Urinary retention and acute kidney injury in a tetraplegic patient using condom catheter after partying: a preventable complication
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Background: Spinal cord injury patients, who manage their bladder using a condom catheter, are at risk of developing urine retention when they consume large volumes of alcoholic drinks within a short period of time.

Case presentation: A male tetraplegic patient had been managing satisfactorily penile sheath drainage for 8 years. He went out socializing during which he consumed large volumes of alcohol but did not take any recreational drugs. The following morning, he noticed distension of the lower abdomen and passed urine in dribbles. He then developed a temperature and became unwell. He was seen by district nurses and a doctor, who prescribed antibiotics. He continued to feel unwell. After 8 days, he referred himself to a spinal unit at Regional Spinal Injuries Centre, Southport. The blood test results showed the following: blood urea: 19.8 mmol/L; creatinine: 172 µmol/L; and C-reactive protein: 336.4 mg/L. Urethral catheterization led to immediate drainage of 1,400 mL of urine. A computed tomography scan revealed an enlarged, swollen left kidney, indicating acute bacterial nephritis. He was prescribed intravenous fluids and Meropenem. Creatinine decreased to 46 µmol/L.

Conclusion: Spinal cord injury patients using condom catheters should be made aware of the risk of urine retention when they consume large amounts of alcoholic drinks in a short period of time. Patients and caregivers should be informed to consider intermittent catheterizations for 24–48 hours or insert indwelling urethral catheter when planning for an evening out.
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Background

Condom catheter is indicated in male spinal cord injury patients with urinary incontinence provided that they have no penile lesion, and intravesical pressures during storage and voiding are urodynamically safe. However, detrusor function may be influenced by other factors such as consumption of large volumes of alcohol and other fluids within a short period of time. Alcohol per se may alter bladder function. Alcohol-induced bladder dysfunction has been reported, but the mechanism of its development is varied and unclear. We report a spinal cord injury patient using condom catheter, who developed urinary retention following a social get-together. Adequate drainage of the urinary bladder was not provided for 8 days; consequently, this patient developed sepsis and acute kidney injury.

Case presentation

A 21-year-old British male sustained C-5/C-6 complete tetraplegia in 1998. Since 2006, this patient had been managing his bladder by condom catheter and had no problems.
This patient was reviewed in a spinal unit at Regional Spinal Injuries Centre, Southport in November/December 2013. He reported no difficulty in emptying his urinary bladder. An x-ray of the abdomen revealed no urinary tract calcification; urinary bladder was not distended (Figure 1A). Blood test results showed 5.4 mmol/L (2.3–7.5 mmol/L) of urea and 49 µmol/L (0–135 µmol/L) of creatinine. Ultrasound examination of the urinary tract revealed normal kidneys and no hydronephrosis or calculi. The urinary bladder contained 62 mL of urine with moderate wall thickening (Figure 2). This patient had a well-established bowel regime and was doing all right with his neuropathic bowels and bladder.

In 2014, this patient went for an evening out with his friends and had several drinks within a short period of time. He did not take any recreational drugs during partying. Following this social get-together, he felt unwell. The next morning, he noticed swelling of the lower abdomen and passed urine in dribbles. He developed a temperature. He was seen by district nurses and a doctor, who prescribed antibiotics. This patient continued to feel unwell, and he came to the spinal unit 8 days after he went for an evening out with his friends.

On clinical examination, it was found that the urinary bladder was distended; bowel sounds were feeble; temperature: 36.4°C; heart rate: 109 bpm; and blood pressure: 90/43 mmHg. An x-ray of abdomen revealed large distended urinary bladder (Figure 1B).

Blood tests showed the features of sepsis and acute kidney injury; urea: 19.8 mmol/L (2.3–7.5 mmol/L); creatinine: 172 µmol/L (0–135 µmol/L); potassium: 5.7 mmol/L (3.5–5.2 mmol/L); C-reactive protein: 336.4 mg/L (<5.0 mg/L); white cell count: 18.4×10⁹ (4.0–11.0); and neutrophils: 162×10⁹ (2.0–7.5). A computed tomography scan of the abdomen revealed mildly enlarged and swollen left kidney with some perinephric fluid. No calculi bilaterally and no hydronephrosis. No ureteric or bladder calculi. Urinary bladder was partially filled and trabeculated (Figure 3).

Urethral catheterization led to drainage of 1,400 mL of clear urine. After decompression of the urinary bladder, urethral catheter started draining blood-stained urine. This patient was prescribed Meropenem; intravenous fluids were administered.

A sample of urine, which was sent for microbiology, revealed no growth. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* was not isolated. The sample of urine sent for cytology showed abundantly mixed inflammatory cells, some red blood cells, squamous epithelial cells, macrophages, and scattered urothelial cells in which there was focal atypia in the form of nuclear enlargement, which was probably reactive in nature.

Following drainage of the urinary bladder, blood urea decreased to 9.5 mmol/L (2.3–7.5); creatinine decreased to 62 µmol/L (0–135); and potassium decreased to 3.3 mmol/L (3.5–5.2). A week after instituting bladder drainage by urethral catheter, blood urea decreased further to 5.4 mmol/L (2.3–7.5); creatinine level also decreased to 46 µmol/L.
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(0–135); C-reactive protein decreased to 31.4 mg/L (<5.0); and white blood cell count decreased to 9.8×10⁹ (4.0–11.0).

Seventeen days after urethral catheterization and decompression of urinary bladder, catheter-free trial was given. But this patient could not pass urine; therefore, indwelling urethral catheter drainage was reestablished.

Discussion

Alcohol consumption increases the volume of urine held within the bladder and dulls the senses such that the patient has a reduced urge to void, despite the increased bladder volume. Alcohol-induced diuresis causes bladder distension and thinning of the bladder wall. Jairam et al reported a patient developing spontaneous rupture of urinary bladder and oliguric renal failure after an alcohol binge. Our patient developed urinary retention following consumption of several drinks within a short spell of time during social get-together; subsequently, he developed a temperature and acute kidney injury; fortunately, he did not sustain a rupture of the urinary bladder. This case illustrates the need to educate male spinal cord injury patients using condom catheters, their caregivers, and health professionals the risk of urinary retention when large amounts of alcoholic drinks is taken in a short period of time. When planning for a party that involves consumption of several drinks, spinal cord injury patients using condom catheter for bladder drainage should remember that they may...
Conclusion

Spinal cord injury patients who manage their urinary bladder by condom catheters run the risk of urine retention if they consume large amounts of alcoholic drinks in a short spell of time. Spinal cord injury patients and carers should be made aware of this eventuality when they plan an evening out; they should consider performing intermittent catheterization for the next 24–48 hours or insert indwelling urethral catheter to ensure adequate drainage of urinary bladder.
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