Arsenic sulfide combined with JQ1, chemotherapy agents, or celecoxib inhibit gastric and colon cancer cell growth
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Background: Arsenic compounds have modest cytotoxic activity in solid tumors. We investigated if arsenic sulfide (As₄S₄) in combination with other distinct agents could enhance its cytotoxic activity.

Methods: We used gastric and colon cancer cell lines to study the synergistic effect of As₄S₄ in combination with BRD4 inhibitor JQ1, or with chemotherapy drug cisplatin and irinotecan or with COX2 inhibitor celecoxib. We investigated the mechanism of the cytotoxic effect of these novel combinations.

Results: We found that when As₄S₄ was combined with JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan or celecoxib, its cytotoxic activity was dramatically enhanced in both gastric and colon cancer cell lines. As₄S₄ and JQ1 inhibited BRD4 and c-Myc while activating p53 expression synergistically. As₄S₄ inhibited COX2 and cyclin D1 expression. When As₄S₄ was combined with chemotherapy drug cisplatin or COX2 inhibitor celecoxib, its inhibition of COX2, BCL2, and p38 expression was enhanced. As₄S₄ and cisplatin synergistically stimulated p53, phosphor-p38 (p-p38), and increased cleaved caspase 3 (c-caspase 3).

Conclusion: As₄S₄ in combination with JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan or celecoxib showed enhanced cytotoxic effect on gastric and colon cancer cells, indicating the potential application of these novel drug combinations as part of treatment strategy that warrants further investigation. As₄S₄ and JQ1 demonstrate synergistic activation of p53 and inhibition of c-Myc. As₄S₄ and cisplatin and celecoxib activated multiple apoptosis pathways.
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Introduction
Arsenic compounds are important drugs that have been used in both People’s Republic of China and the Western world for more than 2,000 years. Arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) and arsenic sulfide (As₄S₄) were discovered to have excellent anti-leukemic activity especially against acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL).³⁻⁵ As₂O₃ has a synergistic effect when combined with all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA). The exact mechanism of such synergistic effect on APL remains incompletely understood, though it was discovered that their combined effect on inducing the degradation of promyelocytic leukemia protein most likely mediates the induction of cell differentiation and apoptosis.²⁻⁷ In chronic myelocytic leukemia, As₄S₄ seems to use a different mechanism by activating c-CBL, preventing its self-ubiquitination, therefore increasing its protein degradation activity against several oncogene products including some receptor tyrosine kinases.⁸

In our previous studies, we explored the anticancer effect and mechanism of As₄S₄ on a series of solid tumor cell lines, and showed that As₄S₄ possessed potent antitumor activities in solid tumors by inducing apoptosis.⁹,¹⁰ Meanwhile, we carried
out further studies with gastric cancer cells and showed that
the mechanism of $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ induced apoptosis both in vitro and
in vivo was associated with p53-dependent pathway.\textsuperscript{11} The
potent anti-APL effect of $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$ and ATRA combination led
us to ask if $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ could exert enhanced cytotoxic effect on
solid tumor cells when combined with other distinct agents.
We also sought to understand the mechanism of arsenic’s
cytotoxic activity in solid tumor cells through studying its
interaction with other agents.

$\text{JQ1}$ is an inhibitor of epigenetic modifier protein BRD4.
BRD4 is a member of the classic BET family which contains
BRD2, BRD3, and BRDT.\textsuperscript{12-14} BRD4 is a transcriptional
regulator that recruits transcriptional regulatory complex
to the acetylated chromatin to control the expression of an
array of proteins including c-Myc.\textsuperscript{15} JQ1 was found to be a
potent BRD4 inhibitor and has been shown to have excellent
inhibitory activity in myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia
cells.\textsuperscript{16,17} It potently inhibits c-Myc expression.\textsuperscript{15}

Cisplatin and irinotecan are important chemotherapy
agents that have broad cytotoxic activity in many malignan-
cies including testicular cancer, lung cancer, ovarian cancer,
head and neck cancer, gastric and colorectal cancer, etc.\textsuperscript{18}
Cisplatin interacts with DNA to form DNA adducts therefore
blocking DNA replication and causing apoptosis.\textsuperscript{15} It acti-
vates p53 as well as many other tumor suppressor genes.\textsuperscript{18}
Irinotecan is a topoisomerase I inhibitor that is particularly
active for colorectal cancer and is often used as first or second
line alone or in combination with 5-fluorouracil.\textsuperscript{19}

