Clinically significant drug–drug interactions involving opioid analgesics used for pain treatment in patients with cancer: a systematic review
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Background: Opioids are the most frequently used drugs to treat pain in cancer patients. In some patients, however, opioids can cause adverse effects and drug–drug interactions. No advice concerning the combination of opioids and other drugs is given in the current European guidelines.

Objective: To identify studies that report clinically significant drug–drug interactions involving opioids used for pain treatment in adult cancer patients.

Design and data sources: Systematic review with searches in Embase, MEDLINE, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials from the start of the databases (Embase from 1980) through January 2014. In addition, reference lists of relevant full-text papers were hand-searched.

Results: Of 901 retrieved papers, 112 were considered as potentially eligible. After full-text reading, 17 were included in the final analysis, together with 15 papers identified through hand-searching of reference lists. All of the 32 included publications were case reports or case series. Clinical manifestations of drug–drug interactions involving opioids were grouped as follows: 1) sedation and respiratory depression, 2) other central nervous system symptoms, 3) impairment of pain control and/or opioid withdrawal, and 4) other symptoms. The most common mechanisms eliciting drug–drug interactions were alteration of opioid metabolism by inhibiting the activity of cytochrome P450 3A4 and pharmacodynamic interactions due to the combined effect on opioid, dopaminergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic activity in the central nervous system.

Conclusion: Evidence for drug–drug interactions associated with opioids used for pain treatment in cancer patients is very limited. Still, the cases identified in this systematic review give some important suggestions for clinical practice. Physicians prescribing opioids should recognize the risk of drug–drug interactions and if possible avoid polypharmacy.
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Introduction

Opioid analgesics are the most frequently used drugs to treat pain in patients with cancer.1 In some patients, however, opioids cause adverse effects.2 The most frequent adverse effects in cancer patients treated for pain with opioids are sedation, nausea/vomiting, and constipation, but other infrequent adverse effects, such as myoclonus, hallucination, and respiratory depression, are also feared.1,3 Adverse drug reactions from opioids are most often caused by the opioid itself, but can also be a result of the combination of the opioid and another drug, a drug–drug interaction (DDI).4 The risk of DDIs is high in cancer patients because of the large number of concomitant drugs.5

DDIs can be categorized as pharmacokinetic, that is, one drug influences the pharmacokinetic properties — absorption, distribution, metabolism, or excretion — of another
drug. DDIs can also be pharmacodynamic, when the effects of two drugs either potentiate or antagonize each other.\(^6\)

DDIs are reported to lead to serious adverse drug reactions in patients treated with opioids for pain.\(^7\)\(^8\) Still, no advice concerning the combination of opioids and other drugs is given in the current guidelines.\(^2\) Some studies have assessed the number of potentially harmful drug combinations in cancer pain patients, but do not report the number of clinically observed adverse drug reactions actually resulting from such combinations.\(^5\)\(^9\) Thus, the real risk of clinically important DDIs related to opioid therapy in cancer patients is not established.

The lack of advice on drug combinations in current guidelines may be a result of this limited clinical information. Therefore, a systematic review of the literature is indicated to identify studies that report clinically relevant DDIs associated with opioid analgesics used for the treatment of pain in patients with cancer.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

Systematic searches were performed in Embase and MEDLINE through OvidSP and in the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, from set up of the databases (Embase from 1980) through January 2014. The last day searched was March 14, 2014. The full search string for Embase is presented in Table 1. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved citations were reviewed independently by two of the researchers (DFH, AKL), and potentially relevant papers were read in full text (DFH, AKL). In cases of doubt or disagreement, papers were reassessed by all three investigators (DFH, AKL, PK).

Additionally, reference lists of all the papers read in full text were hand-searched for relevant papers.

