Dual HER2 blockade in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer
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Abstract: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor that is overexpressed on the surface of 15%–20% of breast tumors and has been associated with poor prognosis. Consistently improved pathologic response and survival rates have been demonstrated with use of trastuzumab in combination with standard chemotherapy in both early and advanced breast cancer. However, resistance to trastuzumab may pose a major problem in the effective treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. Dual HER2 blockade, using agents that work in a complimentary fashion to trastuzumab, has more recently been explored to evade resistance in both the preoperative (neoadjuvant) and adjuvant settings. Increased effectiveness of dual anti-HER2 agents over single blockade has been recently reported in clinical studies. Pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and taxane is currently approved in the metastatic and neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. Various biomarkers have also been investigated to identify subsets of patients with HER2-positive tumors who would likely respond best to these targeted therapy combinations. In this article, available trial data regarding efficacy and toxicity of treatment with combination HER2 agents in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting have been reviewed, and relevant correlative biomarker data from these trials have been discussed.
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Introduction

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor that is overexpressed on the surface of 15%–20% of breast tumors and plays a key role in intracellular signaling pathways of tumor cells.¹ HER2 expression has been associated with aggressive disease and worse prognosis.² However, HER2 does not function independently; instead, it is part of a family of tyrosine kinase receptors that also includes HER1 (epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR]), HER3, and HER4. These receptors homo/heterodimerize with one another, thereby allowing auto-phosphorylation of tyrosine molecules, which then propagate intracellular signaling via the mitogen-activated protein kinases (HER1/HER2 heterodimers) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathways (HER2/HER3 heterodimers). HER2 is unique in that it is able to dimerize with any of the other three receptors and does not require ligand binding for activation.³ On the other hand, HER3 has weak intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity, but forms heterodimers with HER2, leading to the strongest activation signal.⁴

Trastuzumab (Herceptin®; Genentech, Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA) is a recombinant monoclonal antibody that binds to the extracellular domain IV of HER2, thereby inhibiting ligand-independent HER2 and HER3 signaling. It also activates antibody-dependent cellular toxicity (ADCC).⁵,⁶ The approval of trastuzumab in 1998...
has been a milestone in the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer (BC). It has been shown to consistently improve disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) in both early and metastatic BC (MBC).\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\) However, despite appropriate treatment with trastuzumab, up to 40% of patients with HER2 overexpression may be resistant to therapy (de novo and acquired resistance).\(^11\) Mechanisms for resistance to therapy are expansive and not completely understood. Suggested mechanisms include alterations in the presence of tyrosine kinase receptors, upregulation of downstream effectors, and/or activation of alternative pathways to bypass the HER2 inhibition.\(^11\)

To combat these resistance pathways, several strategies have been employed, such as combination of anti-HER2 agents with chemotherapy, combination of two anti-HER2 agents with complimentary mechanisms of action, targeting HER2/HER3 dimerization, and testing of irreversible dual HER1/2 inhibitors, such as afatinib and neratinib.\(^11\) For example, pertuzumab (Perjeta\(^\text{®}\), Genentech) binds in sub-domain II of HER2 to prevent dimerization between HER2 and HER3 and is currently US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved as a first-line therapy in combination with trastuzumab and chemotherapy in HER2-positive MBC and in the neoadjuvant setting. Lapatinib (Tykerb; GlaxoSmithKline plc, London, UK), another approved agent, is an oral small molecule dual inhibitor of tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR and HER2 that reversibly binds in the HER2 and/or HER1 intracellular domain. Additionally, lapatinib leads to HER2 accumulation at the cell surface, augmenting trastuzumab-dependent ADCC.\(^12\) But again, resistance to these drugs may develop within months of beginning treatment.\(^13\) Lapatinib is currently approved in women with HER2+ MBC that has progressed after trastuzumab treatment.\(^14\) Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1, Kadcyla\(^\text{®}\), Genentech) is a drug–antibody conjugate of trastuzumab and a cytotoxic moiety (DM1 or mertansine, a microtubule inhibitory agent), which is internalized after binding to HER2. Proteolytic degradation enables release of DM1, specifically in the lysosome of HER2-overexpressing cells, causing cell death and thus reducing toxicity to unaffected tissues.\(^15\) Based on the phase III study of Trastuzumab Emtansine (TDM-1) versus Capecitabine Plus Lapatinib in Patients with HER2-Positive Locally Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer (EMILIA) trial results, TDM1 is currently approved for advanced/MBC in patients previously treated with trastuzumab and taxane therapy.\(^16\) Novel agents such as afatinib and neratinib (oral irreversible pan-HER inhibitor) are also currently being investigated.\(^17\)

Another strategy to address drug resistance is to combine agents that target the HER family and work in a complementary fashion. Several preclinical studies have demonstrated synergistic activity of combinations of anti-HER agents, which may also help to overcome trastuzumab resistance.\(^18\)\(^-\)\(^21\) Arpino et al\(^22\) has demonstrated in animal models that the three-drug combination of gefitinib, pertuzumab, and trastuzumab is much more effective at eradicating HER2-overexpressing xenografts in mice than any of the single agents alone. Together, this combination blocks signaling from all HER receptor dimerization pairs, supporting the theory that combination HER-targeted therapy may be more successful than targeting only a single receptor domain. This was further evidenced in a study by Rimawi et al\(^23\) that showed that the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab was also more effective in eradicating HER2-overexpressing xenografts. This preclinical evidence has led to the development of clinical trials to further evaluate the efficacy of dual HER2 blockade.

In a phase III, randomized study conducted by Blackwell et al,\(^24\) the combination of trastuzumab and lapatinib improved the progression-free survival (PFS) and clinical benefit rate when compared to lapatinib alone in patients with progression of MBC on prior trastuzumab-containing therapy. Clinical Evaluation of Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab (CLEOPATRA) trial demonstrated a significant improvement in OS to 56.5 months in the pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel group versus 40.8 months in the trastuzumab and docetaxel group. Additionally, the PFS and duration of response were prolonged in the pertuzumab group, supporting the use of dual HER2 blockade in MBC.\(^25\)

