Micheliolide overcomes KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance in breast cancer cells by downregulating glutathione
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Abstract: Micheliolide (MCL) is a promising novel compound with broad-spectrum anticancer activity. However, little is known regarding its action and mechanism in breast cancer. To explore the potential therapeutic application of MCL as a chemosensitivity modulator, this study investigated the effects of MCL on cisplatin sensitivity in breast cancer and the underlying mechanisms. In the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide cytotoxicity assay and a xenograft tumor model, MCL enhanced the cisplatin sensitivity of the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 both in vitro and in vivo. Treatment of MCF-7 cells with low-dose cisplatin (10 μM) was sufficient to enrich the proportion of ALDH+ cells and upregulate Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) expression. The results obtained from knockdown and overexpression experiments demonstrate that KLF4 is both necessary and sufficient to induce a cisplatin resistance phenotype in breast cancer cells. Furthermore, the glutathione (GSH) content was elevated in MCF-7 cells after overexpression of KLF4. KLF4-mediated resistance to cisplatin was found to be abrogated by treatment with buthionine sulfoximine, an inhibitor of GSH synthesis. MCL induced GSH depletion and severe cell death in KLF4-overexpressing MCF-7 cells following exposure to cisplatin. Therefore, these results suggest that MCL-mediated direct depletion of GSH represents a major mechanism in reversing KLF4-induced cisplatin resistance in MCF-7 cells.
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Introduction

The development of chemoresistance is a persistent problem during the treatment of advanced breast cancer, but the exact reasons underlying this process remain unclear. A growing number of reports have shown that a higher proportion of cancer stem cells (CSCs) correlate with an increased incidence of relapse due to chemotherapy-resistant outgrowth and worse overall survival. In addition, chemoresistant CSCs have the ability to reinitiate disease either immediately after treatment or after significant periods of dormancy. Therefore, targeting CSCs and CSC-related genes might provide a novel strategy to improve chemotherapeutic effects and suppress breast cancer recurrence.

Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) is a zinc finger-type transcription factor that is required for both embryonic stem cell self-renewal and maintenance of pluripotency.1 In 2004, Pandya et al2 reported that the localization of KLF4 in the nucleus of breast cancer cells is a prognostic factor and identified KLF4 as a marker of an aggressive phenotype in early-stage infiltrating ductal carcinoma. Further evidence has demonstrated that KLF4 has a potent oncogenic role in mammary tumorigenesis likely by maintaining its stem cell-like features and by promoting cell migration and invasion.3 In 2014, Dong et al4 identified that KLF4 overexpression is associated with lower pathologic complete
remission in patients with locally advanced breast cancer undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy. These studies suggest that KLF4 might provide an effective therapeutic approach to suppress tumorigenicity in breast cancer and might also serve as a predictor for pathologic complete remission in patients with breast cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. In our previous study, we found that KLF4 regulates the cellular sensitivity to cisplatin in hepatocarcinoma stem-like cells and in hepatocarcinoma cells by elevating intracellular glutathione (GSH) levels. It was also demonstrated that there is an aberrant steady-state pool of GSH and a global upregulation of GSH pathway proteins in CSCs, which render them resistant to chemotherapy. On the basis of these findings, we hypothesized that KLF4 might also regulate the chemoresistance in breast cancer cells, and that the inhibition of GSH metabolism might provide a new therapeutic strategy for abrogating KLF4-mediated chemoresistance.

Parthenolide, a natural sesquiterpene lactone with a 10,5-ring structure isolated from Tanacetum parthenium, is a newly identified small molecule found to be selectively lethal against CSCs by targeting GSH metabolism pathways. Micheliodile (MCL), an orally bioavailable parthenolide analog that can also selectively eliminate CSCs, has only been reported to have been used in acute myelogenous leukemia and colorectal cancer. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms of the anticancer effects of MCL remain unresolved. To address these questions, the present study was designed to identify the potential effect of MCL in reversing KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance and the related mechanisms in breast cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents

The human breast cancer cell line MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and HEK293T cells were preserved by our laboratory, and MCF-7/VC3AI cells were kindly provided by Dr Binghui Li (Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, People’s Republic of China). All cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin mixture at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air. All human cell line protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University.