**Inclusion criteria**

- Publications reporting clinically significant DDIs involving WHO step II or step III opioids, as assessed by the authors.
- The DDI observed in one or more adult patients with a diagnosis of malignant disease and treated with an opioid for pain.
- Any type of publication: randomized controlled trial, other controlled study, observational study, case report, case series, or letter to the Editor, except for publications available only in abstract form.
- Publications in English language.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Experimental studies.
- Nonhuman studies.
- Only pharmacokinetic investigations (no clinical outcome).
- Studies in noncancer patients.
- Opioids used for indications other than pain or perioperatively.
- Duplicate publications.

**Content analysis**

The identified publications were grouped according to clinical presentation and probable underlying mechanism of the DDI. The DDIs and underlying mechanisms were presented as assessed and interpreted by the authors in each publication.

**Results**

**Systematic review of the literature**

After removal of duplicates, 901 papers were retrieved (Figure 1). A total of 112 of these papers were considered potentially eligible for inclusion. After reading the full-text papers, 17 publications were included in the final analysis. In addition, 15 relevant papers were identified through hand-searching the reference lists of the articles read in full text, adding up to a total number of 32 included publications (Table 2).\(^{10\)\(^{41}\) Additionally, two papers that commented on two of the included publications were identified,\(^{42\)\(^{43}\) but not included as cases in the review.

No randomized controlled trials or other controlled studies were found. All of the included publications were case reports or case series, reporting on 2–19 patients. Nine of the papers were published in the period 1983–2000, and 23 in the period 2001–January 2014 (Table 2). In some case series, DDIs in both cancer patients and patients with...
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nonmalignant diseases were reported. From these case series, only cases of DDIs due to opioids in patients with a diagnosis of malignant disease treated for pain were included in the review.

Opioids involved in DDIs
The majority of included publications report DDIs related to opioids that are in common clinical use (Table 2):

- morphine, administered by various routes; oral, subcutaneous, intravenous, epidural, and intrathecal (nine publications),
- fentanyl, transdermal, and intravenous (nine publications, of which seven described DDIs associated with transdermal preparations),
- oral methadone (six publications),
- oral oxycodone (three publications),
- tramadol combined with pethidine, tapentadol, hydromorphone, and buprenorphine was reported in one paper each.

Four publications report DDIs associated with opioids of minor current clinical relevance: propoxyphene, dextropropoxyphene, and nalbuphine.

Clinical presentation of DDIs
Eleven papers reported DDIs resulting in sedation and respiratory depression. Fifteen papers reported DDIs causing various other central nervous system (CNS) symptoms, including delirium, serotonin syndrome, myoclonus, hyperalgesia, extrapyramidal symptoms, catatonia, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, or carbamazepine toxicity. Seven papers reported DDIs causing impairment of pain control and/or opioid withdrawal. Finally, in three publications, other symptoms believed to be associated with opioid-related DDIs were reported: hypertension, hypotension, vomiting, sweating, ventricular tachycardia/torsades de pointes.

Mechanisms underlying DDIs of opioids used for pain treatment in cancer patients
The mechanisms underlying DDIs involving opioid analgesics used for pain treatment in patients with cancer in the publications included in this review are presented in Table 3.

