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Abstract: More than 40% of all breast cancer cases are diagnosed in patients aged ≥65 years, accounting for an ever-increasing disease burden in the elderly. Historically, however, this growing population of breast cancer patients has been underrepresented in clinical trials, resulting in a paucity of data that clinicians can reference in making treatment decisions for their older patients. A consequence may be the undertreatment of elderly patients, who have the highest incidence of breast cancer. However, subgroup analyses of elderly patients in multiple early-Phase (I or II) studies and a handful of small studies with elderly-specific populations have suggested that older patients may experience similar benefit from cancer therapy as younger patients with otherwise similar baseline characteristics. Although steps should be taken to avoid undertreating older patients, a balance must be achieved to avoid overtreatment. Guidelines have been released detailing recommendations for the treatment of elderly breast cancer patients, including a discussion of various geriatric assessments that might aid physicians in selecting patients appropriate for recommended treatment options. Chemotherapy remains a key component of treatment regimens for many older patients. However, the benefit of some agents may be limited by tolerability issues. Taxanes, one of the most established classes of chemotherapy for breast cancer, are known to be highly active and efficacious and to have well-characterized safety profiles. This review discusses factors that influence treatment choices for elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer, and then focuses on clinical data for taxanes in this patient population.
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Introduction

The term elderly can be defined both chronologically and functionally. Although the chronological definition of elderly has shown considerable variability in the literature, it is clear that older women represent an important and growing population of breast cancer patients. Indeed, more than 40% of new breast cancer cases are diagnosed in women aged ≥65 years,\(^1\) with 20% of new cases per year in the United States diagnosed in women aged ≥75 years.\(^2\) Breast cancer-specific mortality between 1998 and 2010 in the United States decreased by 1.9% per year. However, the decreases in mortality between 1990 and 2007 were not shared evenly across age groups. While patients aged 20–49 years experienced a decrease in breast cancer-specific mortality of 2.5% per year, patients aged 65–74 years experienced a 2.0% decrease per year, and those aged ≥75 years had only a 1.1% decrease per year. Elderly patients with breast cancer are known to be undertreated,\(^2,3\) and this may explain why older patients have benefited less from treatment advances over the past 2 decades.
There is a lack of strong evidence (level 1) for physicians to consider in making decisions regarding treatment of the elderly. Therefore, treatment of the elderly is based largely on retrospective subset analyses and extrapolation of results from younger patient populations. Noting the issues of undertreatment and underrepresentation in clinical trials, the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) assembled a task force to make evidence-based recommendations for the treatment of breast cancer in elderly patients. One of the key conclusions the task force reached was that age alone should not dictate therapy. The task force also highlighted the importance of balancing overtreatment and undertreatment of advanced disease in elderly patients, a setting in which the maintenance of quality of life as well as independence is particularly important. Clinicians are increasingly challenged to balance patient comorbidities, social support, cognitive function, and family dynamics in proposing treatment recommendations.

Key issues with elderly patients
The management of breast cancer in elderly patients depends critically on certain physiological changes that occur with age. Such changes can affect drug metabolism and distribution. For example, both hepatic mass and the level of cytochrome p450 in the liver decrease with age. Rates of renal clearance also decrease with age, affecting drug excretion. In addition, increases in body fat and decreases in total body water, which are both associated with aging, may modify the volume of distribution of drugs in the circulation. All of these factors affect the way drugs are processed in the body and may account for some of the increased toxicity in elderly patients.

A retrospective study evaluated nine Phase I clinical trials to examine whether elderly patients exhibited decreased clearance of experimental drugs. The results of this study suggested no difference in clearance in older patients compared with their younger counterparts, and the proportion of patients who received at or above the maximum-tolerated dose was similar in patients older or younger than age 65. Because these data are derived from a small cohort of patients (81 patients >65 years of age and 263 patients ≤65 years of age), the generalizability of these results to the population as a whole is limited.

The course and phenotypes of breast cancers that develop in elderly patients differ from those that develop in younger patients. Whether older patients present with a similar degree of tumor burden as younger patients at diagnosis is unclear. However, it is known that tumors that develop in older patients tend to manifest a different biology, which is less aggressive than that of tumors that develop in younger patients. This is evident in the differences in pathological features. For example, breast tumors in older patients are much more likely to be hormone receptor positive (HR+) and less likely to overexpress human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).

