Vitamin D deficiency is associated with the severity of COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Purpose: To explore the association between host serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) and the susceptibility and severity of COPD.

Methods: Previous studies on the association between host 25(OH)D and the susceptibility and severity of COPD were collected on the basis of a systematic literature search of PubMed and Web of Science up to June 2015. Continuous variable data were presented as standard mean difference (SMD) or weighted mean difference with 95% confidence interval (CI). The dichotomous variable data were analyzed as relative ratio (RR) or odds ratio with 95% CI for cohort and case-control studies. A systematic review was conducted to understand the curative and side effects of vitamin D intake.

Results: A total of 18 studies including eight cohort, five case-control, and five randomized studies met the inclusion criteria. The serum level of 25(OH)D in COPD patients was comparable with controls with a pooled SMD of 0.191 (95% CI: −0.126 to 0.508, P=0.237) based on pooled analyses of cohort studies. However, the serum level of 25(OH)D in COPD patients was lower with a pooled SMD of 0.961 (95% CI: 0.476–1.446, P<0.001) compared with controls based on pooled analyses of case-control studies. The deficiency rates of 25(OH)D were comparable between controls and COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.955 (95% CI: 0.754–1.211, P=0.705) based on analyses of cohort studies, and the same results were observed based on pooled analyses of case-control studies. Interestingly, the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D was significantly lower in moderate or severe COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.723 (95% CI: 0.632–0.828, P<0.001) compared with that in mild COPD patients. The same results were obtained from the pooled analysis between moderate and severe COPD patients. The four randomized studies showed that vitamin D intake provided benefit for COPD patients.

Conclusion: Low serum levels of 25(OH)D were not associated with COPD susceptibility, but the high deficiency rate of 25(OH)D was associated with COPD severity. Vitamin D supplementation may prevent COPD exacerbation.
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Introduction

COPD, a systemic inflammatory disease, is characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible.¹ It has been estimated that the average prevalence of COPD is 6.2% in Asia and almost one-fifth of identified subjects are categorized as having severe COPD.² COPD is a major cause of chronic morbidity and mortality³ and is currently the fourth leading cause of death. The World Health Organization predicts that COPD will become the third leading cause of death worldwide by 2020.¹² Due to multiple factors involved in the pathogenesis of COPD, the patterns of mechanisms and progression of COPD are extremely difficult to dissect. While it has been widely accepted that tobacco...
smoking is a major risk factor, a small number of long-term smokers develop symptomatic airflow obstruction. Thus, lots of other factors might also play important roles in the morbidity and progression of COPD.

It has been reported that vitamin D is associated with bone health by affecting calcium homeostasis. However, some studies have also suggested that vitamin D is associated with the pathogenesis of a number of autoimmune diseases as well as the development of cancer, asthma, and infections including respiratory infection and tuberculosis. Vitamin D may be involved in the pathogenesis and affect the severity of COPD in several ways, such as reducing the frequency of respiratory infections, impairing response to pathogens, and inhibiting the proliferation of airway smooth muscle. The main carrier of vitamin D metabolites is VDBP. The polymorphisms of VDBP gene have been associated with COPD and the serum level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D). However, it has been reported that low levels of 25(OH)D was not consistent with vitamin D deficiency. Two cohort studies suggested that vitamin D was not associated with COPD based on the observation that the serum levels of 25(OH)D were comparable between healthy subjects and COPD patients. However, this conclusion was questioned by other studies.

In the present study, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the association between the serum level of 25(OH)D and the susceptibility and severity of COPD. We focused on four aspects: 1) the serum level of 25(OH)D between controls and COPD patients; 2) the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D between controls and COPD patients; 3) the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in mild, moderate, and severe COPD patients; and 4) the curative effect of supplying vitamin D for COPD patients.

