Barrier function and microbiotic dysbiosis in atopic dermatitis
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Abstract: Atopic dermatitis (AD) or atopic eczema is the common inflammatory skin disorder, the prevalence of which has considerably increased during the last 30 years. It affects 15%–30% of children and 2%–10% of adults. AD characteristically alternates between periods of exacerbation or flares and periods of remission, which may be therapeutically induced or spontaneous. Current knowledge about AD includes abnormalities of the skin barrier (physical and chemical), the immune barrier, and more recently, the microbial barrier or microbiota. There is growing evidence for a tight relationship between them. To obtain satisfactory control of this condition, the clinical strategy to manage AD involves prescribing both anti-inflammatory medications and dermocosmetic products. The role of the physician is therefore to advise the patient with regard to hygiene measures aimed to help to improve these three barriers or to prevent any further deterioration.
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Introduction
Atopic dermatitis (AD) or atopic eczema is the common inflammatory skin disorder with a substantial socioeconomic burden and impact on quality of life. AD is considered as the first step of the so-called atopic march. The three main pillars of the management of AD, which are the basis of most guidelines include 1) an allergological work-up and identification of provocation factors, 2) a severity-adapted prescription of anti-inflammatory medications, and 3) a basic therapy, ie, an ideally individually adapted skin care aimed to improve the impaired barrier function. AD was initially thought to be an immunological disease, where the chronic inflammation of the skin causes and/or exacerbates the skin barrier impairment. The pathophysiology of AD is as complex as the clinical phenotype, and recent studies revealed the genetic origin of skin barrier function abnormalities and the role of filaggrin mutations in 10%–30% of patients with AD in Caucasian populations. They also revealed the involvement of other stratum corneum proteins in an innate deficiency of the physical and chemical skin barriers. These abnormalities enable different environmental factors to penetrate the epidermis, including chemical, allergic, or infectious agents. This results in an inappropriate immune response with chronic inflammation and the presence of allergens or pathogenic agents, which could stimulate a T-helper 2/T-helper 22-type reaction. This inflammatory reaction can itself impact on the integrity of the barrier function. Finally, a kind of selective antimicrobial peptide deficiency leads to a loss of microbial diversity with an overabundance of the Staphylococcus bacterial...
Restoring the skin barrier in AD using emollients

Emollients are prescribed to improve the skin barrier function. They restore stratum corneum lipids and may have anti-inflammatory properties, which are demonstrated in vitro or in vivo clinical trials. As it is vital for atopic patients to limit moisture loss and restore the hydrolipidic film, emollients are an essential part of their daily skin care regimen.

“To moisturize” does not only mean providing moisture, it also means preventing moisture evaporation from the skin.

To meet these needs emollients can be formulated with the following ingredients:

1. Emollient agents make the skin softer and more pliable by filling the space between corneocytes and restoring the physical barrier function. Raw materials with this property include 1) hydrogenated or nonhydrogenated vegetable oils, 2) mineral oils (eg, paraffin and petroleum jelly), 3) vegetable butters (eg, shea and cocoa), 4) alcohols, fatty acids, and esters, 5) triglycerides, and 6) ceramides.

2. Humectant or moisturizing agents are water-soluble substances, which help the stratum corneum capture water from the outside and rebalance the cutaneous hydrolipidic film. Glycerol (and glycerol derivatives), urea, lactic acid, and α- and β-hydroxyacids are the most commonly used humectant ingredients.

3. Occlusive agents create a sealed barrier and prevent moisture evaporation from the surface of the epidermis. Petroleum jelly is undoubtedly the most effective occlusive substance but agents with film-forming properties also exist such as lipophilic (silicones and silicone elastomers) and hydrophilic film-forming agents. The latter consist of very large molecules capable of “capturing” water and forming a film on the surface of the skin stopping water evaporation. They include 1) proteins (collagen), 2) complex carbohydrates (glycosaminoglycan: hyaluronic acid and sulfated sugars), 3) synthetic polymers (carbomer, 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonic acid [AMPS®], and 4) waxes. However, the disadvantage of these occlusive agents is their sticky and/or greasy consistency making them less pleasant to use.

The quality of the emollient is best when a perfect balance is obtained from combining 1) efficacy, 2) cosmetic quality, and 3) patient tolerance. This is the art of formulation. The patient’s compliance is highly dependent on the formulation. The formulation must ideally meet dermatologist expectations as well as patient needs and be effective. Different formulations have different objectives; some ingredients have moisturizing efficacy (eg, glycerin and pyroglutamic acid), whereas others promote penetration of active ingredients into the skin, ensuring their bioavailability. Therefore, emollients can contribute to the success of dermatological treatments by ensuring optimal hygiene and relieving the irritation and dryness caused either by the condition or by the medical treatments. Some emollients can also provide real clinical benefit, alone or in combination with medical products.

