Gastroesophageal reflux disease in COPD: links and risks
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Abstract: COPD is a long-term condition associated with considerable disability with a clinical course characterized by episodes of worsening respiratory signs and symptoms associated with exacerbations. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal conditions in the general population and has emerged as a comorbidity of COPD. GERD may be diagnosed by both symptomatic approaches (including both typical and atypical symptoms) and objective measurements. Based on a mix of diagnostic approaches, the prevalence of GERD in COPD ranges from 17% to 78%. Although GERD is usually confined to the lower esophagus in some individuals, it may be associated with pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents. Possible mechanisms that may contribute to GERD in COPD originate from gastroesophageal dysfunction, including altered pressure in the lower esophageal sphincter (which normally protect against GERD) and changes in esophageal motility. Proposed respiratory contributions to the development of GERD include respiratory medications that may alter esophageal sphincter tone and changes in respiratory mechanics, with increased lung hyperinflation compromising the antireflux barrier. Although the specific cause and effect relationship between GERD and COPD has not been fully elucidated, GERD may influence lung disease severity and has been identified as a significant predictor of acute exacerbations of COPD. Further clinical effects could include a poorer health-related quality of life and an increased cost in health care, although these factors require further clarification. There are both medical and surgical options available for the treatment of GERD in COPD and while extensive studies in this population have not been undertaken, this comorbidity may be amenable to treatment.
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Introduction

COPD is a chronic, progressive condition, characterized by an increased inflammatory response within the airways and airflow limitation that is not fully reversible.1 The clinical profile is frequently punctuated by acute exacerbations,2 which increase the risk of morbidity and mortality of COPD1 and are linked to worsening quality of life and accelerated decline in lung function.4 The prevalence of COPD is continually rising,5 particularly in those aged 65 years and older. Accompanying the clinical profile of COPD is a range of comorbidities, which have the potential to complicate the clinical presentation of this condition and may influence morbidity and mortality.

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) develops when the reflux of gastric contents results in troublesome symptoms or complications.6 It is a common upper gastrointestinal condition, affecting up to 33% of the general population7 and may be associated with either esophageal or extra-esophageal syndromes.6 Refluxate may be acidic or nonacidic (alkaline), liquid, or gaseous.8 The frequency and duration of episodes of reflux as well as the destination of the gastroesophageal refluxate affect the impact of GERD.
As both GERD and COPD are highly prevalent conditions, the possibility of an interaction has long been recognized.\textsuperscript{6–12} With the potential for GERD to aggravate the clinical status of COPD and of the mechanical changes associated with COPD to exacerbate GERD, it is important to understand the relationship and possible consequences of the two conditions co-occurring. This review will explore the underlying pathophysiology of GERD, the commonly applied diagnostic tools, its prevalence and clinical presentation as well as risk factors, and current management strategies.

**Gastroesophageal reflux disease Pathophysiology**

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is a normal physiological occurrence, and the integrity of the gastroesophageal junction influences the occurrence and frequency of GER events. Physiologically, there are four causes of GER of gastrointestinal origin. The most common trigger is transient, spontaneous relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES),\textsuperscript{13} which may occur in both an upright or recumbent position\textsuperscript{14} and promotes reflux. GER may also occur due to diminished basal LES pressure,\textsuperscript{15} as a result of straining or free reflux. Strain-induced reflux occurs when a hypotensive LES is overcome by an abrupt increase in intra-abdominal pressure (eg, during bending).\textsuperscript{16} Free reflux occurs when the basal LES pressure is within 1–4 mmHg of the intragastric pressure; this small pressure gradient heightens the likelihood of GER.\textsuperscript{15} A hiatus hernia is displacement of the gastroesophageal junction above the diaphragm.\textsuperscript{17} The pressure gradient between the thorax and the abdomen promotes the movement of gastric contents into the esophagus.\textsuperscript{18} Transient LES relaxations are more likely to be followed by GER episodes in the presence of a hiatus hernia. Normally, esophageal peristalsis facilitates esophageal clearance following reflux episodes.\textsuperscript{19} Peristaltic dysfunction, with absent or low-amplitude contractions in the distal esophagus, which can be detected through manometry studies, contributes to prolonged esophageal clearance, which increases the chance of reflux.\textsuperscript{20} The diagnosis of GERD should be considered when symptoms associated with these physiological changes are reported by the patient.\textsuperscript{6}