Similar DFS and OS outcomes have been reported with preoperative (neoadjuvant) treatment of BC as administration of postoperative (adjuvant) systemic therapy.\(^26\)\(^-\)\(^27\) Additionally, neoadjuvant chemotherapy increases the rate of breast conservation surgery (BCS) and facilitates monitoring of responses to therapy, serving to demonstrate drug efficacy in a relatively short period of time. In randomized neoadjuvant studies, trastuzumab and chemotherapy-containing regimens have led to improved pathological complete response (pCR) at the time of surgery, which seems to correlate with improved disease outcomes, suggesting that pCR may serve as a surrogate marker of clinical benefit.\(^28\)\(^-\)\(^29\) Dual HER2 blockade has been explored in preoperative, metastatic, and adjuvant settings. In the current article, the neoadjuvant and adjuvant trials utilizing dual HER2 therapy, including relevant correlative
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/phase</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Patients enrolled</th>
<th>pCR rate (breast only)</th>
<th>pCR (breast + LN)</th>
<th>Rate of discontinuation</th>
<th>ORR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NeoALTTO/III</td>
<td>A. L (1,500 mg) ×6 weeks → Paclitaxel + L ×12 weeks → surgery → FEC + L → L</td>
<td>T &gt; 2 cm, N0-N3 (A: 154, B: 149, C: 152) 455 patients</td>
<td>A. 24.7% (P=0.34)</td>
<td>A. 20% (P=0.13)</td>
<td>A. 33.8% At surgery (By Caliper)</td>
<td>A. 74% (P=0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. T ×6 weeks → Paclitaxel + T ×12 weeks → surgery → FEC + T → T</td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 29.5%</td>
<td>B. 27.6%</td>
<td>B. 70.5%</td>
<td>B. 70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. T + L (1,000 mg → 750 mg) ×6 weeks → Paclitaxel + TL → surgery → FEC + TL → TL</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 51.3% (P=0.0001)</td>
<td>C. 46.8% (P=0.0007)</td>
<td>C. 39.5%</td>
<td>C. 80.2% (P=0.049)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cher-Lob/II</td>
<td>A. Paclitaxel → FEC + T → surgery</td>
<td>Stage II–IIIA</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>A. 25%</td>
<td>A. None</td>
<td>90% of total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Paclitaxel → FEC + L (1,500 mg → 1,250 mg) → surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 20% (P=0.13)</td>
<td>B. 26.3%</td>
<td>B. 12 patients</td>
<td>(17 interrupted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Paclitaxel → FEC + T + L (1,000 mg → 750 mg) → surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 27.6%</td>
<td>C. 46.7% (P=0.019)</td>
<td>C. 8 patients</td>
<td>(25 interrupted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Paclitaxel + T ×16 weeks → surgery → AC → T</td>
<td>Stage II–III, noninflammatory</td>
<td>A. 40%</td>
<td>A. 43%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Paclitaxel + T + L (750 mg) → surgery → AC → T</td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 52%</td>
<td>B. 52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Paclitaxel + L (1,500 mg – Closed early) → surgery → AC → T</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 29%</td>
<td>C. 29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSABP-B41/III</td>
<td>A. AC → Paclitaxel + T → surgery → T</td>
<td>&gt;2 cm palpable tumor (A: 177, B: 171, C: 171) 529 patients</td>
<td>A. 52.5%</td>
<td>A. 49.4%</td>
<td>A. 22% Prior to surgery</td>
<td>A. 82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. AC → Paclitaxel + L (1,250 mg) → surgery → T</td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 53.2% (P=0.099 for Arm B vs A)</td>
<td>B. 47.4% (0.78)</td>
<td>B. 32% (By physical exam)</td>
<td>B. 69.9% (P=0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. AC → Paclitaxel + T + L (750 mg) → surgery → T</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 62% (P=0.095 for Arm C vs A)</td>
<td>C. 60.2% (P=0.056)</td>
<td>C. 37%</td>
<td>C. 76.8% (P=0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBCRC 006/II</td>
<td>A. T + L (1,000 mg) → surgery</td>
<td>&gt;3 cm, or &gt;2 cm + palpable LN Stage II–III 64 patients</td>
<td>A/B. 27% total (21% ER+, 36% ER–)</td>
<td>A/B. 22% total (18% ER+, 28% ER–)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. T + L (1,000 mg) + letrozole (ER +) → surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. T ×21 d → Docetaxel + Carboplatin + T → surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TROUSI B07/II</td>
<td>A. T ×21 d → Docetaxel + Carboplatin + T → surgery</td>
<td>Stage I–III 64 patients</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>A. 47%</td>
<td>A. None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. L ×21 d → Docetaxel + Carboplatin + L → surgery</td>
<td>(A: 34, B: 36, C: 58) 128 patients</td>
<td>B. 25%</td>
<td>B. 28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. T + L ×21 d → Docetaxel + Carboplatin + T + L → surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 52%</td>
<td>C. 27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: A, B, and C denote treatment arms A, B, and C. *Denotes that this study has only been presented in the form of an abstract.

Abbreviations: AC, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide; ER, estrogen receptor; FEC, fluorouracil, epirubicin, cyclophosphamide; L, lapatinib; LN, lymph node; ORR, objective response rate; pCR, pathologic complete response; T, trastuzumab; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TL, trastuzumab and lapatinib; d, days; N, nodal stage.
biomarker data, where available, have been reviewed in the following sections.

**Neoadjuvant trials**

**Trastuzumab plus lapatinib**

**Efficacy**

The combination of trastuzumab and lapatinib in the neoadjuvant setting has been studied by multiple trials with varying regimens of chemotherapy (Table 1). In the Neoadjuvant Lapatinib and/or Trastuzumab Treatment Optimization (NeoALTTO) trial, a phase III, multicenter study, 455 women with operable HER2+ tumors measuring >2 cm were randomized to receive either lapatinib, trastuzumab, or the combination for 6 weeks, followed by 12 weeks of paclitaxel in addition to the assigned HER2 therapy prior to scheduled breast surgery.30 After surgery, all patients received three cycles of 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide (FEC), followed by the continuation of the initially assigned anti-HER2 therapy for an additional 34 weeks. The study’s primary endpoint of pCR, defined as absence of invasive cancer in the breast at the time of surgery, was significantly higher in patients treated with lapatinib and trastuzumab than with trastuzumab alone (51.3% vs 29.5%; \( P=0.001 \)), supporting dual blockade of the HER pathway in the neoadjuvant setting. The odds ratio (OR) for pCR in the combination group relative to the trastuzumab-alone group was 2.6 (\( P=0.0001 \)). Also, no significant difference in pCR was seen between the lapatinib and trastuzumab-alone groups (29.5% vs 24.7%). An improvement was seen in pCR rate in breast and axilla in the dual therapy group compared to trastuzumab alone (46.8% vs 27.6%; OR 2.39, \( P=0.007 \)) and improved objective response rate (complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]) at 6 weeks for both arms containing lapatinib compared to trastuzumab (52.6% lapatinib alone, 67.1% combination, and 30.2% trastuzumab alone; \( P<0.0001 \)). It is important to highlight that, during the initial 6 weeks, only anti-HER2 therapy was given without chemotherapy, allowing evaluation of tumor response based on anti-HER2 therapy alone. Subgroup analysis consistently showed higher pCR rates for dual therapy when patients were stratified by hormone receptor (estrogen receptor [ER]) status, involvement of axillary lymph nodes, clinical tumor size, and suitability for BCS.30 There was no difference in the number of patients undergoing BCS or the rate of conversion to BCS across treatment arms. Interestingly, the higher pCR rates in the combination arm of approximately 50% did not translate into a correspondingly higher rate of BCS. However, a similar outcome has been noted in many other modern trials of neoadjuvant therapy.30 Several factors, including tumor characteristics prior to neoadjuvant treatment and patients’ wishes, have been implicated as a major determinant of type of surgery.31 The correlation of pCR with event-free survival (EFS) and OS in the NeoALTTO trial has been recently reported.32 After a median follow-up of 3.77 years (for EFS) and 3.84 years (for OS), there was a statistically significant improvement in both EFS and OS in women who achieved pCR compared with those who did not (hazard ratio [HR] 0.38 [EFS] and 0.35 [OS], respectively). Interestingly, there was no significant difference in outcomes seen in patients who received dual blockade therapy versus either lapatinib or trastuzumab alone. The best outcome was noted in patients with HER2+, hormone receptor-negative (ER−) tumors treated with combination therapy (3-year EFS, 86%).

