Prognostic significance of urinary NGAL in chronic kidney disease
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Background: Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem. Recently urinary NGAL (uNGAL) has been proven to be a useful (potentially ideal) biomarker for early detection of CKD. The aim of the present study was to examine the correlation of uNGAL with severity of renal impairment in CKD and to evaluate its prognostic value in these subjects.

Methods: This was a prospective study carried out over a period of 24 months in subjects with CKD due to primary chronic glomerulonephritis. New cases of CKD stage II, III, IV aged between 18 and 65 years were enrolled as per KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) guidelines 2012. A total of 90 subjects completed the study up to the end-point. The primary follow-up end-point was 18 months, or decreased glomerular filtration rate of less than 15 ml/min. Secondary follow-up end-point was the number of subjects who expired during this period.

Results: Multiple regression model of estimated glomerular filtration rate showed significant associations with log uNGAL (β=0.38, P<0.001), Ca×PO4 (β=0.60, P<0.001), hemoglobin (β=0.37, P<0.001), urine protein (β=0.34, P<0.001), serum albumin (β=0.48, P<0.001), and systolic blood pressure (β=0.76, P<0.001). Receiver operator curve for uNGAL considering the progression of CKD showed area under the curve for uNGAL was 0.878 (95% confidence interval: 0.68–0.96). Cut-off value for uNGAL was log 3.5 unit with a sensitivity of 93.08% and specificity of 71.43% for predicting the progression of CKD. Kaplan–Meier survival curve showed that patients with log uNGAL levels <3.51 unit had a survival rate of 71.4% while patients with uNGAL level >3.51 unit had a renal survival rate of 14.7%.

Conclusion: Our study result showed that uNGAL has a positive correlation with disease severity which signifies the prognostic importance of uNGAL in CKD.
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Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem, in view of both the number of patients and cost of treatment involved. Globally, CKD is the 12th most common cause of death and the 17th leading cause of disability. This is an underestimation as patients with CKD are more likely to die due to cardiovascular disease than to reach end-stage renal disease. Numerous risk factors for accelerated progression have been identified,¹–⁴ with poorly controlled hypertension and heavy proteinuria being two of the best-recognized predictors.⁵

Diabetes and hypertension are the leading causes of CKD.⁶ Over the past 20 years it was thought that early diagnosis and treatment of kidney disease can prevent kidney disease progression. Thus, a biomarker of kidney damage which is able to indicate the presence of both early damage and identify patients at an increased risk
of progressive disease would affect kidney disease diagnosis and treatment.

Although serum creatinine is generally used as an index of renal function, creatinine is primarily a marker of glomerular filtration and this cannot be considered an ideal biomarker for the estimation of kidney injury, because it is insensitive and is influenced by muscle mass, sex, race, and medications. It is unreliable to diagnose renal tubular injury in the absence of significant reduction in the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). If by any investigative modalities disease is diagnosed in the early stage, disease progression can be prevented. NGAL has recently been proven to be a useful marker in CKD and it has the potential to be an ideal biomarker in early detection of CKD. The aim of the present study was to examine the correlation of urinary NGAL (uNGAL) with severity of renal impairment in CKD and to evaluate its prognostic value in these subjects.

Materials and methods

This was a prospective study carried out in the Department of Medicine in Nephrology Unit, King George Medical University, Lucknow, India over a period of 2 years from August 2012 to July 2014. After written informed consent and ethical clearance from King George’s Medical University Lucknow, patients with CKD due to primary chronic glomerulonephritis with stable kidney function for at least 5 years were enrolled in the study. All the new cases aged between 18 and 65 years with CKD stage II, III, IV were enrolled as per KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) guidelines 2012. A total of 102 subjects were enrolled in the study, 90 subjects completed the study up to end-point and 12 subjects dropped out from the study. After enrollment these patients were followed for 18 months. The diagnostic criteria of primary chronic glomerulonephritis were: the presence of glomerular proteinuria and/or hematuria lasting more than 1 year and exclusion of secondary or congenital glomerulonephritis. In our study disease progression was decided on the basis of declining eGFR or progression of CKD stage, ie, progression from stage II to stage III etc. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula [GFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 175×(SÅ)−1.154×(Age)−0.203×(0.742 if female)] was used to calculate the eGFR. The staging criteria for CKD were defined as: stage II, renal damage with eGFR of 60–89 mL/min per 1.73 m²; stage III, eGFR of 30–59 mL/ min per 1.73 m²; and stage IV, eGFR of 15–29 mL/min per 1.73 m². Patients with serum creatinine level of ≥6 mg/dL, eGFR of ≤15 mL/min; a malignant tumor, liver disease, thyroid dysfunction, sepsis, massive proteinuria (urine protein, >3.5 g/day), inflammatory disorder or being treated with steroids or immunosuppressive agents were excluded from the study.

