Autologous platelet concentrate in surgery for macular detachment associated with congenital optic disc pit
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Purpose: To evaluate the anatomical and functional results obtained with pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) plus autologous platelet concentrate (APC) as a treatment for macular detachment associated with optic disc pit (ODP).

Methods: We performed a prospective interventional study of 19 eyes of 19 consecutive patients with posterior macular detachment due to ODP. All patients underwent PPV, posterior hyaloid peeling, fluid–air exchange, injection of 0.05 mL of APC over the ODP and 15% perfluoropropane (C3F8) endotamponade. Postoperative measures included face-up positioning for 2 hours and then avoidance of the face-up position during the ensuing 10 days. All patients underwent complete ophthalmologic examination and optical coherence tomography preoperatively at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, and 12 months postoperatively and then annually. Outcome measures were best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) by logMAR, improvement of quality of vision, macular attachment, and resolution of intraretinal schisis-like separation.

Results: Preoperatively, the median BCVA was 0.70 (range: 0.30–1.70) and all patients showed improved visual acuity after surgery; BCVA was 0.22 (range: 0.07–0.52) at 12 months follow-up. All patients showed complete reabsorption of intraretinal fluid (median time: 3.5 months [range: 2–8 months]) and macular attachment at the end of follow-up (median: 60 months [range: 12–144 months]), with stable or improved visual acuity. No reoperations were needed and no major adverse events were recorded.

Conclusion: For macular detachment associated with ODP, the combination of PPV, posterior hyaloid peeling, APC, and C3F8 tamponade is a highly effective alternative technique with stable anatomical and functional results.
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Introduction
Optic disc pits (ODPs) are congenital anomalies of the optic nerve head, first described by Wiethe in 1882 as black cavities on the optic nerve head observed in one eye of a 62-year-old woman. The prevalence of ODP is estimated to be one in every 11,000 patients. In about 70% of cases, the ODPs are located on the temporal side of the disc and 85%–90% are unilateral. Visual acuity is usually unaffected unless the ODPs are associated with macular pathology, such as macular detachment, retinoschisis-like separation, outer layer macular hole, and retinal pigment epithelial atrophy. Macular detachment develops in one-third to two-thirds of patients, which may occur in childhood or later, the mean being at 30 years of age. Vascular abnormalities such as colobomas and epipapillary membranes may also be associated.
The complete pathogenesis of ODP-related maculopathy remains unclear. It is assumed that fluid passes through the ODP and enters the sensory retina creating a schisis-like separation. However, the source of the fluid is controversial. Two major possible sources have been proposed: vitreous cavity or cerebrospinal fluid. Other possible sources are abnormal vessels or vasculature with increased vascular permeability. Some authors have suggested a rhegmatogenous etiology with a small hole or tear in the membrane overlying the pit, which supports the notion that fluid leaks from the vitreous cavity. Based on this hypothesis, our therapeutic approach was to seal the theoretical communication between the vitreous cavity and the retina with autologous platelet concentrate (APC), which has been shown to promote tissue healing and successful results in macular hole surgery, in order to address the etiology of the maculopathy. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the anatomical and functional results obtained with pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) plus APC and gas tamponade as treatment of ODP-related maculopathy.

Methods

We performed a prospective interventional study of 19 eyes of 19 consecutive patients with macular detachment associated with congenital ODP, treated between January 2001 and January 2013 at Centro de Oftalmología Barraquer, Barcelona, Spain and Vissum Madrid, Madrid, Spain. All patients had a preoperative visual acuity of 20/40 or worse and no concomitant macular disease.

Preoperatively, we recorded the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) by logMAR, and intraocular pressure. All patients underwent anterior and posterior segment slit-lamp biomicroscopy, using a 90 diopter noncontact lens, and OCT were performed at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, and 12 months, and then annually (spectral-domain Cirrus or Stratus; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Outcome measures were BCVA, improvement in quality of vision, macular attachment, and resolution of schisis-like intraretinal separation assessed by OCT. BCVA and visual improvement data were statistically analyzed using SPSS version 17. Results are expressed as the median and range for continuous variables and as the frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. The Wilcoxon test for repeated measures, with 95% confidence intervals, was used to analyze pre- and postoperative BCVA.

Results

Nineteen patients (median age: 30 years; range: 13–62 years; ten women [52.6%]) were included in the present study. ODP and macular detachment were present in nine right eyes (47.4%) and ten left eyes (52.6%) (Table 1).