Mammospheres culture

MCF-7 cells after treatment with cisplatin were suspended in serum-free DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with B27 (1:50, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 20 ng/mL hEGF (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 20 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), 40 U/mL heparin (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA), 2 mM glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 5 µg/mL insulin (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and 0.5 µg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and plated in 24-well ultra-low attachment plates (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) at 2,000 cells per well. After 15–20 days, the plates were analyzed for mammospheres formation, and quantified using an inverted microscope (IX71; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

In vivo tumor growth experiments

Five- to 6-week-old female athymic BALB/c nude mice were purchased from the Institute of Laboratory Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. All animal protocols were approved by the Tianjin Medical University animal care committee. Subcutaneous tumor xenografts of human cancer cells were established in nude mice by injection of 2×10^6 cells in 100 mL 2 mg/mL Matrigel (VWR, Mississauga, ON, Canada) at both flanks near the posterior legs. Starting on the day of the xenografts, mice inoculated with hormone-dependent MCF-7 tumor cells received a subcutaneous injection of estradiol (E2) (0.15 mg/animal) at 3-day intervals, to prime tumor growth. For each animal, tumor size was measured twice a week using calipers. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: (length × width^2)/2. Treatments with the drugs were initiated when the tumors derived from a given cell line reached a mean volume of 150 mm^3 (day 0). Mice received intraperitoneal injections of MCL (50 mg/kg), cisplatin (0.00615 mmol/kg), or control (vehicle) solution (0.9% sodium chloride in water), at 3-day intervals starting at day 0. Animals were also weighed twice a week starting at day 0. Mice were killed after 20 days; at that time, tumor size had not grown over 2,500 mm^3.

Lentivirus production and transduction

Lentivirus production and titering were carried out according to the following protocols from Trono Lab (http://tronolab.epfl.ch): in HEK293T cells, the pLVTHM vector containing KLF4-shRNA (5′-GGA CGG CTG TGG ATG GAA A-3′)^a or the shRNA-negative control was co-transfected with the envelope plasmid pMD2.G and the packaging vector psPAX2 via calcium phosphate precipitation. Virus-containing medium was collected 48 hours after transfection, and the viruses were concentrated by ultra-centrifugation at 28,000 rpm for 2 hours. Concentrated viruses were reconstituted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The lentiviruses...
pWPTS-KLF4 and pWPTS-GFP were produced as indicated previously.\textsuperscript{5}

**Cytotoxicity assay**

Breast cancer cells were plated at a density of 0.8×10^4 cells per well in 96-well plates. After 24 hours incubation, the cells were treated with MCL or cisplatin at the indicated concentrations for 24 hours, then the medium was removed, and 200 \( \mu \)L fresh medium plus 20 \( \mu \)L MTI (5 mg/mL dissolved in PBS) was added to each well. After incubation for 4 hours at 37°C, the culture medium containing MTI was withdrawn, and 150 \( \mu \)L dimethyl sulfoxide was added followed by shaking for 10 minutes until the crystals were dissolved. Viable cells were detected by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm using an MRX II absorbance reader (DYNEX Technologies, Chantilly, VA, USA). The cell growth was expressed as the ratio of the absorbance of cells exposed to the MCL treatment to that of cells not exposed to the treatment (100%). The inhibition rate (IR) was calculated as follows:

\[
\%IR = \frac{1 - \text{Absorbance value of MCL well}}{\text{Absorbance value of control well}} \times 100\%
\]

**Western blot analysis**

For western blot analysis, the cells were washed three times with PBS, homogenized in cell lysis buffer, incubated on ice for 20 minutes, and then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 10,000 rpm. The aqueous supernatant was collected and quantified using a BCA protein assay kit (Boster, Wuhan, People’s Republic of China). Equal amounts (30 \( \mu \)g) of protein extract were loaded and separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. After electrophoresis, the proteins on the gel were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane and incubated with the corresponding antibodies. The immune complexes were detected using the LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA).

**Analysis of apoptosis by flow cytometry**

Cells (3×10^4) suspended in 2 mL fresh media were plated into each well of a 6-well, flat-bottomed microtiter plate and incubated overnight. Then, the cells were treated with or without 10 \( \mu \)M MCL for 24 hours. After being harvested, the cells were washed twice with pre-chilled PBS and then resuspended in 1× binding buffer at a concentration of 1×10^5 cells/mL. The solution (100 \( \mu \)L, 1×10^5 cells) was then mixed with 5 \( \mu \)L Annexin V-PE and 5 \( \mu \)L 7-AAD (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The mixed solution was incubated at room temperature away from light for 15 minutes, and then 400 \( \mu \)L 1× dilution buffer was added to each tube. Analysis was performed using a fluorescence-activated cell sorting flow cytometer within 1 hour.

