Cytotoxicity and physicochemical characterization of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles
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Abstract: Iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles with both Lewis and Bronsted acidic sites were prepared by a hydrothermal impregnation method followed by calcination at 650°C for 5 hours, and their cytotoxicity properties against cancer cell lines were determined. The characterization was carried out using X-ray diffraction, thermogravimetric analysis, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, Brauner–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area measurements, X-ray fluorescence, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, zeta size potential, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The cytotoxicity of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles was determined using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays against three human cancer cell lines (breast cancer MDA-MB231 cells, colon carcinoma HT29 cells, and hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells) and two normal human cell lines (normal hepatocyte Chang cells and normal human umbilical vein endothelial cells [HUVECs]). The results suggest for the first time that iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles are cytotoxic to MDA-MB231 and HepG2 cancer cells but have less toxicity to HT29 and normal cells at concentrations from 7.8 μg/mL to 500 μg/mL. The morphology of the treated cells was also studied, and the results supported those from the cytotoxicity study in that the nanoparticle-treated HepG2 and MDA-MB231 cells had more dramatic changes in cell morphology than the HT29 cells. In this manner, this study provides the first evidence that iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles should be further studied for a wide range of cancer applications without detrimental effects on healthy cell functions.
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Introduction

Many processes which are very significant to life (such as pharmaceutical and petrochemical processes) have benefited from solid acid enhancers leading to improvements in selectivity and activity.1,2 It has been reported that the status of active sites on a material surface, whether it is of Bronsted or Lewis nature, are common factors for promoting bioactivity and bioselectivity. In this respect, the incorporation of powerful acidic anions on the surface of various material supporting structures (such as alumina, silica, and zirconia) has attracted great attention.3 Among the most powerful acidic anions, sulfate species doped on the surface of zirconium oxide have generated great potential for a number of significant processes such as organic synthesis.4 Nevertheless, the selectivity and activity of nanoparticles of iron(+3)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia can be governed by the effective incorporation of ferric oxide and manganese dioxide as well as sulfate anions into the zirconia skeleton.5 They display
the best catalytic activity toward \( n \)-butane isomerization under reasonable conditions.\textsuperscript{6}

Along the same lines, nanoparticle medications have arisen as a modern research direction for the release of hydrophobic medicines owing to their characteristic gains over colloidal drug transporters.\textsuperscript{7} Generally, transition metal oxides have been commonly employed either as supporters or as promoters to improve physiochemical properties for many reactions.\textsuperscript{8–11} For example, approximately all advanced materials contain an oxide as the active system which shows both hydrogen ion and electron transmission abilities and should be utilized as an enhancer in acid–base reactions as well as electron gain and release reactions. These reduction–oxidation processes utilizing metal oxide redox properties have been widely applied as an environmentally friendly process for the full oxidation of polluted ingredients.\textsuperscript{12–19}

Moreover, transition metal oxides having redox properties are also used for the conversion of organic constituent sustainable and renewable chemicals.\textsuperscript{20–22} The physicochemical properties of transition metal oxides are considered to be a potential factor in carrying out discriminant organic reactions. Meanwhile, the rate-determining step on the surface of such novel materials would be evaluated via the mass of energetic sites in addition to the Brauner–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area with notable improvement in the surface area that comes from smaller particle diameters. Thus, in the emerging field of nanoparticles, the synthesis of transition metal oxide nanoparticles has garnered significant consideration, attributable to unique physical and chemical properties gained for materials at the nanoscale.\textsuperscript{23,24}

The surface of zirconia is known to have all of these physicochemical properties. Doping \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) with materials such as ferric, manganese, nickel, platinum, phosphate, and sulfate species has significantly improved its catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{25–27} In particular, iron(\(+\)3)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia has been commonly employed to enhance various life-support operations.\textsuperscript{28–31} Additionally, these transition metal oxide materials have a promising future in various ecological decontamination applications such as for sustainable chemical as well as oncological drug applications.

Due to its magnetic properties, iron doping can also impact magnetic properties to aid in the directed treatment of diseases through magnetic force. Moreover, the discovery of novel antitumor agents is largely based on the screening of cytotoxic activity against cancer cell lines in vitro.\textsuperscript{22,23} The therapeutic utilization of nanoparticles for cancer applications often starts via human cell culture testing.

