Exposure to general anesthesia and the risk of dementia
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Abstract: Exposure to anesthesia and surgery has been hypothesized to increase the risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease (AD). While the exact pathogenesis of AD remains unknown, it potentially involves specific proteins (eg, amyloid beta and tau) and neuroinflammation. A growing body of preclinical evidence also suggests that anesthetic agents interact with the components that mediate AD neuropathology at multiple levels. However, it remains unclear whether anesthesia and surgery are associated with an increased risk of AD in humans. To date, there have not been randomized controlled trials to provide evidence for such a causal relationship. Besides, observational studies showed inconsistent results. A meta-analysis of 15 case–control studies revealed no statistically significant association between general anesthesia and the development of AD (pooled odds ratio [OR] =1.05; P=0.43). However, a few retrospective cohort studies have demonstrated that exposure to anesthesia and surgery is associated with an increased risk of AD. Thus, well-designed studies with longer follow-up periods are still needed to define the role of anesthesia in relation to the development of AD.
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Introduction
Dementia is becoming increasingly prevalent among the elderly population. In 2001, ~24 million people worldwide were afflicted with dementia, and that number is estimated to double to an estimated prevalence of 42 million by 2020 and 81 million by 2040.1 Dementia is challenging from a medical care perspective since it is both progressive and irreversible. Additionally, dementia is a leading cause of admission to long-term care facilities and a major risk factor for hospitalization.2 Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia, and it constitutes approximately two-thirds of all cases.3 It is currently hypothesized that the pathophysiology of AD involves the accumulation of amyloid beta (Aβ) proteins and the hyperphosphorylation of tau proteins, which leads to neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal loss in the brain.4 However, AD pathogenesis is multifactorial, whereby both genetic susceptibility and environmental factors contribute to neurodegeneration.

Generally considered safe and effective, anesthetic drugs have bestowed enormous clinical benefits. Besides, with the global acceleration of population aging, surgery is being performed more frequently. Correspondingly, there is growing concern that anesthesia and/or surgery may have neurodegenerative complications. In vitro studies have shown that inhaled anesthetic agents can promote Aβ oligomerization and enhance Aβ-induced neurotoxicity.5,6 Animal studies have also provided evidence that exposure to anesthetic drugs can impair memory,7 can induce caspase-3 activation, and...
Preclinical studies
Effects of anesthetics on Aβ levels
AD is pathologically characterized by extracellular amyloid plaques and intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles, both of which comprise filaments that are densely packed and highly insoluble. However, there are soluble elements in these abnormal structures, and they include Aβ peptides and tau proteins, respectively. Aβ peptides consist of 36–43 amino acids, and they are natural products of metabolism. Aβ peptides derive from the proteolysis of amyloid precursor protein (APPs) by β-site APP-cleaving enzymes (BACEs) and γ-secretases. The resulting Aβ peptides self-assemble into multiple physical forms. Soluble Aβ oligomers can coalesce into intermediate assemblies, and both states are neurotoxic forms. It has been shown that Aβ42 oligomers induce oxidative damage, promote tau hyperphosphorylation, and then result in toxic effects on synapses. Aβ can also aggregate into fibrils which arrange themselves into β sheets to form the insoluble fibers of amyloid plaques. To date, the “amyloid hypothesis” is the most common theory regarding AD pathogenesis, and it is based on studies of the genetic forms of AD and evidence of the cellular toxicity of Aβ. This hypothesis states that an imbalance between Aβ protein production and clearance leads to an excessive accumulation of Aβ protein, and subsequently, neurodegeneration is observed. The inhaled anesthetics, isoflurane (1.2% and 2.5%) and halothane (0.8% and 1.5%), have been found to enhance Aβ oligomerization and to potentiate Aβ-induced cytotoxicity in rat pheochromocytoma cells. In vitro multidimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy has also demonstrated that isoflurane, halothane, and propofol interact with Aβ and induce Aβ oligomerization. In human neuroglioma cells that were stably transfected with full-length APP, isoflurane (2% for 6 hours) and sevoflurane (4.1% for 6 hours) induced caspase activation, cell death, and an accumulation of extracellular Aβ. Thus, it has been proposed that inhaled anesthetics induce caspase activation and apoptosis by disrupting intracellular calcium homeostasis. Moreover, the data from in vitro studies suggested a potential pathway of inhaled anesthetics-related neurotoxicity; that inhaled anesthetics induced caspase activation and apoptosis, which led to increased levels of BACE and γ-secretase and the generation of Aβ from APP. The generated Aβ may further potentiate the isoflurane-induced caspase activation and apoptosis. Although these studies proposed that administration of isoflurane has been shown to induce Aβ accumulation and neurotoxicity via an apoptosis-related pathway, there is currently no satisfactory way to confirm these mechanisms in aging human brains.

