Dual actions of albumin packaging and tumor targeting enhance the antitumor efficacy and reduce the cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin in vivo

Abstract: Doxorubicin (DOX) is an effective chemotherapy drug used to treat different types of cancers. However, DOX has severe side effects, especially life-threatening cardiotoxicity. We herein report a new approach to reduce the toxicity of DOX by embedding DOX inside human serum albumin (HSA). HSA is further fused by a molecular biology technique with a tumor-targeting agent, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase (ATF). ATF binds with a high affinity to urokinase receptor, which is a cell-surface receptor overexpressed in many types of tumors. The as-prepared macromolecule complex (ATF–HSA:DOX) was not as cytotoxic as free DOX to cells in vitro, and was mainly localized in cell cytosol in contrast to DOX that was localized in cell nuclei. However, in tumor-bearing mice, ATF–HSA:DOX was demonstrated to have an enhanced tumor-targeting and antitumor efficacy compared with free DOX. More importantly, histopathological examinations of the hearts from the mice treated with ATF–HSA:DOX showed a significantly reduced cardiotoxicity compared with hearts from mice treated with free DOX. These results demonstrate the feasibility of this approach in reducing the cardiotoxicity of DOX while strengthening its antitumor efficacy. Such a tumor-targeted albumin packaging strategy can also be applied to other antitumor drugs.
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Introduction
Doxorubicin (DOX) is a member of anthracycline compounds and is a US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved chemotherapeutic drug used for effective treatment of a number of cancers, including hematological cancers, some types of solid tumors, and soft-tissue sarcomas. The mechanism of action for DOX in tumor cells is not completely understood, but it is generally believed that DOX intercalates into DNA, disrupts the replication and transcription processes, and leads to cell death. Despite its effectiveness and broad clinical indications, DOX is also known for its severe side effects, including hepatotoxicity, cardiotoxicity, and nephrotoxicity. Especially, cardiotoxicity is identified as the most life-threatening adverse effect that may cause the loss of myofibrils and the vacuolization of myocardial cells and, in some cases, congestive heart failure.

Various approaches to minimize this side effect of DOX and increase its therapeutic window have been attempted. DOX can be co-administrated with cardioprotective drugs such as dexrazoxane and monoHER. Chemically modified DOX or other anthracycline compounds also have been explored. There are some successful examples with this approach. Epirubicin (4'-hydroxyl derivative of doxorubicin, Farmorubicin) and pirarubicin (4'-tetrahydropropyaryl-doxorubicin, Theprubicin) have been...
approved for clinical use. In addition, DOX is modified into a (6-maleimidocaproyl) hydrazone derivative (DOXO-EMCH), which can bind to circulating serum albumin after intravenous administration by covalently attaching to albumin residue Cys34. The albumin-bound form of DOXO-EMCH has pronounced acid lability that allows DOX to be released in the acidic environment present in tumor tissues or intracellular endosomes. DOXO-EMCH has been approved for clinical trials and is found to have a two-fold to five-fold increase in the maximum tolerated dose and a lower cardiotoxicity compared with free DOX. Another broadly studied approach is to formulate DOX with nanomaterials, such as liposomes, iron oxide nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles, polymeric micelles, or albumin nanoparticles, by covalent linking or physical adsorption. These nanomaterials can be further functionalized by coupling with targeting proteins, peptides, or RNA aptamers. Among the nanomaterials, the DOX-loaded liposomes DOXIL® and Lipodox® have been approved by the FDA and used in clinics.

Human serum albumin (HSA) is the most abundant plasma protein (35–50 g/L or ~0.6 mM) with a molecular weight of 66.5 kDa, and is the primary carrier protein in blood circulation, acting as a solubilizing agent for long-chain fatty acids and a detoxifying protein for toxic substances (bilirubin, heavy metal ions, etc) in plasma. HSA also binds to various exogenous drugs, eg, antibiotics, anticoagulants, anti-inflammatory agents, and anesthetics, and modulates the pharmacokinetic profiles of drugs. Therefore, HSA can be used as a carrier for drug delivery. Moreover, HSA is an important component in nanoparticle albumin-bound (Nab) technology. This technology aggregates albumin into nanoparticles with a size of ~100–200 nm and traps the hydrophobic drugs with the nanoparticles. Nab–paclitaxel (Abraxane®), a Nab formulation of paclitaxel using HSA, has been approved by the FDA to treat metastatic breast cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, and pancreatic cancer.