The development of chemical target agents that exploit differences between cancerous and normal cells permits greater specificity for cancer cells with less damage to normal healthy cells.\textsuperscript{32} Although chemotherapy and radiation therapy can induce cancer remission in patients with tumors, a group of malignancies remains a therapeutic challenge due to frequent tumor relapse and chemotherapy resistance.\textsuperscript{33} Thus, there is a continual need to identify drugs which are nontoxic, have few adverse side effects, and might be of great benefit in reducing the dosage of existing chemotherapeutic drugs.

Doxorubicin is one of the best drugs for systemic chemotherapy which works against breast cancer. For colon cancer treatment, oxaliplatin is commonly used, while tamoxifen is the most commonly used drug for liver cancer.\textsuperscript{33,35}

The evidence currently available clearly indicates that sulfated zirconia nanoparticles are toxic in vitro for several types of cancer cells including human colorectal carcinoma HT29 cells, human liver carcinoma HepG2 cells, and human breast carcinoma MCF-7 cells. In contrast, normal (noncancerous) human breast carcinoma MCF-10a cells were found to be the least sensitive to sulfated zirconia nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{36}

As far as it is known, the present in vitro study is the first report to determine the anticancer properties of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles. The addition of iron will help the directed delivery (via magnetic force) of nanoparticles to cancer sites. Iron(\(+\)3)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles were synthesized in this study via a hydrothermal impregnation method and were characterized using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, BET, X-ray fluorescence, zeta size potential, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The toxicity of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles was assessed here against human colon cancer HT29 cells, human breast cancer MDA-MB231 cells, and human liver cancer HepG2 cells in comparison with oxaliplatin, doxorubicin, and tamoxifen, respectively. Normal (noncancerous) human liver Chang cells and normal human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were used as controls.

The results, coupled with in vitro assays, demonstrate much promise for the use of iron(\(+\)3)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles in numerous anticancer applications.

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals and reagents**

Ammonium sulfate, zirconium oxynitrate, and ammonium hydroxide (28%–30%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

The therapeutic utilization of nanoparticles for cancer applications often starts via human cell culture testing.
Preparation of iron(III) oxide–manganese dioxide-doped sulfated zirconia and 4% SO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}

The iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia was prepared via a precipitation and hydrothermal impregnation method. Initially, 5 g of hydrated zirconium nitrate was dissolved in approximately 150 mL of deionized water with a dropwise addition of 3.0 g of 30% ammonia in 5 mL of deionized water which resulted in the precipitation. A necessary amount of a 30% ammonia solution was added in order to maintain a pH value in the range of 9 to 10. The precipitate was aged for 24 hours, filtered by a vacuum pump, and washed with approximately 600 mL of warm deionized water. The precipitate was then dried for approximately 18 hours at 120 °C.

Hydrated iron(III) nitrate (0.60 g), tetrahydrated manganese nitrate (Mn(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}⋅4H\textsubscript{2}O) (0.130 g), and (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (0.230 g) were dissolved in approximately 150 mL of deionized water. The solution was added dropwise under vigorous stirring into a zirconium oxyhydroxide solution. The mixed solution was left while stirring at room temperature for 6 hours and was then transferred to a closed autoclave reactor to dry in an oven at 150 °C for 24 hours. Finally, the product was calcined for 5 hours at 650 °C according to standard procedures.

Physicochemical properties of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}

X-ray photoelectron spectra were obtained by employing a modified AXIS ULTRA DLD (KRATOS) photoelectron spectrometer, fully furnished with a hemispherical analyzer. For excitation, AlK\textalpha\textsubscript{α} (1,486.6 eV) radiation was applied. The analyzer functioned in the fixed analyzer transmission mode at a pass energy of 40 eV. The X-ray gun was activated at an emission current of 4 mA with 15 kV of stepping-up voltage. Samples were pretreated under a vacuum (1×10\textsuperscript{−9} Torr) at 22±2 °C for 2 hours before analysis. The base pressure of the analyzer chamber was 1×10\textsuperscript{−9} Torr; throughout the collection of information, the pressure was constant at <1×10\textsuperscript{−9} Torr.