In addition to the physiological and pathological factors just described, a number of additional important clinical features may complicate the treatment of breast cancer in the elderly, such as shortened life expectancy, potentially lower performance status, polypharmacy, and numerous chronic and acute comorbidities that not only affect the patient’s functional status but also lead to challenges in overlapping toxicities. Logically, as age increases, the risk of dying from noncancer-related causes also increases. While this is often not the immediate focus, oncologists must carefully consider age and the impact of comorbidities versus the predicted benefit of treatment when determining how aggressively to treat patients. Furthermore, therapeutic agents bearing a known toxicity risk that is affected by some other condition may be contraindicated. For example, an oncologist prescribing treatment for a patient with breast cancer who has cardiovascular disease must weigh the risk of cardiotoxicity associated with anthracyclines or trastuzumab against their potential benefits.

The issue of polypharmacy is also critical to consider, especially in older patients who are more likely to be receiving treatment for comorbid conditions. Drug–drug interactions can affect pharmacodynamics, absorption, excretion, distribution, and metabolism of systemic therapies, making the relative exposures of drugs less predictable.

This review will focus primarily on advanced disease, but many of the conclusions regarding the evaluation of treatment of elderly patients are also relevant in earlier disease settings focused on curative outcomes.

Treatment options
In a retrospective analysis of five metastatic breast cancer trials conducted by the Piedmont Oncology Association, older patients (n=70) demonstrated similar efficacy from systemic chemotherapy compared with their younger counterparts (n=60, aged 50–69 years and n=40, aged <50 years) in terms of overall response rate (ORR), time to progression, and overall survival (OS). Notably, the rates of toxic effects were similar between younger
and older patients. Thus, it appears that the benefits of chemotherapy that apply to younger patients also apply to at least a subset of older patients. This may pertain only to fit elderly patients, with less-fit patients requiring different treatment strategies.\(^\text{18}\)

Regardless of patient age, physicians should first establish whether patients are candidates for therapy targeted to HER2 or HRs.\(^\text{18}\) Trastuzumab and pertuzumab, monoclonal antibodies against HER2,\(^\text{19}\) are recommended for many patients with HER2-positive (HER2+) disease; specifically, the combination of trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and a taxane is listed as a preferred first-line treatment regimen for HER2+ metastatic breast cancer.\(^\text{18}\)

For patients with HR+ metastatic breast cancer, treatment guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommend endocrine therapy for first-line treatment.\(^\text{18}\) Unfortunately, however, over time many such tumors become refractory to endocrine therapy; such patients may become candidates for cytotoxic chemotherapy.\(^\text{18}\)

According to the updated SIOG guidelines, chemotherapy is indicated for elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer that is estrogen-receptor negative or hormone therapy refractory or manifests rapidly progressing tumor burden.\(^\text{4}\) Choosing the appropriate chemotherapy option for elderly patients requires consideration of several variables, including not only antitumor activity, but also factors such as the patient’s renal function and the agent’s safety profile.\(^\text{4}\) As mentioned previously, renal function may decline with age, and the physician must evaluate whether a therapy that is known to be metabolized renally, such as capecitabine, is appropriate for patients with declining or unpredictable kidney function.\(^\text{5,7,20}\) Agents such as anthracyclines and taxanes are not excreted primarily in the urine\(^\text{21–24}\) and can be considered even for patients with renal impairment. According to treatment guidelines published by the NCCN (not age specific), combination therapy for metastatic disease is not superior to sequential single-agent therapy because of a lack of superior OS.\(^\text{18}\) The SIOG guidelines for elderly patients recommend single-agent chemotherapy regimens over combination chemotherapy for metastatic disease because of the lower degree of toxicity, typically associated with single-agent therapy.\(^\text{4}\) The SIOG guidelines go on to suggest that “preference should be given to chemotherapy agents with better safety profiles (such as weekly taxanes, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, capecitabine, and vinorelbine) that have been studied in older patients.”

### Taxanes for the treatment of elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer

#### Taxane development

The development of taxanes for cancer treatment began after the discovery of paclitaxel, a compound isolated from the bark of the western yew tree, which demonstrated antitumor activity by inhibiting microtubule dynamics in cancer cells.\(^\text{23,25–27}\) This was followed by the development of the molecularly similar compound docetaxel.\(^\text{21}\) Both compounds have demonstrated efficacy in metastatic breast cancer,\(^\text{21,23}\) and the recognition that taxanes, along with anthracyclines, are among the most active classes of drugs for metastatic breast cancer led to their widespread use for advanced disease and subsequent adoption in early-stage breast cancer.\(^\text{18,28}\) Current treatment guidelines recommend taxanes for metastatic and early-stage breast cancer, either as single agents or combined with novel biologic agents;\(^\text{18}\) however, tolerability issues with these drugs and the solvents used to administer them have led to the development of other taxane formulations, including albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel).\(^\text{29,30}\) The remainder of this review will focus on the efficacy and tolerability of taxanes for the treatment of elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer.