Materials and methods

Literature retrieval

Computerized searches in the National Center for Biotechnology Information PubMed and Web of Science were conducted by two authors (Biyuan Zhu and Biqing Zhu). The search time window was up to June 2015 and all articles searched for were in English. The following keywords were used for literature searching: “COPD” or “chronic obstructive pulmonary disease” combined with “vitamin d”, “vit d”, “cholecalciferol”, or “hydroxyvitamin. We also manually searched the reference lists of the retrieved articles to identify additional qualified studies.

Definitions

COPD patients exhibited stable COPD and acute exacerbation COPD. The severity of COPD was defined on the basis of percentage of the forced expiratory volume in 1 second predicted according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria. The controls were healthy or patients without COPD. Patients with plasma level of 25(OH)D less than 20 ng/mL (50 nmol/L) were categorized into the 25(OH)D deficiency group.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included into the present meta-analysis based on the following criteria. To analyze the serum level of 25(OH)D in controls and COPD patients: 1) the study reported the serum level of 25(OH)D with patient number, mean, and standard deviation and 2) the study included controls (healthy or patients without COPD). To investigate the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in controls and COPD patients, the study reported the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in COPD patients and controls. To study the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in mild, moderate, and severe COPD patients, the study reported the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in COPD patients and included the severity of COPD (mild, moderate, and severe). To analyze the curative effects of supplying vitamin D for COPD patients: 1) randomized clinical trials were included; and 2) the curative and side effects of vitamin D intake were analyzed. Studies of reviews, in vitro and animal experiments, and comments were excluded from the present meta-analysis.

Quality assessment

The quality of the observational studies was independently assessed by two authors (Biyuan Zhu and Chaolie Xiao) using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS). Three parameters of quality, including selection, comparability, and exposure/outcome assessments were used in NOS. Studies of low, intermediate, and high-quality studies were defined as NOS scores of 1–3, 4–6, and 7–9, respectively. The quality of randomized studies was assessed using the Jadad score that was rated on a scale of 0–5 according to the presence of three features: randomization, blinding, and patient accountability. A higher-quality trial was defined as a study with a Jadad score ≥3. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus after joint reevaluation of the original studies by a third author (Zhiwen Zheng).

Data extraction

Data were independently extracted by two reviewers (Biyuan Zhu and Chaolie Xiao) and validated by a third reviewer (Zhiwen Zheng). For each eligible study, we extracted first author names, publication year, country, study design, numbers of patients with COPD and controls, and the serum level of 25(OH)D (mean ± standard deviation).
Statistical analyses
Meta-analysis was performed in STATA 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Continuous variable data (controls versus COPD patients) were presented as standard mean difference (SMD) or weighted mean difference with 95% confidence interval (CI). Dichotomous variable data (controls versus COPD patients, mild versus moderate/severe COPD patients, and moderate versus severe COPD patients) were presented as relative ratio (RR) or odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI for cohort and case-control studies. In the forest plots, the pooled SMD or weighted mean difference >0 represented the higher level of 25(OH)D in controls, and RR or OR <1 represented the lower deficiency rates in controls, mild COPD patients, and severe COPD patients, respectively. A chi-squared test was used to assess the heterogeneity. I² value ≤25% was regarded as no heterogeneity. Fixed effects model was used when no significant heterogeneity was identified among the studies analyzed. Random-effects model was performed when significant heterogeneity was identified among the studies analyzed. The publication bias was also evaluated. A P-value <0.05 was considered to be significant on two-sides.

Results
Study search
Based on the aforementioned search strategies, a total of 450 articles were collected. After removal of review articles (n=99), studies not related with the topic (n=196), commentary articles (n=30), and others (n=94), 31 studies were identified for further evaluation. In addition, 13 studies without controls (n=2), lacking essential information (n=9), or without full text (n=2) were excluded. Finally, 18 studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in the present meta-analysis. A flowchart of the search and selection process is shown in Figure 1.