The binding energy matching to C 1s, Zr 3d, Fe 2p, Mn 2p, S 2p, and O 1s was determined. All binding energies are relative to the C 1s peak, taken as 284.5 eV.

The thermal strength of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} was investigated via a NETZSCH STA 449C apparatus (Pt crucibles, Pt/Pt–Rh thermocouple). The evaluation was performed using a PerkinElmer model 100 series spectrometer.

To determine functional groups on the surface of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, Fourier transform infrared analysis was performed with a Thermo Fisher Scientific (model: Surfer analyzer) nitrogen adsorption–desorption analyzer.

X-ray fluorescence has been widely accepted as a nondestructive method used for identifying and assessing the amount of metal or metal oxides incorporated in powders. Metallic materials should be transformed into a powder to yield an identical shape, while substances with fine particles should be compressed to establish the size of the particles and pressed into tablets for analysis.

Powder XRD characterization was performed utilizing a Shimadzu diffractometer (model XRD 6000). A diffractometer employing Cu-K\textalpha\textsubscript{α} radiation generated by a Philips glass diffraction X-ray tube with 4 kW type was used at ambient temperature. The crystallite size (T) of the samples was calculated by the Debye–Scherrer relationship:

\[ T = 0.9 \lambda / (\beta \cos \theta), \]  

where \( \lambda \) is the incident X-ray wavelength, \( \beta \) is the full width at half-maximum, and \( \theta \) is the diffraction angle.

TEM (Hitachi H-7100) was employed to determine the inner finer structure of the crystal. For TEM analysis, the powder was disseminated in deionized water, placed onto carbon-coated copper grids on a filter paper, and dried at room temperature.

The zeta potential of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles dispersed in 1 mL of ultra-deionized water was characterized using a Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) with dynamic light scattering.
Cell culture

Five human cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® Manassas, VA, USA). The cell lines were MDA-MB231 (ATCC® HTB-26™), HT29 (ATCC® HTB-38™), HepG2 (ATCC® HB-8065™), HUVEC (ATCC® CRL-1730™), and Chang (ATCC® CCL-13™), which were all characterized as virus-negative. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin) in an incubator at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂.

MTT assays

Before applying the iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles to cell culture, the nanoparticles were well distributed in DMEM with 10% heated inactivated FBS freshly added using ultrasound treatment in order to obtain nanoparticle colloidal suspension. However, according to previous studies, these nanoparticles exhibited stable chemical and thermal properties.

MTT assay was performed as previously described. In brief, cells were plated at a density of 1×10⁴ cells per well by adding 200 µL of cell suspension at 5×10⁴ cells/mL into each well of a 96-well tissue culture plate. Test compounds were added immediately before incubation. Test compounds were iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles at different concentrations ranging from 7.8 µg/mL to 500 µg/mL or the chemotherapeutic agents (oxaliplatin for HT29 cells, doxorubicin for MDA-MB231 cells, and tamoxifen for HepG2 cells, Chang cells, and HUVECs) at concentrations ranging from 0.156 µg/mL to 10.0 µg/mL. The plates were incubated at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂ for 72 hours. After incubation with compounds, the medium was aspirated and cells were washed three times with PBS to ensure that all test compounds were removed and then replaced with fresh medium. Then, 200 µL of the MTT solution was added to every well and incubated for 4 to 6 hours at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The MTT-containing medium was gently removed, and replaced with DMSO (200 µL per well) to dissolve the formed formazan crystals. The plates were read at 570 nm on a microtiter plate reader. For each compound tested, the IC₅₀ values (that is, the concentration of drug needed to inhibit cell growth by 50%) were determined from the dose–response curves for each cell line.

Microscopic examination of cell morphology

HepG2 cells, HT29 cells, MDA-MB231 cells, Chang cells, and HUVECs (1×10⁴ cells per well) were seeded in six-well plates. After cells were exposed to iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles for 72 hours, at IC₅₀ concentrations calculated from the MTT results, general cellular morphology and membrane changes were examined via a light inverted microscope.