#### Solvent-based paclitaxel

The pharmacokinetic behavior of solvent-based paclitaxel in the elderly was examined in a study of patients with metastatic breast cancer, in which several parameters were compared between patients ≥70 years of age and those <70 years of age.\(^\text{31}\) All patients received solvent-based paclitaxel as a 1-hour infusion at a dose of 80 mg/m\(^2\) (≥70 years) or 100 mg/m\(^2\) (<70 years) on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle. Serial blood samples were collected immediately before the first administration and then at multiple time points up to 24 hours after the administration was completed. The pharmacokinetics of unbound paclitaxel and those of the solvent vehicle Cremophor EL (now renamed Kolliphor EL) were assessed. The authors reported that the clearance of unbound paclitaxel was considerably lower in the older group of patients versus the <70-year age group (124 vs 247 L/h/m\(^2\); $P=0.002$). Despite a lower dose, patients ≥70 years of age also had a significantly slower clearance of Cremophor EL (150 vs 115 mL/h/m\(^2\); $P=0.04$). The exact route of clearance for Cremophor EL is not well characterized; however, patients with diminished renal or hepatic function have not exhibited diminished
clearance of Cremophor EL, suggesting that it is not primarily
eliminated by the kidneys or liver.\textsuperscript{29,32–34} Interestingly, rates
of neutropenia were the same between the two age groups in
this study, perhaps due to increased paclitaxel exposure or
decreased bone marrow reserve in older patients. Although
the exact impact of this altered pharmacokinetic behavior on
adverse events (AEs) is difficult to quantify, a relationship has
been described between the duration that plasma paclitaxel
concentration remains \(\geq 0.05 \mu\text{mol/L}\) and the occurrence of
neutropenia.\textsuperscript{35} The altered pharmacokinetics described sug-
ests that issues of dosing and schedule may prove to be of
great importance in elderly patients receiving solvent-based
paclitaxel.

The authors of a pooled analysis of two trials conducted
by the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) sought to
examine whether the efficacy of solvent-based paclitaxel
treatment was correlated with age.\textsuperscript{36} Patients receiving both
weekly and every-3-week schedules were pooled, and evalua-
tions were carried out in different subgroups of patients based
on age: <55 years (\(n=470\)), 55–64 years (\(n=306\)), and \(\geq 65\)
years (\(n=272\)). Notably, performance status did not differ
among the three groups. Multivariate analyses found that age
alone did not correlate with ORR, OS, or progression-free
survival (PFS); however, performance status and receiving
therapy in the first-line setting did significantly correlate
with ORR, OS, and PFS. Although age did not appear to
correlate with efficacy, age did correlate with some AEs,
including grade \(\geq 3\) neurotoxicity. The occurrence of grade
\(\geq 3\) leukopenia (\(P=0.0099\)), granulocytopenia (\(P=0.022\)),
anorexia (\(P=0.028\)), hyperbilirubinemia (\(P=0.0035\)), and
malaise (\(P=0.0028\)) also significantly correlated with age.
The authors concluded that solvent-based paclitaxel “is as
effective in carefully selected older as in younger patients
with metastatic breast cancer. The increased risk of neuro-
toxicity in elders is of concern and older patients should be
closely monitored for this event.”

A handful of smaller studies have prospectively exam-
ined solvent-based paclitaxel for metastatic breast cancer in
elderly patient populations (Tables 1 and 2).\textsuperscript{37–39} The median
ages of patients in these studies ranged from 74 to 77 years,
all three included mostly fit elderly patients (more than 80%-
with a performance status of 0 or 1) with adequate liver