Nine studies containing 919 controls and 990 COPD patients were included to analyze the serum levels of 25(OH)D between controls and COPD patients (Table 1). Among these nine studies, five were case-control studies29–33 and four were cohort studies.18–20,34 Five studies were of high quality because their NOS scores were not less than 7.19,20,29,31,34 Six studies containing 6,375 controls and 2,504 COPD patients were included to analyze the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in controls and COPD patients (Table 2). Among these six studies, two were case-control studies30,33 and four were cohort studies.19–21,34 Five studies were of high quality because their NOS scores were not less than 7.19,20,29,31,34 Five cohort studies were retrieved to analyze the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D in mild, moderate, and severe COPD patients (Table 2). Among the five studies, three studies20,21,35 contained 1,026 mild and 1,298 moderate/severe COPD patients and four studies20,35–37 contained 435 moderate and 494 severe COPD patients. Three studies with NOS scores not less than
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**Figure 1** A flowchart of identified studies.
Abbreviation: 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.
### Table 1: Included studies for the serum levels of 25(OH)D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Country/city</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Number (controls/COPD)</th>
<th>Serum levels of 25(OH)D</th>
<th>NOS scores</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Age, sex, and smoking habits</td>
<td>51/84</td>
<td>18.1±9.4 ng/mL, 9.3±5.8 ng/mL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Age, sex, and smoking habits</td>
<td>36/36</td>
<td>52.3±15.4 nmol/L, 33.63±10.3 nmol/L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahlin et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>149/98</td>
<td>57.6±23.1 nmol/L, 32.1±10.8 nmol/L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>With normal lung function</td>
<td>Sex, age</td>
<td>181/193</td>
<td>35.8±12.4 nmol/L, 48.5±25.5 nmol/L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duckers et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>16.1±1.4 µg/l, 11.4±1.9 µg/l</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiaomei et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Without COPD</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>13.0±5.7 ng/mL, 13.6±5.7 ng/mL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Without COPD</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>134/133</td>
<td>25.0±9.5 ng/mL, 25.2±10.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>100/104</td>
<td>55.4±28.3 nmol/L, 48.5±25.5 nmol/L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssens et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Healthy smokers</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>152/262</td>
<td>24.6±8.7 ng/mL, 19.9±8.2 ng/mL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Low risk with FEV₁%pred $\leq$ 50% and less than two treated exacerbation per year. High risk with FEV₁%pred $<$ 50%, or two or more treated exacerbations per year, or one or more hospitalizations for COPD exacerbations per year. Acute exacerbation COPD.*

**Abbreviations:** 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; FEV₁%pred, forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)/forced vital capacity (FVC) predicted; M, mean; NA, not applicable; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa Scale; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2: Included studies for deficiency rate of 25(OH)D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Country/city</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Number (controls/COPD)</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>NOS scores</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>No COPD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5877/1869</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiaomei et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Without COPD</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Without COPD</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>134/133</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssens et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Healthy smokers</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>152/262</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>People's Republic of China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>181/193</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duckers et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24/105</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>201/216</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romme et al</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>42/109</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; NA, not applicable; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa Scale.
7 were considered as studies of high quality.\textsuperscript{20,21,36} Five randomized studies,\textsuperscript{18–41} containing 296 patients with placebo and 300 patients with vitamin D, were included to analyze the curative effect of supplying vitamin D for COPD patients (Table 3). According to Jada scores, one study with a score of 1 was considered as a low-quality study.\textsuperscript{40}

### Meta-analysis of the serum level of 25(OH)D

A random-effects model for meta-analysis was used to compare the serum level of 25(OH)D between controls and COPD patients. The results suggested that the serum level of 25(OH)D in COPD patients was significantly lower than that in controls based on a pooled SMD of 0.596 (95% CI: 0.305–0.886, \( P<0.001 \)) compared with controls (Figure 2). A significant heterogeneity with \( I^2=88.5 \), \( P<0.001 \), and a publication bias were identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.372 (\( P=0.046 \)).