Numerous formulations can be used; however for a condition like AD, the most appropriate pharmaceutical formula contains raw materials that provide a therapeutic effect, with few side effects, especially with no sensitization risk. Raw materials are chosen based on the following criteria: 1) safety, 2) purity, and 3) cosmetovigilance data. Any known allergens are avoided, and the packaging is designed to limit the use of preservatives (eg, fine nozzle tubes that can reduce microbiological contamination, or single doses, which ensure a sterile cosmetic product). Water content provides an environment suited for bacterial growth, so nowadays, formulation chemists tend to combine raw materials with low free water content, thus allowing to reduce the preservative concentration as much as possible.
Manipulation of the cutaneous microbiota in AD by emollients

For decades, microbiologists throughout the world have attempted to improve bacterial culture media in laboratory. From a bacterial point of view, skin is a culture medium. On average, skin houses one million bacteria per centimeter square. This specific ecosystem is poorly understood. Cosmetic and dermato logical treatments provide the skin with external components thus modifying its nutritional characteristics and acting on its microbiome. This million bacteria per centimeter square is represented by several hundred different microbes that cohabit, fight, and collaborate the image of life in a human community.18,19

For a long time, it has been known that Staphylococcus aureus is present on both healthy and affected skin of >90% of patients with AD. The presence of S. aureus is at least partially explained by an antimicrobial peptide deficiency and by the poor quality of the skin barrier, which allows easy access to complex substrates.20,21 The arrival of metagenomics enabled scientists to study the cutaneous microbiome more precisely, particularly in skin affected by AD.9,22 These studies showed that in AD there is a loss of microbial diversity. During flares, this loss of diversity and the predominance of Staphylococci worsen in correlation with flare severity. Bacterial diversity is greater when treatment is proactive compared to no treatment. This suggests that there is a relationship between the recovery of bacterial diversity and remission.8 Additionally, the presence of Staphylococcus epidermidis also increases during flares, which could correspond to a compensatory and antagonistic mechanism in response to the increase of S. aureus.23

Given that each individual has a unique microbiome linked with his/her genetic make-up, diet, lifestyle, and surrounding environment and that the majority of studies performed in this field compare healthy to atopic individuals, it is important to validate the observations made in these studies by comparing intrapatient skin areas.24 Recent studies have confirmed that AD lesions are dominated by Staphylococci and have a lower microbial diversity than that of the unaffected adjacent skin.9,23 An emollient can equalize the composition of an affected or lesional area (AF) to a state similar to that of uninvolved skin (nonlesional or unaffected UAF area) (Figures 1 and 2).23 Also keratolytic bacteria (ie, Xanthomonadaceae family), naturally present on the skin, have access to keratin, which is favorable to their growth.23,25 These results reveal new insight into the cutaneous microbiome, the targeted manipulation of which is a new approach for AD treatment.

Other than in cases of superinfection, combating S. aureus has never been definitely proven to be of therapeutic benefit. Topical antiseptic or antibiotic treatments, for example, the use of diluted bleach baths, are still sometimes recommended, despite this lack of demonstrated efficacy on the microbiome, their potentially irritant effect, the risk of bacterial resistance induction, and the action on bacteria, which could control S. aureus such as S. epidermidis.26

Numerous clinical trials have been conducted with the objective of demonstrating a preventive action of probiotic supplementation (living nonpathogenic bacteria) on the initial development and/or flares and severity of AD. Lastly, several meta-analyses support the conclusion that probiotics or probiotics could have a preventive effect on the development of AD but do not appear to have any direct therapeutic benefit.27–29 Nevertheless, some recent studies would disagree with this conclusion.30–32

Similarly, applying dead extracts from nonpathogenic bacteria onto the skin could be a new therapeutic approach.
to modulate or balance the immune system and would enable manipulation of the cutaneous microbiome. This hypothesis is based on results obtained from the following four key studies: 1) a significant improvement in the severity of AD in a randomized trial using a cream containing a lysate from a Gram-negative bacterium, *Vitreoscilla filiformis*, in the treatment of AD;31 2) a mouse model of AD revealing that the *V. filiformis* lysate is able to reduce the clinical inflammatory manifestations and the inflammatory reaction to an allergen when it was applied, suggesting a significant modulation of the immune response;34 3) an in vitro model on a reconstructed epidermis revealed that, surprisingly, the *V. filiformis* lysate stimulated the β-defensin production and other innate immune defense mechanisms through activation of toll-like receptor 2;35 and finally 4) a randomized trial showing the reduction in the probability of relapse of AD concomitant to a substantial impact on the composition of the microbiome.36 As concluded in a recent review on this topic, after identification of diminished bacterial diversity in the skin microbiome of atopic individuals with consecutive loss of anti-inflammatory and tolerogenic interleukin 10, substitution of these tolerance-promoting innate immune signals using microbes or microbial components is a new and promising therapeutic strategy.37

**Conclusion**

To control AD is the goal of its management, which involves the concomitant prescription of medical products and dermatocosmetic products. The role of the dermatologist is to prescribe and inform the patient about appropriate hygiene measures that restore and/or prevent worsening of the skin barrier alteration while strengthening the immune barrier and regulating the skin microbiome. A well-balanced emollient with effective ingredients that restore skin barrier function, acceptable cosmetic quality, and excellent dermatological tolerance, supplemented with ingredients able to revive natural cutaneous defenses by providing nutritional supplements to regulate the cutaneous microflora, offers the best option for AD. Finally, an impaired skin barrier function has been suspected to be instrumental in the emergence of immunoglobulin E-mediated sensitization and the atopic march. There is first evidence that an adapted basic therapy could reduce and/or delay the appearance of AD in newborns at high risk to develop this condition.38–40

Thus, emollients able to impact on the microbiotic dysbiosis could be part of the future early intervention strategies aimed to prevent AD and possibly the atopic march.
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