The results of NeoALTTO were reiterated by the Chemotherapy, Herceptin and Lapatinib in Operable Breast Cancer (CHER-LOB) trial, a randomized phase II trial that also evaluated the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab therapy in HER2+ tumors in the preoperative setting.33 Patients with Stage II–IIIA BC (\( n=121 \)) were assigned to receive 12 weeks of paclitaxel followed by four cycles of FEC given concurrently with trastuzumab (arm A), lapatinib (arm B), or the combination (arm C) for 26 weeks. This treatment regimen differed from NeoALTTO in that all patients received FEC prior to surgery. Despite differences in therapy regimens, CHER-LOB also demonstrated significant improvement in pCR (breast and axilla) with dual therapy compared to trastuzumab or lapatinib alone (46.7% vs 25% vs 26.3%, respectively; \( P=0.019 \)) demonstrating an 80% increase in pCR rate. The rate of node negativity at surgery was 72.2%, 71%, and 84.4% in arms A, B, and C, respectively.33

Another trial, the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B41 trial (NSABP-B41), investigated the combination of trastuzumab and lapatinib and its effect on pCR when given with an anthracycline–taxane-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen.34 However, in contrast to CHER-LOB,33 the anthracycline was given prior to HER2-targeted therapy. In this study,34 529 patients with HER2+ operable BC received neoadjuvant doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide every 3 weeks for four cycles followed by weekly paclitaxel for 12 weeks, concurrent with either weekly trastuzumab, lapatinib, or the combination. Following surgery, all patients received trastuzumab every 3 weeks for a total of 52 weeks of HER2 therapy. In contrast to CHER-LOB33 or NeoALTTO,30 while there was a trend toward benefit (numerically higher pCR rates), there was no statistically significant difference in either pCR-breast or pCR-breast + lymph nodes with
optimization (ALTTO) trial. In the NeoALTTO trial, a higher proportion of patients in the ER+ subgroup (67% DCTL vs 41% DCL vs 57% DCT) than in the ER− subgroup. Of note, approximately 62% of patients in this study had tumor size of >5 cm. Ongoing biomarker analysis is currently underway from these patient samples to facilitate identification of predictive biomarkers to anti-HER2 combination therapy alone.

In a meta-analyses of 767 patients with early stage HER2+ BC enrolled in four neoadjuvant trials, evaluating the effect of the addition of lapatinib to trastuzumab and neoadjuvant chemotherapy, pCR (breast and lymph nodes) rate was 38.36% in the trastuzumab arm and 55.76% in the combination arm (OR 1.94; 95% CI 1.44–2.6; P<0.0001).

The three trials that evaluated pCR (breast) for the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab resulted in a superior pCR rate of 55.01% vs 40.70% in the trastuzumab-alone arm (OR 1.78; 95% CI 1.27–2.50; P=0.0007). The odds of achieving pCR were 2.06 times higher in the ER− subgroup and 1.76 times higher in the ER+ subgroup. In a similar meta-analysis of 779 patients enrolled in NSABP-B41, CHER-LOB, NeoALTTO, and a study by Holmes et al, the addition of lapatinib to neoadjuvant chemotherapy resulted in a pCR (breast and axilla) rate of 56.7% as compared to 41.2% in patients who did not receive lapatinib.

Adverse events
In the NeoALTTO trial, a higher proportion of patients in the lapatinib-alone arm experienced diarrhea, rash, neutropenia, and liver enzyme alterations than the dual HER2 therapy arm. Furthermore, 18%–21% of patients did not complete planned treatment due to intolerable side effects in the lapatinib-containing treatment arms. Of note, the concomitant administration of lapatinib and docetaxel may result in delayed neutropenia, diarrhea, rash, and liver enzyme alterations than the dual HER2 therapy arm.
have contributed to the higher number of diarrhea and neutropenia cases seen in the lapatinib arm. However, no major cardiac toxicities were observed in any of the treatment arms, with only one patient in each group having a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <50% or >10% from baseline, and one patient in the combination group developing class III congestive heart failure (CHF); the LVEF patients recovered after therapy was stopped.30

In a sub-study published after the initial results of NeoALTTO, Azim et al32 reported further analyses on lapatinib-specific adverse events (AEs). Due to the design of the trial, with targeted therapies administered alone during the first 6 weeks, the toxicities of lapatinib could be examined independent of chemotherapy. The majority of patients (78.8%) who received lapatinib developed diarrhea (28% grade 3–4), 61.8% developed skin rash (6.8% grade 3–4), and 41.2% developed hepatic toxicities (36% grade 3–4). Both rash and diarrhea mainly developed during the first 2–3 weeks of lapatinib; whereas hepatic toxicities did not develop until 6–8 weeks after starting lapatinib, indicating that hepatic toxicity was likely exacerbated by the addition of docetaxel. Interestingly, the authors also observed that younger patients (<50 years of age) had significantly higher rates of rash development compared to older patients (>50 years of age; 74.4% vs 47.9%; P<0.0001). Early onset of rash (within 6 weeks of starting therapy) was associated with improved pCR in patients >50 years of age, but not in those <50 years of age.42 This association between rash and clinical response has also been demonstrated in non-small-cell lung carcinoma and head and neck cancers treated with other EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and the association could potentially be used as an early marker to identify patients who would receive the maximum benefit of lapatinib-based therapy, although this needs to be validated in future prospective trials.43,44