According to the study design, the results of sensitivity analysis were different. The serum level of 25(OH)D in COPD patients was comparable with controls with a pooled SMD of 0.191 (95% CI: −0.126 to 0.508, \( P=0.237 \)) based on pooled analyses of cohort studies (Figure 2). No publication bias was identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.235 (\( P=0.322 \)). However, the serum level of 25(OH)D in COPD patients was lower than that in controls with a pooled SMD of 0.961 (95% CI: 0.476–1.446, \( P<0.001 \)) based on pooled analyses of case-control studies (Figure 2). A publication bias was identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.085 (\( P=0.006 \)).

### Meta-analysis of the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D

A random-effects model for meta-analysis was conducted to analyze the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in controls and COPD patients. The results suggested that the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D was comparable between these two groups with a pooled RR of 0.955 (95% CI: 0.754–1.211, \( P=0.705 \)) based on pooled analyses of cohort studies (Figure 3A). A significant heterogeneity was identified with \( I^2=72.2 \), \( P=0.013 \), and this result showed no publication bias based on the Egger’s test of 0.216 (\( P=0.296 \)). The same result was observed in the pooled analysis of case-control studies. No significant difference in the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D was identified based on pooled analyses of cohort studies. No publication bias was identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.235 (\( P=0.322 \)).

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Levels of vitamin D (controls vs COPD)</th>
<th>SMD (95% CI)</th>
<th>% weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case-control studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al\textsuperscript{11,38}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.59 (0.16, 1.02)</td>
<td>9.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al\textsuperscript{11,41}</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.12 (0.69, 1.54)</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al\textsuperscript{32}</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.42 (0.90, 1.94)</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahlin et al\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27 (0.01, 0.53)</td>
<td>11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al\textsuperscript{30}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32 (0.11, 0.52)</td>
<td>11.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duckers et al\textsuperscript{31}</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.68 (1.84, 3.52)</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (( \bar{P}=90.4% ), ( P=0.000 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96 (0.48, 1.45)</td>
<td>56.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao et al\textsuperscript{39}</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.11 (−0.50, 0.29)</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26 (−0.02, 0.53)</td>
<td>10.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al\textsuperscript{34}</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.26, 0.22)</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jansson et al\textsuperscript{35}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56 (0.36, 0.76)</td>
<td>11.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (( \bar{P}=82.3% ), ( P=0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 (−0.13, 0.51)</td>
<td>43.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (( \bar{P}=88.5% ), ( P=0.000 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60 (0.31, 0.89)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2** Forest plots of the serum level of 25(OH)D in the controls and COPD patients.

**Notes:** Weights are from random-effects analysis. *Low-risk COPD: FEV\textsubscript{1} %pred ≥50% and less than two treated exacerbations per year. *High-risk COPD: FEV\textsubscript{1} %pred <50%, or two or more treated exacerbations per year, or one or more hospitalizations for COPD exacerbations per year.

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; FEV\textsubscript{1} %pred, forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV\textsubscript{1})/forced vital capacity (FVC) predicted; SMD, standard mean difference.
Figure 3 Forest plots of the deficiency rate of serum 25(OH)D.

Notes: (A) Pooled analysis of the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D between controls and COPD patients in the cohort studies. Weights are from random-effects analysis. (B) Pooled analysis of the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D between the controls and COPD patients in the case-control studies. (C) Pooled analysis of the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D between the mild and moderate/severe COPD patients. (D) Pooled analysis of the deficiency rates of 25(OH)D between moderate and severe COPD patients. Weights are from random-effects analysis.

Abbreviations: 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CI, confidence interval; RR, relative ratio.
Meta-analysis of vitamin D and COPD

Systematic analysis of the curative effects of vitamin D supplement

Five randomized studies were included for systematic analysis of the curative effects of vitamin D supplement in COPD patients (Table 3). Four studies showed that vitamin D intake benefited COPD patients,

between these two groups based on a fixed-effect meta-analysis with a pooled RR of 0.899 (95% CI: 0.678–1.192, \(P=0.46\)) (Figure 3B). In addition, no significant heterogeneity was identified with \(F\) of 0 (\(P=0.706\)). The publication bias was not be determined because only two studies were analyzed.