Quality of evidence
The included studies have several limitations. Only case reports and case series were identified (Table 2). Most of the reports included in this review provide poor level of evidence. Some may also not represent true DDIs, but other opioid-related complications. However, we decided to include the reports as they were clinically evaluated and presented by the authors and published by the respective journals.
Table 2 Overview of included publications reporting drug–drug interactions (DDIs) involving opioids used for pain treatment in cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Study design (number of patients)</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Primary treatment (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Coadministered drug (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Underlying mechanism as proposed by the authors</th>
<th>Additional information provided by the authors of the included papers and the present review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>39-year-old woman with lymphoma</td>
<td>IV tramadol (150 mg/day)</td>
<td>Pethidine (25 mg injection)</td>
<td>Confusion with agitation and visual hallucinations 2 hours after pethidine injection, followed by catatonia with mutism and immobile standing, for 2 days</td>
<td>Anticholinergic and serotoninergic effects; imbalance between cholinergic and dopaminergic systems in the CNS</td>
<td>Other drugs (panoprazole, cyclophosphamide, prednisolone) could have contributed to the symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextropropoxyphene/proproxyphene</td>
<td>Case series (one patient)</td>
<td>69-year-old woman with myelofibrosis</td>
<td>Carbamazepine (600 mg/day)</td>
<td>Dextropropoxyphene (32 mg q4h)</td>
<td>Drowsiness progressing to coma on the fifth day of the co-treatment</td>
<td>Inhibition of carbamazepine metabolism</td>
<td>Two other cases of patients with nonmalignant diseases are also reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oles et al12 (1989)</td>
<td>Case series (two patients)</td>
<td>Two patients; 60-year-old man and 23-year-old woman with brain tumors</td>
<td>Carbamazepine (8–23 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>Propoxyphene</td>
<td>Lightheadedness, blurred vision, double/triple vision, ataxia, nausea and vomiting after 2–3 days of co-treatment</td>
<td>Inhibition of carbamazepine metabolism (CYP450)</td>
<td>Six other cases of patients with nonmalignant diseases are also reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapentadol</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>58-year-old woman with endometrial carcinoma</td>
<td>PO clonidine (400 mg/day)</td>
<td>PO tapentadol (50 mg per dose)</td>
<td>Blood pressure increase within 24 hours after tapentadol initiation. Similar episode 2 hours after tapentadol was resumed</td>
<td>Inhibition of norepinephrine reuptake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>Case series (seven patients)</td>
<td>Nineteen patients; ten men and nine women aged 34–75; with malignant disease, and high dose of morphine or morphine-related side effects</td>
<td>PO morphine (120–1,200 mg/day), SC morphine (210–800 mg/day)</td>
<td>Antidepressants (TCA), antipsychotics (phenothiazines and haloperidol), antiemetics, NSAIDs</td>
<td>Side effects in 13 of the 19 patients (myoclonus in 12, and hyperalgesia in 1). Antidepressants and antipsychotics were used only in patients with side effects (7/13). A greater proportion of patients with side effects used thienothiazepine and NSAIDs</td>
<td>Antagonistic effect on dopamine receptors (phenothiazines); inhibition of catecholamines release (NSAIDs); promotion of proconvulsant properties of opioids</td>
<td>Abnormal concentrations of electrolytes in some patients could have contributed to the symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith and Guly15 (2004)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>60-year-old woman with metastatic renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>SR morphine (90 mg q12h)</td>
<td>IV nalbuphine (30 mg)</td>
<td>Symptoms of opioid withdrawal, resistance to additional doses of intravenous morphine</td>
<td>Antagonistic effect on mu opioid receptors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Age/Condition</td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>Effects/Interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartree et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>49-year-old man with metastatic prostate cancer</td>
<td>SR morphine (360 mg q12h), IM nalbuphine (10 mg)</td>
<td>Increased pain and symptoms of opioid withdrawal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripamonti et al.</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Three patients; one man and two women aged 20–58,</td>