Preoperatively, all patients had decreased BCVA and 10/19 had associated metamorphopsia during a median of 3 months (range: 0.25–24 months). Three patients (cases 12, 13, and 14) had previous PPV, laser at the temporal edge of the optic nerve head, and gas tamponade as a first treatment of the ODP-related maculopathy without success. In the preoperative examination, all patients presented with macular detachment associated with ODP. One patient had complete posterior vitreous detachment (PVD), eight patients had incomplete PVD, and the remaining ten patients did not have PVD. The median BCVA was 0.70 (range: 0.30–1.70). The median refractive error was 0 diopters (range: −6.50 to +3.00). OCT showed macular detachment in all cases, with variable degrees of intraretinal schisis-like separation.
## Table 1 Pre- and postoperative characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Diopters</th>
<th>S duration (months)</th>
<th>PreBCVA</th>
<th>Pit location</th>
<th>IRS</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>OLMH</th>
<th>ILMD</th>
<th>PVD</th>
<th>PostBCVA</th>
<th>Reabsorption Follow-up</th>
<th>Follow-up BCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-4.50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-6.50</td>
<td>DVA 1/4</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+3.00</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>DVA 2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>DVA 1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+0.75</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>DVA 3</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>DVA 6</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>DVA 2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>DVA 8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td>DVA + Me</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+3.00</td>
<td>DVA 2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** +, Yes; -, no. Follow-up: time is expressed in months. Follow-up BCVA is the BCVA at the last examination.

**Abbreviations:** S, symptoms; PreBCVA, preoperative best corrected visual acuity by logMAR; IRS, intraretinal schisis; MD, macular detachment; OLMH, outer layer macular hole; ILMD, internal limiting membrane detachment; PVD, posterior vitreous detachment; PostBCVA, best corrected visual acuity 12 months after surgery; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; F, female; L, left; DVA, decreased visual acuity; Me, metamorphopsia; Ti, temporal inferior; M, male; T, temporal; R, right.
in 17/19 patients (89.5%). ILM detachment was not present in any case. Outer layer macular hole was present in 4/19 cases (21.1%). In two cases, OCT showed a communication between the vitreous cavity and the retina (Figure 1).

No complications or adverse events were recorded during surgery. Interestingly, in two cases, while the residual vitreous cavity fluid was being removed from the optic disc, the submacular fluid was aspirated through the ODP and the macula was reattached. It was in these two cases where communication between the vitreous cavity and the retina was seen on OCT. No iatrogenic lesions were recorded. Two patients had lens extraction plus intraocular lens implantation at the same surgical time.

The median follow-up was 60 months (range: 12–144 months). Postoperatively, all patients showed improved visual acuity after surgery. The median BCVA was 0.22 (range: 0.07–0.52) at 12-month follow-up, the difference being statistically significant ($P<0.05$) in comparison with preoperative values. BCVA remained stable in 7/19 cases (36.8%) and increased in 12/19 cases (63.2%) at our last follow-up.

OCT showed complete resolution of intraretinal schisis-like separation and macular detachment in all patients (Figure 2). Retinal fluid reabsorption time varied considerably, with a median of 3.5 months (range: 2–8 months).

**Figure 1** Optical coherence tomography showing the communication between the vitreous cavity and the retina (arrow) in two different cases. **Notes:** Submacular fluid was aspirated during the vitrectomy. (A) Case 12; (B) Case 17.

Minute defects in the outer segment of photoreceptors and/or the inner segment/outer segment lines were observed with high-resolution OCT in all cases.

None of the patients had sight-threatening complications during follow-up. One patient (case 11) presented with inferior exudative vitreous infiltrate, which disappeared 48 hours after surgery without repercussions. None of the patients needed reoperation during follow-up.

**Discussion**

ODP maculopathy usually manifests with macular detachment, resulting in visual loss. As reported by Lincoff et al, macular detachment is associated with a schisis-like separation of the internal retinal layers in almost 90% of cases. In our study, 17/19 cases presented this separation associated to macular detachment at the first examination. Moreover, four of the 19 cases also presented with an outer layer lamellar macular hole.

Although spontaneous retinal attachment has been described in 25% of cases, the treatment of nonresolved cases remains controversial and the results are not encouraging. The following therapeutic approaches have been reported: laser therapy, to produce a chorioretinal adhesion barrier at the optic disc border; intravitreal gas injection; PPV with or without laser; ILM peeling; glial tissue removal; and/or air/gas exchange or macular scleral buckling.