Changes in LES tone are often triggered by lifestyle factors such as stress or by the consumption of specific foods, including products high in fat (delayed gastric emptying) or those that lower the LES pressure (chocolate, peppermint, onion, garlic, caffeine, and alcohol).\textsuperscript{21} Eating or drinking acidic foods (tomato products, citrus, and carbonated beverages) may trigger symptoms.\textsuperscript{22} Other lifestyle factors include sleeping in a supine position or consumption of a meal immediately before sleeping; both may be linked to nocturnal awakening from symptoms.\textsuperscript{21}

**Clinical presentation**

Typical symptoms of GERD include heartburn, acid regurgitation,\textsuperscript{22} chest pain,\textsuperscript{23} epigastric pain, or sleep disturbances.\textsuperscript{6} These clinical features together with esophageal complications, including reflux esophagitis, Barrett’s esophagus, and adenocarcinoma are collectively referred to as esophageal syndromes.\textsuperscript{24} Symptoms such as chronic cough or laryngitis that occur secondary to reflux are classed as extra-esophageal syndromes. An outline of typical and atypical clinical presentations of GERD is presented in Figure 1. Either may be present in COPD.

**Diagnostic tools**

**Diagnosis of GERD**

The most common approach to the diagnosis of GERD is through an accurate medical history, enquiring about typical GERD symptoms and their relationship to food, posture, and stress.\textsuperscript{21} It is important to be aware that symptoms of GERD may be similar to some symptoms of COPD. Therefore, it is necessary to enquire as to the timing of the GERD symptoms and their association with awakening from sleep, the use of respiratory inhalers in association with GERD symptoms, or the presence of respiratory symptoms after meals. Further evaluation may include symptomatic assessment through validated questionnaires, which ideally incorporate both typical and atypical symptoms.\textsuperscript{25,26} In the presence of symptoms, an empirical trial of acid suppression therapy is often undertaken, with resolution of symptoms considered clinically indicative of GERD, provided the patient has been symptomatic.\textsuperscript{27} If symptoms are present, objective tools such as esophageal endoscopy may be used to identify secondary complications of mucosal injury and esophagitis.\textsuperscript{28,29}

If asymptomatic reflux is suspected, alternative options for diagnosing GERD include ambulatory 24-hour esophageal pH monitoring. This is the current “gold standard” for diagnosing GERD.\textsuperscript{30–32} Dual-channel monitoring measures proximal and distal esophageal pH, giving a comprehensive profile of GERD using well-defined criteria.\textsuperscript{31,33} Via a small catheter positioned in the esophagus, this technique measures the esophageal luminal pH. The frequency and duration of reflux episodes and the proximal spread of the refluxate over a complete circadian cycle are quantified.\textsuperscript{33} For distal GERD, sensitivity ranges from 81% to 96% with specificity from 85% to 100%.\textsuperscript{30–33} For proximal GERD, the sensitivity ranges from 55% to 86%, although the specificity is slightly higher.
A variation on this is telemetry capsule pH monitoring, which offers increased patient tolerability and the option to extend the monitoring period to 48 hours or 96 hours. With the identification of both acid and nonacid reflux, together with the mixture of gas and liquid reflux, combined multichannel intraluminal impedance and pH monitoring records GERD at all pH levels. It quantifies volume and gas reflux and the air–liquid composition of the refluxate, giving an exact assessment of the proximal extent of refluxed material and a detailed characterization of each reflux episode.

Diagnosis of pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents

Pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents can be detected through various methods. Proximal esophageal pH monitoring has been considered a surrogate marker. One of the more novel measures of pulmonary microaspiration is the measurement of pepsin in airway samples. Pepsin is secreted by cells unique to the gastric mucosa as pepsinogen I or II and requires acidic conditions to be converted to active pepsin. The detection of pepsin in pulmonary secretions is suggested to indicate pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents. Pepsin has been detected in bronchoalveolar lavage of lung transplant recipients who demonstrated GERD on esophageal pH monitoring or impedance monitoring and more recently in sputum and exhaled breath condensate (EBC) in individuals with COPD. EBC is a sample of breath water vapor containing pulmonary epithelial lining fluid. Acidification of the hypopharynx can occur when gastric contents reach beyond the upper esophageal sphincter (UES), which can be reflected by the presence of pepsin or lower pH levels in EBC.