<td>PO, SC, spinal morphine (in increasing doses; 20–2,000 mg MEDD), SC or IV</td>
<td>Antagonistic effect on mu opioid receptors; Opioid antagonistic properties of somatostatin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piquet et al.</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>72-year-old man with metastatic bladder carcinoma</td>
<td>IT morphine (10 mg), IT bupivacaine (7.5 mg) with epinephrine</td>
<td>Coma and respiratory depression 10 minutes after IT injection of morphine and bupivacaine (morphine was resumed in the same dose after 4 days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upadhyay et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>80-year-old man with metastatic lung cancer</td>
<td>PO morphine (dose increased from 15 mg to 30 mg q4h)</td>
<td>Deep and prolonged sedation, not responding to painful stimuli with respiratory rate eight per minute during titration rate of the analgesic dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Abad et al.</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>42-year-old man with cancer of the larynx</td>
<td>IV ranitidine (150 mg q8h), IV morphine (50 mg/day)</td>
<td>Three episodes of confusion with agitation after three subsequent doses of ranitidine during morphine infusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>18-year-old woman with nasopharyngeal carcinoma</td>
<td>IM haloperidol (4 mg/day), IV morphine (up to –10 mg/h) and ED injection of morphine (2 mg), IV naloxone (3 injections of 0.1–0.2 mg at 30-minute intervals)</td>
<td>Reversal of opioid-related protection from haloperidol-induced extrapyramidal side effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortolussi et al.</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Four patients; three men and one woman aged 53–56,</td>
<td>SR morphine, IV recombinant interleukin-2 (rIL-2)</td>
<td>Toxic synergy on CNS; accumulation of morphine metabolites due to acute renal failure (induced by rIL-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromorphone</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>85-year-old woman with breast cancer</td>
<td>PO hydromorphone (24 mg q4h, 12 mg prn), Captopril (50 mg/day)</td>
<td>Confusion with agitation and hallucinations, after a few days of co-treatment; Accumulation of hydromorphone metabolites due to renal failure (induced by captopril)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Study design (number of patients)</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Primary treatment (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Coadministered drug (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Underlying mechanism as proposed by the authors</th>
<th>Additional information provided by the authors of the included papers and the present review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxycodone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nine patients; two men and seven women aged 39–82; with maxillary tumor or hematologic malignancies</td>
<td>PO oxycodone (~0.2–2.0 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>PO or IV voriconazole (~2.2–8.0 mg/kg/day) coadministered or discontinued</td>
<td>Adverse events (vomiting, drowsiness, hypopnea, delirium, sweating, hypotension, uncontrolled pain) in seven of nine patients; after 1–10 days of co-treatment</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Commented in Hagelberg et al[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe et al[24] (2011)</td>
<td>Case series (seven patients)</td>
<td>77-year-old woman with lung cancer</td>
<td>SR oxycodone (50 mg q12h), IR oxycodone (5 mg prn)</td>
<td>Citalopram (20 mg/day)</td>
<td>Tremor, weakness, inability to coordinate motor movements, confusion (serotonin syndrome). The symptoms resolved within 48 hours after oxycodone was changed to morphine</td>
<td>Hyperstimulation of central 5-HT1A and 2A receptors (citalopram); disinhibition of serotonergic neuronal activity by suppressing GABA-mediated inhibition (oxycodone)</td>
<td>The patient also used esomeprazole, which could have inhibited citalopram clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter et al[25] (2012)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>34-year-old man with lymphoma</td>
<td>PO sertraline (50 mg/day)</td>
<td>PO oxycodone (200 mg over 48 hours)</td>
<td>Severe tremor and visual hallucinations (probable serotonin syndrome). The symptoms resolved within 12 hours after sertraline was stopped and cyproheptadine administered</td>
<td>Hyperstimulation of central 5-HT1A and 2A receptors (sertraline); disinhibition of neuronal activity by suppressing GABA-mediated inhibition (oxycodone)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosebraugh et al[26] (2001)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>61-year-old man with parotid gland adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>TD fentanyl (dose increased from 2.5 mg to 7.5 mg; every 3 days)</td>