Initially, our group treated ODP-related macular detachment with PPV, a photoagulation barrier at the temporal optic disc border, plus gas. However, in our revision of 43 eyes, macular detachment persisted in almost 30% of cases, requiring additional photoagulation plus gas treatment. After this second treatment, almost 80% of patients presented with macular attachment. As a result of our poor rates, we developed a new technique based on our experience with APC in macular hole surgery. In this regard, our group has reported successful treatment of recurrent macular detachment secondary to optic nerve coloboma with an overlying retinal hole treated with APC. This lends support to the hypothesis of a rhegmatogenous etiology to explain submacular fluid associated with both optic nerve head coloboma and ODP.

The use of APC was first described by Rosenthal et al in a patient with persistent macular detachment due to ODP, after vitrectomy, posterior hyaloid removal, and gas tamponade, with good anatomical and functional results at 3 months of follow-up. However, their technique involved flute needle extraction of the subretinal fluid through the ODP, creating a communication between the vitreous and
the subretinal space. Based on the hypothetical rhegmatogenous etiology of ODP-related macular detachment, our technique involves posterior hyaloid peeling to eliminate vitreous–macular traction, and APC injection over the ODP to promote healing of the suspected communication between the ODP and the sensory retina. The possible existence of communication at the level of the ODP is related to epipapillary fibrous tissue traction and incomplete PVD, allowing liquefied vitreous to pass through the ODP and dissect the retina, causing macular detachment or squisis.5,16,17,38 As in some cases described by other authors,14–17 two of our patients presented with a break in the tissue overlying the ODP, and the vitreous remained attached in both cases, visualized before and during surgery. We believe that the use of APC with its mitogenic and chemotactic properties,39 associated with posterior hyaloid peeling to eliminate vitreomacular traction, favors the proliferative cellular response and closure of the suspected communication between the vitreous and the retina and, secondarily, promotes reabsorption of intra- and subretinal fluid.

Our technique resulted in improved visual acuity in all cases, with a median gain of four Snellen lines. It also produced stable anatomical and functional improvement during a follow-up period of more than 5 years, without reoperation being required. Such results were not previously obtained by our group with complete PPV, photocoagulation, and gas tamponade alone. Furthermore, reabsorption of intra- and subretinal fluid occurred progressively and was completed within a median time of 4.5 months, which is in contrast to reabsorption times of approximately 1 year reported for other techniques, albeit with good results, such as macular vitrectomy or scleral buckling.33,35 This supports the hypothesis of a rhegmatogenous etiology for ODP-related macular detachment, and the use of APC together with the release

Figure 2 Representative patient, case 17.

Notes: (A and B) Posterior segment photograph and OCT preoperatively and (C–F) postoperatively. (A) Fundus examination of the right eye presented an inferotemporal optic disc pit (black arrow) associated with macular detachment (white arrowheads) and an outer layer hole (white arrow). Preoperatively, the BCVA was 0.30 by logMAR. (B) OCT showed macular detachment (#) with inner retinoschisis separation (arrow) and a lamellar macular hole (open white arrow); note the optic disc pit (white arrow) and communication with the schisis. (D) Postoperatively, the retina showed progressive attachment. At 1 month after vitrectomy, the inner retinoschisis and the macular detachment decreased, and the lamellar macular hole disappeared. At 3 months, there was (E) residual inner retinoschisis and macular detachment with subretinal precipitates, and (C and F) at 6 months, the retina was attached without inner retinoschisis and defects of the inner segment/outer segment line (white open arrowheads), and the BCVA was 0.10 by logMAR.

Abbreviations: OCT, optical coherence tomography; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity.
of posterior pole traction allowed us to achieve excellent results.

**Conclusion**
In conclusion, our technique with APC to treat ODP-related macular detachment proved highly successful in terms of progressive and stable anatomical and functional improvement. As a promising alternative approach to conventional surgery, it appears to address the etiology of the problem. However, the limited sample size means that this technique requires prospective multicenter studies to confirm its effectiveness.

**Acknowledgment**
The study was performed at Centro de Oftalmología Barraque, Barcelona, Spain and Vissum Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

**Author contributions**
All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript submitted.

**Disclosure**
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**