Prevalence of GERD in COPD

The prevalence of GERD in individuals with COPD has been explored in a number of studies. A range of diagnostic tools have been used, including symptom questionnaires and objective measurements, outlined in Table 1. Based on self-reported symptoms and questionnaires, the prevalence of GERD ranges from 19% to as high as 78%. Such a wide spread is related to several factors, including the differing GERD criteria applied and whether the test was undertaken on or off antireflux medication. Mixed patterns of reflux are evident, with distal reflux only, proximal reflux only, and a mix of both demonstrated. In those with COPD, the prevalence is five times greater than the non-COPD population for proximal and distal reflux. GERD can affect patients with moderate to very severe COPD. Although a detailed clinical history of symptom presentation is recommended, this method of diagnosis is reliant upon the provocation of symptoms by reflux events, which in the event of asymptomatic (clinically silent) reflux is not a reliable...
Table 1  Diagnostic approaches and prevalence of GERD in COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N, sex, age mean (SD)</th>
<th>Disease severity (FEV1 % pd)</th>
<th>Questionnaire/objective measure</th>
<th>Prevalence of GERD and symptom descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom-based diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokhlesi et al10</td>
<td>COPD: n=100, Sex: NR Age: 70 (8) years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Modified Mayo Clinic GER questionnaire: common symptoms (frequency and severity), effect on respiratory symptoms, and medications.</td>
<td>Prevalence in COPD: 26%. Prevalence in controls: 25%. Increase in respiratory symptoms (cough, SOB, wheezing associated with heartburn in 26% of those with GERD). Prevalence in COPD: 25%. Prevalence in controls: 9%. Heartburn, acid regurgitation more frequent in COPD to controls. More frequent symptoms in those with COPD with FEV1 &lt;50% pd compared to those with FEV1 &gt;50% pd. Prevalence in COPD: 32%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phulpoto et al11</td>
<td>COPD: n=100, Sex: NR Age: 57 (8) years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Modified Mayo clinic questionnaire: frequency and characterization of reflux or heartburn symptoms over past year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rascon-Aguilar et al17</td>
<td>COPD: n=91, Sex: 55% male Age: 67 (8) years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Mayo clinic GER questionnaire: frequency and characterization of reflux or heartburn symptoms over past year. Weekly symptoms classed as positive GERD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terada et al10</td>
<td>COPD: n=82, Sex: 94% male Age: 73 (8) years</td>
<td>COPD: 57 (20)% pd</td>
<td>Self-reported FSSG: typical and dysmotility symptoms.</td>
<td>FSSG: Prevalence in COPD: 27%, Prevalence in control: 13%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control: n=40, Sex: 48% male Age: 71 (9) years</td>
<td>Control: 101 (16)% pd</td>
<td>QUEST</td>
<td>QUEST: Prevalence in COPD: 24%, Prevalence in control: 10%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogha et al10</td>
<td>COPD: n=110, Sex: 87% male Age: 68 (8) years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Mayo clinic questionnaire: frequency and characterization of reflux or heartburn symptoms over past year. Weekly symptoms classed as positive GERD.</td>
<td>Prevalence in COPD: 54%. 66% with weekly and 34% with daily symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bor et al10</td>
<td>COPD: n=133, Sex: 26% female Age: NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Reflux questionnaire: effect of GERD symptoms on respiratory disease; medications, typical reflux symptoms.</td>
<td>Prevalence in COPD: 16.5%. Prevalence in controls: 19.4%. In COPD: occasional (symptoms less than once weekly in last year) heartburn in 11%, occasional regurgitation in 11.3%. Prevalence in COPD: 27%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>COPD: n=</td>
<td>Sex:</td>
<td>Age:</td>
<td>Control: n=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimizu et al\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>95% male</td>
<td>70 (10) years</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory esophageal pH monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casanova et al\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>100% male</td>
<td>68 (47–78)* years</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen and Jensen\textsuperscript{63}</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>58 (45)* years</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D'Ovidio et al\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>55 (38)* years</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet et al\textsuperscript{42}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>55 (46)* years</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kempainen et al\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43% male</td>
<td>56 (30–65)* years</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadel et al\textsuperscript{64}</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100% male</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
The presence of asymptomatic reflux (20%–74%) in COPD emphasizes the importance of objective confirmation of GERD in some individuals.