<td>PO rifampin (300 mg/day)</td>
<td>Severe pain after rifampin initiation. Patient continued to have pain despite three-fold increase in fentanyl dose</td>
<td>Induction of CYP3A4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morii et al[27] (2007)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>67-year-old man with head and neck cancer</td>
<td>TD fentanyl (50 µg/h)</td>
<td>PO itraconazole (400 mg/day)</td>
<td>Agitated delirium with myoclonus 24 hours after starting itraconazole</td>
<td>Induction of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Patient also used omeprazole and ibuprofen, which could have contributed to the event (by inhibition of fentanyl metabolism and renal excretion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Co-treatment</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallberg et al(^{10}) (2006)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>46-year-old man with tonsillar cancer</td>
<td>Tracheal (TD) fentanyl (150 µg/h)</td>
<td>PO fluconazole (50 mg/day)</td>
<td>Death after 3 days of co-treatment</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Postmortem blood analysis showed toxic concentration of fentanyl. Patient used also other drugs that could have contributed to the event (morphine, oxazepam, zolpidem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levin et al(^{11}) (2010)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>85-year-old man with lung cancer</td>
<td>Intravenous (IV) fentanyl (25 µg/h)</td>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>Hypoactive delirium, somnolence, miosis after 3 days of coadministration</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Patient with chronic renal insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronnolly and Pegrum(^{12}) (2012)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>72-year-old woman with metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Tracheal (TD) fentanyl (87 µg/h)</td>
<td>PO clarithromycin (1 g/day)</td>
<td>Coma and respiratory depression 2 days after clarithromycin initiation</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Patient with kidney disease on hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsutsuemi et al(^{13}) (2006)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>47-year-old woman with leukemia</td>
<td>Tracheal (TD) fentanyl (25 µg/h), discontinued</td>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
<td>Opioid withdrawal syndrome 1 day following fentanyl cessation. Symptoms disappeared after fentanyl was resumed</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morita et al(^{14}) (2004)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>67-year-old man with esophageal cancer</td>
<td>Intravenous (IV) fentanyl (500 µg/day)</td>
<td>IV haloperidol (2.5–7.5 mg/day)</td>
<td>Worsening of pre-existing delirium (days 3–6). On day 7 muscle rigidity, fever, severe diaphoresis, tachycardia, hypertension, tachypnea, and coma (neuroleptic malignant syndrome)</td>
<td>Antagonistic effect on dopamine receptors (haloperidol); modification of dopamine metabolism in the CNS (fentanyl)</td>
<td>Hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia and hypomagnesemia in this patient could have contributed to the symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ailawadhi et al(^{15}) (2007)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>65-year-old woman with myeloproliferative disease</td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Tracheal (TD) fentanyl (25 µg/h)</td>
<td>Confusion, agitation, tremors, hyperreflexia, myoclonus, unsteady gait, tachycardia (serotonin syndrome) within 24 hours of fentanyl initiation. The symptoms resolved within 24–36 hours after fentanyl was replaced by oxycodone</td>
<td>Overstimulation of serotonin receptors (citalopram); inhibition of serotonin reuptake and increase of serotonin release in the CNS (fentanyl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine Moro et al(^{16}) (2006)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>34-year-old man with metastatic osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Ifosfamide (2 g/m²/day for 3 days)</td>
<td>Tracheal (TD) buprenorphine (35–52.5 µg/h)</td>
<td>Confusion, miosis, respiratory depression and bradycardia within 36 hours of the co-treatment</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4 (due to saturation of the enzyme by ifosfamide)</td>
<td>Commented by Davis (best interpretation for the event was rapid increase in buprenorphine dose)(^{45})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone Hartree(^{16}) (2005)</td>
<td>Case series (one patient)</td>
<td>54-year-old man with rectal carcinoma</td>
<td>PO methadone (20 mg/day)</td>
<td>IM nalbuphine (10 mg)</td>