In addition, the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in some studies was significantly lower than that in moderate COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.723 (95% CI: 0.632–0.828, \(P<0.001\)) (Figure 3C). No significant heterogeneity with \(F\) of 0 (\(P=0.777\)) and no publication bias was observed based on the Egger’s test of 0.018 (\(P=0.069\)). A random-effects model for meta-analysis between moderate and severe COPD patients showed the same results. The deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in severe COPD patients was significantly lower than that in moderate COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.743 (95% CI: 0.561–0.984, \(P=0.038\)) (Figure 3D). Significant heterogeneity was observed with \(F\) of 67.1 (\(P=0.028\)) and no publication bias was identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.749 (\(P=0.548\)).

Discussion

The critical extra-skeletal effects of vitamin D has been widely recognized in recent years, particularly its roles in chronic diseases such as the chronic lung disease COPD. Several studies have reported that VDBP genotype was associated with high COPD susceptibility,

Table 3 Included studies for curative effect and safety of vitamin D intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Vitamin D dose</th>
<th>Control arm</th>
<th>Final number</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Publication bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zendel et al 2015</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Placebo, single-center, double-blind, randomized</td>
<td>Oral doses of 100,000 IU per month for 6 months</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>44 vs 44</td>
<td>RR = 0.749</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martineau et al 2015</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Multicenter, double-blind, randomized</td>
<td>Oral doses of 120,000 IU for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>118 vs 122</td>
<td>RR = 0.737</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjerk et al 2013</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Single center and pilot randomized</td>
<td>Oral doses of 2,000 IU daily for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>18 vs 18</td>
<td>RR = 0.75</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornikx et al 2013</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Double-blind and randomized</td>
<td>Oral doses of 1,000 IU daily for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>25 vs 25</td>
<td>RR = 0.74</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehouck et al 2015</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>A monthly dose of 100,000 IU for 1 year</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>91 vs 91</td>
<td>RR = 0.74</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; FEV, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; NA, not applicable.

between these two groups based on a fixed-effect meta-analysis with a pooled RR of 0.899 (95% CI: 0.678–1.192, \(P=0.46\)) (Figure 3B). In addition, no significant heterogeneity was identified with \(F\) of 0 (\(P=0.706\)). The publication bias was not be determined because only two studies were analyzed.

In addition, the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D between mild, moderate, and severe COPD patients was analyzed. A fixed-effect meta-analysis showed that the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in moderate/severe COPD patients was significantly lower than that in mild COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.723 (95% CI: 0.632–0.828, \(P<0.001\)) (Figure 3C). No significant heterogeneity with \(F\) of 0 (\(P=0.777\)) and no publication bias was observed based on the Egger’s test of 0.018 (\(P=0.069\)). A random-effects model for meta-analysis between moderate and severe COPD patients showed the same results. The deficiency rate of 25(OH)D in severe COPD patients was significantly lower than that in moderate COPD patients with a pooled RR of 0.743 (95% CI: 0.561–0.984, \(P=0.038\)) (Figure 3D). Significant heterogeneity was observed with \(F\) of 67.1 (\(P=0.028\)) and no publication bias was identified based on the Egger’s test of 0.749 (\(P=0.548\)).

Systematic analysis of the curative effects of vitamin D supplement

Five randomized studies were included for systematic analysis of the curative effects of vitamin D supplement in COPD patients (Table 3). Four studies showed that vitamin D intake benefited COPD patients,

One of the four reporters suggested that vitamin D intake inhibited COPD exacerbation among severe patients, and one showed that patients with vitamin D intervention had significant improvements in inspiratory muscle strength and maximal oxygen uptake. The other two studies demonstrated that vitamin D protected against exacerbation in patients with a baseline serum level of 25(OH)D less than 20 mg/mL. Only the study conducted by Bjerk et al reported that there was no obvious benefit for severe COPD patients with vitamin D supplement based on two questionnaire scores (Short Physical Performance Battery and St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire). Generally, vitamin D intake was a safe approach without severe side effects for COPD patients based on most studies.

