Intravitreal ranibizumab as a primary or a combined treatment for severe retinopathy of prematurity
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Purpose: The aim of the study was to assess the outcomes of severe retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in zone I or posterior zone II treated with intravitreal ranibizumab (IVR) as monotherapy or combined treatment with laser photocoagulation.

Methods: This is a retrospective study analyzing clinical records of the included patients. Patients were divided into two groups: group 1 included patients who received only IVR treatment; and group 2 was subdivided into group 2A – including patients with IVR as initial treatment and complementary laser photocoagulation if retinal neovascularization or plus disease did not regress, and group 2B – including patients with initial laser photocoagulation and IVR as rescue therapy. Favorable outcomes were regression of the retinal neovascularization and plus disease, meaning control of the disease. Unfavorable outcomes were progression to stages 4 and 5 of ROP.

Results: Fifty-seven eyes were included in the study. Mean birth weight and gestational age were 1,281 ± 254 g and 29.5 ± 2.1 weeks, respectively. Group 1 comprised of 16 eyes, with favorable outcomes in 14 eyes (87.5%). Group 2 comprised of 41 eyes, with favorable outcomes in 29 eyes (70.7%), in a mean follow-up period of 12.8 months.

Conclusion: IVR was effective to treat severe cases of ROP as a primary or a combined treatment. Forty-three of the 57 treated eyes (75.4%) achieved regression of ROP and favorable outcomes.
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Introduction

Aggressive posterior retinopathy of prematurity (AP-ROP) is an uncommon, severe, and rapidly progressing presentation of ROP. 1

AP-ROP, when not promptly diagnosed and treated, can progress to retinal detachment and blindness (stage 4 or 5 of ROP). 2 3 AP-ROP, usually, occurs in more immature or sicker babies with extremely low birth weight (BW) as described in North America or Western European countries, as well as in bigger babies in Latin American, East European, or Asian countries. 4

Laser photocoagulation remains the gold standard for the treatment of severe ROP in threshold or in type 1 prethreshold diseases according to the randomized trials Cryo-ROP and ETROP, 5 6 but the anatomical and visual results of laser or cryotherapy in patients with severe ROP affecting zone I or posterior zone II are usually unfavorable. 7 8 9 10 11

The role of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the pathogenesis of ROP is well defined. 12 13 14 15 Currently, bevacizumab is the most studied drug for ROP...
treatment with encouraging results and very few ocular- or systemic-related complications. A prospective, randomized, multicenter trial (BEAT-ROP) assessed the efficacy of bevacizumab monotherapy in the treatment of premature patients with ROP in zone I or posterior zone II compared with conventional laser therapy. The study concluded that intravitreal bevacizumab monotherapy showed a significant benefit for zone I but not for zone II disease, as compared with laser photoacoagulation.

There are pharmacokinetic differences between bevacizumab and ranibizumab, which may be important for safety in premature infants undergoing organogenesis. Despite the advantages related to ranibizumab when compared with bevacizumab for use in preterm infants with very low or with extremely low BW, there are a few studies on this drug for the treatment of severe cases of ROP.

This study aims at analyzing the clinical records of patients with AP-ROP in zone I and posterior zone II and severe ROP 3 plus in posterior zone II treated with intravitreal ranibizumab (IVR) as monotherapy or as a combined treatment and reports the results of the treatment.

Methods
Study design
This is an institutional-based retrospective study conducted from July 2009 to June 2012 at the University Hospital of Maracaibo, Venezuela.

Patients
The study included all preterm infants with AP-ROP, defined according to the International Classification of ROP revisited from 2005, as well as preterm infants with severe ROP 3 plus who were treated with IVR in the Institution. There were no exclusion criteria.

Ophthalmological examination and interventions
All patients were evaluated preoperatively by binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy and by fundus retinal documentation with the RetCam Imaging System (Clarity Medical Systems, Pleasanton, CA, USA). BW, gestational age (GA), classification of ROP in stages, zones, extension and severity, postconceptional age (PCA) at presentation, and PCA at treatment were registered.