All experiments were completed in triplicate and repeated at least three different times.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 illustrates the X-ray photoelectron spectra of iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO₄²⁻. This material exhibited photoelectron lines at 180.7 and 531.5 eV attributed to Zr 3d5/2 and oxygen 1s levels, respectively. These photoelectron peaks taken together are expected to be due to ZrO₂. The higher binding energy species (186.0 eV) matched that of Zr⁴⁺ components attached to the more attractive species. A total lack of impact on the shape of the Zr 3d peak is very significant and suggests the different strengths and surroundings of the interfaces between the SO₄²⁻ species and the ZrO₂ for (NH₄)₂SO₄ hydrothermal impregnation at the amount of 4% SO₄²⁻. The S 2p photoelectron lines appeared at approximately 168.4 eV (Figure 1C) and indicate the presence of sulfur ions (+6) in agreement with the relative amount to S⁶⁺ in sulfates anions and in agreement with a previous research article which performed analysis regarding sulfate group-doped ZrO₂ catalysts. Figure 1D shows the X-ray photoelectron spectrum of iron(3+)–manganese-doped sulfated ZrO₂ in the region of iron 2p. The iron(3+) 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 photoelectron emissions located at approximately 711.0 and 723.5 eV, respectively, support the presence of Fe₂O₃ at the surface of the iron(3+)–manganese-doped sulfated ZrO₂. Moreover, the spectrum is a clear verification of the presence of iron(+3) that is almost similar to the Fe₂O₃ spectrum. Mn photoelectron lines could be distinguished only with a rather deprived noisy signal. Figure 1E depicts the photoelectron peaks of the iron(3+)–manganese-doped sulfated ZrO₂ substance in the region of the 2p level of Mn. Hence, the manganese photoelectron emission of 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 energy levels was detected at approximately 643.0 and 652.8 eV, respectively, which confirms the presence of manganese oxide on the surface of iron(3+)–manganese-doped SO₄²⁻/ZrO₂.

Figure 2 displays the thermogravimetric graph of Fe₃O₄/ZrO₂ and the weight lost, determined from thermogravimetric analysis, which matched well with that estimated for the degradation of uncalcined Fe₃O₄/ZrO₂. Hence, the thermal procedures for uncalcined Fe₃O₄/ZrO₂ below 600°C were assigned to the removal of physisorbed water, a mixture of nitrous oxide gases, and transformation processes of zirconyl oxyhydroxide to ZrO₂. In addition, the
second stage of weight loss started approximately 660°C and was completed at 950°C, which is attributed to the breakdown of the SO$_4^{2-}$ anions into sulfur dioxide gas.\textsuperscript{49}

Figure 3 shows the Fourier transform infrared spectrum of iron(III)--manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia-4% SO$_4^{2-}$, and, accordingly, the existence of sulfate species is recognized by the peak which appeared approximately 1,230–1,065 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which is attributed to the vibration frequency of both the asymmetric and symmetric stretching of the O=\text{S}=O and O–S–O groups, respectively.\textsuperscript{50,51} Furthermore, the absence of peaks approximately 1,450 cm\textsuperscript{-1} confirmed the existence of polynuclear sulfate in the sample as verified by the absence of bands at approximately 1,440 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, whereas the bending and stretching of vibration bands of the -OH groups were present in the sample, located at approximately 1,625 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 3,325 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. The manifestation of these peaks in spite of high-temperature calcination indicates the presence of Brønsted acidic active sites in the surface sample.

The BET surface area as well as the pore-size measurements for the iron(III)--manganese-promoted sulfated
zirconia-4% $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ via the BET method were found to be 57.0 $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ and 39 nm, respectively. It was noticed that the incorporation of ferric, manganese, and sulfate anions as promoters on the surface of $\text{ZrO}_2$ resulted in an increase in BET surface area and the pore size of iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ samples. This improvement in the physicochemical properties permitted the reacted materials to be in proximity of acid sites; hence nanoparticles of iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ might have the best activity compared to the sulfated zirconia.

The chemical composition of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ sample was determined using Shimadzu X-ray fluorescence with a Rayny EDX-720 spectrometer according to procedures utilized by others. The amount of metals and nonmetals incorporated into the sample were found to be ferric 1.624\%±0.15\%, manganese 0.497\%±0.07\%, and zirconium 77.7\%±0.03\%, and the rest might have been sulfur and oxygen.