Also, in the NSABP-B41 trial, a lower percentage of patients in the lapatinib group received the full planned course of docetaxel (including lower mean cumulative dose) due to dose reductions and discontinuations secondary to increased toxicity.44 There was no difference in the duration of HER2 therapy or the mean cumulative dose of docetaxel between the trastuzumab control and combination groups, suggesting that the addition of lapatinib did not compromise delivery of standard agents. As predicted, grade 3 and 4 AEs were more common in the two groups with lapatinib, with diarrhea being the most common AE (27% in combination vs 20% in the lapatinib-alone group).44 Similarly, predictable toxicities of rash, hepatic enzyme elevation, and diarrhea, as well as treatment interruption/discontinuation rates, occurred at a higher frequency in patients assigned to the lapatinib arm in the CHER-LOB study.31 The high occurrence of diarrhea necessitated a protocol amendment to reduce the starting dose of lapatinib from 1,500 mg to 1,250 mg, and 1,000 mg to 750 mg in the single and combination arms, respectively. Despite this result, 17% of patients in the combination arm and 30% in the lapatinib-alone arm discontinued treatment due to AEs.

In the CALGB-40601 trial, when expected, grade 3+ toxicity was higher among lapatinib-containing arms, including neutropenia (12% docetaxel–lapatinib [DL], 7% docetaxel–trastuzumab–lapatinib [DTL], 2% docetaxel–trastuzumab [DT]), rash (15% DL, 14% DTL, 2% DT), and diarrhea (20% DL, 20% DTL, 2% DT).33 The most common grade 3–4 toxicity in the TRIO US B07 trial was reported to be diarrhea, occurring in 45/128 (35%) of patients, with most events occurring in the DCTL arm (28/58).37

Based on meta-analyses of NSABP-B41,34 CHER-LOB,33 NeoALTTO,30 and the trial by Holmes et al,39 patients receiving lapatinib-based chemotherapy were 2.4 times more likely to experience grade 3–4 AEs of diarrhea, neutropenia, dermatologic, and hepatic toxicity than patients who did not receive lapatinib.41 It is important to note that data from these meta-analyses do not include individual patient information, and hence, caution should be exercised when interpreting the results of these studies.

**Trastuzumab plus pertuzumab**

**Efficacy**

Trastuzumab in combination with pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting has also been evaluated (Table 2). The NeoSphere trial was a four-armed, randomized phase II clinical trial that enrolled 417 patients with stage II–III HER2+ BC to evaluate the efficacy of the combination of trastuzumab plus pertuzumab. Patients were assigned to one of four treatment arms: 1) trastuzumab alone with docetaxel; 2) pertuzumab alone with docetaxel; 3) trastuzumab and pertuzumab with docetaxel; or 4) trastuzumab and pertuzumab without chemotherapy prior to breast surgery.45 Postoperatively, all groups then went on to receive three cycles of FEC and trastuzumab for a total of 1 year, with the addition of docetaxel only in the group who did not receive docetaxel in the neoadjuvant setting. Results demonstrated that patients who were given pertuzumab and trastuzumab plus docetaxel had a significantly improved pCR (45.8%) compared with those given trastuzumab with docetaxel (29%) or pertuzumab with docetaxel (24%; P=0.01). These results were considered practice-changing and drove the FDA approval of pertuzumab for neoadjuvant treatment of HER2+ BC.45 Notably, the dual
anti-HER2 therapy without chemotherapy arm resulted in a pCR rate of 16.8%, which could suggest a potential role for a treatment regimen without chemotherapy in select groups of patients who may not be candidates for cytotoxic chemotherapy. As expected, overall response in breast and lymph nodes was highest in the pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel arm (88%).

Long-term outcomes were recently reported at the 2015 ASCO annual meeting. Three-year PFS of those given combination trastuzumab plus pertuzumab with docetaxel was 90%, compared to 86% in those given trastuzumab with docetaxel (HR 0.69; 95% CI 0.34–1.40). HRs for DFS and PFS were 0.68 (95% CI 0.36–1.26) and 0.54 (95% CI 0.29–1.00), respectively, for those who achieved pCR compared to those who did not. All patients were given identical adjuvant therapy, and therefore, results suggest that the benefit of adding pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting may persist after surgery, although to a lesser degree than initially predicted.

Trastuzumab plus Pertuzumab in Neoadjuvant HER2-Positive Breast Cancer (TRYPHAENA) was another trial designed to investigate the tolerability of neoadjuvant treatment regimens combining pertuzumab with H and either a standard anthracycline or platinum-based chemotherapy for HER2 positive BC. Patients were randomized to either 1) six cycles of docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab (DCTP); 2) three cycles of FEC followed by three cycles of docetaxel plus trastuzumab/pertuzumab (FEC → DTP); or 3) three cycles of FEC followed by three cycles of docetaxel, all concurrently with trastuzumab/pertuzumab (FEC-TP → DTP), resulting in a pCR (breast) rate of 61.6%, 57.3%, and 66.2%, respectively. Following surgery, all patients received adjuvant trastuzumab to complete a total of 1 year of therapy. The pCR rate was higher in patients with ER− tumors compared with ER+ tumors across all arms (arm A, 81.1% vs 47.5%; arm B, 62.5% vs 45.7%; arm C, 73.5% vs 41.0%, respectively). As all the treatment arms in this study were experimental, interpretation of efficacy results is limited, albeit encouraging, with high pCR rates seen in all arms.

The Investigation of Serial Studies to Predict Your Therapeutic Response with Imaging and Molecular Analysis 2 (I-SPY 2) trial (clinical trial number NCT01042379), represents a distinct and personalized adaptive trial design, a paradigm shift in the way clinical trials have been conducted thus far. This trial includes a large array of treatment arms and assigns patients based on an algorithm designed to detect biomarkers that will predict which patients will benefit most from promising novel drugs. While this trial has not released final results, the researchers have been successful in “graduating” two drugs, veliparib and neratinib, from the study to accelerate drug development based on responses seen in “graduating” two drugs, veliparib and neratinib, from the study to accelerate drug development based on responses seen in inflammatory cancer.