Anti-VEGF therapy with IVR was performed in both eyes simultaneously. The injections of 0.25 mg (0.025 mL) of ranibizumab were injected at 1.5 mm posterior to the corneal limbus. A disposable 1 mL syringe with a 30 G needle was used to treat all eyes. Preoperatively, Betadine was applied for cutaneous asepsis in all patients. All the injections were administered in the surgical room under topical anesthesia with proparacaine eye drops. After administering IVR injection, eye drops of antibiotic and steroids were used every 6 hours for 1 week.

Diode laser photoacoagulation (Solitaire; Ellex Medical Pty Ltd, Adelaide, SA, Australia), 0.15 seconds, and 110 mW power, was applied in all quadrants (360°) from the posterior avascular retina to the ora serrata in a near confluent pattern (laser burns of less than one-half burn width apart). Laser photoacoagulation was performed in the surgical room under general anesthesia or sedation.

Treatment criteria for initial IVR therapy were ROP location in zone I or posterior zone II and severity of ROP (severity of plus disease, pupillary rigidity and lack of pupillary dilation, or occurrence of vitreous hemorrhage not allowing laser photoacoagulation). PCA at presentation was also considered for appropriate therapeutic approach.

Patients were included in one of the two groups: group 1 included patients who received only one IVR injection; and group 2, subdivided into groups 2A and 2B, included patients who received combined treatment with only one IVR injection and laser photoacoagulation (group 2A received IVR as initial treatment followed by laser photoacoagulation after 3 weeks if retinal neovascularization or plus disease did not regress; and group 2B, received initial laser photoacoagulation and IVR as rescue therapy after failure of the laser treatment defined by the presence of persistent plus disease, progression of retinal neovascularization, or persistence of vitreous hemorrhage).

Outcomes evaluated and follow-up
Favorable outcomes were considered regression of the AP-ROP after treatment (regression of retinal neovascularization and plus disease, meaning control of the disease), and unfavorable outcomes were progression to stages 4 and 5 of ROP. Follow-up evaluations were performed at 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months, or more after treatment.

Ocular or systemic adverse effects registered
Short- and long-term (after 1-year follow-up) ocular adverse effects were registered as signs of intraocular inflammation or endophthalmitis, intraocular pressure elevation, and secondary cataract formation. Systemic adverse effects were closely monitored during perioperative and postoperative periods in
the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, including continuous monitoring of blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and heart rates. Respiratory and cardiologic frequencies, and urinary or allergic side effects (rash or bronchospasm), were also monitored.

**Ethical aspects**
The Research Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Maracaibo approved the study protocol, and it was consistent with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, 1995 (revised in Edinburgh in 2000). The parents or their representatives have signed a consent form before the treatment.

**Results**
Twenty-nine patients (57 eyes) were included in the study. AP-ROP in zone I or in posterior zone II was diagnosed in 26 patients (51 eyes) and severe ROP 3 plus (threshold ROP) in posterior zone II was diagnosed in three patients (six eyes). Seventeen patients were female. Mean GA at birth was 29.4±2.2 weeks (range: 25–33 weeks), BW was 1,272±255 g (range: 900–1,900 g), PCA at ROP diagnosis was 36±2.7 weeks, and PCA at treatment was 37.7±2.6 weeks (Table 1).

Group 1 comprised 16 eyes from eight patients (patients treated only once with IVR); and group 2 comprised 41 eyes from 21 patients (patients who received combined treatment with IVR and laser photocoagulation). In group 1, a total of 14 eyes (seven patients) achieved favorable outcomes after the use of only one IVR injection in each eye (87.5%). It was remarkable that all the eyes that achieved favorable outcomes in group 1 remained with peripheral avascular retina up to 6 months after treatment (Figure 1A–C). Two eyes of the same patient in group 1 had unfavorable outcomes with progression to ROP stage 4B in one eye and ROP stage 5 in the fellow eye after 3 weeks (Table 2).