In animal studies of 12-month-old transgenic mice with AD, administration of halothane (0.8%–1%) resulted in greater amyloidopathy compared with either isoflurane (0.9%–1%) or control condition. The administration of isoflurane (1.4% for 2 hours) and sevoflurane (2.5% for 2 hours) have also been shown to induce caspase activation and apoptosis and to increase Aβ accumulation in 5-month-old wild-type mice. In another study that compared wild-type and transgenic AD mice, the latter are more susceptible to developing increased Aβ aggregation, apoptosis, and microglia activation following isoflurane anesthesia. Additionally, isoflurane exposure has been found to impair memory and learning in wild-type mice. Taken together, these results suggest that inhaled anesthetics may cause cognitive impairment and amyloidogenesis in vivo.

In contrast with isoflurane and sevoflurane, desflurane (12% for 6 hours) and nitrous oxide (70% for 6 hours) do not appear to cause apoptosis or Aβ accumulation in cultured cells or mouse primary neurons. Moreover, administration of desflurane has not been found to impair learning and memory in mice. However, additional studies are necessary.
to determine whether desflurane is superior to isoflurane or sevoflurane in regard to anesthetic-related neurotoxicity.

Collectively, preclinical studies suggest that certain anesthetic agents (e.g., isoflurane and sevoflurane) may increase Aβ production and accumulation, and this phenomenon may be mediated via caspase activation and apoptosis.37 Further studies are warranted to determine the in vivo relevance of these in vitro findings.

**Effects of anesthetics on tau pathology**

Intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles, which are composed of aberrantly hyperphosphorylated tau proteins, are one of the major neuropathological hallmarks of AD. Tau protein is normally enriched in the axonal compartment. However, during AD, tau proteins become hyperphosphorylated, and they assemble into paired helical filaments that aggregate in the somatodendritic compartment of affected neurons.38 Accumulating evidence suggests that Aβ and tau work together, independent of their accumulation into plaques and tangles, respectively, to induce neuronal dysfunction and damage.19 Moreover, it has been determined that Aβ is dependent on the presence of tau for its neurotoxic properties. It has been suggested that Aβ is upstream of tau in AD pathogenesis and Aβ induces the transformation of normal tau into a toxic form that subsequently participates in a feedback loop to enhance Aβ toxicity.39 Prolonged hypothermia induced by both intravenous (chloral hydrate [500 mg/kg] and sodium pentobarbital [100 mg/kg]) and inhaled anesthetics (isoflurane) was found to induce pronounced tau hyperphosphorylation in wild-type mice.8 However, this effect was reversed when the body temperature of the mice returned to normal. Moreover, there was no increase in tau phosphorylation when mice with a normal body temperature were exposed to isoflurane.40 Thus, anesthesia-induced hypothermia may be a mediator of tau hyperphosphorylation. Correspondingly, in a transgenic mouse model of tauopathy, the capacity of isoflurane (1.3%) to increased accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles was found to be dependent on anesthesia-induced hypothermia rather than on exposure to isoflurane per se.41,42 Moreover, the impairment of memory following isoflurane-induced hypothermia has been associated with increases in tau phosphorylation in rodents.40 In addition to evidence suggesting that anesthesia-induced hypothermia can produce tau hyperphosphorylation and exacerbate neurofibrillary degeneration, in vitro and animal studies suggest that anesthesia under normothermic conditions can also lead to tau hyperphosphorylation. An increase in tau phosphorylation was observed in mice following administration of inhaled ether or intraperitoneal pentobarbital in normothermic condition.43 Exposure of propofol in normothermic cultured cells resulted in increased levels of phosphorylated tau.44 Tau hyperphosphorylation in rodent hippocampus occurred following normothermic intraperitoneal propofol or inhaled sevoflurane (1.5% and 2.5%) administration.45,46 Interestingly, Le Freche et al also demonstrated that in mice that underwent repeated normothermic exposure to sevoflurane (1.5% and 2.5%), spatial memory deficits and persistent tau hyperphosphorylation were observed, with the latter mediated via the activation of specific kinases.46 Furthermore, in transgenic AD mouse models, Tang et al demonstrated that repeated normothermic administration of halothane (0.9%–1.1%) or isoflurane (0.9%–1.1%) was associated with persistent hippocampal tau hyperphosphorylation.47

In summary, the results of the preclinical studies published to date suggest that certain anesthetics can exacerbate tau pathology. Specifically, anesthesia-induced hypothermia has led to tau hyperphosphorylation and the development of neurofibrillary pathology in transgenic mouse models. However, mechanisms other than hypothermia likely play a role in anesthesia-related tau pathology since changes in tau pathology have been described during the administration of anesthesia under normothermic conditions.

