Spotlight on decitabine for myelodysplastic syndromes in Chinese patients
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Abstract: Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDSs) are a group of heterogeneous clonal hematopoietic stem cell malignancies with advanced median age. The silencing of tumor suppressor genes caused by DNA hypermethylation plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of MDS. Decitabine, the available hypomethylating agent, is successfully used for the treatment and improves the outcome of MDS, and has become one of the most frequently administered disease-modifying therapies. With an aging population and a growing number of people exposed to benzene, the incidence of MDS has been increasing rapidly. The blinded regimen choice and the lack of a unified strategy create challenges for the treatment of MDS. Here, we present a review of clinical progress and prospects of decitabine treatment of MDS in the People’s Republic of China. We also discuss the optimization of therapy issues to improve the cure rate and prolong survival in patients with MDS.
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Introduction

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDSs) are a heterogeneous collection of clonal hematopoietic stem cell diseases, which are characterized by ineffective hematopoesis, subsequent cytopenias, and transformation to acute myeloid leukemia (AML).1 The morbidity of MDS increased with age. The yearly incidence rate is approximately 4.5 per 100,000 people in the general population, 27.9 per 100,000 septuagenarians, and reaching 51.5 per 100,000 in people aged 80 years and above.2 The pathogenic factor of MDS remains obscure, but has been related to the lifestyle and environmental factors. The incidence of MDS is believed to be increasing in the People’s Republic of China as a result of the aging population and augmented exposure to benzene, which has been confirmed to be a risk factor of MDS.3,4 However, because of the unavailability of curable approach and the blindness of strategy choice, clinical practice in the treatment of MDS is forced to face the new challenges in the People’s Republic of China.

Current common regimens for MDS treatment include hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), immunotherapeutic strategies, chemotherapy, supportive care, iron-chelating therapy, targeted therapies, and so on. HSCT, the only potentially curative approach of MDS, is not applicable to older patients and those without histocompatible donor. Moreover, supportive care, including anti-infection and improvement of anemia/thrombocytopenia/neutropenia, would give rise to blood transfusion dependency, iron overload and impaired quality of life.

The identification of multistage epigenetic modification brings a revolution in understanding of the pathogenesis of MDS. Decitabine, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved hypomethylating agent, has doubtless improved the outcome and prolonged overall survival of patients with MDS. Noticeably, the elderly patients,
who cannot tolerate certain types of intensive chemotherapy or HSCT, would probably better fit the decitabine strategy, because of the mild toxicity profile of decitabine.5,6

However, the promotion of decitabine as an appropriate strategy for MDS presents many challenges, including the absence of the uniform guidelines for clinical practice and the clinical effect comparison. This article provides an overview of the current clinical role of single or combined decitabine regimens and optimizes therapeutic choices for the treatment of MDS in the People’s Republic of China.

**Mechanism of MDS treatment with decitabine**

**Decitabine demethylation and molecular mechanism of action**

The efficacy of hypomethylating agents is based on epigenetics.7,8 Epigenetics is a subdiscipline of genetics that suggests that DNA sequences do not change, but that gene expression may demonstrate hereditary changes. These changes are caused by changes in other genetic materials rather than in the genetic information carried within a cell, and can be passed on steadily as an organism grows and develops.9,10 As this change has been repeatedly detected in the onset of tumors, it has been studied within the mechanism of tumorigenesis, as well as forming an important target for tumor treatment.9,11,12

Current studies suggest that the main epigenetic processes include DNA methylation, histone modifications, and noncoding RNA regulation. DNA methylation is the best and most important form of epigenetic regulation. Under normal conditions, in mammalian cells, cytosine is methylated on the fifth carbon atom via DNA methyltransferase DNMT and is thereby converted to 5-methylcytosine. For this process, the primary locus of DNA methylation is CpG dinucleotide, which is non-homogeneously distributed in the genome. Regions known as CpG islands have a density of CpG sequences of more than 10–20 times the average, a guanine–cytosine percentage that is greater than 50%, and more than 200 base pairs; approximately 50% of human genes have CpG islands. CpG island promoters, located upstream of regulatory regions of genes, are usually in a nonmethylated state in order to ensure normal gene expression. CpG island methylation affects the transcriptional regulation of the downstream gene, gene expression occurs, and even gene silencing.

