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Dear editor
Undergraduate medical education has several problems. In particular, clinical training, which is the unique feature of medical education, tends to be performed less extensively. In Japan, the current clinical training method is clinical clerkship (CC). CC differs from conventional clinical training, which is study by observation. In CC, the student is a member of the medical team and participates in actual medical care. The student is allowed to practice medical actions in the definite range under the guidance and monitoring of a teaching doctor. Therefore, students can acquire practical clinical abilities. In their study of medical care, students are required to have their own sense of identity and personal responsibility. Clinical training is performed by CC following the original curriculum for diagnoses and treatments in various medical departments.

The evaluation system is very important in CC. Student doctors in our school are evaluated using a special checklist, which includes the special training contents and medical actions performed in CC. Students input their practical contents and medical actions into the checklist items, which can then be used in analysis and evaluation. Tutors input their evaluation of their students into the checklist items. Finally, the CC director evaluates these checklists thoroughly.

We devised a CC record (CCR) to be trialed in clinical training (Figure 1). The CCR is a tool to enable CC to be performed more beneficially and smoothly. Fundamentally, the CCR is not used for evaluation. We never grade CCR comments and we do not examine these comments for promotion. The CCR is an information-transfer system between medical departments. Therefore, the teaching doctors can obtain the students’ information from the previous medical department. For example, the tutors or CC director can easily observe students’ abilities using computer-based testing and objective structured clinical examination (Figure 1). The CCR was originally for students. The CCR may supplement students’ defects and raise their performance levels. The CCR proves to be very useful in identifying students’ problems. By drawing attention to students’ problems, the CCR enables these issues to be addressed and improves their abilities. Additionally, the CCR has another role, which is to indicate the merit of students. Some examples of positive or negative CCR comments are as follows:

Positive comments
1. Attitude, action, and motivation are very good.
2. The student’s chest X-ray knowledge is fairly good.
3. The student could imagine being a clinical doctor through the communication with patients.
4. The student’s attitude to practical training is OK.
5. The student’s medical interview was very good.
6. The student can perform medical care and presentation of liver.
7. The student has well-rounded knowledge and practice.

Negative comments
1. The student needs to increase his knowledge of normal dissection a little.
2. The student needs to systematize her knowledge.
3. Please make an effort to study the dissection of the neck more.
4. It is necessary to perform dissection and physiological learning.
5. Please study differential diagnoses more in depth.
6. Please practice more.
7. Please fully learn the medical care method.

We think that CCRs have some advantages. First, the orientation in CC becomes easier when CCR is used because students can access the CCR during CC. Second, the students’ abilities can be objectively known using the CCR. It is very important to identify and evaluate students’ abilities during CC. The CCR enables the accurate understanding of students’ abilities and delivers appropriate comments to improve students’ skills. For example, “you have a shortage of knowledge, which is a problem for your future”. However, the CCR also has problems that should be addressed: 1) Students do not input comments into the CCR for their tutors; 2) It is difficult to use the CCR in the field of operations; 3) The teaching doctor sometimes finds it difficult to enter negative comments.

Questions play a key role in any CC. Questions stimulate and engage students, help teachers to determine their students’ knowledge level and learning needs, and help them monitor how their students are progressing. The questions teachers ask can promote higher-order thinking and encourage reflection. The questions teachers ask and students’ responses are also the basis for giving constructive feedback. Therefore, the CCR can provide questions to be asked to enhance clinical reasoning.

Medical education differs considerably from other higher-education settings, which requires the analysis of factors influencing overall student ratings to be focused specifically on medical education. We have to consider further improvement of the CCR.
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