**Effects of anesthetics on neuroinflammation**

Accumulating evidence also supports the involvement of neuroinflammation in the smoldering pathogenesis of AD. Neuroinflammation is not merely a passive process that is activated by the accumulation of senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, but rather is a process that contributes to the pathogenesis of AD as much as the plaques and tangles that characterize AD.48 For example, neuroinflammation has been found to induce tau hyperphosphorylation and to promote the formation of neurofibrillary tangles in AD transgenic mice.49 It is also hypothesized that pathological protein aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau, Aβ, small oligomers, or senile plaques activate astrocytes and microglia, the immune cells in the brain.50 Peripheral inflammatory cells can then be recruited to the central nervous system through either a compromised blood–brain barrier (BBB) or circumventricular organs. In addition, microglia may be “primed” for exaggerated responses to inflammatory signals that are induced by chronic and smoldering neurodegeneration that is associated with AD.51 The occurrence of an acute peripheral inflammatory event, such as surgery or infection,
may further elicit a cytokine response in the brain that causes
tau phosphorylation and microglial activation. A few potential
mechanisms have been proposed regarding alterations in the
inflammatory processes that occur due to anesthetics.
For example, in a recent study, it was demonstrated that
sevoflurane induces structural changes in brain vascular
endothelial cells and increases BBB permeability in aged rats. Compromise of the BBB may enhance the infiltration
of peripheral inflammatory cells to the brain. Administration
of nitrous oxide has also been shown to alter monocyte recruitment in animals, while isoflurane and sevoflurane
have been shown to directly interact with the signaling mole-
cules in the inflammatory cascade, such as the integrins.
Emerging studies have further suggested that anesthetics
may increase the levels of proinflammatory cytokines, which
may cause neuroinflammation, leading to promotion of AD
neuropathogenesis. Wu et al detected an increase in the
production of the proinflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-6,
and IL-1β, in the mouse brain following the administration
of isoflurane anesthesia (1.2% and 2.5%). Importantly,
isoflurane-induced production of neuroinflammatory cyto-
kines primarily derives from neurons. Correspondingly, exposure
to isoflurane (1.2% or 1.4%) has been found to impair
learning ability in aged rats, and a potential role for IL-1β
in mediating this effect has been proposed. Furthermore,
some anti-inflammation treatments that mitigate isoflurane-
induced neuroinflammation have also been shown to improve
long-lasting cognitive disorder.

In summary, laboratory evidence has demonstrated that
inhaled anesthetics alter BBB permeability and increase the
recruitment of peripheral leukocytes to the central nervous
system. In particular, isoflurane anesthesia has been found
to promote proinflammatory cytokine production, transient
neuroinflammation, and long-lasting cognitive disorder in
aged rodent brains. However, it remains unclear whether
isoflurane-induced neuroinflammation can trigger tau hyper-
phosphorylation to drive AD pathology.

Effects of surgery on cognition
In clinical practice, it is often difficult to separate the impact
of anesthesia from that of surgery on cognitive impairment in
humans. There are a small number of animal studies that have
examined the effects of surgery on cognitive function. Wan
et al showed that orthopedic surgery, in wild-type mice under
an intravenous anesthesia, induced postoperative cognitive
impairment, while the anesthetic alone did not. Similarly,
Tang et al compared desflurane alone versus desflurane with
cecal ligation in transgenic mice and found that surgery
per se led to memory impairment, enhanced tau pathology,
and neuroinflammation. Interestingly, this memory deficit
can be detected out until at least 3 months following the
surgical procedure. In another study, major surgery (partial
hepatectomy) was found to provoke gliosis, β-amyloid accumu-
lation, tau phosphorylation, and cognitive impairment in
older mice, while sham surgery did not. Taken together,
these results demonstrate that cognitive deficits may largely
be a result of surgery rather than due to specific effects of
anesthesia. However, the exact mechanism that mediates
surgery-induced cognitive impairment remains unclear. It is
hypothesized that neuroinflammation induced by surgery is
closely associated with cognitive impairment. For example,
in animal studies, surgery has been found to activate glial
cells and to induce an excessive release of TNF-α and
IL-1β in the brain which underlie the cognitive deficits.
Interestingly, anti-inflammatory drugs have been found to
prevent surgery-induced cognitive dysfunction and changes
in β-amyloid and tau processing. Therefore, the results of
the preclinical studies that have been published suggest that
both anesthetic agents and surgery can independently lead to
cognitive impairment. Nonetheless, the few animal studies
have provided evidence that the effect due to surgery per se
appears to be dominant.