### Table 1 Efficacy of taxanes in elderly patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Line of therapy</th>
<th>Age in years (median)</th>
<th>ORR (%)</th>
<th>OS in months (median)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sb-P</td>
<td>ten Tije et al a</td>
<td>23 sb-P 80 mg/m(^2) qw 3/4</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Del Mastro et al b</td>
<td>41 sb-P 80 mg/m(^2) qw 3/4</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beuselinck et al c</td>
<td>28 sb-P 80 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>(\geq) First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>75–76 (^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lichtman et al d,e</td>
<td>271 sb-P 80 mg/m(^2) qw; sb-P 175–250 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>First or second</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>(\geq 65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Hainsworth et al e</td>
<td>36 D 36 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>First or second</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>74 (^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D’hond et al f</td>
<td>37 D 36 mg/m(^2) qw (\rightarrow) qw 2/3 or qw 3/4</td>
<td>(\geq) First</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maisano et al g</td>
<td>21 D 35 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>(\geq 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lorenzo et al h</td>
<td>28 D 50–100 mg/m(^2) qw or qw 4/6</td>
<td>First or second</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massacesi et al i</td>
<td>33 D 25–30 mg/m(^2) qw, 40–50 mg/m(^2) qw, or 75–100 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>(\geq) First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>(\geq 70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pivot et al j,k</td>
<td>D 100 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38 + placebo</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41 + bev 7.5 mg/kg</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48 + bev 15 mg/kg</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nab-P</td>
<td>Aapro et al l,m</td>
<td>30 nab-P 260 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>(\geq) First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32 sb-P 175 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 nab-P 300 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 nab-P 100 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 nab-P 150 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19 D 100 mg/m(^2) qw</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** \(^a\)Mean of trial-enrolled patients aged \(\geq 70\) years or considered frail; \(^b\)retrospective analysis; \(^c\)around 35% in first line and 24% in second line; \(^d\)patients were either aged \(\geq 65\) years or considered poor candidates for combination chemotherapy.

**Abbreviations:** bev, bevacizumab; D, docetaxel; nab, nanoparticle albumin-bound; NR, not reported; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; P, paclitaxel; PS, performance status; qw, every 2 weeks; q3w, every 3 weeks; q4w, every 4 weeks; qw, weekly; qw 2/3, the first 2 of 3 weeks; qw 3/4, the first 3 of 4 weeks; qw 6/7, the first 6 of 7 weeks; qw 6/8, the first 6 of 8 weeks; sb, solvent-based.
and kidney function, and most patients received the given regimen as first-line therapy for advanced disease. ORRs ranged from 24% to 54%. The most common grade ≥3 AEs in these studies were neutropenia (9%-45%) and sensory neuropathy (2%-28%).

In the data described above on elderly breast cancer patients receiving solvent-based paclitaxel, age did not appear to correlate with efficacy. However, subsequent studies suggested that age may be correlated with some pharmacokinetic parameters (total body clearance and volume of distribution of unbound paclitaxel) and the occurrence of some grade ≥3 AEs, including neurotoxicity, anorexia, and some hematologic toxicities. In addition, the rates of grade 1/2 peripheral or sensory neuropathy in these studies of solvent-based paclitaxel for elderly patients ranged from 35% to 48%.

A report by the Belgian Society of Medical Oncology compared weekly solvent-based paclitaxel 80 mg/m2 with weekly docetaxel 36 mg/m2 in a Phase II trial (N=70) of older or frail patients with metastatic breast cancer. Of the 70 patients enrolled, 28 were aged ≥70 years and 42 were aged <70 years. Frailty was defined as expected hematologic problems from past experience with chemotherapy or radiation and/or low starting neutrophils or platelets, liver function abnormalities, or grade 2 AEs during prior therapy with a taxane on an every-3-week schedule. The ORR for the entire population was 43%. Among patients ≥70 years of age, the ORRs for the pooled group, the solvent-based paclitaxel group, and the docetaxel group were 36%, 50%, and 25%, respectively (Table 1). Univariate analysis of the efficacy results adjusted for age showed that age was not a prognostic factor for ORR. Tolerability data for patients ≥70 years of age were not given. The authors cautioned against drawing any conclusions with respect to the comparison of the two treatment regimens since the trial was not designed or powered for a statistically valid comparison. In addition, the patients in the solvent-based paclitaxel arm received more cycles of treatment compared with those in the docetaxel arm (median 11.0 [maximum 32] vs median 8.0 [maximum 22], respectively), potentially affecting the rates of AEs reported.

### Docetaxel

A prospective trial of single-agent docetaxel in patients with solid tumors examined pharmacokinetics in those...
aged ≥65 years versus those aged <65 years (n=20 for each group). All patients received docetaxel 75 mg/m² as a 1-hour infusion every 3 weeks. No differences in pharmacokinetics were observed between the two groups in terms of docetaxel clearance (30.1 L/h for the elderly group vs 30.0 L/h for the younger group) or drug exposure (6.01 vs 5.69 µg/mL · h, respectively). However, the older group had a numerically higher rate of grade 4 neutropenia (65% vs 30%; P=0.06) as well as febrile neutropenia (16% vs 0%). A model generated based on the data from the younger patients suggested that the elderly patients may be more sensitive to docetaxel.