In this study, we fused HSA with a peptide (amino-terminal fragment of urokinase, ATF) using a molecular biology technique to generate a recombinant protein (ATF–HSA). ATF targets to urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR), a cell-surface receptor that is overexpressed in many types of cancer cells and tumor tissues, but not in most normal tissues. uPAR is widely advocated as a surface marker for tumors and is an important target for tumor therapy and tumor imaging. A number of different types of uPAR inhibitors have been developed in the last two decades, including antibodies, peptides, and organic molecules. One peptidyl inhibitor of uPAR conjugated with a radioactive isotope is used in a clinical trial as a positive emission tomography tracer to identify tumors with aggressive phenotype. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) is an endogenous ligand of uPAR and functions as a natural inhibitor for uPAR, as uPA binds to uPAR with a high affinity (subnanomolar dissociation constant). Our crystal structure studies, together with biochemical studies from others, demonstrate that the ATF is the primary receptor-binding region of uPA and accounts for all the binding affinity. ATF has been used as a target agent for uPAR in a number of studies. Therefore, ATF–HSA possesses dual properties: uPAR targeting by ATF and drug binding by HSA.

Here, we used ATF–HSA as a drug carrier to embed DOX inside HSA molecules through a novel strategy of dilution–incubation–purification (DIP) to form a macromolecule complex, ATF–HSA:DOX. We demonstrated that ATF–HSA:DOX indeed possessed a tumor-targeting capability and an enhanced antitumor effect and at the same time showed a reduced cardiotoxicity compared to free DOX in vivo.

Materials and methods
Materials
A Pichia pastoris yeast strain X-33 (Invitrogen, USA) with plasmid pPICZαA encoding ATF–HSA was constructed, as previously described. DOX was purchased from Wuhan DKY Technology Co. Ltd. (Wuhan, People’s Republic of China). Diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) anion exchange resin and Ni-chelating Sepharose Fast Flow resin were purchased from GE Healthcare (Uppsala, Sweden). Other chemicals were purchased either from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) or from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). All the experimental procedures were approved by the ethics committee of Fuzhou University and Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Non-small-cell lung carcinoma cells (H1299) and human embryo lung fibroblasts (HELF) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The viability of cells was determined by trypan blue dye exclusion. Cells were maintained in logarithmic phase with viability >95%.

Expression of ATF–HSA with Pichia pastoris strain X-33
The transformed Pichia pastoris strain X-33 integrated with ATF–HSA expression vector was cultured in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% dextrose) containing 100 μg/mL Zeocin® at 28°C for 2 days before it was
transferred into BMGY medium (2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6, 1% glycerol).
The X-33 strain was further cultured in BMGY medium at 28°C for about 24 hours to an OD_{600} of 4–5. After being transferred into BMMY medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6, 1% methanol), the cells were induced every 24 hours with methanol (at a final concentration of 1%) over the following 4 days to express the protein ATF–HSA.

**Purification and characterization of ATF–HSA**

After 4-day induction with 1% methanol, the BMMY medium was harvested by centrifugation at 9,000 g for 20 minutes. After the supernatant was adjusted to pH 7.4 using 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) and centrifuged one more time, the supernatant was collected and applied to a Ni^{2+}-chelating column that was pre-equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl containing 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4. The column was then washed by 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl containing 5 mM imidazole, followed by the elution of the target protein (ATF–HSA) using the above buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. The fraction containing ATF–HSA from the column was dialyzed overnight against 20 mM Tris-HCl containing 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4. The final product, ATF–HSA, was then applied to a DEAE column pre-equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, at 4°C by a dialysis membrane with molecular weight cutoff of 8–10 kDa and further concentrated by ultrafiltration.

**Preparation and purification of ATF–HSA:DOX**

An aliquot of 200 μL solution of DOX (20 mM in dimethylsulfoxide) was added dropwise into 400 mL buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) containing 67.2 mg purified ATF–HSA with the protein and DOX at a molar ratio of 1:5. The final concentration of DOX was 10 μM. The mixture was kept stirring in the dark for 12 hours and then applied to a DEAE column pre-equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. The final product, ATF–HSA:DOX, was eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. The fraction of ATF–HSA:DOX from DEAE column was dialyzed overnight against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in the dark at 4°C by a dialysis membrane with molecular weight cutoff of 8–10 kDa and further concentrated by ultrafiltration.