Two DNMT inhibitors, decitabine and azacitidine, are approved as hypomethylating agents for the treatment of MDS by the FDA.7 These two agents are similar in structure, but play a different role in the mechanisms, although both agents can alter the pathological process by inhibiting DNMTs.13–16 Several comparative studies have suggested that azacitidine is preferred over decitabine based on survival data.17,18 However, there still remains a matter of debate to establish the exact role of decitabine compared to azacitidine in the Asian population. Some Asian-specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the CDA gene (cytidine deaminase) have been detected, and these SNPs would affect the enzymatic activity to inactive decitabine.19–22 The genetic characteristics are likely to accrue the indeterminate result of the comparison between decitabine and azacitidine in Asian MDS patients,23 and this has been confirmed by several analyses in Japanese and Korean patients.24–26 Unfortunately, since decitabine is the only hypomethylating agent approved in the People’s Republic of China, the conclusion of Chinese patients is hampered by the paucity of azacitidine data. Thus, we mainly focused on the clinical benefits of decitabine for MDS patients in the People’s Republic of China.

**The role of decitabine in the treatment of MDS**

Current research indicates that the effects of decitabine on MDS are based primarily on the dose, inhibiting DNA methylation at the low dose and producing cytotoxicity at high dose.27,28

In recent years, a number of studies have confirmed that molecular abnormalities in MDS, including the epigenetic processes of DNA methylation and hypermethylation of CpG islands and gene promoter regions, are associated with the severity of MDS and patient age. So far, we know that hypermethylation causes inactivation of MDS genes, including p15INK4B, p21, the estrogen receptor, CALC1, E-cadherin, HIC-1, RASSFIA, and so on. Using decitabine at low concentrations can substitute for cytosine and DNA methylation in tumor cells metastasis enzyme covalent binding, but cannot induce cell death. Instead, it can reduce the hypermethylation of CpG islands in patients with MDS and activate methylation by inhibiting DNMT, which will lead to a higher response rate. High concentrations of decitabine, however, can inhibit DNA synthesis, inhibit S-phase cells, induce cell death, and exert a cytotoxic effect. Currently, decitabine is used to treat MDS, mostly at low doses in demethylation treatment (Figure 1).29–31

Compared with younger patients who need and can cope with HSCT, elderly patients with MDS and mainly hematological parameters are more suited to treatment with hypomethylating agents. It improves and extends survival
Progress in clinical studies on decitabine

Developments in research on decitabine

In 1964, decitabine was first developed by MGI Pharma, Inc., Bloomington, MN, USA (with the trade name Dacogen) and took the form of an injection. Work in epigenetics and tumor relationship research has increased until, until in the 1990s, decitabine became part of a new breakthrough. In 1997, Uchida found that $p15\text{INK4B}$ gene methylation frequencies in high-risk MDS patients were higher than those in patients with low-risk MDS. Christiansen (2003) showed that $p15\text{INK4B}$ gene methylation was elevated in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) and with MDS; methylation means poor prognosis. In Phase II clinical trials, the overall response rate (complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]) was 49%, the response rate achieved in high-risk patients being 64%.

Phase III randomized clinical trials compared traditional supportive therapy with decitabine (weekly injections for 3 days, for a total of ten periods) and indicated a better overall response rate and quality of life for the latter. For the transfer rate of AML, supportive therapy is 1.68 times higher than decitabine therapy. Methylation studies of decitabine for treatment of MDS provide us with both a theoretical basis and practical experience. In 2006, the European Medicines Agency in Europe and the FDA in the USA gave marketing clearance for decitabine to be used mainly for the treatment of primary and secondary MDS. Decitabine entered the People’s Republic of China in 2009 and was approved by the State Food and Drug Administration, being directly listed without the need for clinical trials.

Currently, for decitabine research, the main dosing guidelines apply to use of the medication alone; to the use of a combined regimen with allogeneic bone marrow transplantation; and also to the combined use with other drugs; for example, decitabine may be used jointly as part of a CAG program (aclacinomycin, low-dose cytarabine, and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor [G-CSF]), or as part of a HAG (homoharringtonine, cytarabine, and G-CSF) program. However, to ascertain the best combined program, answers and evidence from clinical testing and research are required.