Table 2 Summary of phase II trials using combination trastuzumab plus pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting for HER2 positive breast cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/phase</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Patients enrolled</th>
<th>pCR (breast only)</th>
<th>pCR (breast + LN)</th>
<th>Rate of discontinuation</th>
<th>ORR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NeoSphere/III^45</td>
<td>A. T + docetaxel → surgery → FEC ×3 + T</td>
<td>Stage II/III, including inflammatory cancer</td>
<td>A. 29%</td>
<td>A. 21.5%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. T + P + docetaxel → surgery → FEC ×3 + T</td>
<td>417 patients</td>
<td>B. 45.8%</td>
<td>B. 39.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. T + P → surgery → docetaxel ×4 + T →</td>
<td>(A: 107, B: 107,</td>
<td>C. 16%</td>
<td>C. 11.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>A. 79.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEC ×3 + T</td>
<td>C: 107, D: 96)</td>
<td>D. 24%</td>
<td>D. 17.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 88.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. P + docetaxel → surgery → FEC ×3 + T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 67.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. 71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast + LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. 81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 88.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 66.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. 73.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRYPHAENA/II^47</td>
<td>A. Carboplatin + docetaxel + T + P</td>
<td>Stage II/III, including inflammatory cancer</td>
<td>A. 61.6%</td>
<td>A. 51.9%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. FEC → T + P → docetaxel</td>
<td>225 patients</td>
<td>B. 57.3%</td>
<td>B. 45.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. FEC + T + P →</td>
<td>(A: 77, B: 75, C: 73)</td>
<td>C. 66.2%</td>
<td>C. 50.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>A. 89.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T + P + docetaxel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. 94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. 91.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thus far.\textsuperscript{49,50} The addition of neratinib versus trastuzumab to neoadjuvant taxane-anthracycline was reported to have an estimated pCR rate of 55\% (range, 46\%–64\%) versus 32\% (range, 22\%–43\%) in ER–/HER2+ patients.\textsuperscript{39} Results of the I-SPY 2 trial regarding combination trastuzumab plus pertuzumab and TDM1 plus pertuzumab are awaited.\textsuperscript{48,51}

### Adverse events

Regarding the overall safety profile of trastuzumab and pertuzumab in combination, both the NeoSphere\textsuperscript{47} and the TRYPHAENA\textsuperscript{47} trials saw similar types and rates of toxicity. Diarrhea, alopecia, and nausea were seen in more than half of patients evaluated in TRYPHAENA. The most frequently reported grade 3–4 AEs were neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and leukopenia; however, all patients in this trial were receiving concurrent chemotherapy, which likely caused the majority of these toxicities. The incidence of serious AEs were highest in the DCTP arm (35.5\%).\textsuperscript{47} In NeoSphere, the most common serious AEs were the same as in TRYPHAENA; however, in the arm that did not receive chemotherapy, the rate of neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and leukopenia was significantly lower (4\% vs 10\%–17\% in all other arms).\textsuperscript{45}

### Predictors for response

#### Hormone receptor status

Subanalyses of several neoadjuvant trials\textsuperscript{30,32–35,39,45,47} have been performed in an attempt to identify specific biomarkers that may predict which patients will respond best to HER2-targeted therapy. For example, the correlation of ER status and pCR rate has been evaluated in multiple studies.\textsuperscript{30,32–35,39} In the TBCRC 006 trial, results were stratified based on ER status. The researchers were then able to demonstrate that ER– patients experienced increased pCR rates, despite the addition of letrozole to ER+ tumors.\textsuperscript{39} Increased pCR in ER– tumors was also seen in NeoALTTO\textsuperscript{30} and the NSABP-B41 trial.\textsuperscript{34} In NeoALTTO, the pCR rate was 61.3\% in the ER– group vs 41.6\% in the ER+ group. There was an impressive 18.9\% and 24.8\% difference in pCR between docetaxel plus lapatinib and docetaxel alone in the ER+ group and ER– subgroups, respectively.\textsuperscript{50} In CHER-LOB, the pCR rate was also higher in the ER– group (41.3\% vs 28.8\% in the ER+ group).\textsuperscript{51}

In the CALGB-40601 trial, HER2 expression was noted to vary significantly based on the presence of ERs, with only 17\% of ER+ tumors exhibiting a HER2-enriched subtype, compared to 51\% of ER– tumors. This result would again indicate that patients with ER– tumors would be more likely to respond to therapy.\textsuperscript{34,52} Numerically higher pCR rates were observed in the ER– subsets (77\% in the combination group and 55\% in trastuzumab alone).\textsuperscript{52} In the NeoSphere and TRYPHAENA studies, patients with ER– disease were more likely to achieve pCR in all arms tested, a finding consistent with previous studies reported above.\textsuperscript{53,47} Specifically in NeoSphere, the dual anti-HER2 therapy and docetaxel arm resulted in a pCR rate of 63.2\% in the ER– subgroup when compared to 26\% in the ER+ subgroup.\textsuperscript{45}

**HER2 enrichment/intrinsic subtype**

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining on pre-treatment tumor samples from the NSABP-B41 trial indicated that targeted therapy is more efficacious in tumors with a high degree of protein expression (IHC 3+).\textsuperscript{34} This hypothesis was also supported by an analysis in the NeoALTTO trial,\textsuperscript{53} where the researchers utilized the HERmark\textsuperscript{®} assay, a newer technique that provides continuous measurement of HER2 proteins to determine HER2 expression.\textsuperscript{53,47} This analysis showed that pCR rate increases with increasing HER2 levels, predominantly in the combination therapy arm where HER2 expression strongly correlated with pCR in both ER+ (ratio of 3.1; \(P=0.001\)) and ER– (ratio of 2.5; \(P=0.001\)) patients. In the trastuzumab and lapatinib monotherapy arms, HER2 expression did not predict for pCR in the ER– patients and weakly predicted for pCR in the ER+ patients. This study also evaluated p95HER2, which represents the truncated form of the HER2 receptor lacking the binding site for trastuzumab. It is co-expressed in one-third of HER2 positive tumors and is implicated in mediating trastuzumab resistance.\textsuperscript{12,55–57} A positive correlation of pCR with p95HER2 levels was noted only in the trastuzumab arms. Expression of p95HER2 was mainly predictive for pCR in ER+ patients treated with either trastuzumab or the combination of lapatinib plus trastuzumab, but did not predict for pCR in ER– patients. Higher HER2 and p95HER2 levels were associated with longer PFS. Interestingly, in a recent study of 445 patients with HER2+ tumors, a positive correlation between p95HER2 expression and response to trastuzumab-based neoadjuvant treatment was demonstrated.\textsuperscript{58}