**Determination of intracellular GSH**

Cells grown to 80% confluence in T-75 flasks were harvested with trypsin, washed in cold PBS, and resuspended in 0.154 M NaCl. Protein extracts were prepared by multiple freeze/thaw cycles and by sonication. These extracts were normalized for total protein content using a modified Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Total GSH was quantified with an enzymatic assay.\textsuperscript{9}

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed at least three times. Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) was used for statistical analysis, and statistical significance was determined using Student’s \( t \)-test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered as significant.

**Results**

**MCL enhances the cisplatin sensitivity of MCF-7 cells in vitro and in vivo**

The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was used to measure the cytotoxic effects of cisplatin and MCL. Cells from the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 were plated and treated with MCL alone (5 or 10 \( \mu \)M), cisplatin alone (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or 40 \( \mu \)M) or cisplatin at various concentrations combined with 5 or 10 \( \mu \)M MCL. After treatment for 24 hours (Figure 1A), treatment with MCL alone reduced cell viability and markedly enhanced the cytotoxic effects of cisplatin on MCF-7 cells.

Caspases are crucial mediators of apoptosis. Among them, caspase-3 is a frequently activated death protease, which catalyzes the specific cleavage of many key cellular proteins.\textsuperscript{10} Accordingly, cisplatin- and MCL-induced apoptosis were measured by monitoring real-time caspase-3-like activity in MCF-7/VC3AI cells obtained from the laboratory of Dr Binghui Li as previously described.\textsuperscript{11} As shown in Figure 1B, MCL significantly increased numbers of fluorescence-positive cells in MCF-7/VC3AI cells after treatment with cisplatin for 24 hours. Furthermore, the antitumor activity of the combination of MCL and cisplatin in nude mice xenografted with MCF-7 cells was higher than that induced by either agent alone (Figure 1C). This, together with the in vitro results, supports the notion that MCL causes greater
sensitivity to cisplatin in the MCF-7 cells, which are relatively resistant to cisplatin treatment compared with other breast cancer cell lines.12

Cisplatin treatment elevates KLF4 expression levels

To clarify whether cisplatin might raise the percentage of CSCs in breast cancer tissue, we tested the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin in the MCF-7 cell line. As shown in Figure 2A, 10 µM cisplatin treatment for 24 hours led to a partial inhibition of MCF-7 cell survival. Following treatment, the remaining cells were subjected to a mammosphere assay. Compared with the controls, the cisplatin-treated cells generated more mammospheres (Figure 2B) and exhibited upregulation of the KLF4 protein (Figure 2C). Aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) has been recognized as a marker of CSCs in breast cancer,13 and we found that cisplatin treatment also increased the ratio of ALDH+ cells in MCF-7 cell cultures (Figure 2D). As recent studies have suggested that CSCs might mediate chemoresistance, we surmised that the elevated expression of KLF4 might delineate a breast cancer phenotype that is highly resistant to cisplatin.

Decreased sensitivity of breast cancer cells to cisplatin by KLF4

To determine the relationship between the elevated expression of KLF4 and cisplatin resistance in MCF-7 cells, MCF-7 cells were infected with pWPTS-KLF4 lentivirus to upregulate KLF4. As shown in Figure 3A, KLF4 was overexpressed in the pWPTS-KLF4-infected MCF-7 cells as determined by Western blot analysis. The results showed that MCF-7 cells infected with pWPTS-KLF4 had significantly enhanced resistance to cisplatin versus the controls (P<0.01) (Figure 3C). Accordingly, when KLF4 was knocked down by infection of lentivirus harboring KLF4-shRNA (Figure 3B), MDA-MB-231 cells became sensitized to cisplatin (P<0.01) (Figure 3D). Therefore, the sensitivity of breast cancer cells to cisplatin was determined to be negatively regulated by KLF4 levels.

Increased sensitivity of breast cancer cells to MCL by KLF4

KLF4 marks a phenotype that is highly resistant to cisplatin; however, to our surprise, MCF-7 cells infected with...
Figure 2 Cisplatin treatment elevates the ratio of ALDH+ cells and KLF4 expression in MCF-7 cells.