Discussion

The critical extra-skeletal effects of vitamin D has been widely recognized in recent years, particularly its roles in chronic diseases such as the chronic lung disease COPD. Several studies have reported that VDBP genotype was associated with high COPD susceptibility,
that the serum level of 25(OH)D was linked with COPD susceptibility. However, VDBP level had no effects on serum 25(OH)D concentration. Thus, this analysis was conducted to evaluate the association between the serum levels of 25(OH)D and the susceptibility and severity of COPD.

While the pooled results of nine studies demonstrated significant difference in the serum level of 25(OH)D between the controls and COPD patients, heterogeneity and publication bias were identified. The significant difference in the serum level of 25(OH)D between the controls and COPD patients was not identified based on the pooled analysis of cohort studies. More importantly, the deficiency rate of 25(OH)D was also comparable between these two groups based on pooled RR with cohort studies and pooled OR with case-control studies, in which much higher number of controls and patients were included. In addition, no publication bias was identified in these analyses, suggesting the high confidence of these results. While the serum level of 25(OH)D was not associated with COPD, serum deficiency of 25(OH)D (<20 ng/mL) was significantly associated with COPD severity based on the meta-analyses between mild and moderate/severe COPD as well as moderate and severe COPD. These results suggested that the low serum level of 25(OH)D was not associated with COPD susceptibility; however, high deficiency of 25(OH)D was associated with COPD severity. Although vitamin D deficiency may be a consequence rather than the cause of COPD, the evidence was not sufficient.

It has been shown that vitamin D intake inhibited asthma exacerbations and accelerated clinical and radiographic improvement in tuberculosis patients. Our results showed that vitamin D supplement inhibited COPD exacerbation and improved inspiratory muscle strength and maximal oxygen uptake in severe COPD patients. In addition, vitamin D prevents COPD exacerbation in patients with baseline serum 25(OH)D concentrations of less than 20 ng/mL. Given that serum 25(OH)D deficiency was mainly observed in severe COPD patients, the protective effects of 25(OH)D in severe COPD patients was compared with that in mild COPD patients. While our results are not consistent with the study conducted by Bjerk et al, our conclusion was not influenced. First, a limited number of patients (n=36) were included in that study. Second, vitamin D was supplied at a dose of 2,000 IU per day and once daily for 6 weeks, which was much less than the dose used in other studies (Table 3). Several potential mechanisms could explain vitamin D deficiency in severe COPD patients. First, the evidence from epidemiological studies showed that vitamin D deficiency is a risk factor for respiratory infection. Second, it has been hypothesized that the changes of airway smooth muscle was the sole manifestation of vitamin D deficiency. Third, the positive association between the high level of vitamin D at birth and total and inhalant allergen-specific IgE may be attributable to a different mechanism. Thus, vitamin D supplementation may significantly alleviate COPD.

Our meta-analysis has several limitations. First, the studies exploring the relationship between 25(OH)D and COPD or COPD severity were lacking in relation to African ethnicity as only one study contained African ethnicity. Second, some meta-analysis results were based on random-effects model which may not be strong, and publication bias was identified in the meta-analysis. While systematic analysis of the curative effects of vitamin D supplement was analyzed on the randomized studies, the dose and treatment duration of vitamin D supplementation varied, and distinct indicators of treatment effects were used in different studies. Thus, more studies should be performed for better understanding of the role of vitamin D in COPD patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results suggest that the low serum level of 25(OH)D was not associated with COPD susceptibility, but the high rate of 25(OH)D deficiency was associated with COPD severity. Vitamin D supplementation can inhibit COPD exacerbation.
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