Celecoxib is a COX2 inhibitor and has been shown to
prevent colorectal polyps.\textsuperscript{20-23} It potently inhibits COX2
enzymatic activity and reduces the level of inflammatory
prostaglandin.\textsuperscript{17-19} It can inhibit the cell growth of colorectal,
gastric, and liver cancer cells and has been shown in clini-
cal trials to decrease the size and the numbers of polyps in
patients with familiar adenomatous polyposis.\textsuperscript{23-26} It also has
inhibitory activity in several other cancer cell types.\textsuperscript{27,28}

In this study we aimed to investigate the synergistic effect
of $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ with $\text{JQ1}$, cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib, four
very distinct agents that have unique molecular mechanisms
and regulate diverse signaling pathways. We chose $\text{JQ1}$
because of its promising anticancer property and novel mecha-
nism. We chose cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib because
of their well-established clinical utility in gastrointestinal
malignancies. We found that $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ exerts synergistic or
enhanced cytotoxic activity in gastric and colon cancer cell
lines when combined with $\text{JQ1}$, cisplatin, irinotecan as well as
celecoxib. In addition, $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ uses a distinct mechanism
to carry out its activity by regulating multiple apoptotic
signaling pathways including p53, c-Myc, BAX, and
p38 pathways, in a synergistic manner with the four agents.
$\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ and $\text{JQ1}$ synergistically activate the expression of p53
and inhibit c-Myc. These novel combinations of the drugs
with distinct mechanisms may have potential for clinical
applications in the future and warrant further studies.

Materials and methods
Cell lines, chemical agents
The human gastric cancer AGS (harboring wild-type p53),
MGC803 (harboring mutant-type p53), human colon cancer
HCT116 (harboring wild-type p53), and SW480 (harboring
mutant-type p53) cell lines were purchased from the
Cell Bank of the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese
Academy of Sciences (Institute of Biochemistry and Cell
Biology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, People’s Republic
of China). No ethics statement was required from the
institutional review board for the use of these cell lines.
AGS cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s
Medium/F-12 1:1 (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), MGC803
cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute
1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA,
USA), and SW480 and HCT116 cells were cultured in
Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium at 37°C and 5%
$\text{CO}_2$, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo
Fisher Scientific). Highly purified realgar which is the
natural mineral form of $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ was supplied by the Shanghai
Institute of Hematology (Shanghai, People’s Republic of
China), and was dissolved in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered
saline (DPBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), sterilized by
filtration. The concentration of $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ stock solution
was 277.2496 µM after the test of inductively coupled plasma
atomic emission spectrometry. Then, the stock solution was
stored at 4°C and diluted to the appropriate concentration
by DPBS to obtain a working solution. The concrete methods
about preparation and purity analysis of $\text{As}_2\text{S}_4$ were per-
formed as previously described.\textsuperscript{11} $\text{JQ1}$ was purchased from
BPS bioscience (San Diego, CA, USA). Cisplatin, manu-
factured by Hansoh Pharmaceutical (Lianyungang, Jiangsu,
People’s Republic of China), and irinotecan, manufactured by
Pfizer, Inc. (New York, NY, USA) were obtained from
Xin Hua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University
School of Medicine (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China).
Celecoxib was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston,
TX, USA). The compounds of cisplatin and irinotecan were
dissolved in normal saline, and the compounds of $\text{JQ1}$ and
celecoxib were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-
Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). The anti-Bcl-2, anti-Bax,
anti-c-Myc, anti-p38, anti-phosphor-p38, and anti-cleaved
caspase 3 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA), anti-BRD4 and anti-cyclin D1 from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA), anti-COX2 and anti-β-actin antibody from Proteintech Group, Inc (Wuhan, Hubei, People’s Republic of China). Anti-p53, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) powder were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