In group 2, 29 eyes (15 patients) achieved favorable outcomes after combined treatment (70.7%). Twelve eyes in group 2 (29.3%) developed progression of ROP to stage 4 or 5 with unfavorable outcomes, after a mean of 6 weeks. Thirteen eyes (group 2A) received IVR as initial treatment, and after plus disease reactivation, they were treated with laser photocoagulation in zones II and III, but not in zone I. There were no unfavorable outcomes in patients included in group 2A. Twenty-eight eyes (group 2B) received laser photocoagulation as initial treatment and IVR was used after laser failure. Twelve eyes of group 2B had unfavorable outcomes (42.8%; Table 2).

The mean follow-up period was 12.8 months. No short- or long-term ocular or systemic adverse effects were registered in this cohort of 29 treated patients.

**Discussion**
The characteristic features of AP-ROP are its posterior location (zone I or posterior zone II), prominence of plus disease with flat neovascularization, retinal hemorrhages at the junction between the vascularized and avascular retina, intraretinal shunting without ridge tissue formation, and ill-defined nature of the retinopathy without progression through classic stages 1–3 of ROP.

Bevacizumab is a full-length antibody that contains the fraction of neonatal Fc (FcRn) receptor while ranibizumab does not contain this particular factor. Earlier studies have reported that the trans-retinal penetration varies with the molecular weight of the diffusing agent and the blood–brain barrier contains the FcRn immunoglobulin G (IgG) receptor/transporter that is necessary to permit the passage of the intravitreal drug through the retinal barrier achieving extraocular penetration. The authors suggest that bevacizumab escapes from the vitreous into the systemic circulation and reduces the systemic VEGF concentrations in ROP infants. Ranibizumab does not contain the fraction of FcRn, and it is supposed that IVR does not achieve significant extraocular concentration after use. Ranibizumab, as a smaller molecule, represents greater activity with less half-life in the vitreous as compared with the bevacizumab (2.88 days versus 4.32 days, respectively). This study reports a cohort of 29 preterm infants with mean BW and GA of 1,272±255 g (range: 900–1,900 g) and 29.4±2.2 weeks (range: 25–33 weeks), respectively. These characteristics of BW and GA are representative for cohorts of patients originated from the Latin American countries, which comprised bigger and more mature babies.

| Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the included patients and PCA at treatment |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Group 1 IVR                              | 8             | 16             | 28.1±3.8       | 1,329±328      | 36.6±1.9       |
| Group 2 IVR + laser                      | 21            | 41             | 29.9±2.0       | 1,214±229      | 38.2±3.0       |
| Total                                    | 29            | 57             | 29.4±2.2       | 1,272±255      | 37.7±2.6       |

**Abbreviations:** PCA, postconceptional age; GA, gestational age; BW, birth weight; SD, standard deviation; IVR, intravitreal ranibizumab.
developing severe ROP than the cohorts of patients related in USA, Canada, or Western European countries, usually restricted to smaller and more immature babies developing severe ROP. In developing countries, missed ROP screening, lack of awareness of risk factors for ROP, and unmonitored supplemental oxygen in many less equipped Neonatal Intensive Care Units are some of the causative factors for this difference. It appears that better results observed in group 1 might be influenced by this variable.

Laser therapy is effective for the treatment of severe forms of ROP, as prethreshold type 1 ROP, threshold ROP, and in ~50% of the patients treated with AP-ROP, but laser always damages the peripheral retina. Anti-VEGF therapy does not produce peripheral retinal ablation and may help to maintain a normal retinal function. However, there are many concerns with the anti-VEGF therapy in such at-risk population regarding the possibility of long-term systemic complications because organogenesis is occurring during the same period when ROP should be treated.

