Fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma: current clinical perspectives
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Abstract: Fibrolamellar carcinoma (FLC) is a variant of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which comprises ~1%–9% of all HCCs. Although FLC is a variant of HCC, it is distinct from HCC in that it most often affects younger patients (10–35 years of age) with no underlying liver disease. FLC often presents with vague abdominal pain, nausea, abdominal fullness, malaise, and weight loss. Surgery is the current mainstay of treatment for FLC and remains the only potentially curative option. While FLCs are considered less responsive to chemotherapy than their classic HCC counterparts, there have been suggestions that multimodality treatments may be effective, especially in advanced cases. Further research is necessary to determine effective systemic therapies as an adjunct to surgery for FLC.
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Introduction

Fibrolamellar carcinoma (FLC) is a variant of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) that comprises ~1%–9% of all HCCs according to the SEER database.1 FLC was first described by Edmondson in 1956 as an adult type of liver tumor in a 14-year-old female with no underlying liver disease.2 The term FLC was not suggested, however, until 1980 when Craig et al described a set of patients with a unique histologic variant of HCC.3 The World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Tumors subsequently recognized FLC as having a unique histological pattern; however, it took until 2010 for the WHO to designate this clinical entity with its own WHO classification number.4

Although FLC is a variant of HCC, it is distinct from HCC in that FLC most often affects younger patients (10–35 years of age) with no underlying liver disease.5,6 On pathological analysis, FLC is characterized by large tumor cells with deeply eosinophilic cytoplasm due to abundant mitochondria and prominent nuclei arranged in cords surrounded by lamellated collagen fibers.3,7 The tumor cells can demonstrate hepatocellular features; however, FLC tumors also can display both biliary and neuroendocrine differentiation. While the etiology of FLC tumors remains still unclear, FLC is thought to have an overall better prognosis than other primary liver tumors (eg, HCC, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma). We herein review the epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of patients with FLC.

Epidemiology

FLC accounts for between 1% and 9% of all HCCs depending on the population studied.8–15 From an epidemiologic viewpoint, one feature that often distinguishes FLC
Diagnosis Imaging

Due to the vague symptoms associated with FLC, diagnosis is usually made on the basis of both clinical presentation and diagnostic imaging studies. Imaging studies including ultrasound, computed tomography (CT) scan, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may all be useful. On ultrasound, FLC is characterized as a well-defined mass that has heterogeneous echogenicity. Rather than ultrasound, cross-sectional imaging is the preferred mechanism to characterize most liver lesions, including FLC. CT scans that included an unenhanced phase followed by an intravenous contrast-enhanced hepatic arterial phase, a portal venous phase, and a delayed phase are recommended. Using contrast-enhanced CT, FLC typically presents as a large (7–20 cm), heterogeneous, well-defined mass with a lobulated outline. On the unenhanced phase, FLC is most often hypoattenuating with calcifications (40%–68%) and a central stellate scar (65%–75%), which is not seen in traditional HCC. Necrosis without intratumoral hemorrhage is also a common finding in FLC. On hepatic arterial phase, most FLC lesions appear with heterogeneous hyperattenuation due to the large hypervascular tumor cells surrounding hypovascular fibrotic bands, as well as necrosis. The portal venous-phase CT characteristics of FLC are more variable. In ~50% of patients, FLC tumors are isodense to the liver in the portal venous phase, while in 30%–40% and 10%–20%, the lesions are either hyperattenuating or hypointensographically, respectively.

In many centers, MRI is the preferred imaging modality. MRI can be quite helpful in distinguishing FLC from other liver lesions. FLC tumors are usually hypointense on T1-weighted images and hyperintense on T2-weighted images with a fibrous central scar that remains hypointense on both T1- and T2-weighted images. The hypointensity of the central scar can help differentiate FLC from benign liver masses such as focal nodular hyperplasia, which typically has a predominately hyperintense central scar on T2-weighted images. Gadolinium contrast-enhanced MRI is used by many institutions to help further characterize liver lesions. On gadolinium-enhanced MRI, FLC is characterized by marked heterogeneous enhancement on the arterial phase that washes out and leaves an isointense or hypointense lesion on the portal venous phase.