Tables 1 and 2 include clinical data for elderly patients who received docetaxel for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. The study by Pivot et al was a retrospective subanalysis of the AVADO trial, which tested docetaxel 100 mg/m² with or without bevacizumab (7.5 or 15 mg/kg) every 3 weeks for patients with metastatic breast cancer. The primary endpoint of the study was PFS, and all patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1. In elderly patients (defined as aged ≥65 years; n=127), both bevacizumab-containing groups produced longer median PFS values versus the placebo group, similar to what was observed in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population; however, the differences did not reach statistical significance (for the 7.5 mg/kg bevacizumab group vs placebo, 9.0 vs 7.6 months, HR =0.76, P=0.35; for the 15 mg/kg bevacizumab group vs placebo, 10.3 vs 7.6 months, HR =0.63, P=0.07). ORRs were 45%, 37%, and 50% in the placebo, bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg, and bevacizumab 15 mg/kg groups, respectively (no comparisons reached statistical significance). In the elderly population, the incidence rates of any grade ≥3 AEs were 76%, 88%, and 88% in the placebo, bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg, and bevacizumab 15 mg/kg groups, respectively. The authors concluded that efficacy in the elderly population was higher for patients who received bevacizumab than for patients who received placebo (6% vs 3%; P-value not reported). In the overall safety population, the rates of AE-related deaths were 3% for the bevacizumab groups and 2% for the placebo group.

A number of prospective studies on docetaxel for the treatment of elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer have been conducted (Tables 1 and 2). As in studies of solvent-based paclitaxel, most of the patients in these trials had a performance status of 0–2, and most were treated in the first- or second-line settings. The median ages ranged from 63 to 74 years. In approximately half of the docetaxel trials, a weekly dosing schedule was used; the other half used every 2-, 3-, or 4-week schedules (Tables 1 and 2). The ORRs were 24%–50%, demonstrating efficacy in these patients, and median OS values were 6.5–26.6 months. The most common grade ≥3 toxicities in these docetaxel studies were fatigue (0%–22%) and neutropenia (2%–33%). Grade ≥3 peripheral neuropathy was not common (<5%).

The formulation of nab-paclitaxel does not include solvents, leading to possible pharmacokinetic advantages over solvent-based formulations. A pharmacokinetic study (N=17; median age 65 years) in a general population of patients with solid tumors found that nab-paclitaxel 260 mg/m², given as a 30-minute infusion, showed faster clearance of total drug versus solvent-based paclitaxel 175 mg/m², given as a 3-hour infusion (13.2 vs 8.9 L/h/m²; P=0.00002). In a study that evaluated pharmacokinetics of nab-paclitaxel in an exclusively elderly population, Hurria et al reported the results of a study (N=39; mean age 60 years) in which they examined the pharmacokinetics of nab-paclitaxel 100 mg/m² in the first 3 of 4 weeks in patients with metastatic breast cancer as a function of age and other factors. No significant associations were reported between age and any pharmacokinetic parameters, although there was a borderline significant association between increasing age and increasing total drug exposure (area under the curve; P=0.055).

nab-paclitaxel

Although no prospective studies have been conducted to evaluate the clinical benefit of nab-paclitaxel in elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer, a retrospective analysis examined efficacy and safety in older patients in a Phase III trial and a large, randomized Phase II trial of patients with metastatic breast cancer (Tables 1 and 2). The Phase III trial (mean age 53 years) showed greater efficacy for nab-paclitaxel 260 mg/m² every 3 weeks versus solvent-based paclitaxel 175 mg/m² every 3 weeks in patients receiving ≥ first-line treatment in terms of a higher ORR (33% vs 19%; P=0.001) and a longer time to progression (23.0 vs 16.9 weeks; P=0.006). The Phase II trial (mean age 52–55 years) compared three different regimens of nab-paclitaxel (300 mg/m² every 3 weeks, 100 mg/m² the first 3 of 4 weeks, and 150 mg/m² the first 3 of 4 weeks) and docetaxel 100 mg/m² every 3 weeks. The investigator-assessed ORRs for the ITT populations were 46%, 63%, 74%, and 39%, respectively, and the median values for PFS by investigator assessment were 10.9, 7.5, 14.6, and 7.8 months, respectively. The analysis of the elderly in these two trials included a total of 114 patients with a median age...
of 69 years. Among patients aged ≥65 years in the Phase III trial, ORR was higher for nab-paclitaxel versus solvent-based paclitaxel (27% vs 19%), and both median PFS (5.6 vs 3.5 months) and OS (17.6 vs 12.8 months) were longer for nab-paclitaxel versus solvent-based paclitaxel. In the Phase II study, the ORRs were 22%, 64%, 60%, and 32% in patients receiving nab-paclitaxel 300 mg/m², nab-paclitaxel 100 mg/m² the first 3 of 4 weeks, nab-paclitaxel 150 mg/m² the first 3 of 4 weeks, and docetaxel, respectively. Median PFS values were 13.8, 9.2, 18.9, and 8.5 months, and median OS values were 19.9, 21.7, 20.7, and 21.2 months, respectively. In general, the safety signals observed in the elderly patients in this analysis were similar to those of the ITT populations. The authors concluded that among elderly patients, weekly nab-paclitaxel was safe and more efficacious compared with the every-3-week schedule of nab-paclitaxel or the solvent-based taxanes.