The cause and effect relationship between COPD and GERD has been reported through case–control and cohort studies. El-Serag and Sonnenberg in a case–control study found that a higher risk of esophageal disease was evident in those with COPD compared to controls (odds ratio [OR] 1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.33–1.53). A recent longitudinal cohort study followed two groups of patients, those diagnosed with GERD with no previous history of COPD and those diagnosed with COPD with no history of GERD over 5 years. In those with GERD, the incidence of COPD was with a risk ratio of 1.17 (95% CI 0.91–1.49). In those with COPD, the incidence of GERD was 14.9 cases per 1,000 (95% CI 13.9–16.0), with a relative risk of 1.49 (95% CI 1.19–1.78). While this suggests that a diagnosis of COPD may predispose patients to developing symptoms of GERD, the reasons require further clarification.

One possible explanation is the effect of smoking, and in particular, nicotine on esophageal sphincter tone and esophageal clearance. Smoking has been associated with a reduction in LES tone, believed to be secondary to nicotine-induced relaxation of the circular muscle of the LES and reflected by the increased acid exposure in the upright position and an increased frequency of reflux events >5 minutes in duration. Prolonged acid clearance secondary to diminished salivation, which may persist for >6 hours after smoking, has also been reported to result in reduced neutralization of esophageal reflux by swallowed saliva. With nicotine levels persisting for at least 6 hours after smoking, the implication is that the drug effect may last for a similar duration. A higher severity of GERD has been demonstrated in those with COPD who have a high smoking index, and pack-years has been found to be an independent risk factor for GERD (OR 1.015 [95% CI 1.004–1.025]). Smoking is a risk factor for GERD in the general population, and this together with smoking being a leading cause of COPD suggests that smoking and the associated effects of nicotine may contribute to GERD in COPD.

### Presence of pulmonary microaspiration in GERD

Surrogate indicators of pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents have been examined in COPD. Pepsin in sputum samples was detected in 33% of individuals with moderate-to-severe COPD. Although no significant association between esophageal pH monitoring indices and...
pepsin concentrations in sputum was evident, this has been previously observed in individuals with other types of lung disease.44,46,77,78 Briefly, isolated reflux events that could be aspirated may be insufficiently frequent to contribute to the criteria defining GERD. Laryngopharyngeal reflux, based on laryngoscopy examination and symptom questionnaires, has also been detected in 44% of individuals with COPD.79 A pilot study of EBC in ten individuals with COPD found pepsin in 70%, irrespective of a diagnosis of GERD based on esophageal pH monitoring.80 Lower EBC pH has been related to severe GERD symptoms in COPD,81 although there was no significant correlation between EBC pH and sputum inflammatory indices (tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin-8). This suggests that EBC pH may reflect acid reflux rather than tracheobronchial inflammation. Greater clarity is required to determine the optimal frequency and timing of EBC collection necessary for it to be included as an index of acid reflux.

**Possible contributing factors to GERD in COPD**

**Gastroesophageal mechanisms**

A number of possible mechanisms originating from a gastrointestinal or respiratory perspective may increase the vulnerability to GERD in those with COPD. Although esophageal motility studies have not been extensively applied, reduced daytime and nocturnal esophageal peristalsis82,83 and a decrease in UES82,83 and LES pressure has been demonstrated in those with severe COPD.31,40,64,82 Change in LES pressure may be partially attributed to smoking and the effects of nicotine.72

Other possible explanations for pulmonary aspiration secondary to GERD are related to swallowing dysfunction in COPD. Precise coordination between swallowing and respiration is necessary, with the swallowing reflex an important defense against airway infection and aspiration.83 Compared to healthy controls, the swallowing reflex can be impaired in COPD,84 with a lack of coordination of the pharyngeal musculature and disruption of the breathing–swallowing coordination.85–87 Patients are more likely to swallow during inhalation or inhale directly after swallowing, as respiratory requirements take precedence over swallowing.85 Low subglottic air pressure occurs during early inhalation, late exhalation, or at the transition point between exhalation and inhalation. If swallowing takes place during times of subglottic air pressure, the physiology of swallowing can also be altered. If the preferred pattern of exhale–swallow–exhale is altered, the risk of aspiration increases.86 This may be a contributing factor to exacerbations of COPD, illustrated by a greater frequency of annual exacerbations (OR 4.86 [95% CI 1.45–18.43]) in individuals with an abnormal swallowing reflex.86 In turn, exacerbations of COPD, with altered respiratory demands, may increase the risk of further aspiration.86