Cell viability assay
The effect of \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) combined with JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib on gastric and colon cancer cell proliferation was assessed using MTT assay. The MTT assay was performed as previously described.\(^\text{10}\) AGS, MGC803, HCT116, and SW480 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5×10\(^3\) cells/well and incubated overnight. On the following day, cells were incubated with different concentrations of reagents for 24 or 48 hours, respectively. In our previous study, we found that the moderate dose of \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) is 1.0–1.5 \( \mu \text{M} \) for AGS and MGC803 cells.\(^\text{11}\) And the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) values of HCT116 cells when treated with \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) for 24 hours was 12.13 \( \mu \text{M} \). The concentration of JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib were determined according to the results of our preliminary experiment which was conducted by referencing the existing literature.\(^\text{29–33}\) Subsequently, 10 \( \mu \text{L} \) MTT solution (5 mg/mL) was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. After that, 150 \( \mu \text{L} \) SDS solution (10% concentration) was added to each well to dissolve the formazan at 37°C overnight. The proliferation-inhibitory effects of each combination were assessed using a microplate reader (Bio-TEK, Winooski, VT, USA) at 562 nm. Data were analyzed based on three independent experiments and the relative cell viability was expressed as the percentage of untreated well.

Western blotting analysis
Western blotting analysis was performed as previously described.\(^\text{11}\) After drug treatment for 24 hours, cells were washed with PBS buffer and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay Lysis Buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) containing 1% dilution of the phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Beyotime) on ice. Protein concentration was determined by bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Beyotime) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Equal amounts of protein samples (30–50 \( \mu \text{g} \)) were separated by 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). After blocking in 5% non-fat milk, the membranes were incubated with the specific primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Then, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase labeled goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 hour. Finally, proteins were detected with an Immobilon Western Chemilum HRP Substrate kit (Millipore) and were semi-quantified by Image Lab software (version 3.0; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). β-actin was used as a control for equal loading of samples.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 19.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). All data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Data analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance, followed by either the least significant difference procedure (if variance was equal) or the Games–Howell procedure (if variance was unequal). Tukey’s post hoc test was used for multiple group comparisons and Student’s \( t \)-test was used for single comparisons. A two-sided \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results
JQ1 enhanced the cytotoxic effect of \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) in gastric and colon cancer cells
Because \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) alone has modest activity against solid tumors we sought to identify agents that can enhance \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \)’s cytotoxicity and increase its efficacy. JQ1 is an experimental drug that has shown excellent activities against multiple myeloma cells and acute myeloid leukemia cells in pre-clinical studies.\(^\text{15–17}\) However, there are little data on its activity in solid tumors. We first tested whether \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) and JQ1 have an enhanced effect on the cell killing in gastric and colon cancer cells. As shown in Figure 1A, using AGS gastric cancer cell line, \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) at 1.5 \( \mu \text{M} \) caused approximately 63% reduction of cell growth compared to the untreated control after 48 hours, while JQ1 at 1.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) caused approximately 40% reduction, indicating both agents have modest cytotoxic activity against AGS cells. JQ1 at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) did not seem to increase cell killing compared to 1.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) and even at 20 \( \mu \text{M} \) the cell killing effect was not significantly increased, indicating JQ1 at 1.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) showed maximum cell growth inhibition in AGS cells. When \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) was combined with JQ1 in 1.0, 10 or 20 \( \mu \text{M} \), a synergistic effect on cell killing was observed, with more than 80% inhibition of cell growth, indicating \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) and JQ1 may be an effective combination in gastric cancer cells. We next examined a different gastric cancer cell line, MGC803. As shown in Figure 1B, \( \text{As}_3\text{S}_4 \) at 1.0 \( \mu \text{M} \) caused approximately 40% inhibition of cell growth in 48 hours while JQ1 showed approximately 45% inhibition. The combination of these two agents together showed approximately 60% inhibition,
indicating that in MGC803 gastric cancer cells the combined inhibitory effect of As$_4$S$_4$ and JQ1 is significantly superior to either agent alone.