Notes: (A) Results of an MTT-based cytotoxicity assay of cisplatin in MCF-7 cells. (B) MCF-7 cells were treated with 10 µM cisplatin for 24 hours, then plated for mammosphere formation. After 15–20 days, mammospheres were observed under a microscope and quantified. (C) Expression levels of KLF4 in MCF-7 mammospheres, as detected by Western blot; β-actin was used as an endogenous control. (D) MCF-7 cells that dissociated from the mammospheres were labeled with anti-ALDH antibody for flow-cytometric assessment. Data are presented as the means ± SD (n=3). **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

Figure 3 Decreased sensitivity of breast cancer cells to cisplatin by KLF4. KLF4 expression in breast cancer cells infected with the indicated lentivirus for 72 hours was detected by Western blot and normalized using β-actin.

Notes: (A) MCF-7 cells were infected with pWPTs-KLF4 (Lenti-KLF4) or pWPTs-GFP (Lenti-GFP) lentivirus. (B) MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with KLF4 shRNA (shKLF4) or negative control (shNC) lentivirus vector. (C) Comparison of cisplatin cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells infected with pWPTs-KLF4 or pWPTs-GFP by the MTT assay. (D) Comparison of cisplatin cytotoxicity in MDA-MB-231 cells infected with shKLF4-1, shKLF4-2, or shNC by the MTT assay. Data are presented as the means ± SD (n=3). *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; GFP, green fluorescent protein.
pWPTS-KLF4 lentivirus showed no apoptosis resistance toward MCL compared with controls (Figure 4C). Furthermore, overexpression of KLF4 in MCF-7 cells resulted in increased sensitivity to MCL treatment (Figure 4A). Conversely, KLF4 knockdown by lentivirus transduction was shown to attenuate the antiproliferative effects of MCL in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 4B). Therefore, flow cytometry with double staining of annexin V-PE/7-amino-actinomycin D (7-AAD) was performed to discriminate apoptotic and necrotic cell death. This analysis demonstrated that the cell apoptosis rate of MCF-7 cells overexpressing KLF4 was enhanced (Figure 4C), which indicated that MCF-7 cells with high KLF4 levels were more sensitive to MCL-induced cell apoptosis.

MCL downregulates intracellular levels of GSH in MCF-7 cells

In our previous study, we found that the expression of KLF4 was positively correlated with the intracellular level of GSH in hepatocarcinoma. Similarly, the intracellular level of GSH was upregulated after KLF4 overexpression in breast cancer MCF-7 cells (Figure 5A), suggesting that GSH might contribute to KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance. As shown in Figure 5B, MCL could downregulate the intracellular level of GSH in a concentration-dependent manner. Overexpression of KLF4 accompanied acquired cisplatin resistance in MCF-7 cells and augmented their sensitivity to MCL. Buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) is an inhibitor of gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase (gamma-GCS) and, consequently lowers tissue GSH concentrations. Since BSO does not affect cytochrome P-450 or conjugating enzyme activity, its use as a specific depletor of tissue GSH in the investigation of mechanisms of xenobiotic-induced toxicities is preferable to the standard GSH-depleting agents as these have other enzymic effects. We next measured the cytotoxic effects in cells exposed to different concentrations of cisplatin in the presence or absence of BSO or MCL. The results showed

![Figure 4](https://www.dovepress.com/images/figure4.png)

**Figure 4** Increased sensitivity of breast cancer cells to MCL by KLF4.

**Notes:** (A) Comparison of MCL cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells infected with pWPTS-KLF4 or pWPTS-GFP by the MTT assay. (B) Comparison of MCL cytotoxicity in MDA-MB-231 cells infected with shKLF4-1, shKLF4-2, or shNC by the MTT assay. (C) MCF-7 cells were treated with or without 10 µM MCL for 24 hours, stained by phosphatidylserine, incubated with annexin V-PE antibody and 7-AAD, and analyzed by FACs. Apoptotic cells staining with annexin V-PE but not 7-AAD, appear in the lower right quadrant of data plots. Necrotic cells appear in the upper right quadrant, staining with both 7-AAD and annexin V-PE. The combined results of three separate FACs analyses depicting the mean levels of apoptotic cells (Annexin-V+) are shown. Data are presented as the means ± SD (n=3). *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** FACs, fluorescence activated cell sorting; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; MCL, micheliolide; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; shNC, short hairpin negative control; 7-AAD, 7-amino-actinomycin D; Lenti, lentivirus; GFP, green fluorescent protein.
that pre-incubation with BSO or MCL abolished the cellular resistance effects of KLF4 on cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5C), and that the KLF4-induced cellular resistance to cisplatin in MCF-7 cells occurred in a GSH-dependent manner. Thus, these results suggest that MCL overcomes KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance by downregulating GSH in MCF-7 cells.