International clinical scenarios relating to decitabine and International Prognostic Scoring System risk scores

Currently, patients with MDS can be identified through the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS; Table 1),
Although there remain many unmet needs in the treatment, intensive joint HSCT and chemotherapy are also needed. This is not the only necessary hospital treatment; continuous treatment for 3 days (total dose, 135 mg/m²), administered intravenously over 3 hours; continuous treatment for 5 days (total dose 100 mg/m²). Four weeks are required for one treatment, with at least four courses of treatment; once the treatment is effective, it is continued. The latter single 5-day, four-cycle treatment program is the ideal single-drug regimen. Also, prior to allogeneic HSCT, building bridges to decitabine can be used for transplantation. At present, another common AzaC methylation inhibitor is thought to be decitabine with a similar efficacy; methylation of decitabine is considered to be more than ten times higher than that of AzaC, so AzaC tolerance should be used in patients with decitabine. To summarize, decitabine is suitable for those high-risk patients who are 1) unable to undergo intensive chemotherapy; 2) unable to undergo allogeneic HSCT, performed before transplantation therapy; 3) relapsed after HSCT. Additionally, decitabine may also be applied to the low-risk patients with progressive increase of serum erythropoietin and/or without secondary anemia.

Use of decitabine as a monotherapy in the People’s Republic of China

Chinese hematologists have formed a basic consensus with respect to monotherapy with decitabine in the treatment of MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; IPSS, International Prognostic Scoring System; IPSS-R, Revised International Prognostic Scoring System; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; y, years.

The IPSS Revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R; Table 2), and the World Health Organization-based Prognostic Scoring System (WPSS; Table 3). The appropriate treatment choice and therapeutic medicines have practical implications.

The overall strategy for the treatment of MDS internationally is as follows. For IPSS risk groups based on patients with low and intermediate-1 risk: treatment mostly consists of stimulating the remnants of the blood-forming capacity of hematopoietic stem cells in MDS and/or controlling abnormal hematopoietic clones, thereby inhibiting progression and improving patients’ quality of life; for intermediate-2-risk and high-risk patients, there is a need to eradicate abnormal hematopoietic clones in MDS, and to restore normal hematopoiesis.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for the treatment of MDS were divided into low-intensity and high-intensity treatment. Low-intensity therapy for outpatients is defined as the following: the selection of induced differentiation of hematopoietic cell growth factors, medications, low-intensity chemotherapy, and biological response modifiers. Decitabine and other hypomethylating agents are mainly used for transfusion-dependent therapy, with erythropoietin and other drugs, after the first-line treatment of patients with recurrent or refractory disease requiring follow-up treatments.

IPSS score intermediate-2 and high-risk patients need intensive therapy. This is not the only necessary hospital treatment; intense joint HSCT and chemotherapy are also needed. Although there remain many unmet needs in the treatment, decitabine has become one of the optimal regimens and may favorably influence response rates for MDS patients who cannot undergo HSCT. The treatment program has two phases: the first for 45 mg/m²/day, three times daily (once every 8 hours), administered intravenously over 3 hours; continuous treatment for 3 days (total dose, 135 mg/m²) and the second for 20 mg/m²/day, vein drops note, drops note time in 3 hours above; continuous treatment for 5 days (total dose 100 mg/m²). Four weeks are required for one treatment, with at least four courses of treatment; once the treatment is effective, it is continued. The latter single 5-day, four-cycle treatment program is the ideal single-drug regimen. Also, prior to allogeneic HSCT, building bridges to decitabine can be used for transplantation. At present, another common AzaC methylation inhibitor is thought to be decitabine with a similar efficacy; methylation of decitabine is considered to be more than ten times higher than that of AzaC, so AzaC tolerance should be used in patients with decitabine. To summarize, decitabine is suitable for those high-risk patients who are 1) unable to undergo intensive chemotherapy; 2) unable to undergo allogeneic HSCT, performed before transplantation therapy; 3) relapsed after HSCT. Additionally, decitabine may also be applied to the low-risk patients with progressive increase of serum erythropoietin and/or without secondary anemia.

Use of decitabine as a monotherapy in the People’s Republic of China

Chinese hematologists have formed a basic consensus with respect to monotherapy with decitabine in the treatment of

### Table 2 Prognosis of MDS according to revised IPSS risk score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPSS-R risk category (% IPSS-R population)</th>
<th>Overall score</th>
<th>Median survival (y) in the absence of therapy</th>
<th>25% AML progression (y) in the absence of therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low (19)</td>
<td>≤1.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Not reached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (20)</td>
<td>&gt;1.5 to ≤3.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (20)</td>
<td>&gt;3.0 to ≤4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (13)</td>
<td>&gt;4.5 to ≤6.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high (10)</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; IPSS, International Prognostic Scoring System; IPSS-R, Revised International Prognostic Scoring System; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; y, years.