We then tested this combination in colon cancer cell lines. In SW480 cells, either As$_4$S$_4$ or JQ1 showed a modest growth inhibitory effect and when both agents were combined, a modest but significantly enhanced effect was observed (Figure 1C). However, in HCT116 cells, the combined cytotoxic effect was much more pronounced. As shown in Figure 1D, as single agent, As$_4$S$_4$ at 5.0 µM showed a modest 15% inhibition of cell growth after 24 hours of treatment and JQ1 showed a 20% inhibition at 1.0, 10 or 20 µM.
When \( \text{As}_4 \) was combined with JQ1 in all three concentrations, a synergistic effect was observed and the synergy was more pronounced with 10 and 20 \( \mu \text{M} \) of JQ1. We tested this combination in HCT116 cells for 48 hours and observed a similar result (Figure 1E). These data indicate that \( \text{As}_4 \) and JQ1 combination enhanced cell killing in both gastric and colon cancer cells, however, the combined effect appears to be more pronounced in the p53 wild type cells (AGS and HCT116) than in p53 mutant cells (MGC803 and SW480).

### \( \text{As}_4 \) enhanced the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin or irinotecan in gastric and colon cancer cells

We selected two commonly used and important chemotherapy drugs cisplatin and irinotecan to test their combined effect with \( \text{As}_4 \). Both chemotherapy drugs exert distinct mechanism yet share broad activity against multiple malignancies including gastric and colon cancer.\(^{18,19}\) As shown in Figure 2A, cisplatin at 7.5 \( \mu \text{M} \) caused approximately 40\% inhibition of cell growth in AGS cells in 24 hours, when combined with \( \text{As}_4 \) at 1.5 \( \mu \text{M} \), this cell killing effect was significantly enhanced to approximately 60\%. When tested with 48 hours of treatment, the combined effect was even more pronounced (Figure 2B). A similar synergistic effect was observed in MGC803 cells (Figure 2C and D). These data indicate that arsenic and cisplatin have synergistic cell killing effects and may be an active regimen for further studies.

We further tested this combination in SW480 and HCT116 cells. As shown in Figure 2E and F, both \( \text{As}_4 \) and cisplatin as single agent showed a modest inhibitory effect on SW480 cells and the combined effect was also modestly increased in the 48-hour experiment (Figure 2F). In HCT116 cells, \( \text{As}_4 \) and cisplatin as single agent showed a modest but more pronounced effect and their combination showed a synergistic effect in both 24- and 48-hour experiments (Figure 2G and H). These results again implicated mutant p53 as possible cause of drug resistance.

We tested whether \( \text{As}_4 \) and another important chemotherapy agent irinotecan could have a synergistic cytotoxic killing effect. In our study, when AGS cells were treated with low concentration irinotecan (50 \( \mu \text{M} \)) for 24 hours, there was approximately 40\% reduction of cell growth, and when \( \text{As}_4 \) and irinotecan were combined, the inhibition of the cell growth was approximately 60\%. Similar but more pronounced results were obtained with the treatment of AGS cells for 48 hours (data not shown). These results indicate that \( \text{As}_4 \) has a broad synergistic cell killing effect with chemotherapy agents.

### \( \text{As}_4 \) enhanced the inhibitory effect of celecoxib in colon cancer cells

We sought to test if \( \text{As}_4 \) could enhance the inhibitory effect of COX2 inhibitor celecoxib in gastric and colon cancer cells. Celecoxib has been shown in several pre-clinical studies to have activities in reducing polyps and cell growth and is being studied for chemoprevention in several clinical trials currently.\(^{25,26}\) As shown in Figure 3A, celecoxib showed modest inhibition of cell growth in MGC803 cells, but its effect was enhanced by \( \text{As}_4 \). We then tested its combination in SW480 and HCT116 colon cancer cells and found similar enhancement in cell growth inhibition (Figure 3B–D). Again, the combined effect appeared more modest in SW480 cells (Figure 3B) but much more pronounced in HCT116 cells especially in the 48-hour experiment (Figure 3D). These data indicate that arsenic can enhance the cell growth inhibitory effect of celecoxib and may share similar targets such as COX2.