The role of 18F-FDG positron emission tomography–computed tomography (PET/CT) in the workup of FLC has not been well studied. Limited case series have suggested that PET/CT may be a useful tool in the diagnosis and monitoring of FLC as it may be FDG avid in up to 75% of patients.
(Figure 1). As such, FDG-PET may be especially helpful in distinguishing FLC from focal nodular hyperplasia, the latter not being FDG avid. Before routine utilization of PET/CT can be recommended, further investigations of the effectiveness of PET/CT in FLC are warranted.

**Pathology**
While cross-sectional imaging can strongly suggest FLC, confirmation of the diagnosis can only be achieved with the use of a biopsy. While a needle biopsy is often obtained, a definitive diagnosis can be difficult to confirm by fine-needle biopsy, and occasionally, additional tissue (eg, core biopsy) is required for accurate diagnosis. It is important to note, however, that biopsy is typically not necessary – nor recommended – if the lesion is highly suspicious for FLC on cross-sectional imaging and resection is feasible. Under these circumstances, rather than biopsy, surgery should be recommended. Rather, biopsy should more commonly be reserved for those circumstances of true diagnostic uncertainty or when the lesion is not amenable to resection and the tissue is required to direct other nonsurgical therapy.

On pathology, FLC tumors tend to be large, yellow/tan, hypervascular, well-circumscribed masses with areas of necrosis in otherwise normal liver parenchyma. Up to 75% of tumors may have a central stellate scar and prominent fibrous tissue. Microscopically, FLC is characterized by large polygonal or spindle-shaped tumor cells with deeply eosinophilic cytoplasm due to abundant mitochondria and prominent nuclei arranged in cords surrounded by lamellated collagen fibers (Figure 2). In fact, the average size of FLC tumors cells is roughly three times larger than normal hepatocytes and 1.6 times larger than HCC tumor cells. Round- to oval-shaped cytoplasmic pale bodies lacking a nucleus and intracytoplasmic hyaline droplets are also seen on microscopy but are not required for diagnosis. Generally, there is no cirrhosis in the surrounding liver parenchyma; however, there may be nonspecific inflammation suggested by the presence of mononuclear cells and lymphocytes. Electron microscopy often demonstrates an increase in the number of mitochondria – a pathological feature specific to FLC.

Immunohistochemical staining of FLC has some similarities to HCC, including staining positive for hepatocyte paraffin 1. However, unlike HCC, FLC often stains strongly for CK7 and epithelial membrane antigen, which are characteristic of biliary differentiation as well as markers of hepatic differentiation (CK19 and EpCAM). In addition, unlike most HCC, FLC stains negative for alpha fetoprotein. Furthermore, FLC tends to express CD133 and CD44 markers that are associated with stem cells. FLC also stains more often and more diffusely for epithelial growth factor receptor and transforming growth factor beta than classic HCC. Transforming growth factor beta has been shown to be a

![Figure 1](#) A 22-year-old man with fibrolamellar HCC.
**Notes:** (A) Axial contrast-enhanced (gadoxetate disodium, Eovist, Bayer HealthCare), T1-weighted MR image obtained 20 minutes after contrast administration shows large multifocal fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma with satellite lesions (arrows). (B) Coronal maximum-intensity projection image of 18F-FDG PET/CT shows that tumor has heterogeneous FDG avidity, with some lesions more FDG avid (arrow) than others (arrowhead). Reprinted with permission from the American Journal of Roentgenology. **Abbreviations:** HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MR, magnetic resonance; PET, positron emission tomography; CT, computed tomography; FDG, fludeoxyglucose.

![Figure 2](#) Typical histological features of FLHCC.
**Notes:** Hematoxylin and eosin staining of tumor tissues (A and B) from a patient with FLHCC. Large tumor cells are filled with eosinophilic granular cytoplasm and contain a large vesicular nucleus with a macronucleolus. They are arranged in trabeculae separated by abundant fibrous bands. (A) Low magnification; (B) high magnification. By Masson’s trichrome stain (C), dense fibrous bands (greenish blue) between nests of tumor cells are clearly visible. By immunohistochemical staining (D), tumor cells are strongly positive for HepPar1 indicating the hepatocellular origin of tumor cells. Original magnifications are ×200 (A, C and D) and ×400 (B). Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd. The American Journal of Gastroenterology. Liu S, Chan KW, Wang B, Qiao L. Fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(10):2617–2624. Copyright © 2009. **Abbreviation:** FLHCC, fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma.
proliferative factor that may account for the lamellar pattern characteristic of FLC tumors on pathology.\textsuperscript{59,61}