### Table 3 Prognosis of MDS according to WPSS risk score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WPSS</th>
<th>Sum of individual variable scores</th>
<th>Median survival (y) from diagnosis</th>
<th>Median time (y) to AML progression from diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Not reached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; WPSS, World Health Organization-based Prognostic Scoring System; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; y, years.
MDS; the efficacy of 5 days of treatment of middle- and high-risk MDS has been confirmed and compared with chemotherapy, and it has been found to be more satisfactory for older patients who cannot have HSCT and long-term treatments.

Lv retrieved data on 60 MDS patients receiving hospital treatment. Analysis of treatment efficacy in the decitabine treatment group and the control group showed an overall efficacy rate of 80% for the former, while the control group only showed 30%. The rate of complete remission in the experimental group was 50%, far higher than the control group’s 6.67%; there were six patients with disease in the test group, which was significantly lower than the 21 people in the control group. In a group treated with low doses, there was greater efficacy and no significant adverse reactions. However, the experimental data derived from the 5- and 3-day treatment scenarios do not compare.

Yang et al conducted a retrospective study on the efficacy of decitabine in 12 patients with MDS and MDS-AML. The results showed that out of the 12 patients, there was partial remission in one, steady progress in five, one severe case, and three deaths. There was a 66.67% rate of disease control (8/12), with an efficacy rate of 25% (3/12). The median survival of patients was 11.5 (±2.1) months, with a 1-year overall survival rate of 40%, and a 2-year overall survival rate of 16.7%; some MDS patients with leukemia even achieved complete remission, and there were fewer adverse reactions than in the 3-day scheme or 5-day scheme. As the sample size of this study was only 12, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from it; further studies are required with larger sample sizes.

For medium- and high-risk MDS patients, the prognosis is relatively poor, and this may relate to abnormal DNA methylation, resulting in inactivation of the cancer suppressor gene. Low-dose decitabine methylates and reverses abnormal DNA methylation to induce the differentiation of tumor cells into normal cells, resulting in the apoptosis of tumor cells. Wang et al observed 12 cases of clinical efficacy and adverse reactions in patients with high-risk MDS treated using decitabine. The results showed that by using a low dose for 5 days, the longer course results in a higher chance of survival of MDS patients, but there is a bone marrow suppression ratio of 83.3% (10/12). This research indicated that with a 5-day, low-dose regimen for treating patients with high-risk MDS, survival rates are high, but the bone marrow suppression with therapeutic doses still requires optimization.

Overall, the domestic application of low-dose decitabine in the treatment of high-risk MDS has a significant effect, but its side effects are mainly stage III–IV and include infection in bone marrow transplantations. The treatment process needs to be enhanced by combining it with other drugs, use of antibiotics, blood transfusions, and HSCT in order to reduce the incidence of bone marrow transplantations and infections.

Decitabine in combination with domestic drugs

Combining with cytotoxic drugs

MDS patients include a high proportion of elderly patients, due to its complicated history, associated organ dysfunction, immune dysfunction, and subsequent vulnerability to severe infections and bleeding, all of which give patients poor tolerance to chemotherapy and an inability to tolerate standard-dose chemotherapy. Cao et al applied decitabine combined with a half-dose regimen of CAG for the treatment of MDS, and achieved a better overall efficacy rate of 75% (6/8), suggesting that this reduces chemotherapeutic CAG doses, and so reduces the side effects of chemotherapy.

Guan et al compared decitabine combined with HAG/IAG (idarubicin/Ara-C/G-CSF) and a half-weight with a traditional CAG scheme; the overall efficacy rate of the former treatment reached 84.62%, and overall survival was 36.2 (±11.8) months, with no adverse effects.

Overall, combination therapy can improve efficacy and reduce the incidence of adverse reactions, but bone marrow transplants remained higher, possibly because decitabine drug combinations are still strongly cytotoxic. This requires further research into other combination therapy programs.

Combining with other epigenetic drugs

Azacitidien and decitabine are the widely used DNA cytosine hypomethylation agents. Decitabine plays a major role in DNA, while azacitidine plays a major in both mRNA and DNA. Preliminary studies have suggested that decitabine is a ten times stronger hypomethylating agent than azacitidine, and that decitabine is safe for elderly patients. This has been confirmed by a number of clinical trials, but azacitidine without bone marrow toxicity is thought to be safer for elderly patients. Decitabine and azacitidine have been suggested for “advanced treatment”, but the necessity for and feasibility of “bridge therapy” require further research.