<td>Increased pain and symptoms of opioid withdrawal</td>
<td>Antagonistic effect on mu opioid receptors</td>
<td>Another patient (treated with morphine and nalbuphine) is reported above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Study design (number of patients)</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Primary treatment (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Coadministered drug (dose, route of administration)</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Underlying mechanism as proposed by the authors</th>
<th>Additional information provided by the authors of the included papers and the present review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benítez-Rosario et al(^{(37)}) (2006)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>61-year-old woman with metastatic lung cancer</td>
<td>PO methadone (210 mg/day)</td>
<td>Carbamazepine (1,200 mg/day), discontinued</td>
<td>Loss of consciousness and respiratory depression eleven days after carbamazepine discontinuation</td>
<td>Disappearance of carbamazepine inducing effect on metabolizing enzymes</td>
<td>The dose of methadone was increased within a week before the incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarumi et al(^{(38)}) (2002)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>60-year-old man with gastric cancer</td>
<td>PO methadone (45–75 mg/day)</td>
<td>IV fluconazole (100 mg/day)</td>
<td>Unresponsiveness and respiratory depression 4 days after fluconazole initiation</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4 and 2C9</td>
<td>Patient also used omeprazole, which could have contributed to the accumulation of methadone (by inhibition of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorkin and Ogawa(^{(39)}) (1983)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>76-year-old man with metastatic lung cancer</td>
<td>PO methadone (5 mg q8h), SC morphine (8 mg prn)</td>
<td>IV cimetidine (1.2 g/day)</td>
<td>Respiratory depression 6 days after cimetidine initiation, 3 h after the rescue dose of morphine</td>
<td>Inhibition of liver enzymes</td>
<td>Cimetidine is a weak CYP3A4 inhibitor(^{(44)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsayem and Bruera(^{(40)}) (2005)</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>70-year-old man with gastric cancer</td>
<td>Methadone (10 mg q8h, 2 mg prn)</td>
<td>Sertraline (100 mg/day)</td>
<td>Coma and respiratory depression 3 days after sertraline dose was increased from 50 mg/day to 100 mg/day</td>
<td>Inhibition of CYP3A4</td>
<td>Severely malnourished patient with a history of alcoholism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al(^{(41)}) (2003)</td>
<td>Case series (one patient)</td>
<td>61-year-old woman with rectal cancer</td>
<td>PO methadone (600–700 mg/day)</td>
<td>Sertraline (50 mg/day), midazolam, IV fentanyl (prn)</td>
<td>Two episodes of ventricular tachycardia (torsades de pointes)</td>
<td>Interference with methadone metabolism (sertraline, midazolam, and fentanyl, substrates of CYP3A4); increase in methadone blood levels</td>
<td>High dose of methadone and preexisting cardiac disease in the patient Two other cases of patients with nonmalignant disease are also reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PO, oral; IR, immediate release; SR, slow release; SC, subcutaneous; IV, intravenous; TD, transdermal; ED, epidural; IT, intrathecal; q4h, every four hours; q12h, every 12 hours; prn, as required; MEDD, morphine equivalent daily dose; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; TCA, tricyclic antidepressants; CNS, central nervous system; BP, blood pressure.
**Table 3**  Mechanisms underlying DDIs involving opioid analgesics used for pain treatment in patients with cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanisms underlying DDIs of opioid analgesics</th>
<th>Pharmacokinetic DDIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) Inhibition or induction of opioid metabolism through CYP450 or other metabolizing enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Decreased renal elimination of an opioid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Inhibition of the metabolism of other drugs exerted by an opioid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacodynamic DDIs</td>
<td>1) Potentiation of analgesic efficacy and toxicity through opioid and nonopioid mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Inhibition or reversal of the effect of an opioid by antagonism at opioid receptors, or by other mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Modification of cholinergic, adrenergic, dopaminergic, and serotoninergic activity in the CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (including DDIs with unknown mechanism)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CNS, central nervous system; DDI, drug–drug interactions.