Clinical studies
Biomarker studies

Human data are essential for determining whether anesthesia
and surgery induce cognitive deficits, although no definitive
evidence has been obtained to date. In particular, the long
period of time over which AD pathogenesis develops in the
absence of cognitive symptoms has made human studies
difficult to conduct. It is also difficult to examine the impact
of anesthesia on the development of AD pathology in human
studies. Thus, human biomarker research is a valuable
approach for examining the interactions between anesthesia,
surgery, and AD neuropathology.

A small number of studies have examined changes in the
levels of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers during the
postoperative period. In one study, Palotás et al showed that
level of CSF Aβ was significantly decreased, whereas the
levels of injury biomarkers (S100B and tau) were elevated at
6 months in patients who underwent coronary artery bypass
surgery (CABG). These findings demonstrated postsurgical
cognitive impairment associated with changes in CSF bio-
markers similar to that found in AD. In another study, Tang
et al found that the total-tau/Aβ ratio in CSF increased
postoperatively in patients who underwent idiopathic nasal
CSF leak repair, and these changes were consistent with those observed in AD. Furthermore, in the latter study, elevated levels of the proinflammatory cytokines, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-10, were also detected in the CSF, indicating that a neuroinflammatory response was elicited by the anesthesia and surgery. Nonetheless, the limitations of these studies include small sample sizes, an absence of control groups, and short follow-up periods. Although the postoperative CSF biomarkers change in a pattern consistent with AD, further studies are warranted to better understand the evolution of biomarkers and their relevance to the long-term consequences of AD pathology.

Retrospective case–control studies
In order to examine the relationship between prior exposure to general anesthesia (GA) and subsequent risk of dementia, evidence from observational human studies is important. Many case–control studies have been conducted, and they have come to disparate conclusions whether GA is a potential risk factor for dementia. It is possible that small cohorts, biased study populations, and confounding coincident illnesses have contributed to these contradictory results. A meta-analysis of 15 case-control studies included a total of 1,752 cases and 5,261 controls, and the inclusion criteria for the case control studies included the use of standardized clinical criteria for AD or dementia. In addition, the control groups included either patients who underwent surgery with regional anesthesia or those who had no history of surgery. However, there was no statistically significant association between GA and the development of AD (pooled OR =1.05, 95% confidence interval [CI] =0.93–1.19; P =0.43). In a retrospective population-based nested case–control study conducted by Sprung et al that used data from the Rochester Epidemiology Project and the Mayo Clinic Alzheimer’s Disease Patient Registry, case groups included 877 patients with incident dementia recorded between 1985 and 1994, while the control groups included sex- and age-matched individuals who had not have a diagnosis of dementia. A total of 70% of the dementia patients had been exposed to GA compared with 72.5% of the control group, and there was no significant association between exposure to GA after age 45 years and the diagnosis of dementia (OR =0.89, 95% CI =0.73–1.10, P =0.27). Similarly, Chen et al published a population-based case–control study using the Taiwan Longitudinal Health Insurance Database, which contains claims data for one million residents who are covered by Taiwan’s universal health insurance. This study included 5,345 patients who were newly diagnosed with dementia and who were older than 50 years and 21,380 individuals without dementia from 2005 to 2009. The two groups were matched for age, sex, and index date. In addition, GA exposure was categorized into three subtypes: endotracheal tube intubation GA (ETGA), intravenous injection GA (IVGA), or intramuscular injection GA (IMGA), versus heavy sedation. The dementia group had higher rates of exposure to ETGA and IVGA/IMGA compared with the control group, whereas the rates of exposure to heavy sedation did not differ between the groups. For the individuals exposed to surgery under ETGA (OR =1.34, 95% CI =1.25–1.44) or IVGA/IMGA (OR =1.28, 95% CI =1.14–1.43), they were found to be at significantly higher risk of developing dementia and a dose-dependent response was observed (P <0.0001).