A hypothesis of the higher pCR rate observed in ER– tumors is that HER2+/ER– tumors are more likely to correspond to the true molecular HER2+ intrinsic subtype, while HER2+/ER+ tumors are often categorized as luminal B intrinsic subtype. In the CALGB-40601 trial, considerable heterogeneity was noted in pre-treatment biopsy samples using RNA-based signatures, with luminal B (48\%) representing the predominant subtype in the ER+ subgroup, while the HER2-enriched subtype (51\%) was more pronounced in the ER– subgroup.\textsuperscript{52} Overall, HER2-enriched subtype tumors were significantly more likely to achieve pCR (70\%) as
compared to luminal A (34%) or B (36%) subtypes. Among HER2-enriched, taxane plus trastuzumab alone produced pCR rates in excess of 70%, which suggests that dual HER2 targeting or more aggressive chemotherapy may not be needed in this biologic subtype. Furthermore, in 78 patients with residual disease at surgery, there was an increase in the prevalence of luminal A and normal-like subtype in tumors compared to matched pre-treatment samples. This finding was suggested to be caused by tumor stromal alterations, intratumoral heterogeneity, cellular reprogramming, or decreased proliferation of HER2 induced by treatment. It suggests that perhaps there is cross-talk between the ER and HER pathways, and the presence of ERs may act as an “escape pathway” for tumors being hit with anti-HER therapy.\(^{52}\)

**PI3K pathway**

The presence of PIK3CA mutations was analyzed as a potential predictor for response to therapy in an analysis of NeoALTTO tumor samples.\(^{59}\) The PIK3CA gene encodes for a constituent in the PI3K pathway and is known to be frequently mutated in BC, most notably ER+ and HER2-enriched cancers. This study demonstrated that the presence of PIK3CA mutations in breast tumors results in lower pCR after treatment with HER2-targeted therapy. The pCR rate decreased from 34.5% in wild-type to 21.3% in PIK3CA-mutated (\(P=0.03\)) mutations. While this difference was noted across all treatment arms, it was most pronounced in the dual blockade group.\(^{59}\) This result is consistent with previous research, including a study published by Dave et al,\(^{60}\) which showed that in patients treated with neoadjuvant trastuzumab, those with low phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) expression or presence of PI3K mutations were much less likely to achieve pCR compared to those with high PTEN expression or absence of PI3K mutations (18.2% vs 66.7%; \(P=0.015\)). Similarly, in the CHER-LOB trial, patients with PIK3CA wild-type mutations who received dual anti-HER2 therapy were more likely to achieve pCR (48.5% vs 12.5%; \(P=0.06\)), although there was no difference in pCR rates between wild-type and mutated status across all treatment arms (33.3% vs 22.7%; \(P=0.34\)).\(^{61}\) In contrast, an analysis of PTEN in 1,802 patients with HER2+ breast tumors treated with chemotherapy alone or chemotherapy and trastuzumab demonstrated no relationship with DFS. Nevertheless, presence of PTEN was associated with ER– and node-positive disease.\(^{62}\)

**Circulating tumor cells**

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) have been previously studied in BC as a potential prognostic indicator for patients undergoing therapy. In MBC, the detection of \(\geq5\) CTCs/7.5 mL of blood prior to the initiation of a new treatment has been shown to increase the risk of relapse and death.\(^{63}\) However, two studies of CTC detection in neoadjuvant chemotherapy showed no significant relationship to pCR, although this was not analyzed specifically in HER2+ tumors.\(^{64,65}\) Of the 455 patients randomized in the NeoALTTO trial, blood samples were obtained for CTC analysis in 51 (11%) patients (12 from the trastuzumab arm, 19 from the lapatinib arm, and 20 from the combination arm).\(^{66}\) Patients with detected CTCs at baseline (\(\geq1\) CTC/22.5 mL) had numerically lower pCR rates compared to those with no CTCs, but the study was underpowered to detect statistical significance (pCR 27.3% vs 42.5%; \(P=0.36\)). None of the patients with detectable CTCs prior to surgery achieved a pCR. The findings of this small study need to be validated in larger studies or a meta-analysis of studies performed in a similar setting. These data suggest that identification and classification of biomarkers may help distinguish the patient populations who would benefit most from new therapeutic agents and combination treatments.

**Adjuvant trials**

**Trastuzumab plus lapatinib**

**Efficacy**

In the ALTTO trial, a phase III, multicenter study, the efficacy of lapatinib and trastuzumab combination was evaluated in the adjuvant setting.\(^{36}\) A total of 8,331 patients with operable HER2+ BC were randomized to receive either lapatinib concurrently with trastuzumab, trastuzumab followed by lapatinib, lapatinib alone, or trastuzumab alone. The randomized anti-HER2 therapy was introduced either after completion of chemotherapy, concurrently with a taxane following anthracycline, or concurrently with a platinum-containing chemotherapy.

At a median follow-up time of 4.5 years, only 555 events had occurred, which was significantly lower than expected (850 events).\(^{36}\) Of note, the lapatinib-alone arm was closed early in August 2011 due to demonstrated superiority of trastuzumab over lapatinib alone, and those patients were allowed to cross over to the trastuzumab-alone arm. Approximately 40% of patients in each arm were lymph node-negative, and 45% of patients had tumors \(\leq2\) cm in size, representing a patient population having a low risk of recurrence, and potentially translating into the lower-than-anticipated DFS event rate. Regarding the primary endpoint (reported on 6,281 patients, excluding patients enrolled in the lapatinib-alone arm), 4-year invasive DFS was 88% in those receiving combination lapatinib plus trastuzumab, compared to 86% in those receiving trastuzumab alone (HR 0.84; 97.5% CI 0.70–1.02; \(P=0.048\)).
DFS was noted to be 87% in the trastuzumab followed by lapatinib arm (HR 0.96; P = 0.610). In a pre-planned analysis, the non-inferiority of the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab vs trastuzumab alone could not be established (HR for DFS 0.93; 95% CI 0.76–1.13; P = 0.044; P ≤ 0.025 set for statistical significance). The analysis by ER status yielded similar results. Furthermore, no statistically significant differences in OS (for a total of 360 deaths at the time of analysis) were noted between the three arms (95% for trastuzumab plus lapatinib, 95% for trastuzumab → lapatinib, and 94% for trastuzumab alone). The low rate of OS events in the follow-up period indicates that the study was underpowered, and perhaps significance could be reached with a longer follow-up period, although this appears highly unlikely. A protocol-specified updated efficacy analysis is expected in 2 years. Nevertheless, it is unlikely to match the promising results seen in the neoadjuvant setting by the NeoALTTO trial.60

The unexpected results of the ALTTO trial60 allow us to question both the utility of pCR as a surrogate marker for improved survival outcomes and the superiority of dual HER2 blockade over trastuzumab alone, at least in the adjuvant setting. Further analysis of the ALTTO trial may help identify certain subsets of patients, for example, those at high risk for disease recurrence, such as those patients with lymph node-positive BC who may preferentially benefit from dual blockade in the adjuvant setting. A large number of projects analyzing biomarkers and other translational studies are currently underway from these patient samples to facilitate a better understanding of the overall failure of improved outcome with dual HER2 blockade, to assess whether there are specific patients who may benefit from it by biomarker analysis, and to understand pathways of resistance to anti-HER2 therapy.60

Another question is whether the appropriate combination of anti-HER2 agents was tested in ALTTO. To specifically address this question, we look forward to the results of the Adjuvant Pertuzumab and Herceptin in Initial Therapy of breast cancer (APHINITY) trial, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, two-arm study evaluating adjuvant pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus chemotherapy vs trastuzumab plus placebo plus chemotherapy.66 Until the results of such an important trial are presented, we believe that the routine use of pertuzumab in the adjuvant setting should at least be considered controversial.