**Discussion**

Evidence for DDIs involving opioids used for pain treatment in cancer patients is very limited. This systematic review of publications on clinically significant DDIs involving opioid analgesics used for pain treatment in patients with cancer identified only case reports and case series (Table 2). As no systematic studies were identified, it was not possible to do any statistical analysis.

The three major categories of DDIs identified in the review were increased opioid effects causing sedation and respiratory depression, other CNS toxicities, and decreased opioid effects causing more pain and/or acute withdrawal symptoms. The lack of controlled studies precludes specific conclusions on the risk of DDIs associated with opioids. Still, based upon the reported cases, it can be concluded that physicians treating patients for cancer pain should be aware of and closely monitor patients for DDIs.

The DDIs with increased opioid efficacy, resulting in sedation and respiratory depression, were caused by decreased opioid metabolism,

leading to sedation and respiratory depression only in two case reports. In one of these publications, morphine was coadministered with amitriptyline and ranitidine, two drugs which can affect morphine glucuronidation among other drugs exerted by an opioid. Morphine may also indirectly be affected by renal failure caused by another drug. Additionally, other drugs with sedative effects can cause pharmacodynamic DDIs with an opioid. A typical observation in clinical practice is the combination of an opioid and a benzodiazepine, both contributing to sedation.

CNS symptoms (other than sedation and respiratory depression) associated with opioids included hyperactive or hypoactive delirium with or without hallucinations, serotonin toxicity, myoclonus, hyperalgesia, extrapyramidal symptoms, catatonia, and neuroleptic malignant syndrome (Table 2). CNS symptoms were related both to decreased clearance of an opioid due to decreased metabolism and impaired renal elimination and to a variety of interactions influencing several biological systems in the CNS involving opioids (Table 2). Additionally, two reports presented cases of carbamazepine neurotoxicity related to inhibition of its metabolism by propoxyphene and dextropropoxyphene, opioids with an inhibitory effect on cytochrome P450 enzymes (Table 2).

DDIs involving opioids can cause acute exacerbation of pain, or withdrawal symptoms (Table 2). In the identified cases, these symptoms resulted from the addition of an opioid with a mixed agonist–antagonist effect (nalbuphine), and increased or decreased metabolism of an opioid due to the coadministration of a CYP3A4 inducer (rifampin) or inhibitor (cyclosporine) or cessation of CYP3A4 inhibition (voriconazole) (Table 3). Nalbuphine, which is an agonist at kappa opioid receptors and an antagonist at mu opioid receptors, reverses the analgesic effect of mu opioid agonists when used concomitantly.

Rifampin is a potent inducer of metabolizing enzymes, including CYP3A4, and may enhance clearance and attenuate the clinical effects of opioids. The withdrawal syndrome reported after discontinuation of a low dose of transdermal fentanyl (25 μg/h) was attributed to increased blood concentration of fentanyl (and increased effect) due to the coadministration of cyclosporine, a CYP3A4 inhibitor. Additionally, one case series described impaired pain control in three patients who were given somatostatin as part of their antineoplastic treatment. The exact mechanism for this DDI is not certain. The authors suggest opioid antagonistic effect of somatostatin, demonstrated in animal studies.
Finally, some other important DDIs were identified (Table 2). Prolonged QT time and ventricular arrhythmias (torsades de pointes) were seen in a patient receiving a high dose of methadone, and at the same time, three drugs that were CYP3A4 substrates. The authors suggest that these coadministered drugs may have interfered with methadone metabolism and caused elevation of its level in the blood.41

Multiple complex mechanisms, often not fully understood, underlie DDIs involving opioid analgesics (Table 3). In this review, we refer to the mechanisms of DDIs as they were understood and presented by the authors. In some cases, alternative causes for the observed complications may be found.

The most frequently reported mechanism of DDIs was associated with cytochrome P450 enzyme activity. In our review, the implicated opioids were fentanyl, methadone, oxycodone, and buprenorphine.24,27–33,36–41 Of these, orally administered oxycodone and methadone have been shown to be more susceptible to DDIs related to CYP3A4 or other CYP enzymes in studies in volunteers,49,50,55–58 while fentanyl pharmacokinetics were less affected in volunteer studies.51,59–62 Still, DDIs of fentanyl associated with CYP3A4 activity were reported in seven publications identified by this review.27–33

Buprenorphine metabolism can be increased by strong CYP3A4 inducers as demonstrated in a study with rifampin,52 while the effect of CYP3A4 inhibitors is limited due to complex metabolism (conversion to norbuprenorphine by CYP3A4 and CYP2C8, and glucuronidation) as well as renal and extrarenal elimination of the parent drug and metabolites.50,63 As noted by Davis, the case report involving buprenorphine20 should probably be best interpreted as a result of rapid dose increase before time to maximum concentration or steady state was reached, and not a DDI.43

In our review, most drugs that precipitated serious CYP3A4-mediated DDIs (voriconazole, itraconazole, fluconazole, clarithromycin, diltiazem) are also drugs relevant for patients with advanced cancer, supporting the clinical importance of this finding. The vast majority of DDIs occur after a precipitating drug is introduced. The opposite effect of a decreased or increased opioid action may be caused if the use of a CYP3A4 inhibitor or inducer is stopped,24,37 thereby decreasing or increasing the serum concentration of the drug (Table 4). Defining a consequence of stopping a drug as an interaction, is perhaps counterintuitive, but it still represents symptoms related to a pharmacokinetic DDI. Interactions are less frequent if an opioid is introduced in a patient already using another drug. This may be related to titration of the opioid dose to obtain the desired clinical effect. Thus, a DDI may change the dose, but not the clinical outcome.