A venous blood sample (5 mL) was drawn with full aseptic precaution after an overnight fast. The blood was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature, serum was separated for routine hematology. Biochemistry, urinalysis, and urine protein measurements were performed as per study protocols. An automated blood-cell analyzer (BC-5380; Mindray, Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China) was used for routine hematology testing, and an automated clinical biochemistry analyzer (Cobas C 311; Roche-Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used for blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, uric acid, serum lipids, electrolytes, and albumin. Kidney biopsy was performed in those subjects who had provided written consent. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique was used to measure urine NGAL (uNGAL) levels. A clean, morning midstream urine sample (5 mL) was collected into a sterile test tube and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to an Eppendorf tube and stored at −80°C until assessment for uNGAL. A human NGAL ELISA kit (Epitope Diagnostics, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for estimation of uNGAL as per manufacturer’s protocol.

Follow-up and end-points

Baseline renal function tests for all patients were recorded at the time of enrollment. All of the patients had follow-up visits at the outpatient clinic or by interviews telephonically at monthly intervals, and serial renal function tests were repeated at 3-month intervals. The primary follow-up end-point was 18 months, or eGFR of less than 15 mL/min. Secondary follow-up end-point was number of subjects who expired during this period.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared using one way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc tests. Correlations between various kidney function parameters had been calculated using Pearson correlation coefficient. Receiver operator curve analysis was done for log NGAL and identifying the optimal NGAL cut-off values for predicting progression of CKD. The effects of the cut-off points of log NGAL values, on the survival of the study subjects were assessed using Kaplan–Meier method. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05. All the analyses were done using SPSS 20.0 and MedCalc software.
Baseline uNGAL levels were log 1.78±2.08 ng/mL in stage II, log 3.34±2.74 ng/mL in stage III, and log 3.70±1.18 ng/mL in stage IV. Progressor subjects presented with significantly increased uNGAL values at baseline compared with non-progressors. Mean value of uNGAL in progressors (stage II =3.37±0.20 ng/mL, stage III =4.98±0.19 ng/mL, stage IV =6.77±0.16 ng/mL) were higher, whereas mean value of uNGAL in non-progressors was (stage II =3.09±1.10 ng/mL, stage III =4.04±1.80 ng/mL, stage IV =4.40±2.13 ng/mL) lower (Table 3). This showed that progressors had a high value of uNGAL at base line. Receiver operator curve analysis for NGAL considering the progression of CKD as status variable was carried out. The area under the curve for uNGAL was 0.878 (95% confidence interval: 0.68–0.96). At the cut-off value of uNGAL log 3.5 unit there was sensitivity of 93.08% and specificity of 71.43% in predicting the progression of CKD. Above this value patients experienced a significantly faster disease progression as observed during follow-up time of 18 months (Figure 2).

Regression analysis was performed using eGFR as the dependent variable in a multiple regression model including covariate, as reported in univariate analysis. The associations with log uNGAL (β=0.38, P<0.001), Ca×PO4 (β=0.60, P<0.001), hemoglobin (β=0.37, P<0.001), urine protein (β=0.34, P<0.001), serum albumin (β=0.48, P<0.001), and systolic blood pressure (β=0.76, P<0.001) were found to be significant in the analysis. The model explains 70.3% of the total variation in eGFR (Table 4).

A total of ten (22.7%) patients died during the follow-up period, out of these three patients died due to myocardial injury. The other seven patients experienced cardiovascular

### Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Stage II (n=27)</th>
<th>Stage III (n=33)</th>
<th>Stage IV (n=30)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>14 (51.9)</td>
<td>17 (51.5)</td>
<td>14 (48.1)</td>
<td>P=0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>13 (48.1)</td>
<td>16 (48.5)</td>
<td>16 (53.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>43.37±11.16</td>
<td>45.42±12.30</td>
<td>44.35±11.34</td>
<td>P=0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.75±1.81</td>
<td>20.26±2.50</td>
<td>19.44±1.83</td>
<td>P=0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.93±8.67</td>
<td>90.31±8.01</td>
<td>87.99±4.64</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>127.48±7.43</td>
<td>144.81±5.63</td>
<td>151.88±8.0</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