Adverse events
In the ALTTO trial only 60%–78% of patients received >85% of the planned lapatinib dose, whereas >90% received trastuzumab as planned. As expected, AEs such as diarrhea (75% vs 50% vs 20%, respectively), rash (55% vs 49% vs 20%, respectively), and hepatobiliary toxicity (23% vs 24% vs 16%, respectively), including grade three or more AEs, were more frequent in the concurrent combination and sequential dual anti-HER2 treatment arms as opposed to H alone.66

In a phase II, single-arm trial, the tolerability of adjuvant lapatinib plus trastuzumab was evaluated in 95 patients with stage I–III HER2+ BC. Patients received dose-dense doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by weekly docetaxel with trastuzumab and lapatinib for 12 weeks and then trastuzumab and lapatinib alone for an additional 40 weeks. In patients who developed any unacceptable AEs related to lapatinib, the drug was held temporarily, and a single-dose reduction was allowed. After a median follow-up time of 22 months, 84 patients (88% of evaluable patients) experienced diarrhea, with 29% of patients experiencing grade 3 diarrhea. Forty-one patients (45%) withdrew from the study due to grade 3 or unacceptable grade 2 or less diarrhea, despite active diarrhea management, and 43% had reductions in lapatinib dose, necessitating early closure of the study.67 Three patients (3%) had grade 3 rash, and four patients (4%) had grade 2 unacceptable rash, which required a lapatinib dose reduction. Three patients (3%) developed CHF, and six patients (7%) had significant asymptomatic LVEF decline during therapy, with LVEF recovery reported in three patients. No cardiac deaths were reported.

The Mayo Clinic Cancer Research Consortium 0639 (RC0639) trial, a randomized, phase II, single-arm trial, investigated the combination of lapatinib plus trastuzumab with standard chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting.68 Patients with stages I–III HER2+ BC (109 patients) received four cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by 12 weeks of docetaxel, trastuzumab, and lapatinib, and then 40 weeks of trastuzumab and lapatinib. In regards to overall toxicity, grade 3–4 diarrhea was observed in 41% and 2.7% of patients, respectively, at the initial dose of lapatinib 1,000 mg/day. Lapatinib was then reduced to 750 mg/day with more aggressive management of diarrhea, resulting in a decrease of grade 3 diarrhea to 31% and no additional grade 4 events. The most common hematologic AEs were leukopenia/neutropenia (22.9%–27.5% grade 3/4), while liver transaminitis was limited to grade 1/2 events.68

Cardiac toxicity of dual HER2 blockade
Given the known cardiac toxicity of trastuzumab, there is reasonable concern that this toxicity would be exacerbated by the addition of another agent with a similar mechanism of action. However, results obtained from trials utilizing the combination of trastuzumab and pertuzumab actually demonstrated minimal cardiac toxicity with the combination.67,68 For
example, in the TRYPHAENA trial, LVEF decline of >10% and a drop to <50% LVEF occurred in 6.9% of patients treated with FEC-TP → DTP, 16.0% treated with FEC → DTP, and 10.5% treated with DCTP. Symptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) occurred in 4.0% of patients treated with FEC → DTP, 1.3% treated with DCTP, and interestingly, in none treated with FEC-TP → DTP. However, subsequent recovery of LVEF to >50% occurred in all but one patient. Investigators from the TRYPHAENA trial concluded that pertuzumab does not increase the rate of cardiac dysfunction compared to trastuzumab alone with standard chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting. 47,69

This result was similar to results seen in NeoSphere, 46 where in the neoadjuvant treatment period, LVSD occurred in 2.8% of patients treated with DTP, 1.1% treated with DP, 0.9% treated with DT, and 0% treated with TP alone. The average maximum LVEF decrease was relatively low at 4%–5% across all treatment arms, with no significant difference between groups treated with trastuzumab alone compared to combination trastuzumab and pertuzumab. 45 In the overall treatment period, LVEF declined >10% and a drop to <50% occurred in 1.9% of patients treated with DT vs 8.4% treated with DTP; however, LVEF subsequently recovered to ≥50% in all patients. Similar low rates of cardiac dysfunction were also seen when this drug combination (DTP) was given in the metastatic setting in the phase III CLEOPATRA trial, 25 as well as in a meta-analysis of patients treated with combination pertuzumab/trastuzumab. 70 In the NeoALTTO trial, no major cardiac toxicities were observed in any of the treatment arms, with only one patient in each group having a LVEF <50% or >10% from baseline, and one patient in the combination group developing class III CHF; however, LVEF recovered after therapy was stopped. 30 The incidence of primary cardiac events in the ALTTO trial, defined as New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III/IV CHF or cardiac death, were remarkably low in all arms (<1% of patients in each arm), despite the fact that 97% of patients had received anthracyclines. 36

Likewise, no CHF episodes were reported with concurrent administration of anthracyclines and HER2-blocking agents in the CHER-LOB trial; however, the small number of patients in this study precludes any reliable conclusions. 35 In the NSABP-B41 study, NYHA class III/IV CHF was reported in one patient (1%) in the combination group and seven patients (4%) each in the single agent lapatinib and trastuzumab groups. 34 Thus far, no CHF events have been reported in the CALGB-40601 study. 35 In the TRIO US B07 trial, the incidence of cardiac events, defined as >10% decline in LVEF below baseline and all grades of LVSD, was also low (four cases in the DCL, three cases in the DCT, and three cases in the DCTL arm). 37

Valachis et al 31 conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the cardiac toxicity of dual anti-HER2 agents when compared to a single anti-HER2 agent, including four neoadjuvant trials (CHER-LOB, 33 NeoALTTO, 30 NSABP-B41, 34 NeoSphere 45). No evidence of association between dual anti-HER2 therapy and CHF (≥ grade 3 according to the National Cancer Institute-Common Toxicity Criteria (NCI-CTC), version 3; OR 0.74; P=0.87) or LVEF decline (<50% or ≥10% decline from baseline; OR 1.52; P=0.51) was noted in the neoadjuvant setting.