In addition to pathologic evaluation and immunohistochemical staining, there are genetic differences discovered recently which distinguish FLC from normal liver parenchyma and HCC. A 400 kb deletion in chromosome 19 seen in 100\% of the FLC tumors tested by Honeyman et al results in a functional DNAJB1-PRKACA chimeric transcript, which further defines FLC as a unique entity.\textsuperscript{62,63}

### Treatment

#### Surgical resection

When feasible, surgery is the cornerstone therapeutic modality for patients with FLC as it represents the only potentially curative option. Complete surgical resection of the FLC tumor with negative margins along with an adequate lymph node dissection is the ideal treatment. In a systematic review by Mavros et al, the authors analyzed 575 patients with FLC.\textsuperscript{64} The authors noted that patients who underwent resection of FLC had a 5-year survival of 70\% compared with 0\% among those patients who did not undergo surgical resection.\textsuperscript{64} The average size of the FLC tumor resected was between 9 cm and 13 cm.\textsuperscript{5,50,65} In a separate study, Stipa et al reported on 28 resected FLC patients and noted that 75\% of the patients who underwent surgery for FLC required either a hemi-hepatectomy or an extended hepatectomy.\textsuperscript{6} As the surgeries are often complex, a complete (R0) resection is not always possible, but it is important for survival. In a study by Darcy et al, which looked at 21 patients who underwent resection for FLC at a highly specialized cancer center, a complete (R0) resection was achieved in 17 (80.9\%) patients, an R1 in two patients (9.5\%), and an R2 in two patients (9.5\%).\textsuperscript{65} The overall 5-year survival in this cohort was 42.6\% (95\% confidence interval, 20–65.2), while the 5-year overall survival of those who underwent complete resection was 51.6\%. Improved long-term overall survival was associated with R0 resection (\(P=0.003\)).\textsuperscript{65} In addition to R0 resection, regional lymph node dissection is warranted due to the high incidence of lymph node metastasis and regional recurrence in patients with nodal disease.\textsuperscript{5,66,67}

Several factors are associated with a better prognosis following surgery including younger age at diagnosis, earlier tumor stage at diagnosis, as well as absence of large vessel invasion or thrombosis.\textsuperscript{5,12} Factors associated with a particularly poor prognosis include lymph node metastasis, multiple tumors, metastatic disease at presentation, and vascular invasion.\textsuperscript{6,13,65,68} There have been conflicting data regarding sex as a prognostic factor, as studies have variably reported female sex to be both a favorable and adverse factor associated with long-term survival (Table 1).\textsuperscript{5,68,69,70}

Table 1 Prognostic factors in fibrolamellar carcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive prognostic factors</th>
<th>Negative prognostic factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete resection</td>
<td>Earlier tumor stage at diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earlier stage at diagnosis</td>
<td>Absence of large vessel invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of male</td>
<td>Female sex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prognosis following resection of FLC has also been suggested to be better than typical HCC (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{3,5–7,58,70} There are several factors that may contribute to the better prognosis of FLC patients, including that FLC patients are typically younger and healthier. In addition, FLC patients have normal underlying liver parenchyma, which may allow for more aggressive resections and decrease the risk of de novo future disease. As noted, the ability to perform complete resection has been reported to be one of the most important and well-described prognostic factors for FLC.\textsuperscript{5,6,65,68,71}

Despite a generally good long-term prognosis, recurrence following resection of FLC is relatively common with rates ranging from 33\% to 100\% and a median recurrence-free survival of 20–48 months.\textsuperscript{6,72} For example, in a small series of 28 patients who underwent resection of FLC, Stipa et al reported a 5-year recurrence-free survival of only 18\% with an overall recurrence rate of 60\%.\textsuperscript{6} The most common sites of recurrence include lymph nodes, liver, peritoneum, lungs, and bone.\textsuperscript{73} Due to the

![Figure 3 Overall survival of patients with FLC or HCC managed with a liver-directed procedure from the time of diagnosis in SEER from 1986 to 2008.](image)