Discussion

Cisplatin is a widely used chemotherapeutic agent in advanced breast cancer, but many patients eventually relapse and acquired or de novo resistance is virtually universal.\(^1\) Therefore, the elucidation of the mechanisms of chemoresistance and the discovery of novel anticancer drugs are required to improve the overall survival in patients with chemoresistant metastatic breast cancer.\(^1\) Cisplatin is a well-known DNA-damaging agent, and the primary antineoplastic activity of cisplatin is generally believed to involve its interaction with chromosomal DNA and the subsequent induction of apoptosis.\(^5\) However, only 1% of intracellular cisplatin forms covalent bonds with nuclear DNA\(^1\) and cisplatin exerts prominent cytotoxic effects in enucleated cells (cytoplasts).\(^18,19\) Furthermore, cisplatin is poorly soluble in water, and it undergoes chemical reactions with other molecules once inside the cell. Initially, its chloride is replaced with water forming spontaneously in the cytoplasm. The aquated form of cisplatin is highly electrophilic and hence prone to form covalent bonds with a large panel of cysteine-containing peptides and polypeptides, including reduced GSH.\(^20\) While conjugation of cisplatin to GSH is the first step in the activation of cisplatin to a nephrotoxin, it renders cisplatin inactive as an antitumor drug. In addition, conjugation of cisplatin with GSH reduces the formation of interstrand and intrastrand platinum DNA adducts, resulting in the decreased toxicity of cisplatin in dividing cells.\(^21\) In our study, treatment of the MCF-7 cell line with low-dose cisplatin (10 \(\mu\)M) was sufficient to enrich the proportion of ALDH\(^+\) cells, to impact mammosphere formation, and to upregulate KLF4 expression; the cisplatin-induced enrichment of ALDH\(^+\) cells was found to be mediated through increased levels of KLF4.
The results obtained from knockdown and overexpression experiments in breast cancer cell lines demonstrated that KLF4 is both necessary and sufficient to induce a cisplatin resistance phenotype. Thus, KLF4-overexpressing MCF-7 cell lines were considered feasible models for drug screening to overcome cisplatin resistance. To our surprise, the KLF4-overexpressing MCF-7 cell lines were much more sensitive to MCL treatment than were the controls. Accordingly, MCL could enhance the cisplatin sensitivity of MCF-7 cells both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the content of GSH was found to be elevated in MCF-7 cells after overexpression of KLF4. Total cellular GSH content is an important determinant of cisplatin resistance, and the association between elevated cellular levels of GSH and cisplatin resistance has led to the suggestion that inactivation of cisplatin could occur through conjugation with GSH. KLF4-mediated resistance to cisplatin in MCF-7 cells was found to be completely abrogated by treatment with BSO, an inhibitor of GSH synthesis. These findings led us to hypothesize that the cisplatin sensitivity of MCF-7 cells would be responsive to inhibition of GSH. To test this premise, we identified MCL as a compound that induced GSH depletion and severe cell death in KLF4-overexpressing MCF-7 cells exposed to cisplatin; therefore, MCL-mediated direct depletion of GSH represented a major mechanism in reversing KLF4-induced cisplatin resistance in MCF-7 cells.

Current knowledge about the evolution of the breast carcinogenic process must include CSCs, which are essential to understand tumor occurrence, recurrence, and chemoresistance. Recent evidence suggests that an altered redox status and acquired aberrant GSH metabolism are implicated in the etiology of CSCs and that CSCs take advantage of the aberrant redox system and spontaneously proliferate. As a novel compound, MCL can effectively overcome breast cancer stem-like KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance. This observation is important because it indicates that suppression of GSH is sufficient to augment the activities of cisplatin, suggesting that MCL might be useful as an adjuvant to cisplatin.

**Conclusion**

These findings demonstrate that KLF4 expression enhanced cisplatin resistance in breast cancer cells by increasing the levels of intracellular GSH. Furthermore, MCL, which induces GSH depletion, can effectively overcome KLF4-mediated cisplatin resistance in breast cancer cells.
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