### \( \text{As}_4 \) and JQ1 synergistically inhibit BRD4 and c-Myc and activate p53

To understand the mechanism underlying the synergistic effect of \( \text{As}_4 \) and JQ1, we performed Western blot to investigate such combination on the expression of several key proteins targeted by these two agents. As shown in Figure 4A and B, \( \text{As}_4 \) and JQ1 both showed inhibition of BRD4 and c-Myc expression, however, when both agents were combined a synergistic inhibition of both BRD4 and c-Myc was observed. \( \text{As}_4 \) stimulated p53 expression while JQ1 showed only modest effect (Figure 4C and D). However, when both agents were combined, p53 expression was much more enhanced (Figure 4C and D). These data indicate that \( \text{As}_4 \) and JQ1 likely share similar molecular targets that lead to the inhibition of c-Myc expression and the stimulation of p53.

### \( \text{As}_4 \) with cisplatin and celecoxib inhibit COX2 expression and activate apoptosis pathways

We found that \( \text{As}_4 \) alone pronouncedly inhibited expression of COX2 enzyme and cyclin D1 (Figure 5A and B). The inhibition of COX2 expression was enhanced by cisplatin and celecoxib (Figure 5C and D). We also found that \( \text{As}_4 \) and cisplatin or celecoxib synergistically inhibited expression of
Figure 2 Cytoxic effect of As$_2$S$_3$ in combination with cisplatin on gastric and colon cancer cells.

Notes: (A and B) AGS cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 1.5 µM alone or in combination with cisplatin 7.5 µg/mL for 24 and 48 hours. (C and D) MGC803 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 1 µM alone or in combination with cisplatin 2 µg/mL for 24 and 48 hours. (E and F) SW480 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 5 µM alone or in combination with cisplatin 7.5 µg/mL for 24 and 48 hours. (G and H) HCT116 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 5 µM alone or in combination with cisplatin 7.5 µg/mL for 24 and 48 hours. Data represent the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments and the relative cell viability was expressed as the percentage of untreated well. The data represent one of three experiments with similar results. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: As$_2$S$_3$, arsenic sulfide; h, hours.
Figure 3 Cytotoxic effect of As$_2$S$_3$ in combination with celecoxib on MGC803 and HCT116 cells.

Notes: (A) MGC803 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 1 µM alone or in combination with celecoxib 30 µM for 48 hours. (B) SW480 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 5 µM alone or in combination with celecoxib 10 µM for 48 hours; (C and D) HCT116 cells were treated with As$_2$S$_3$ 5 µM alone or in combination with celecoxib 10 µM for 24 and 48 hours. Data represent the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments and the relative cell viability was expressed as the percentage of untreated well. The data represent one of three experiments with the similar results. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: As$_2$S$_3$, arsenic sulfide; h, hours.

Figure 4 (Continued)
Figure 4 As$_{2}$S$_{3}$ and JQ1 synergistically inhibit BRD4, c-Myc and activate p53.

Notes: (A) Western blot analysis of BRD4 and c-Myc of HCT116 treated with 5 µM As$_{2}$S$_{3}$ and/or 1 or 10 µM JQ1 for 24 hours. (B) Relative quantitation and statistical analysis of protein expression in the Western blot in (A). (C) Western blot analysis of p53 of HCT116 cells treated with 5 µM As$_{2}$S$_{3}$ and/or 1 or 10 µM JQ1 for 24 hours. β-actin was used as a loading control. (D) Relative quantitation and statistical analysis of protein expression in the Western blot in (C). **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Abbreviation: As$_{2}$S$_{3}$, arsenic sulfide.
Figure 5: As$_2$S$_3$ combined therapy in gastric and colon cancer

