Comparison of the utility and applicability of the Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scores in a population of Chinese patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia undergoing imatinib therapy
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Background: Tyrosine kinase inhibitors are increasingly used to treat chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), but loss of complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) indicates treatment failure.

Aim: To compare the efficacy of Sokal, European Treatment Outcome Study (EUTOS), and Hasford prognostic scores with 3-month and 12-month CCyR, event-free survival (EFS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with chronic-phase CML (CP-CML) undergoing imatinib therapy.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the outcome of 210 patients with CP-CML treated at the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University treated between January 2006 and December 2013. Sokal, EUTOS, and Hasford scores were compared with 3-month and 12-month CCyR, EFS, and PFS.

Results: Kaplan–Meier analyses revealed that 3-month and 12-month CCyR and PFS were lower in patients with high EUTOS scores, and intermediate or high Sokal and Hasford scores (all \( P<0.05 \)). Furthermore, EFS was lower in patients with intermediate or high Sokal and Hasford scores (both \( P<0.05 \)). Hasford score (hazard ratio \( =2.608;95\%\) confidence interval: \( 1.473–4.617;P=0.001 \)) was independently associated with 3-month CCyR.

Conclusion: Although all three scoring systems were associated with EFS, PFS, and 3-month and 12-month CCyR in the Kaplan–Meier analyses (except EFS with EUTOS), only the Hasford score was independently associated with 3m-CCyR, while EUTOS score and Sokal score were not independently associated with any of these outcomes.
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Introduction

The etiology of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is unknown, but has been attributed to tyrosine kinase activity and genetic translocation of the Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome that carries a fusion gene responsible for the disease.1–6 CML may present in chronic-phase (CP-CML), accelerated-phase, or blast-phase; however, >90% of patients are diagnosed in CP-CML.1 Over half of all CP-CML cases are asymptomatic;1 however, if untreated, these patients will inevitably progress to blast-phase CML within 3–5 years.2

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have greatly improved the prognosis of CML, and projected survival of imatinib-responsive CML is close to 100% after 6–7 years.5 The IRIS trial achieved 94.9% 12-month event-free survival (EFS) with imatinib, in comparison to 75.3% EFS in the control arm.6 In approximately 75% of patients, the Ph chromosome cannot be detected after 2 years of therapy, a state termed complete...
cytogenetic response (CCyR). CCyR is the main goal of this therapy, and the majority of patients with CML can be maintained in CP-CML.7–9

Prognostic scoring systems have been developed for risk stratification of patients with CML. Presently, three prognostic systems are widely accepted in clinical practice: Sokal,10 Hasford,11 and European Treatment Outcome Study (EUTOS).12 The Sokal score is based on patient age and clinical characteristics including spleen size, platelet count, and the percentage of blasts in the peripheral blood,10 and the Hasford model also includes eosinophil and basophil counts.11 Both systems categorize patients as high, medium, or low risk, but the capacity of such measures to accurately predict response to treatment or inform individualized treatment regimens for CML remains controversial. The Sokal and Hasford systems were designed before the introduction of TKIs, while the EUTOS was created after doubts were raised about the utility of the Sokal and Hasford systems in the TKI era. The EUTOS score is defined only by basophil count and spleen size; a EUTOS score exceeding 87 indicates high risk, and a score of 87 or less indicates low risk. As the EUTOS system involves a lower number of variables, it is easier to use and is reported to accurately predict disease-free survival of CML patients after TKI treatment.13–16

The EUTOS score has been used to accurately identify patients with lower probabilities of CCyR and lower survival.12 Overall survival and CCyR were also reported to be better predicted by the EUTOS score than the Hasford and Sokal scores in patients receiving imatinib treatment.16 A recent study also showed that EUTOS could predict the prognosis in CP-CML patients, but that Sokal and Hasford scores lacked sensitivity.17