**Notes:** FLC (n=90; median survival: 75 months). HCC (n=7,135; median survival: 43 months). \(P=0.001\). Reprinted from Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 218(2), Mayo SC, Mavros MN, Nathan H, et al, Treatment and prognosis of patients with fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma: a national perspective, 196–205, Copyright © 2014, with permission from Elsevier.\textsuperscript{70}

**Abbreviations:** FLC, fibrolamellar carcinoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program.
high recurrence associated with FLC, diligent postoperative surveillance is indicated. For example, Maniaci et al have proposed an intensive surveillance protocol following surgery that includes CT and serum vitamin B12-binding protein levels every 3–6 months for the first 2–3 years postoperatively. In cases where serum vitamin B12-binding protein is elevated and CT scan is negative, the authors recommend PET/CT. While this or other protocols have not been vigorously studied – and therefore cannot be endorsed – the data collectively suggest that close surveillance is warranted. If recurrence is detected, depending on the site and number of recurrent lesions, repeat surgical resection should be considered, as other treatment options are not effective. For example, Maniaci et al reported on ten patients with FLC treated with resection followed by close surveillance and re-excision, systemic chemotherapy, as well as radiotherapy for any relapses. This study showed a median overall survival of 9.3 years (95% confidence interval, 3.0–18.5) with two patients showing at least partial response to cisplatin and fluorouracil.

For patients with FLC who present with unresectable disease, liver transplantation should be considered as 3-year survival following 75%–80% transplantation approaches. While transplantation may be used in cases of FLR, it is much more commonly indicated for HCC than FLC. This is likely due to the fact HCC is more common than FLC as well as the fact that regional lymph node metastasis (a relative contraindication to transplant) is more common in FLC (42.2%) compared with HCC (22.2%).

Systemic therapy
FLC is not typically responsive to chemotherapy. While there is no consensus regarding the ideal chemotherapeutic regimen for FLC, platinum-based chemotherapy regimens, as well as combination regimens including interferon alpha-2b have been used with some success. In a Phase II trial, Patt et al reported a complete or partial response in five out of eight patients treated with a combination therapy of fluorouracil and recombinant interferon alpha-2b. Recent case reports have described the use of gemcitabine/oxaliplatin and 5-FU/folinic acid/oxaliplatin with some success.

In addition to systemic chemotherapy, recent research has focused on taking advantage of the new understanding of the pathogenesis and molecular genetics of FLC. For example, one current multi-institutional, randomized controlled trial is evaluating mTOR inhibition in combination with estrogen suppression in the treatment of FLC. In addition, FLC has been shown to express increased levels of epithelial growth factor receptor as well as transforming growth factor beta. Thus, these two factors are potential targets in the future treatment of FLC.

Locoregional therapy
As FLC is not typically responsive to systemic chemotherapy, locoregional therapies have been considered. While not well studied, radiation therapy has been used to treat recurrent FLC in a few small case series. In one case report, Peacock et al demonstrated an 85% decrease in tumor volume of FLC metastases using 40 Gy in ten fractions over a 13-day time period. External beam radiation therapy was delivered as 21 Gy to the involved field in seven fractions over 10 days for most patients. In a separate retrospective study of ten patients with nonresectable metastatic disease who were treated with external beam radiation in addition to chemotherapy, three patients had objective partial responses by volumetric analysis, six patients had stabilization of their tumor volume, and one patient had early progression. While regional liver-directed therapies (eg, chemoembolization, yttrium 90, ablation) have been well described for HCC, their use in FLC remains poorly defined.

Conclusion
FLC has a distinct epidemiology, radiographic appearance, as well as pathologic characteristic than HCC. Most often, patients who present with FLC have an absence of common risk factors seen in classic HCC. While physical findings are often not helpful, cross-sectional imaging with CT or MRI will typically display features highly suggestive of FLC. For patients with resectable disease, surgical resection with lymphadenectomy is the recommended treatment. The long-term prognosis for patients with resected FLC is good; however, many patients will experience a recurrence. A subset of patients who recur may be candidates for surgery. For patients who present with initially unresectable disease or develop an unresectable recurrence, other therapeutic options including systemic or locoregional therapy should be considered. Unfortunately, nonsurgical options for patients with FLC remain limited, and future research is needed to identify better multimodality therapies.
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