As$_2$S$_3$ combined therapy in gastric and colon cancer

Notes: (A) Anti-COX2, anti-cyclin D1 Western blot analysis of HCT116 cells treated with 5 µM As$_2$S$_3$ for 0, 2, 6, 12, and 24 hours. (B) Relative quantitation and statistical analysis of protein expression in the Western blot in (A). (C) Western blot analysis of AGS cells treated with 1.5 µM As$_2$S$_3$ and/or 7.5 µg/mL cisplatin and/or 20 µM celecoxib for 24 hours. Antibodies used in the blotting are indicated. (D) Relative quantitation and statistical analysis of protein expression in the Western blot in (C). (E) Western blot analysis of AGS cells treated with 1.5 µM As$_2$S$_3$ and/or 7.5 µg/mL cisplatin for 24 hours. Antibodies used in the blotting are indicated. (F) Relative quantitation and statistical analysis of protein expression in the Western blot in (E). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: As$_2$S$_3$, arsenic sulfide; h, hours; p-p38, phosphor-p38; c-caspase 3, cleaved caspase 3.
As2O3 is an important drug for treating APL. Its combination with ATRA has shown a synergistic effect in inducing APL cell differentiation and cell killing, leading to significantly better patient survival and cure rates. Here, we have shown that As2S4, another important member of arsenic compounds with a similar cytotoxic effect to As2O3, can be combined with JQ1, a novel BRD4 inhibitor, or chemotherapy drugs cisplatin and irinotecan, or COX2 inhibitor celecoxib to enhance its cell killing effect. JQ1 alone showed an impressive growth inhibition effect in both gastric and colon cancer cell lines. As2S4 combination with JQ1 showed an excellent synergistic effect in cell killing. Such combination is particularly interesting as JQ1 is a new agent that targets epigenetic modifier BRD4 and has been shown to be a potent inhibitor of multiple myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia cells. This combination may have excellent potential as a combination therapy strategy in the future in solid tumors and warrants further investigation in multiple myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia as well. Their synergistic inhibitory effects on c-Myc, a potent universal amplifier of gene transcription, indicate that the synergistic inhibition of cell growth is a sustainable effect. Their synergistic activation of p53 expression indicates that JQ1 may participate in modifying p53 pathway, in addition to inhibiting c-Myc. As2S4 and JQ1 combination may be particularly effective in malignant hematologic disorders such as APL, acute myelogenous leukemia, and multiple myeloma and this investigation is currently underway in our laboratory.

As2S4 also showed excellent activity when combined with chemotherapy drug cisplatin and irinotecan, two important drugs in gastric and colon cancer. As2S4 showed an enhanced cell killing effect of cisplatin and irinotecan indicating its potential in clinical utility in these cancers. Though cisplatin and irinotecan have reasonable cytotoxic activity in gastric and colorectal cancer, as a single agent their efficacy is still limited. The combination regimens such as EOX (epirubicin, oxaliplatin, and capecitabine), ECF (epirubicin, cisplatin, and 5-fluorouracil infusion), FOLFOX (5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin, and leucovorin), and FOLFOXIRI (5-fluorouracil, irinotecan, oxaliplatin, and leucovorin) have much better activity and produce significantly higher response rate in gastric, colorectal, or pancreatic cancer. The combination of As2S4 and chemotherapy agents may potentially provide better response activity for cancer of the gastrointestinal tract.

Celecoxib is a unique COX2 inhibitor that blocks the synthesis of inflammatory prostaglandins and therefore suppresses the growth stimulatory effect of these cytokines. Some studies found that celecoxib had a synergistic antitumor effect in combination with several other chemotherapeutic agents. Colon cancer is known to be associated with chronic inflammation and its development is much increased in patients with ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease. As2S4 showed inhibition of COX2 expression which was also enhanced by the addition of cisplatin and celecoxib (Figure 5A–D), indicating that they share similar targets and growth inhibition effect. As2S4 and cisplatin or celecoxib showed activity in activating multiple apoptosis pathways including p53, BAX, and p38 (Figure 5C–F). These results indicate that arsenic has broad activity in inhibiting several growth-promoting signaling pathways while stimulating apoptosis to suppress cell growth and enhance cell killing.

In conclusion, our results have shown that As2S4 and JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib showed a consistently less potent inhibitory effect in both MGC803 and SW480 cell lines that harbor a p53 mutant, indicating that p53 mutation likely confers drug resistance to these cell lines.

In conclusion, our results have shown that As2S4 can be combined with JQ1, cisplatin, irinotecan, and celecoxib to inhibit cell growth and enhance cell killing in gastric and colon cancer cells and some of these novel combinations may have potential clinical applications in the future and warrant further studies including in vivo investigations. The As2S4 and JQ1 combination is particularly interesting and we are currently investigating its role in malignant hematologic disorders.
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