Combined immunotherapy

Studies have revealed that decitabine is valid for MDS treatment and delays transformation to AML, but due to the bone
marrow toxicity conferred by regular doses of decitabine, its application is restricted, especially in elderly patients.

For elderly patients with MDS, this is the most commonly used treatment, but it has resulted in the need for treatment of severe bone marrow suppression. In preliminary clinical studies, Yang et al. found that autologous cytokine-induced killer cells can cause some elderly MDS-AML patients to improve hematologically, even though the response may be partial. Consideration should be given to decitabine’s potential role, through epigenetics, in regulation and control of the treatment of leukemia and cytokine-induced killer-cell-specific targeting of leukemia cells. Combining these could reduce the dose of decitabine required and improve efficacy.

**Integrated Chinese and Western medicine**

In traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), MDS is considered to belong to the categories of “consumptive diseases”, “blood troubles”, and “subcutaneous nodule”; the basic pathogenesis is that of “kidney essence deficiency, heat-toxin stagnation”. Kidney dominates bone, and bone marrow stores up essence, which five internal organs measure; kidney essence deficiency causes heat-toxin connotations’ deficiency and tuberculosis. The predominant treatment of MDS in TCM is restoring qi (the energy of life) and radical therapy, supported by blood-activating and stasis-resolving medicinal, antipyretic-detoxicate drugs or anticancer agent according to the malignant degree and clinical classification of the primary disease.

Based on the diseases and syndrome differentiation treatment, Zhu et al. optimized Chinese herbal prescription, utilizing “dissipating dampness and detoxication, supplementing qi and nourishing yin” as a basic principle. The traditional Chinese medicine can significantly reduce the adverse events of decitabine treatment, and contribute to the maintenance of vital signs stable organ function. Its safety and efficacy in MDS have been confirmed (Table 4).

Results of research confirmed that many heat-clearing and detoxifying drugs working on interleukin-2 and tumor necrosis factor can promote efficacy, so the antitumor effect of Chinese medicine may occur by promoting the antitumor immune responses in the body.

For patients with high-risk MDS, combined treatment with decitabine and TCM can significantly reduce adverse drug reactions and complications, and is safe and sustainable. The composition of TCM is complex, and so further studies of the combined mechanism, as well as clinical trials, are needed in order to consolidate the foundation for this combined treatment of MDS.

**Perspectives on decitabine for future applications**

To summarize, as a first-line drug for the treatment of MDS, decitabine has been widely applied as a single clinical drug, while significant results from drug combination therapies for the treatment of MDS have been obtained and unanimously endorsed by clinicians and patients. However, in the People’s Republic of China, use of decitabine for the treatment of MDS still requires improvement; the current recommended treatment program is based upon Western clinical tests/trials and Western medical systems. This is unsuitable for domestic patients; reduced bone marrow caused by use of decitabine and further enhancement of decitabine’s clinical cure rate are still key issues for doctors and patients. There is no thorough standard for decitabine application conditions or dosage, and no normative standardization training in grassroot hospitals.

Therefore, on the basis of national conditions and the characteristics of MDS, overall planning for the domestic treatment of MDS is necessary with the organization of a national multicenter, where clinical trials can be unified and treatments obtained. A multicenter clinical trial can collect more subjects over a relatively short period of time, and cover wide-ranging aspects of MDS and its treatment. This avoids the limitations of single studies and improves the design, execution, and analysis of clinical trials in order to obtain thorough, reasonable normalization standards.

Additionally, with the promotion of basic research and clinical studies, it is expected that the real “epigenetic therapy” concept of a new dosage regimen, as well as combination therapies based on this concept, will be found.

### Table 4 Clinical observations of Chinese herbal medicine combined with decitabine in the treatment of high-risk MDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Complete remission</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Hematologic improvement</th>
<th>Stable condition</th>
<th>Disease progression</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Chinese medicine group (16 people)</td>
<td>18.75% (3/16)</td>
<td>18.75% (3/16)</td>
<td>18.75% (3/16)</td>
<td>6.25% (1/16)</td>
<td>3.75% (6/16)</td>
<td>56.25% (9/16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western medicine group (14 people)</td>
<td>25.00% (3/14)</td>
<td>25.00% (2/14)</td>
<td>41.67% (3/14)</td>
<td>0.00% (0/14)</td>
<td>8.33% (6/14)</td>
<td>57.14% (8/14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome.
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