In cancer patients, the coexistence of other clinical factors can increase the risk of DDIs (Table 2). Impaired renal function is a common predisposing factor of DDIs31,32 and has added importance in cancer pain management because the incidence of renal impairment in patients with advanced cancer is high.64,65 Also, the concomitant use of other drugs and the frequent need to change coadministered drugs and their doses add to the complexity of DDIs of opioids in these patients.5,9

The presence of DDIs seems to be underreported. This lack of formal evidence may have several explanations. First, the DDI may not be detected, or the symptoms are believed to be caused by the cancer disease and, therefore, not recognized as drug related. Second, DDIs are mostly observed by clinicians, who often do not have the time, the experience, or the interest to publish clinical observations. Third, several DDIs, even if not reported in the literature, may be considered as frequent and part of common knowledge, and therefore, not

| **Table 4** | CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors and inducers reported to have caused clinically significant drug–drug interactions with opioids metabolized by CYP3A4 (oxycodone, methadone, fentanyl) in papers included in the present review24,27–33,37–39,44 |
|---|---|---|
| **Drugs** | **Effect on CYP3A4 activity** | **Resulting effects when coadministered with opioid** | **Resulting effects after withdrawal of interacting drug** |
| **CYP3A4 inhibitors** |  |  |  |
| Voriconazole | Strong | Decreased rate of opioid metabolism, increased opioid effect, increased risk of opioid toxicity | Increased opioid metabolism, decreased clinical effect of opioid |
| Itraconazole | Strong |  |  |
| Fluconazole | Moderate |  |  |
| Clarithromycin | Strong |  |  |
| Diltiazem | Moderate |  |  |
| Cyclosporine | Weak |  |  |
| Cimetidine | Weak |  |  |
| **CYP3A4 inducers** |  |  |  |
| Rifampin | Strong | Increased metabolism of opioid, requirement for higher opioid doses, deterioration of pain control | Decreased rate of opioid metabolism, increased risk of opioid overdose |
| Carbamazepine | Strong |  |  |
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reported. Finally, many journals only occasionally publish case reports and, perhaps, case reports are more often published in national journals and therefore not identified by a search strategy excluding non-English papers.

**Conclusion**
For obvious ethical reasons, there are no randomized controlled trials or other well-designed controlled studies exploring DDIs. Recommendations must therefore be based upon cases reporting serious adverse drug reactions and basic knowledge about drug mechanisms. The cases identified in this systematic review can give some suggestions for clinical practice:

- The combined use of an opioid and another drug with CNS depressant effect (eg, amitriptyline) increases the risk of acute opioid toxicity and respiratory depression. Such drugs should be carefully titrated according to effect.
- Opioids with antagonistic effects at the mu opioid receptor (eg, nalbuphine) should not be coadministered with another opioid analgesic.
- The concomitant use of an opioid and a drug, which affects the activity of cholinergic, dopaminergic, and/or serotonergic systems in the CNS (eg, selective serotonin inhibitors), can cause CNS-related complications (eg, delirium and serotonin syndrome) and should, therefore, be monitored carefully.
- Introduction of a CYP3A4 inhibitor in a patient treated with fentanyl, oxycodone, or methadone may result in opioid overdose and increased opioid toxicity (Table 4). Caution has to be undertaken when such drugs are implemented. The use of a major CYP450 inducer may impair pain treatment (Table 4). Opposite effects should be expected when these drugs are stopped (Table 4).
- Finally, the physician should recognize the risk for DDIs of opioids, monitor the patients carefully for interactions, and if possible avoid polypharmacy.
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