**Respiratory mechanisms**

Both alterations in respiratory mechanics and side effects of respiratory medications could contribute to GERD. Severe hyperinflation requires increased respiratory muscle inspiratory effort to overcome the increased inspiratory load at high lung volume. The resulting increase in negative pressure amplifies the pressure gradient between the thorax and abdomen, which impacts on LES tone and predisposes to reflux.88,89 This may be especially present during COPD exacerbations when reductions in airflow together with increased coughing impact on this pressure gradient. Airflow obstruction significantly increases the frequency of transient LES relaxation, a mechanism documented in asthma.90 In stable COPD, although differences in lung mechanics between those with and without GERD were not apparent,11 a negative correlation between LES and UES pressure and indices of hyperinflation has been described.94 To date, the association between airway obstruction and LES relaxation requires further clarity.90 The reduction in LES tone secondary to smoking together with coughing, a common symptom of COPD, may predispose some individuals with COPD to strain-induced acid reflux.72 Heightened anxiety is known to aggravate GERD symptoms by increasing acid production.91 As increased anxiety is common in COPD,1 this may be an additional contributory factor to GERD.

**Respiratory medications**

Respiratory medications, including beta agonists, anticholinergics, corticosteroids, and theophylline preparations have been proposed as possible factors that may be related to GERD.53,92–98 While these medications may alter esophageal function by reducing LES pressure or esophageal motility,92–94 their specific contribution to the risk of GERD is variable. Some studies observed that a greater proportion of individuals with COPD (stable or those at risk of an exacerbation) and GERD were prescribed inhaled corticosteroids, short- and long-acting beta2 agonists, and combination therapy (inhaled corticosteroids/long-acting beta2 agonists);53,59 others found no difference in the prescription of these respiratory medication classes and the presence/absence of GERD.12,54,55,57,58,60,74 Although it has been hypothesized that these classes of medications may contribute to GERD, the
nature of this relationship in COPD has not been fully determined. An increased use of anticholinergics in those with COPD and GERD has been reported by Garcia Rodriguez et al\(^1\) while another study found no difference.\(^4\) Although central and peripherally acting anticholinergics can reduce LES pressure, their antitussive effect can encourage cough suppression and may minimize the occurrence of changes in intra-abdominal pressure, which may predispose GERD.\(^9\)

It has been suggested that those with GERD may require more intense bronchodilator therapy secondary to increased severity of respiratory symptoms and exacerbations.\(^5\) The increased use of bronchodilator therapy when reflux symptoms are experienced lends support to a possible association between reflux events and worsening symptoms.\(^12\) The association between GERD and respiratory medications may also be a reflection of the severity of lung disease rather than the specific physiological effects of these medications on esophageal function. Further exploration of the cause and effect relationship between respiratory medications and GERD in COPD is warranted.

**Non-COPD specific factors**

A mix of demographic factors may increase the risk of GERD in COPD. Older age (>60 years) is often a factor,\(^53,58,64,95,99\) with an increased risk (OR 3.7 [95% CI 2.4–5.9]) reported in those over 70 years.\(^71\) Given the high proportion of COPD patients aged over 65 years, this finding is not surprising. The contribution of sex is variable, with some studies finding females at greater risk,\(^5\) others demonstrating that GERD is more common in males\(^71\) and some finding no difference.\(^54,58\) This is consistent with studies of GERD among the general population\(^100,101\) and leaves open the influence of sex as an independent risk factor for GERD.

A larger body mass index (BMI; >25 kg/m\(^2\) – classed as overweight) has been identified as a risk for GERD in COPD.\(^55–56,58,64\) a risk which increases as BMI increases.\(^5\) For those with severe COPD, a higher BMI was a predictor for GERD (OR 1.2 [95% CI 1.0–1.6]).\(^46\) While the BMI of participants in these studies did not meet the criteria for obesity (>30 kg/m\(^2\)), a greater BMI impacts on the contour of the diaphragm and will influence the elastic work of breathing.\(^21\)

When combined with respiratory-related risk factors, this may increase the contribution of a higher BMI to GERD in COPD. The prediction of a higher BMI being a contributing factor is not unexpected, given that it is identified as a common contributing factor in the general population.\(^102\)