### Table 2 Biochemical characteristics of the study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Stage II (n=27)</th>
<th>Stage III (n=33)</th>
<th>Stage IV (n=30)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>69.01±7.52</td>
<td>40.94±5.81</td>
<td>23.67±5.30</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea (mg%)</td>
<td>40.11±4.58</td>
<td>46.52±12.22</td>
<td>79.16±43.74</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg%)</td>
<td>1.18±0.19</td>
<td>1.63±0.56</td>
<td>2.89±0.80</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (mg%)</td>
<td>4.01±0.36</td>
<td>3.85±0.36</td>
<td>3.56±0.44</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g%)</td>
<td>9.57±1.41</td>
<td>9.16±1.66</td>
<td>8.09±1.26</td>
<td>P=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum uric acid (mg%)</td>
<td>3.55±0.48</td>
<td>4.89±1.44</td>
<td>8.45±2.94</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum protein (mg%)</td>
<td>5.75±0.73</td>
<td>5.91±0.71</td>
<td>5.12±0.90</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca++ (mg%)</td>
<td>8.25±1.35</td>
<td>7.17±1.07</td>
<td>7.77±1.27</td>
<td>P=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4— (mg%)</td>
<td>3.01±0.55</td>
<td>3.82±1.02</td>
<td>7.81±2.20</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca×PO4</td>
<td>25.07±6.60</td>
<td>27.16±8.06</td>
<td>61.42±22.91</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg%)</td>
<td>108.85±28.62</td>
<td>90.21±17.86</td>
<td>89.14±35.82</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg%)</td>
<td>38.41±9.45</td>
<td>39.48±6.24</td>
<td>41.41±8.67</td>
<td>P=0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg%)</td>
<td>98.78±41.41</td>
<td>99.52±19.86</td>
<td>121.94±20.96</td>
<td>P&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg%)</td>
<td>223.63±49.48</td>
<td>155.21±28.54</td>
<td>167.79±55.68</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours urine protein (mg%)</td>
<td>361.44±257.37</td>
<td>570.27±616.28</td>
<td>659.44±750.96</td>
<td>P=0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol.
Table 3 Disease progression in CKD stage II, III, IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of CKD</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean log uNGAL baseline (ng/mL)</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Mean log uNGAL (ng/mL)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.78±2.08</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.37±0.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.34±2.74</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.98±0.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.70±0.18</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.77±0.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Significant increase in mean values of log uNGAL observed for progressors as compared to non-progressors.

Abbreviations: CKD, chronic kidney disease; uNGAL, urinary NGAL; N, total number.

Discussion

Early detection and treatment of kidney disease can result in preventing kidney disease progression. Thus, an early biomarker of kidney damage which can identify patients at an increased risk of disease progression would be helpful in kidney disease diagnosis and treatment. Since creatinine is not a sensitive marker of kidney function and eGFR also has some limitations, there is a growing need to find an early marker of kidney damage, newer studies suggest that NGAL has the potential to be an ideal biomarker to detect early kidney damage in patients at risk. In a recent study, the CKD Consortium Group has shown that GFR estimation by the cystatin C based eGFR formula best correlated with clinical outcomes. In another study performed to calculate the equation of eGFR, creatinine–cystatin C equation and creatinine or cystatin C alone were compared. They found that creatinine-cystatin C equation is better than the other equations based on either of these markers alone and is used to estimate eGFR. Many of the Asian countries have now validated eGFR equations specifically for their respective populations. Although efforts to develop an equation for the Indian population are continuing, we do not have such an equation yet. NGAL is synthesized systemically in response to kidney damage. It could also be produced locally by injured tubules. A third source of NGAL may be activated neutrophils/macrophages or inflamed vasculature, frequently found in CKD.

In a recent study elevated fibroblast growth factor-23 was an independent risk factor for end-stage renal disease in patients with relatively preserved kidney function and for mortality across the spectrum of CKD. However,
In our study NGAL showed significant correlation with eGFR ($r=-0.87$), proteinuria ($r=0.13$, $P<0.001$), and creatinine ($r=0.71$, $P<0.001$). Similar results were observed in another study of subjects with CKD (due to chronic glomerulonephritis) which showed that mean uNGAL concentrations were higher in CKD patients. Furthermore, uNGAL concentrations were significantly correlated with eGFR ($r=-0.528$, $P=0.04$) and proteinuria ($r=0.294$, $P=0.01$).16,20,21

In our study no association was found with sex but few studies reported that male sex showed significantly faster progression to end-stage renal disease,22 although this association was debated by other authors because it seemed to be strongly confounded by other factors.23 Our study showed significant association with calcium-phosphate product ($r=0.31$, $P<0.01$) and uric acid ($r=0.41$, $P<0.001$). Similar results were observed by other authors that high calcium-phosphate product was associated with an increased independent risk of disease progression in CKD subjects.24 Another study was done on 80 non-diabetic patients with CKD stages II to IV, 80 kidney transplant recipients, and 32 healthy control subjects. Study results showed that serum NGAL values were significantly higher in kidney allograft recipients and in CKD patients compared with healthy controls. NGAL rose gradually, reaching the highest value in advanced CKD.25

We determined best cut-off values to predict early stage CKD in our study. For uNGAL this value was found to be 3.51 log ng/mL, with a sensitivity of 93.08% and specificity of 71.43% in predicting the progression of CKD. In a study
by Bolignano et al the best cut-off level for sNGAL was found to be 435 ng/mL (sensitivity 83.9%, specificity 53.8%).

In our study, the best overall agreement with uNGAL was found for eGFR. Moreover, our data suggest that NGAL assay is also able to detect patients with only subclinical or modest renal damage, which may not be revealed by significant variations in renal function test, such as serum creatinine.

**Limitations of our study**

Small sample size, diagnosis of myocardial infarction/angina was based on the clinical evaluation by treating physician and the cause of death was not determined by postmortem examination. It is possible that some of the sudden cardiac deaths were due to hyperkalemia rather than an acute coronary syndrome.

**Conclusion**

Our results indicate that uNGAL has a better prognostic value to indicate kidney impairment in CKD subjects.
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