and patients should be advised to use acetaminophen, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and COX-2 inhibitors rather than opioids. Patients should be educated to sleep in a semiupright position and to apply their PAP devices when sleeping, even during the daytime.

A PACU order-based approach was described by Swart et al to facilitate postoperative decision making for OSA patients. The orders help anesthesiologists to consider the factors related to higher risk of complications in OSA patients, diagnostic follow-up, and possible sleep-medicine consultation.77 A recent study showed that if OSA patients were managed with the OSA risk-management protocol, there was no significant increase in postoperative complications.78 In the postoperative period, disturbances in sleep architecture were greatest on postoperative night 1, the night of surgery, and breathing disturbances during sleep were highest on postoperative night 3.17 An increase in postoperative AHI was positively associated with preoperative AHI, male sex, and 72-hour opioid dose.79 It is necessary to educate surgeons, patients, and their family regarding the need for increased vigilance after discharge home.

**Conclusion**

OSA patients are a special population, and their anesthetic management is challenging, due to difficult airway, sensitivity to general anesthetics, and postoperative pain management. In recent years, there has been better understanding about the effect of anesthetics on postoperative sleep architecture in OSA patients. This warrants careful selection of patients for ambulatory surgery with specific protocols, and risk mitigation is imperative to avoid cancellations and complications. Educating patients and the health care team will improve the perioperative outcome. With appropriate screening and algorithm-based management, the majority of the ambulatory surgical procedure may be done safely in patients with OSA.
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