**Ultraviolet-visible and fluorescence spectra of ATF–HSA:DOX**

The ultraviolet-visible absorption or fluorescence spectra of ATF–HSA:DOX were measured on a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA) or a Varian Cary Eclipse instrument (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

**Stoichiometric ratio measurement**

The stoichiometric ratio was obtained by measuring the ratio of the concentrations of ATF–HSA and DOX in the ATF–HSA:DOX complex. DOX was quantified using its characteristic maximal absorption at 490 nm. A standard curve covering concentration ranging from 1–30 μM was established (Figure S1). The concentration of ATF–HSA was measured by a BCA Protein Assay Kit (BioTek Corporation, Beijing, People’s Republic of China). The presence of DOX did not affect such protein assay because the protein was assayed at 562 nm, quite different from the DOX absorption maximum (490 nm).

**Cellular uptake of ATF–HSA:DOX**

H1299 and HELF cells were used in current study. Aliquots of 200 μL of the suspended cells at a concentration of 2×10^6 cells/mL were placed in each well of 96-well Costar® plates to a confluence of about 70%; 20 μL of ATF–HSA:DOX (50 μM in PBS), HSA:DOX (50 μM in PBS), or DOX (50 μM in PBS) was added into the wells containing 180 μL medium, and final concentrations of ATF–HSA:DOX, HSA:DOX, and DOX were 5 μM. The cells were incubated with ATF–HSA:DOX, HSA:DOX, or DOX for various periods of time (0 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 16 hours, or 24 hours). After being washed by PBS, the cells were then lysed by a buffer of 2% SDS (w/v) and 0.1 M NaOH, and the fluorescence of cell lysates was measured by a BioTek microplate reader using λ_{ex}=490 nm and λ_{em}=590 nm. The protein concentrations of cell lysates were determined by the BCA Protein Assay Kit (BioTek Corporation, Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Each experiment was repeated three times with four replicates at each time point.

**Cellular localization of ATF–HSA:DOX**

The suspended H1299 cells (5×10^6 cells) were plated onto confocal chamber slides at 37°C and incubated for 18–24 hours. Then ATF–HSA:DOX (50 μM in PBS) or DOX (50 μM in PBS) was added onto confocal chamber slides, and the cells were incubated with ATF–HSA:DOX (at a final concentration of 5 μM) or DOX (at a final concentration of 5 μM) for 2 hours and 12 hours, respectively. After being washed in
In vitro cytotoxicity of ATF–HSA:DOX

The cytotoxicity experiment in vitro was carried out using the electric cell-substrate impedance sensing (ECIS) technique, which is a noninvasive method to measure cell attachment of adherent cells growing in culture medium. The array plates (8W10E) used in this study have a pattern of micro-electrode printed at the bottom of the plates for detecting the current flow through the media. In our experiments, the cells were photographed to record cell density data was collected for 12 hours. When the data collection stopped, the cells were resuspended in 200 μL of staining buffer and stained by Annexin V–FITC (2 μL) and PI (4 μL) for 5 minutes in the dark, followed by an analysis on a flow cytometer (Accuri C6; BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The percentage of live, early apoptotic, and late apoptotic or necrotic cells was determined. Each experiment was repeated three times with four replicates at each time point.

Establishment of hepatoma-22 (H22) tumor-bearing mice model

Male Kunming mice (purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd., Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), 4 weeks old and weighing 18–22 g, were maintained in a temperature-regulated environment and were allowed free access to water and food throughout the whole course of the experiment. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the institutional animal care and use committee. The mouse hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (H22) was purchased from Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) and propagated in the form of ascites from the mouse abdominal cavity. To establish the tumor-bearing model, each mouse was injected subcutaneously 200 μL H22 cells (2.0×10⁶) on the back. Typically, the tumor reaches a volume around 70 mm³ in 5–7 days after the inoculation.

In vivo fluorescence imaging using ATF–HSA:DOX as a probe

The H22 tumor-bearing Kunming mice were randomly divided into three groups (five mice per group) with the equivalent average starting tumor size (~70 mm³) and body weight (~23 g). ATF–HSA:DOX (600 μM in saline) or DOX (600 μM in saline) was injected into mice via caudal veins at a dosage of 5 μmol/kg. At different time points (3 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours) after the injection, five mice per group were anaesthetized with isoflurane and imaged on a IVIS Lumina II animal imaging system (Caliper Life Sciences, Inc. Hopkinton, MA, USA) with the green fluorescent protein filter for excitation (445–490 nm). The fluorescence of ATF–HSA:DOX and DOX can be excited at 445–490 nm (Figure S2). The imaging results were analyzed with Living Imaging Software (IVIS Imaging Systems).
Antitumor effect and cardiotoxicity of ATF–HSA:DOX on H22 tumor-bearing mice