The primary endpoint of the RC0639 trial was the cardiac safety of four cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by 12 weeks of docetaxel, trastuzumab, and lapatinib, and then 40 weeks of trastuzumab and lapatinib. 68 Five patients (4.6%) in the study experienced grade 3–4 cardiac AEs during active treatment (three patients with grade 3 LVSD and two patients with grade 4 thrombosis). None of the patients developed CHF or cardiac death during active treatment; however, one patient developed confirmed CHF after discontinuing the treatment. Another patient went off study treatment due to grade 3 diarrhea, and suddenly died 2.9 months later, clinically suspected to be secondary to myocardial infarction. A statistically significant decline in baseline LVEF was noted at treatment cycle 8, treatment cycle 12, 18 months, and 5-year evaluation points. Nevertheless, the overall mean changes were less than 5% from baseline, and in most cases, were not clinically significant. 68

Discussion
The primary endpoint of clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of dual HER2 blockade in the neoadjuvant setting was pCR. The use of pCR instead of PFS or OS as an endpoint carries the advantage of testing novel drugs/combinations in a relatively shorter period of time with fewer patients, thereby considerably reducing the trial cost. pCR has also been proposed to be a viable surrogate marker for survival outcomes in these trials. In a patient-based pooled analysis of over 6,300 patients enrolled in seven neoadjuvant trials, pCR, defined as absence of invasive and in situ disease in breast and lymph node, was associated with the most superior improvement in DFS (HR 0.446) compared with patients with residual in situ disease only, no invasive residual disease in breast but involved nodes, and gross invasive residual disease, in that order. 75 Achievement of pCR was associated with improved outcomes in patients with triple-negative, HER2+, and luminal B/HER2– BC, and the authors concluded that pCR can be used as a surrogate marker of
DFS in these subtypes.\textsuperscript{72} These results were supported by a recent study in which approximately 12,000 patients treated in 12 neoadjuvant chemotherapy trials were evaluated, and absence of invasive disease in both breast and lymph nodes was associated with statistically significant improvement in EFS (HR 0.48) and OS (HR 0.36). This association was most pronounced in patients with triple-negative and HER2+ ER− tumors. At a trial level, little association was noted between increase in frequency of pCR and EFS or OS.\textsuperscript{73} It is important to note that the variation in the definition of pCR across trials makes the interpretation of individual trial results more challenging, and that standardization of pCR definition may help with this issue.

In May 2012, the FDA advised that pCR (absence of invasive disease in breast and axillary lymph nodes) may be an acceptable surrogate endpoint to predict long-term efficacy in order to accelerate the approval of newer agents in patients with high-risk early stage BC, with successive confirmatory trials demonstrating a meaningful improvement in survival outcomes.\textsuperscript{74,75} Pertuzumab subsequently gained accelerated approval in 2013 for the neoadjuvant treatment of high-risk HER2+ BC based on the results of the NeoSphere study in which pCR was utilized as a surrogate endpoint of survival outcomes.\textsuperscript{45} The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines\textsuperscript{46}, version 2) recommend the use of neo-adjuvant pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and taxane-based chemotherapy given sequentially with anthracyclines (doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide or FEC).\textsuperscript{76}

Interestingly, these guidelines also suggest that it may be reasonable to consider the use of pertuzumab in the adjuvant setting (in a similar regimen as mentioned in the paragraph above) if the patient has not received it pre-operatively for high-risk HER2+ BC (patients with >T2 or >N1 disease). However, there is no definitive data to support such an approach, and so, its routine use should be balanced against the unknown potential short- and long-term AEs and the cautionary tale of the disappointing ALTTO results.\textsuperscript{36}

The much-awaited APHINITY trial results will be critical in determining the benefit of dual HER2 blockade in the adjuvant setting. The first-line combination of TDM1 plus pertuzumab and TDM1-alone arms, as tested in the phase III MARIANNE trial, showed non-inferior PFS (15.2 months, HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.69–1.08, P=0.14; and 14.1 months, HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.73–1.13, P=31), but not superior outcomes compared to trastuzumab and taxane (13.7 months) in patients with HER2+ MBC.\textsuperscript{77} The combination of pre-operative TDM1 and pertuzumab is being actively investigated in the I-SPY 2 trial\textsuperscript{48} and the German Adjuvant Dynamic Marker-Adjusted Personalized Therapy (ADAPT) trial optimizing risk assessment and therapy response prediction in early breast cancer (WSG AM06 trial, Fofmann et al, Trials 2013).\textsuperscript{78} Other trials are currently investigating the combination of TDM1, lapatinib, and albumin-bound paclitaxel compared with trastuzumab, lapatinib, and paclitaxel (clinical trial number NCT02073487), and neratinib with/without trastuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting (NSABPB-FB7, clinical trial number NCT01008150).

All neoadjuvant trials reported thus far have shown a numerical improvement in pCR with dual HER2 blockade (trastuzumab plus lapatinib, or trastuzumab plus pertuzumab); however, the magnitude of benefit was highly variable across the trials. Possible reasons include differences in pCR definitions, tumor size, backbone of chemotherapy regimen, duration of anti-HER2 therapy, and tumor biology based on ER status. The proportion of patients with ER+ disease differed across trials, which may have influenced the pCR rate. For example, the NeoALTTO\textsuperscript{30} and NeoSphere\textsuperscript{34} trials had an equal proportion of ER+ and ER− patients, whereas over 60% of patients included in CHER-LOB,\textsuperscript{33} NSABP-B41,\textsuperscript{34} and CALGB-40601\textsuperscript{35} were ER+. Moreover, intratumoral heterogeneity has been reported in HER2+ BC, which may also influence the biologic characteristics of the disease and magnitude of response to HER2 blockade.\textsuperscript{79} Regardless of the differences in the absolute improvement in the pCR rate, these neoadjuvant studies have successfully proven the utility of dual HER2 blockade strategy in the treatment landscape of HER2+ BC. A recent literature-based meta-analysis demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in pCR rate with dual HER2 inhibition in the range of 16%–19%, irrespective of the chemotherapy backbone as compared to single HER2 inhibition.\textsuperscript{80} Consistent with individual studies, pCR was noted to be significantly higher in the ER− subgroup, regardless of the HER2 inhibition and type of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{30,32–33,39,45,47}

**Conclusion**

While dual HER2 blockade appears to be superior to single HER2 inhibition in the neoadjuvant setting, it will be critical to follow the long-term survival data of these trials. This benefit of combined HER2 blockade will need to be balanced by the increased toxicity and cost of therapy. Development of predictive biomarkers may be valuable to help identify patients who may benefit from dual HER2 therapy vs trastuzumab alone, allowing for a truly individualized treatment approach.
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