However, two single-center studies in the US and UK have recently reported that the EUTOS score was not predictive of outcome in patients undergoing TKI treatment.18,19 Jabbour et al reported that EUTOS score was not predictive of outcome in 71 patients with early CP-CML treated with standard-dose imatinib. There was no difference between the EFS, major molecular response, or survival of low- and high-risk EUROS groups. Patients undergoing second-generation TKI treatment and with low EUTOS scores did have higher rates of CCyR in comparison to patients with high EUTOS scores, but there was no difference in patients undergoing imatinib treatment.18 Marin et al reported that EUTOS score failed to significantly predict progression-free survival (PFS), CCyR, or major molecular response in 282 CP-CML patients receiving imatinib as first-line therapy.19 In addition, the Swedish CML Registry recently reported that Sokal, but not EUTOS, predicted survival in a population-based cohort.20

The present study was carried out by analyzing data collected between 2006 and 2013. Guidelines that were applied during this period recommended that cytogenetic analysis be performed at 3-month to 6-month intervals after treatment initiation, and these were the data that were available to the present study. Three-month CCyR was selected because recent studies underlined the importance of achieving early CCyR,21,22 the same for 12 months. EFS and PFS were reported and censored on December 1, 2013. No death was observed by this time, preventing the determination of overall survival. In addition, EFS and PFS were preferred to DFS because they provide a more precise appraisal of the evolution of the patients. Thus, the aim of the present study was to compare the capacity of Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scores to predict outcome in Chinese patients with CP-CML undergoing TKI treatment. These results could help to refine TKI treatment to specific patients.

Materials and methods

Patients

This was a retrospective study performed in patients diagnosed with CP-CML at the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, People’s Republic of China) between January 2006 and December 2013. Medical charts were reviewed, and two independent chart reviewers performed data extraction and their results were compared to reduce bias.

Inclusion criteria included: 1) CP-CML diagnosis according to the revised 2011 China CML Diagnostic Criteria,17 National Comprehensive Cancer Network,23 and European Leukemia Net (ELN) guidelines,21 including at least one positive result for the Ph chromosome and/or BCR-ABL fusion protein, and CML-compatible myelogram and blood cell examination; and 2) patients must have undergone oral imatinib treatment (Novartis, Bale, Switzerland) 400 mg/day. Patients treated with hydroxyurea for <35 days were included, but no other treatment was allowed concomitantly with imatinib.

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui medical University, People’s Republic of China. All patients’ data were handled and deidentified according to ethical and legal standards. We obtained written informed consent from all participants involved in our study.

Prognosis

Sokal and Hasford scores were calculated using an online tool (http://www.leukemia-net.org/content/leukemias/cml/cml_score/index_eng.html). EUTOS scores were also
calculated using an online tool (http://www.leukemia-net.org/content/leukemias/cml/eutos_score/index_eng.html). The Sokal score is based on age, spleen size, platelet count before treatment, and proportion of blasts in the peripheral blood. Scores are stratified according to low risk (<0.8), intermediate risk (0.8–1.2) and high risk (>1.2).10 The Hasford score is based on age, spleen size, blasts percentage, eosinophil percentage, basophil percentage, and platelet count. Scores are stratified according to low risk (<780), intermediate risk (781–1,480) and high risk (>1,480).11 The EUTOS score is based on the size of the spleen and on the percentage of basophiles in peripheral blood. A EUTOS score >87 is stratified as high risk, and ≤≤87 is low risk.12

Follow-up

Patients’ follow-up was examined to determine EFS and PFS. An event was defined as: 1) progression to accelerated or blast-phase while taking imatinib; 2) death from any cause while taking imatinib; 3) loss of complete hematologic response; or 4) loss of CCyR.22 Progression was defined as: 1) progression to accelerated or blast-phase CML while taking imatinib or 2) death from any cause while taking imatinib; 3) loss of complete hematologic response; 4) loss of CCyR; or 5) white blood cell count increased to >20×10⁹/L while taking imatinib.22