Other comorbidities, including cardiac disease and obstructive sleep apnea, have also been associated with a heightened risk of GERD.\(^53\) In those with obstructive sleep apnea, increased intrathoracic pressure during apneic episodes is accompanied by increased transdiaphragmatic pressure, which encourages migration of gastric contents up the esophagus.\(^103\) The repetitive pressure changes also contribute to LES insufficiency.\(^103\) Whether they are independent variables or common consequences of poor diet and obesity remains to be established.\(^104\)

**Influence of GERD on COPD severity**

Two of the possible mechanisms by which GERD may impact on the severity of COPD are vagally mediated reflex bronchoconstriction and pulmonary microaspiration.\(^105\) Vagally mediated reflex bronchoconstriction originates from the shared autonomic innervation between the tracheobronchial tree and the esophagus. The presence of esophageal acid in the distal esophagus stimulates airway irritation and an inflammatory response, with the release of potent mediators of bronchoconstriction.\(^106\) The second mechanism by which GERD may impact on respiratory disease is pulmonary microaspiration. During microaspiration, refluxed gastric material extends proximally to the esophagus and then enters the hypopharynx, directly triggering a laryngeal or tracheal response, which may manifest as coughing, wheezing, or a sensation of dyspnea.\(^105\)

The relationship between the severity of COPD based on measures of lung function and GERD is controversial, with studies demonstrating mixed results. Some studies observed no significant relationship between GERD and pulmonary function, based on dynamic and static lung volume measurements or pulmonary resistance,\(^9,11,12,49,56,57,96,107\) whereas other studies found poorer lung function in those with GERD symptoms who had more severe lung disease.\(^12,55\) The correlation between oxygen desaturation and nocturnal episodes of distal reflux suggests that GERD may influence nocturnal respiratory status in some patients.\(^11\) A single dimension of disease severity may be insufficient to accurately reflect the relationship between GERD and COPD, which may require serial measures of lung function over time.

**Interaction between GERD and acute exacerbations of COPD**

A large proportion of health care expenditure is related to hospital costs for those admitted with an acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD),\(^3,108\) and prompt intervention is critical in preventing hospital admissions.\(^4\) A systematic review and meta-analyses of seven observational studies over varying
durations of follow-up (12–18 months) found the presence of GERD to be associated with a greater risk of experiencing an AECOPD (risk ratio 7.57 [95% CI 3.84–14.94]). More recent studies outlined in Table 2 have consistently demonstrated this positive relationship and have noted a higher rate of hospitalization or emergency room visits among the GERD population. This is consistent with a defined phenotype for patients with COPD who experience frequent AECOPD (two per year), with GERD as an independent predictor. Studies with a 5-year follow-up found that those who experience both nocturnal and daytime symptoms experienced more exacerbations, with a higher risk in those who did not use regular acid inhibitory treatment (HR 2.7 [95% CI 1.3–5.4]).

Establishing the precise nature of the relationship between AECOPD and GERD is challenging. Individuals with COPD often demonstrate lower airway bacterial colonization, which may increase their susceptibility to inflammation and infection. GERD may increase this bacterial load in the lower airways and thereby increase the risk of exacerbations. With increased pneumonia and wheezing in those with GERD symptoms, it might be that recurrent aspiration contributes to pneumonia. If GERD is an independent predictor of AECOPD (independent of respiratory infection, degree of airway obstruction, heart failure, cardiac medications, poor adherence to medical therapy, and older age), then it may represent a modifiable risk factor.

Impact on quality of life

Comorbidities in COPD may exert influence on health-related quality of life (HRQOL). When GERD was defined by esophageal pH monitoring, it had only a minimal impact on disease-specific HRQOL among those with moderate to severe COPD, an observation confirmed using GERD-specific questionnaires. However, some studies with a greater sample size have reported a poorer HRQOL reflected in disease-specific and generic questionnaires as well as greater levels of anxiety and depression. In those aged over 65 years, GERD was associated with a poorer perception of physical health and higher rates of depression and anxiety.