The XRD pattern (as shown in Figure 4) of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ sample contained a barely tetragonal zirconia phase located at $2\theta$=30.3°, 35.4°, 50.2°, and 60.2° (JCPDS file number 00-050-10589 – zirconium oxide). However, the diffraction line conforming to the monoclinic phase was not apparent in the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia.

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** Thermogravimetric analysis–differential temperature curves thermograph of the iron(+3)–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia sample.

![Figure 3](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 3** Fourier transform infrared spectrum of iron(+3)–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia sample. **Notes:** (a) Stretching and (b) bending of the OH group; (c) symmetric stretching of the O–S–O bond; and (d) asymmetric stretching frequency of the O–S=O bond.
zirconia–4% SO$_4^{2-}$ XRD pattern, indicating an equilibrium in the tetragonal phase in the crystal system. The nonappearance of specific diffraction lines corresponding to ferric oxide and manganese dioxide suggests that these oxides were well dispersed on the surface of the support. The dispersion of ferric oxide and manganese dioxide nanoparticles enhanced the equilibrium of the tetragonal phase, hence generated higher surface area. The size of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO$_4^{2-}$ crystal was evaluated using the Debye–Scherrer equation and was found to be 12.7 nm.

The shape and size of the crystal and the extent of dispersion of iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO$_4^{2-}$ nanoparticles were examined using TEM. The TEM image of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles is displayed in Figure 5. It was obvious that the nanoparticle crystals were a nearly tetragonal crystal system with sizes ranging from 10.22 to 15.07 nm with an average size of 12.5 nm. Moreover, a majority of the iron(III)–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia-4% SO$_4^{2-}$ nanoparticles agglomerated, while a few were detached.

Zeta potential is a measure of the effective electric charge on a nanoparticle surface. The magnitude of the zeta potential provides information about particle stability, thus zeta potentials exhibiting increased stability due to a larger electrostatic repulsion between particles. The zeta potential for the Fe$^{3+}$–Mn$^{4+}$–SO$_4$/ZrO$_2$ nanoparticle suspensions was found to be 15.0±4.56 mV. This result may indicate that Fe$^{3+}$–Mn$^{4+}$–SO$_4$/ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles tend to agglomerate in deionized and double-distilled water. It verifies that these nanoparticles have low electrostatic repulsion properties and incipient instability.

**Cellular sensitivity of cancer and normal cells to iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles**

Three human cancer cell lines, estrogen receptor-negative (ER–) MDA-MB-231 cells, colon cancer HT29 cells, and liver cancer HepG2 cells, were used to determine the cytotoxicity of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles. Non-tumorous human liver Chang cells and HUVECs have been used as controls in many studies and were chosen here to determine the selectivity of our formulated nanoparticles. The survival of five human-derived cells after treatment with the iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles and chemotherapeutic agents after 72 hours was determined. The responses of cells to increasing concentrations of the test compounds are shown in Figure 6. The results showed a decreased inhibition of both HepG2 and MDA-MB231 cell lines upon low nanoparticle concentrations, with a tapering response intensity as the concentrations of nanoparticles increased. The MTT assay showed a concentration-dependent suppression effect of nanoparticles on cell viability, with higher reduction seen in MDA-MB231 cells.

Following 72 hours of incubation with the nanoparticles at a concentration of 31 μg/mL, HT29, HepG2, and MDA-MB-231 cells retained 68%, 55%, and 44% viability, respectively, indicating a decrease in cell growth by 32%, 45%, and 56% for the HT29, HepG2, and MDA-MB-231 cells, respectively. In contrast, normal human HUVECs and Chang cells which were similarly treated with nanoparticles showed a less than 7% decrease in growth, thereby retaining 93% viability (Figure 6). An insignificant decrease in growth of HUVECs as compared to considerably higher values seen in normal liver Chang cells indicated that the iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles exhibited low toxicity toward
normal endothelial cells and significantly higher toxicity toward cancer cells and normal liver cells. The IC\textsubscript{50} values of nanoparticles after 72 hours of treatment ranged between 25 and 50 μg/mL for MDA-MB231 and HepG2 cell lines, while it was found to be significantly higher (194 μg/mL) for the normal HUVECs (Table 1). The iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles showed lower IC\textsubscript{50} values for the liver HepG2 (41 μg/mL) cancer cells compared to the normal liver Chang cells (95 μg/mL).