Discussion

Although overtreatment is a concern in older women with breast cancer, elderly patients have generally been under-represented in clinical trials; as a result, they are often under-treated in the absence of consensus treatment guidelines. However, the literature suggests that at least some elderly patients derive similar benefits from cancer therapy as their younger counterparts. It seems that functional status of patients plays a more important role than physiological age in determining which kinds of therapies are appropriate. Although there are many physiological and clinical changes and challenges that may occur as patients age, a number of geriatric assessment tools are available to guide physicians in their treatment decision-making process. In trials that included a functional and comorbidity assessment, age did not significantly correlate with toxicity. The treating physician must also ensure that therapy does not directly diminish functional status, both for the patient’s long-term well-being and for the impact such an effect would have on the patient’s ability to be an ideal candidate for future lines of therapy.

SIOG guidelines state that weekly taxanes are among the preferred options for elderly patients in whom chemotherapy is indicated. Specifically, elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer may be candidates for chemotherapy, including taxanes, if they have disease that is hormone receptor negative, HR+ but refractory to endocrine therapy, or HR+ but rapidly progressing (such cases might call for chemotherapy plus endocrine therapy). Elderly patients with HER2+ disease may receive HER2-directed therapy plus chemotherapy, such as a taxane (single-agent trastuzumab may also be reasonable). HER2-directed therapy plus endocrine therapy is an option for metastatic breast cancer that is HER2+ and HR+ in patients for whom chemotherapy is contraindicated or for those without life-threatening disease.

Multiple attempts have been made to modify the formulation of the currently available taxanes to maintain efficacy and improve tolerability. However, to date, nab-paclitaxel is the only formulation that has succeeded in this strategy in terms of receiving US Food and Drug Administration’s approval for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer.

Treating elderly patients with taxanes requires consideration of some specific challenges. Rates of peripheral neuropathy and diabetes increase with age. Furthermore, many patients with diabetes develop a form of chronic painful peripheral neuropathy, so oncologists must be particularly conscious of preexisting neuropathy and diabetes when considering taxanes for elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer. Another concern for patients, especially those with diabetes, is that steroid pretreatment for chemotherapy – a requirement for solvent-based paclitaxel and docetaxel – may cause fluctuations in blood sugar.

Concerns over solvent-related hypersensitivity and the need for premedication are not applicable to nab-paclitaxel, because its administration does not require solvents. This advantage extends not only to elderly patients with metastatic breast cancer but also to patients with non-small-cell lung cancer or pancreatic cancer. In light of the foregoing challenges, careful consideration of patient and disease factors is required for physicians to take advantage of the demonstrated activity of taxanes. Future studies may lead to refinements in patient selection and dosing optimization to tailor taxane treatments to elderly patients with breast cancer.

Conclusion

Weekly single-agent taxanes are among the regimens recommended by the NCCN for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer (not specific to any age group), and guidelines by the SIOG state that weekly taxanes are an appropriate option for older patients. Age alone does not appear to warrant a mandatory dose reduction, and the weekly regimen that demonstrates reduced hematologic toxicity and comparable efficacy seems most reasonable. While solvent-based paclitaxel and docetaxel are viable options in these patients, a retrospective analysis suggests that the nonsolvent-based taxane nab-paclitaxel may offer a comparable or better therapeutic index, perhaps as a result of a lesser impact of age on the pharmacokinetics of the drug within the body. For such findings to guide treatment
decisions regarding older patients with breast cancer, a large prospective study in elderly patients would be necessary to confirm these data.
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