Cohort studies
Lee et al performed a retrospective cohort analysis to examine if CABG and GA are associated with an earlier emergence of AD. The study population included individuals older than 55 years without a diagnosis of dementia prior to surgery. One group underwent GA and CABG, while the other group received only sedation, for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. During the 5-year follow-up period, patients who had undergone CABG and GA had a 1.7-fold increased risk of developing AD compared with those who only received sedation and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (P =0.04). In a subsequent population-based retrospective cohort study, data from the Taiwan Longitudinal Health Insurance Database was used to obtain a cohort that included 24,901 patients ≥50 years who, since 1995, were anesthetized for the first time from 2004 to 2007. The age- and sex-matched control group consisted of 110,972 patients who had not been exposed to anesthesia. The first occurrence of a dementia diagnosis was recorded, and to minimize the possibility of misdiagnosing POCD as dementia, the diagnosis had to be recorded twice, with the first occurrence being at least 3 months after the administration of anesthesia. After a follow-up period of 3–7 years, the risk of dementia in the anesthesia group was found to be significantly higher than that in the control group (hazard ratio [HR] =1.99, 95% CI =1.81–2.17; P <0.001). Furthermore, both exposure to GA and to regional anesthesia yielded increased risks of incident dementia (HR =1.46 [95% CI =1.28–1.68] and HR =1.80 [95% CI =1.28–1.68], respectively; P <0.001). The results of this study suggest that patients who undergo anesthesia and surgery may be at increased risk for developing dementia. However, given the population-based analysis design of the study, the large sample size, and the long follow-up period...
that provided adequate power for this study, a causal relationship could not be determined by the positive association alone.

**Prospective studies**

To investigate the associations between anesthesia, surgery, and AD, prospective randomized controlled trials are not always indicated nor are ethical to conduct. Thus, there are very few prospective human studies that have investigated the association between anesthesia and AD. Liu et al published a prospective randomized, parallel-group study to evaluate whether exposure to anesthetics induces progression of amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) in a Chinese population. The study group consisted of 180 aMCI patients who were randomly assigned to receive sevoflurane, propofol, or lidocaine epidural anesthesia for lumbar spinal surgery. Sixty aMCI outpatients served as the control group. At the 2-year follow-up, the number of aMCI patients who progressed to AD did not differ between the groups. However, the number of patients who exhibited progression of aMCI (which was assessed based on changes detected in neuropsychological tests that were performed) was greater in the sevoflurane group than in the control group ($P < 0.005$), yet was not greater in the propofol or lidocaine groups. The authors concluded that sevoflurane anesthesia for lumbar spine surgery may accelerate cognitive decline, although the relatively small sample size and short follow-up period were acknowledged as limitations of the study.

**Conclusion**

The results of both in vitro and animal studies suggest that GA, especially inhaled anesthesia, and surgery can accelerate Aβ production, tau hyperphosphorylation, and AD pathology. Cognitive changes, including postoperative delirium and POCD, have been well-defined in the elderly, although these were not discussed in detail here. Nonetheless, it remains unclear whether anesthesia and surgery can increase the risk of long-term cognitive impairment conditions such as dementia and AD. Moreover, in clinical settings and human research, anesthesia and surgery remain inseparable. It is also difficult and unethical to conduct prospective, large sample-sized, randomized controlled trials to evaluate the association between anesthesia and/or surgery and AD. To date, the results of observational studies conducted in humans regarding potential associations between anesthesia, surgery, and AD have been inconsistent. A previously published meta-analysis showed no increased risk of AD following exposure to GA and surgery in case-controlled studies. However, studies that have been published more recently do suggest a possible association between anesthesia and surgery and the development of dementia. Moreover, the results of a prospective randomized controlled trial suggest that sevoflurane may accelerate cognitive decline in patients with aMCI, although the relatively small sample size and short follow-up period limit the strengths of these results, and further studies are warranted.

Given the gaps identified in the current preclinical and clinical research investigations that have been published, further studies are needed to confirm the clinical relevance of the in vitro findings regarding the relationship between anesthesia and/or surgery and neurodegenerative complications. In addition, well-designed and adequately powered prospective and retrospective human studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to elucidate whether exposure to anesthesia and/or surgery are causally associated with the development of dementia.
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