Cost consequences of GERD in COPD

A substantial proportion of the economic burden associated with COPD is from hospitalization secondary to an acute exacerbation. According to a retrospective cost study of 2,461 individuals aged >65 years, in the 29% who had coexisting COPD and GERD, the annual Medicare cost was...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N, age</th>
<th>Disease severity (FEV₁, % pd)</th>
<th>Definition of GERD</th>
<th>Definition of AECOPD</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hurst et al[13]</td>
<td>n=2,138</td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-reported symptoms and history of heartburn</td>
<td>Physician prescription of systemic corticosteroids or antibiotics, alone or in combination.</td>
<td>Risk of AECOPD in those with GERD (OR 1.69 [95% CI 1.38–2.06]). Increased number of exacerbations in those with GERD (2.1 vs 1.4, P&lt;0.001) and increased rate of hospitalizations (1.7 vs 1.1, P&lt;0.005). Correlations between frequency of GERD symptoms and exacerbation frequency (r=0.32), frequency of hospitalization (r=0.26). Increased risk of AECOPD in those with GERD (RR 6.24 [95% CI 0.90–43.34]). Abnormal swallowing reflex associated with &gt;3 AECOPD/year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogha et al[5]</td>
<td>COPD: n=100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-reported symptoms and history of heartburn</td>
<td>Mayo GERQ</td>
<td>Increased in cough frequency, severity, increase in dyspnea or change in volume, and/or sputum purulence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 68 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 74 (72–75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control: n=19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(67–74) years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takada et al[5]</td>
<td>COPD: n=221</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSSG questionnaire</td>
<td>Modified Anthonisen’s criteria and prescription of additional systemic corticosteroids or antibiotics.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 72 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang and Feng[4]</td>
<td>COPD: n=428</td>
<td>47–71% pd</td>
<td>RDQ</td>
<td>CAT score: increase in 5 points considered to have an exacerbation.</td>
<td>Those with exacerbations had higher RDG scores (high risk with score &gt;12). High GERD risk increased risk of AECOPD (OR 3.02 [95% CI 1.76–4.31]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozyilmaz et al[10]</td>
<td>COPD: n=107</td>
<td>51 (18%) pd</td>
<td>Modified simple questionnaire of symptoms (heartburn, regurgitation, dyspepsia, dysphagia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, dry cough, chest pain). Those with at least two symptoms weekly with antireflux therapy classed as GERD</td>
<td>AECOPD requiring oral steroids and/or antibiotics resulting in emergency room admission or hospitalization defined as severe.</td>
<td>Higher prevalence of GERD symptoms in frequent exacerbators (58% vs 22%, P&lt;0.001). GERD symptoms were increased risk of AECOPD (OR 3.59 [95% CI 1.18–10.90]).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ingebrigtsen et al.113 COPD: n=1,259 NR
Age: NR

Question relating to the experience of heartburn (daytime or nocturnal)

Prescription of oral corticosteroids with/without antibiotics dispensed <4 weeks apart.

Coexisting nocturnal and daytime GERD increased risk of AECOPD in those not using acid inhibitory treatment (HR 2.1 [95% CI 1.1–4.1]).

Attributable risk of AECOPD was 31% in those with daytime and nocturnal GERD due to lack of regular acid inhibitory treatment.

Notes: *Mean (range). #Range.

Abbreviations: GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; AECOPD, acute exacerbation of COPD; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; NR, not reported; CI, confidence interval; FSSG, frequency scale for the symptoms of GERD; RR, risk ratio; LPR, laryngopharyngeal reflux; OR, odds ratio; GERQ, gastroesophageal reflux questionnaire; RDQ, reflux diagnostic questionnaire; CAT, COPD Assessment Test; RDG, Reflux Disease Questionnaire; HR, hazard ratio.

$US36,793 per patient per year compared to US$24,722 for those without GERD.116 This 36% increase in costs was attributed to hospitalization for AECOPD. Although specific direct and indirect costs are not yet available, the economic burden appears to be heightened for those with COPD in whom GERD is a comorbidity.

Treatment of GERD

Lifestyle modification and medical and surgical management have all been used to treat GERD. Suggestions for minimizing the risk of GERD include weight loss, avoidance of late-night meals, and specific food and drink that might aggravate reflux by relaxing the LES. Altered postural changes, including adopting a semirecumbent posture when sleeping, have also been suggested. Stress reduction has also been associated with symptom improvement.21 These broad recommendations also apply to individuals without COPD and are generally recommended as first-line management.