These present results suggest that the present nanoparticles may have strong potential as an anticancer agent. These findings mirror those of a recent study which demonstrated the anticancer potentials of sulfated zirconia nanoparticles on the same two cell lines (HT29 and HepG2 cells) following similar treatments.\textsuperscript{36}

In liver cancer HepG2 cells and normal liver Chang cells, tamoxifen did not show selectivity in targeting cancer cells. Tamoxifen, doxorubicin, and oxaliplatin incubation resulted in concentration-dependent toxicities on both cancer and normal cells. However, the sensitivity of the cells to chemotherapies was considerably different.

**Morphological examination of treated cells**

Figure 7 shows that healthy cells remained elongated, whereas dying or dead cells became rounded and lost their adhesion to culture plates. Inverse microscopy performed after 72 hours of challenges to MDA-MB231, HT29, and HepG2 cells with the iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles at 28, 125, and 41 μg/mL, respectively, revealed dramatic morphological changes. Cellular extensions were detected; cells were rounded and partially detached from the culture flask, and cellular membranes showed extensive blebbing, especially in both MDA-MB231 and HepG2 cell lines.
Iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles

Table 1
Comparison of IC_{50} values for HepG2 cells, HT29 cells, MDA-MB231 cells, Chang cells, and HUVECs obtained from MTT assay following exposure to iron–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia nanoparticles and chemotherapies for 72 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Iron–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia nanoparticles (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Chemotherapies (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>125.31</td>
<td>3.59 (oxaliplatin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB231</td>
<td>28.06</td>
<td>0.27 (doxorubicin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>3.22 (tamoxifen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang</td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>1.19 (tamoxifen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUVECs</td>
<td>193.88</td>
<td>2.47 (tamoxifen)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; IC_{50}, concentration of drug needed to inhibit cell growth by 50%; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide.

Figure 6 Iron–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia NP and chemotherapeutic effects on the viability of treated cells, which were evaluated through mitochondrial activity using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay.

Notes: Mean ± standard deviation (n=3 wells/treatment). *P<0.05 compared with the untreated cells.

Abbreviations: HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; NP, nanoparticle.

In fact, incubation with the iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles resulted in a deterioration of the monolayer and loosening of colon cancer HT29 cells less than that seen in other cancer cell lines. The normal liver Chang cells had lost their normal flat morphology and had become spherical. Iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticle treatment, at 95 µg/mL and 193 µg/mL, caused drastic changes in both normal Chang cells and HUVECs, respectively. Some normal cells retained their normal shape and formed a monolayer. After treatment with iron–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia nanoparticles, the HT29 cells were more confluent and adherent to their surface than HepG2 and MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 7).

Conclusion

This study shows that the prepared ferric (III)–manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia nanoparticles possessed advanced physicochemical properties. The magnitude of the zeta potential indicates that the low degree of electrostatic repulsion conferred its low stability. The MTT and morphological assays used to evaluate the cytotoxicity of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles against HepG2 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines showed that the nanoparticles were significantly cytotoxic to these cells in a dose-dependent manner, and considerably less cytotoxic toward normal Chang cells and HUVECs. Human colon cancer HT29 cells showed the highest resistance to the nanoparticles among...
Figure 7 The morphological changes of HepG2, HT29, MDA-MB231, Chang cells, and HUVECs treated with iron-manganese-promoted sulfated zirconia nanoparticles at their respective IC₅₀ concentrations for 72 hours.

Abbreviations: HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; IC₅₀, concentration of drug needed to inhibit cell growth by 50%; NPs, nanoparticles.
tested cancer cells. These findings highlight the potential of iron–manganese-doped sulfated zirconia nanoparticles in the treatment of cancer. However, there is a need to study their effect in vivo to ascertain whole-body efficacy and/or any side effects.
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