Serum concentrations of bevacizumab and VEGF were reported by Sato et al in infants who were treated with

### Table 2 Results of treatment according to groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of included eyes</th>
<th>Favorable outcomes, n eyes (%)</th>
<th>Unfavorable outcomes, n eyes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 IVR</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14 (87.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 IVR + laser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2A: initial IVR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2B: initial laser</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16 (57.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43 (75.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** IVR, intravitreal ranibizumab.
0.5–1.0 mg of intravitreal bevacizumab for severe ROP. The authors suggested that bevacizumab escapes from the vitreous into the systemic circulation and reduces the VEGF concentrations in ROP infants. Ranibizumab is a different molecule, and it is supposed that this drug does not achieve significant extraocular concentration after the intravitreal use. In animal models, no ranibizumab was detected in the serum or on the fellow untreated eye, whereas small amounts of intravitreal bevacizumab have been detected in the serum and in the fellow uninjected eye. Ranibizumab, as a smaller molecule, represents greater activity with less half-life in the vitreous as compared with bevacizumab.

In adults, Carneiro et al reported similar efficacy of ranibizumab and bevacizumab but with the advantage that it does not alter systemic VEGF levels. This is a very important point to be considered for the use of IVR in preterm babies under organogenesis period, but despite of these apparent advantages on pharmacokinetics related to ranibizumab, when compared with bevacizumab for the use in premature infants, this drug has only recently been used for the treatment of severe presentations of ROP.

In this study, IVR injections were used in 57 eyes and a regression of plus disease and retinal neovascularization was registered in 43 of the treated eyes. This represents 75.4% of favorable outcomes. Fourteen eyes had unfavorable evolution after treatment and progressed to stage 4B or 5 of ROP. Sixteen eyes were included in group 1 and 14 eyes achieved favorable outcomes (87.5%). It was remarkable that all eyes that achieved favorable outcomes in the IVR group remained with avascular peripheral retina up to 6 months after treatment. These findings are according to previous reports on the use of bevacizumab.

Group 2 comprised 41 eyes. Twenty-nine eyes achieved favorable outcomes (70.7%) in the entire group. Thirteen eyes (group 2A) received IVR as initial treatment and, after failure, received rescue laser photocoagulation in zones II and III, but not in zone I. ROP reactivation after treatment with IVR was reported by Wong et al. The shorted life of ranibizumab justified it. Krohne et al determined an aqueous half-life of 7.19 days in humans. Zhou et al reported that IVR reduce plasma VEGF levels only for 1 week.

Twenty-eight eyes (group 2B) received laser photocoagulation by initial treatment and IVR was used after laser failure. It is important to mention that in group 2, better favorable outcomes were observed when patients received initial IVR injection and complementary laser treatment (group 2A – 100% of favorable outcomes) than in patients who were initially treated by laser photocoagulation followed by IVR (group 2B – 57.1% of favorable outcomes).

This study showed no complications related to the procedure itself, that is, neither systemic side effects nor adverse events. Delayed retinal vascularization after IVR injection was observed, as described in earlier studies, and thus demanding longer ophthalmological follow-up of those patients.

Further studies are necessary to evaluate safety and efficacy of new anti-VEGF drugs to minimize potential systemic long-term consequences and to evaluate retinal functions in preterm babies.

**Conclusion**

We reported favorable outcomes after the use of IVR, as monotherapy or combined with laser photocoagulation, to treat severe cases of AP-ROP in zone I and posterior zone II, as well as in severe ROP 3 plus in posterior zone II. Final favorable outcomes with regression of retinal neovascularization and control of the ROP were obtained in 43 eyes of the 57 treated eyes (75.4%). During the follow-up period, no ocular or systemic complications were registered in our patients. The shorter half-life of ranibizumab can be associated with the higher chance of reactivations or persistent avascular peripheral retina.
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