Pharmacologic management includes antacids, H₂-receptor antagonists (H₂-RA), and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy, as determined by the severity of GERD. Improvement in symptoms of laryngopharyngeal reflux, GERD, and respiratory symptoms in individuals with COPD has been found with a combined approach of H₂-RA and PPI therapy in some studies.12,79 Although several studies reported on the prescription of antireflux medication in COPD, they did not report on the impact of therapy on lung function. Therefore, the effects of pharmacological approaches on the prevention of AECOPD and common colds compared to usual care.118

There have been few studies of antireflux therapy specifically for those with COPD (Table 3). In a 12-month trial of 100 older patients with GERD, PPI therapy reduced the frequency of AECOPD and respiratory symptoms in individuals with COPD.19 In some studies, PPI therapy reduced the frequency of AECOPD and respiratory symptoms in individuals with COPD.12,56,57 The effects of pharmacological management on lung function, the co-occurrence of respiratory and GERD symptoms, and the use of respiratory medications remain to be clarified. The persistence of symptoms despite antireflux therapy suggests that acid reflux may not always be the primary cause.21 This pharmacological approach does not target nonacid or weakly acidic reflux. Surgical management, with a Nissen Fundoplication, has been successfully applied to patients with severe lung disease, including COPD, awaiting transplantation.22,23 With reductions in symptoms of GERD as well as of lung disease, including COPD, antireflux surgery is not widely used in COPD but should be considered in individuals with COPD at risk of respiratory deterioration.
Table 3 Effects of medical and surgical treatment on GERD in COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Treatment approach</th>
<th>Effects of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokhlesi et al12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Antireflux therapy (duration not specified) Antacids (43% of participants) PPI (28% of participants) H2-RAs (6% of participants)</td>
<td>Significant respiratory and GER symptoms in 9% of patients, despite H2-RAs and PPI therapy. Resolution of GERD symptoms and chronic cough in 2% of patients, without change in PFTs. NR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kempainen et al16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Antireflux therapy (duration not specified) PPI (29% of participants) H2-RAs (2% of participants)</td>
<td>NR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rascon-Aguilar57</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Antireflux therapy (duration not specified) Antacids (51% of participants) H2-RAs (22% of participants) PPI (38% of participants) 34% receiving a combination of at least two types of medication</td>
<td>Fewer exacerbations with PPI over 12 months compared to control (0.34 vs 1.18, P=0.03); fewer patients in the PPI group experienced COPD exacerbations more than once (24% vs 52%; P&lt;0.004). Trend toward fewer common colds (1.22 vs 2.04) and less frequent common colds (&gt;3 per year) with PPI therapy compared to control. PPI therapy independently reduced risk of exacerbation of COPD (OR 0.23 [95% CI 0.08–0.62]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasaki et al118</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Antireflux therapy (12 months), Comparison of treatment (PPI therapy) vs usual care (bronchodilator therapy, smoking cessation) PPI therapy</td>
<td>Reduced COPD symptoms (P&lt;0.01), reduction in laryngopharyngeal reflux symptoms (P&lt;0.01), and improved laryngeal examinations (P&lt;0.001). NR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eryuksel et al79</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Antireflux therapy (2 months) PPI therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingebrigtsen et al113</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>Regular use of acid inhibitory therapy (59%) in those with nighttime and/or daytime GERD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgical treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartwig et al180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Following bilateral lung transplantation, Nissen fundoplication (&lt;365 days post-transplant) undertaken in selected patients</td>
<td>FEV1 greater at 1-year with fundoplication compared to no fundoplication (8.8% difference).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoppo et al122</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pretransplant Nissen fundoplication</td>
<td>Improved FEV1 and FVC% predicted in overall group (separate outcomes for COPD not reported).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GER, gastroesophageal reflux; H2-RAs, H2 receptor antagonists; PPI, proton pump inhibitors; PFTs, pulmonary function tests; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

**Conclusion**

GERD is a common comorbidity in those with COPD and has a variety of clinical presentations. The index of clinical suspicion should remain high, and objective measures should be used for diagnostic confirmation. The best way to identify pulmonary microaspiration of gastric contents in COPD remains to be established. The presence of GERD appears to increase the risk of an AECOPD and may affect disease progression. Although the co-occurrence of these two common conditions may be associated with increased health care utilization, treatment approaches that have been successfully applied in individuals with GERD without COPD also appear to be effective in the presence of COPD.
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