CCyR was defined as the absence of the Ph chromosome by G-banding analysis of bone marrow, and by Ph chromosome fluorescence in situ hybridization of peripheral blood cells.24 The final observation time for all patients was December 1, 2013.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes were 3-month and 12-month CCyR. The secondary outcomes were EFS and PFS. In the present study, the Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scoring systems were compared with the 3-month and 12-month CCyR, EFS, and PFS, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). If normally distributed, data are presented as means ± standard deviations; where nonnormally distributed, data are expressed as median (min, max). Categorical variables are expressed as proportions. The Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank tests were used for the analysis of cumulative incidence of 3-month CCyR (3m-CCyR), 12-month CCyR (12m-CCyR), EFS, and PFS. The Cox regression analysis was used for univariate and multivariate analyses for factors associated with survival using the forward selection method to determine hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the CP-CML patients

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the patients at diagnosis. Median age was 42 (range, 6–84) years, and 60% were male.

Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scores

According to the Sokal score, 28 (13.3%) patients were high risk, 99 (47.1%) were intermediate risk, and 83 (39.5%) were low risk. According to the Hasford score, 17 (8.1%) patients were high risk, 92 (43.8%) were intermediate risk, and 101 (48.1%) were low risk. According to the EUTOS score, 42 (20.0%) patients were high risk and 168 (80.0%) were low risk (Table 1).

Kaplan–Meier analysis

Kaplan–Meier analyses were performed to assess the cumulative 3m-CCyR and 12m-CCyR in each EUTOS, Sokal, and Hasford score category. The cumulative 3m-CCyR was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores (low vs high, P<0.001), with intermediate or high Sokal scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001), and with intermediate or high Hasford scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001) (Figure 1A). The cumulative 12m-CCyR was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores (low vs high, P<0.001), with intermediate or high Sokal scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001), and with intermediate or high Hasford scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001) (Figure 1B).

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of 210 patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia at diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N=210</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>126/84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42.22 (6–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen size (cm)</td>
<td>6.73 (0–21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of blasts (%)</td>
<td>1.46 (0–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophils (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>4.68 (0–18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>3.51 (0–14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>363.06 (68–989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cell count (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>188.69 (2.5–714)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/L)</td>
<td>96.68 (51–172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Treatment Outcome Study score, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>168 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>42 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokal score, n (%)</td>
<td>83 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>99 (47.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk</td>
<td>28 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasford score, n (%)</td>
<td>101 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>92 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>17 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Continuous variables are present as median (min–max).
high Sokal scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P=0.004), and with intermediate or high Hasford scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001) (Figure 1B).

Kaplan–Meier analyses were performed using EFS and PFS according to EUTOS, Sokal, and Hasford scores. Events were considered if they happened during the follow-up period. EFS was lower with intermediate or high Sokal scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001) and with intermediate or high Hasford scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P=0.005) (Figure 2A). There was no association between EFS and EUTOS scores (P=0.289). PFS was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores (low vs high, P=0.011), with intermediate or high Sokal scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P=0.007), and with intermediate or high Hasford scores (low vs intermediate vs high, P<0.001) (Figure 2B).

**Multivariate Cox regression analysis**

Multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed. Age (HR=0.949, 95% CI: 0.919–0.980, P=0.002), proportion of blasts (HR=2.178, 95% CI: 1.810–2.620, P<0.001), and platelet count (HR=1.002, 95% CI: 1.000–1.004, P=0.045) were independently associated with EFS. Age (HR=0.909, 95% CI: 0.861–0.959, P=0.001), proportion of blasts (HR=2.966, 95% CI: 2.057–4.277, P<0.001), and white blood cell count (HR=0.996, 95% CI: 0.993–0.999, P=0.020) were independently associated with PFS. Proportion of blasts (HR=1.447, 95% CI: 1.262–1.659, P<0.001) and
Hasford score (HR=2.608, 95% CI: 1.473–4.617, P=0.001) were independently associated with 3m-CCyR. Finally, age (HR=0.964, 95% CI: 0.934–0.995, P=0.023), and proportion of blasts (HR=1.805, 95% CI: 1.531–2.127, P<0.001) was independently associated with 12m-CCyR (Table 2).

