Perioperative management of facial bipartition surgery

Marco Caruselli¹
Michael Tsapis¹,²
Fabrice Ughetto¹
Gregoire Pech-Gourg³
Dario Galante⁴
Olivier Paut¹

¹Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, La Timone Children’s Hospital, ²Pediatric Transport Team, SAMU 13, La Timone Hospital, ³Pediatric Neurosurgery Unit, La Timone Children’s Hospital, Marseille, France; ⁴Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, University Hospital Ospedali Riuniti of Foggia, Foggia, Italy

Abstract: Severe craniofacial malformations, such as Crouzon, Apert, Saethre-Chotzen, and Pfeiffer syndromes, are very rare conditions (one in 50,000/100,000 live births) that often require corrective surgery. Facial bipartition is the more radical corrective surgery. It is a high-risk intervention and needs complex perioperative management and a multidisciplinary approach.
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Introduction

Severe craniofacial malformations, such as Crouzon, Apert, Saethre-Chotzen, and Pfeiffer syndromes, are very rare conditions (one in 50,000/100,000 live births) that often require corrective surgery. Indications for corrective surgery are either functional for compromised respiratory function, feeding difficulties, or visual deterioration, or to prevent future psychological disorders due to the very unusual appearance of these patients.¹–³

Facial bipartition is the more radical corrective surgery and is often a long and difficult intervention requiring a multidisciplinary approach (neurosurgeons, maxillofacial surgeons, plastic surgeons, and anesthetists). This surgery, performed in combination with medial canthopexy, allows for hemifacial rotation toward the midline and correction of the position of the lateral canthi. Often the procedure is associated with a Le Fort I osteotomy. It is to be considered high-risk surgery because of potentially difficult intubation, massive intraoperative bleeding, and perioperative metabolic acidosis.⁴–⁶

Intubation is difficult because the craniofacial anatomical abnormalities sometimes limit mouth opening and the glottic view. Further, obstructive sleep apnea is often present.⁷ The massive intraoperative bleeding is typical of major craniofacial surgery, so it is necessary before starting the surgery to ensure an adequate reserve of blood products in the event of activation of a massive transfusion protocol. Perioperative metabolic acidosis is due to a combination of blood loss and electrolyte shifts following administration of large amounts of colloids, crystalloids, and blood products.

In this paper, we describe our recent perioperative experience of facial bipartition surgery in a 3-year-old child (weighing 13 kg) who had an asymmetric craniofacial cleft with hypertelorism and ethmoid agenesis (Figure 1).

Monitoring included electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, invasive arterial pressure monitoring, temperature, end tidal carbon dioxide, and urine output. Induction of anesthesia was achieved by inhaled sevoflurane at a concentration of 6%. After peripheral
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Vein cannulation with a 22 G catheter, intravenous propofol 4 mg/kg and remifentanil 0.2 µg/kg/min were administered. The trachea was intubated with a 4.5 mm armored tube. The femoral artery and vein were cannulated with two 3 French catheters and another peripheral (saphenous) vein with an 18 G intravenous catheter.

Before surgery, we started intravenous infusion of tranexamic acid at 10 mg/kg/h during the first hour and 5 mg/kg/h during the following hours. General anesthesia was maintained by inhaled sevoflurane (minimum alveolar concentration 1.0) and continuous infusion of remifentanil (0.1–0.4 µg/kg/min). Intraoperative antibiotic prophylaxis with amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (50 mg/kg of amoxicillin) was administered.

The initial hemoglobin level was 11.5 g/dL, and decreased during the hemorrhagic phases (particularly during frontal bone dissection) to 7.5 g/dL. Therefore, 280 mL of packed red blood cells and 115 mL of Cell Saver were transfused to achieve a hemoglobin level of 12.5 g/dL. The remifentanil infusion rate varied between 0.1 and 0.4 µg/kg/min according to the phase of the surgery. The total fluid infusion was 1,500 mL (including 250 mL of 4% albumin) during 9 hours of anesthesia (8 hours of surgery). The total urine output was 225 mL (1.92 mL/kg/h).

After surgery, the patient was kept sedated and mechanically ventilated to prevent postoperative respiratory failure due to nasopharyngeal and facial swelling. Corticosteroid therapy (methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg/day) was also administered to prevent this problem. The patient was extubated on the fourth day after surgery and transferred on the following day to the pediatric surgery ward. A significant aesthetic and functional improvement occurred after surgery. The functional improvement was acquisition of binocular vision that, because of hypertelorism, was impossible before surgery. For adaptation, the patient is followed in the orthoptic service. The patient will undergo a second surgery in the coming months to improve the shape of the nose.

Discussion

Facial bipartition is a high-risk corrective surgery, which requires a complex multidisciplinary approach. The anesthetist has to expect two types of difficulty during perioperative management. The first problem is the potentially difficult intubation, which occurs due to the abnormal craniofacial anatomy. Various devices have been utilized, including the Airtraq, Bonfils fiberscope, and traditional fiberscope.8–10 The Bonfils and the traditional fiberscope require specific expertise; but their advantage is that it is possible to intubate even with limited mouth opening. On the other hand, the Airtraq can be used successfully without specific expertise, but it is not usable with limited mouth opening. The second problem is the risk of massive bleeding, particularly during frontal bone dissection, so it is necessary to maintain continuous blood pressure monitoring by arterial cannulation, an adequate reserve of blood products, and continuous infusion of antihemorrhagic drugs, such as tranexamic acid. Use of tranexamic acid in pediatric patients for bleeding during high-risk surgery is reported by many authors.11–13 For postoperative management, it is advisable to extubate the patient after adequate corticosteroid therapy and preventive fibroscopic airway control to check for residual edema. Use of corticosteroids can have an immunosuppressant effect, but prevention of postoperative massive facial edema justifies their use.

Sometimes, fronto-orbital advancement or frontal sinus cranialization surgery can be complicated postoperatively by an extradural dead space because of expansion of the prefrontal subarachnoid space.14,15 This complication did not occur in our patient.

These patients often have obstructive sleep apnea and a high incidence of perioperative respiratory complications, particularly bronchospasm. The bronchospasm is caused by profuse secretions that may cause wheezing and contribute to an increase in airway irritability. Elwood et al have reported on 145 anesthetics administered to 18 individuals with Apert syndrome and 15 with perioperative wheezing; in four cases, surgery was cancelled because of intractable

Figure 1 3D reconstruction of the skull.
wheezing. Further, reintubation in patients with massive facial swelling and airway edema can be very difficult. In our case, 4 days of therapy and mechanical ventilation were required before extubation.

**Conclusion**

Facial bipartition is a high-risk intervention and involves complex perioperative management. It is necessary to have adequate resources in the event of difficult intubation and to avoid potentially fatal intraoperative hemorrhage.
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