Discussion
Identifying the right scoring system for the prognosis of patients with CP-CML undergoing imatinib therapy is controversial. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare the capacity of three prognostic systems (Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS) to predict outcome in 210 Chinese patients with CP-CML undergoing imatinib treatment.

Within the last decade, no epidemiological survey of CML was performed in Anhui Province, People’s Republic of China. In this study, the median age of CML onset was similar to that in People’s Republic of China in the last century, ie, 40–50 years, which is significantly lower than the onset age observed in western countries (60–65 years).1,5 The male-to-female ratio was 1.5:1, similar to the ratio of 1.2:1 observed in other countries.25 The reason for the younger occurrence of CML in People’s Republic of China is unknown.

According to the Sokal score, 28 (13.3%) patients were high risk, 99 (47.1%) were intermediate risk, and 83 (39.5%) were low risk. According to the Hasford score, 17 (8.1%) patients were high risk, 92 (43.8%) were intermediate risk, and 101 (48.1%) were low risk. According to the EUTOS score, 42 (20.0%) patients were high risk and 168 (80.0%) were low risk. Kaplan–Meier analyses were performed to assess the 3-month and 12-month CCyR, EFS, and PFS in each EUTOS,
Sokal, and Hasford score category. The 3-month CCyR was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores, with intermediate or high Sokal scores, and with intermediate or high Hasford scores. The 12-month CCyR was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores, with intermediate or high Sokal scores, and with intermediate or high Hasford scores. There was no association between EFS and EUTOS scores; however, PSF was associated with EUTOS score. PFS was lower in patients with high EUTOS scores, with intermediate or high Sokal scores, and with intermediate or high Hasford scores. 

### Table 2 Multivariate Cox regression analyses of clinicopathological variables and EFS, PFS, 3m-CCyR, and 12m-CCyR in patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>EFS HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>EFS HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>EFS HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>EFS HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.949 (0.919–0.980)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.909 (0.861–0.959)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.964 (0.934–0.995)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.993–0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of blasts (%)</td>
<td>2.178 (1.810–2.620)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.966 (2.057–4.277)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.447 (1.262–1.659)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.805 (1.531–2.127)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cell count</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.996 (0.993–0.999)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count</td>
<td>1.002 (1.000–1.004)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasford</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.608 (1.473–4.617)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EFS, event-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; PFS, progression-free survival; CCyR, complete cytogenetic response.

As reported previously by Höglund et al, we observed statistically significant differences in the EFS of CP-CML patients in the Sokal high-risk group and the low- and intermediate-risk groups. PFS and CCyR were previously reported to be associated with the Sokal and EUTOS scores, as we also observed. However, a recent study in a Japanese population reported that the EUTOS score was not associated with EFS, while PFS was reported to be associated with Hasford and EUTOS scores. However, further studies will be required to assess the value of any new score.
our conclusions need to be verified in larger samples. Additionally, we could not rule out the possibility that the data collected from a single-center study resulted in a relatively higher ratio due to a number of biases, such as referral, diagnosis, and observation biases. In addition, the EUTOS score system defined CCyR as 0% marrow cell metaphase after 18 months of therapy. As we reported only 3-month and 12-month CCyR, we cannot confirm that EUTOS better predicts 18-month CCyR. In addition, no death was observed in the present study. The evaluation of the molecular response was not part of the routine practice at our hospital during the study period. A longer term study reporting 18-month CCyR, overall survival, and 5-year disease-free survival may yield different results. This was a retrospective study with all the inherent biases and shortcomings, and a prospective trial should be performed. Accordingly, further prospective, larger, multicenter, longer studies are necessary to address these limitations and further probe the utility of EUTOS in this population.
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