H22 tumor-bearing mice (established using the method in the section Establishment of H22 tumor-bearing mice model) were randomly divided into three groups (eight mice in each group) with equivalent average starting tumor size (~70 mm$^3$) and body weight (~23 g). Two treatment groups were respectively injected with ATF–HSA:DOX (600 μM in saline) or DOX (600 μM in saline) intravenously via the caudal vein at a dosage of 5 μmol/kg. The control group received saline of an equivalent volume. The subcutaneous tumor sizes were estimated by the formula $(W^2 \times L)/2$, where $L =$ tumor length (the longest diameter of the tumor) and $W =$ tumor width (diameter perpendicular to the length), and were recorded daily throughout the experiment. Antitumor effects of both ATF–HSA:DOX and DOX against H22 tumor implanted in mice were evaluated by a tumor growth-inhibition analysis. After 6 days, the mice were sacrificed. Their hearts were removed, immersed in a buffered solution of 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. The specimens were sectioned serially at a 4 mm thickness and stained by hematoxylin and eosin. The histological sections were evaluated on an optical microscopy.

Statistics

All data represent group means and standard errors of the mean. Data were analyzed by two-way or three-way analyses of variance. Individual group means were compared by the Newman–Keuls multiple-range test. GB-STAT software (Dynamic Microsystems, Inc., Silver Spring, MD, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Embedding DOX into ATF–HSA by the DIP method

The tumor-targeting drug carrier used here (recombinant protein ATF–HSA, Figure 1) was expressed in the yeast cells transformed with ATF–HSA expression vector and purified over a Ni$^{2+}$-chelated Sepharose Fast Flow column. The ATF–HSA was purified to a high purity with a single band at a molecular weight of ~84 kDa on reducing SDS-PAGE (Figure S3A).

Albumin is composed of three domains (DI, DII, DIII) and each domain has two subdomains (A and B). HSA has multiple ligand-binding sites and accommodates a number of endogenous metabolites and exogenous drugs. The HSA in the ATF–HSA fusion protein is quite likely to maintain the same ligand-binding capability as free HSA, as ATF is linked to HSA through a spacer (four glycine residues) and unlikely to perturb the structure of HSA. It was reported that DOX can bind to HSA with a binding constant $K = 1.1 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$.34 Meanwhile, ATF–HSA has the ability to bind to the cancer cell-surface receptor uPAR.30 A major challenge to embed DOX into ATF–HSA is that DOX has a strong tendency to
aggregate in aqueous solution at high concentrations due to its hydrophobicity (>20 μM, Figure S4, see also Fülöp et al13). We developed a unique method (DIP, ie, dilution–incubation–purification) to solve this problem (Figure 1). In this method, DOX was diluted to a concentration below its aggregation point (~20 μM, Figure S4) and mixed with ATF–HSA. After incubation for 12 hours, the ATF–HSA:DOX complex was separated from unbound DOX by anion–ion exchange chromatography to a high purity.

Properties of the embedded DOX (ATF–HSA:DOX)

The ultraviolet-visible spectra of ATF–HSA:DOX complex showed the characteristic absorption peak of DOX at 490 nm (Figure S3B), demonstrating the presence of DOX in the complex. It is interesting to note that the ATF–HSA:DOX complex showed fine structures in its major absorption peak compared with DOX itself. It was inferred that the DOX was buried inside the HSA molecule and lost its rotational freedom. This result further supported the presence of DOX in the complex.

The fluorescent spectra measurement also supported the direct and specific interaction of DOX with ATF–HSA. We observed that the fluorescence of tryptophan in ATF–HSA:DOX complex was blue-shifted and slightly weaker compared with that of ATF–HSA under equal conditions (Figure S3C). HSA contains only one tryptophan residue (W214), which is located near a drug-binding site (named Sudlow drug binding site I) in subdomain IIA (Figure 1) of HSA. Thus, this result demonstrated that DOX was buried in the Sudlow site I of HSA, consistent with a previous study.34 A molecular model of ATF–HSA:DOX was generated and is shown in Figure 1. We also observed the presence of ATF–HSA greatly quenched the fluorescence of DOX (ex/em 490/590 nm) by ~three-and-one-half-fold (Figure S3D). This is likely due to the destabilization of the excited electron state of DOX by surrounding residues of ATF–HSA.

Next, we measured the stoichiometric ratio of ATF–HSA and DOX in the complex. The results showed only one molecule of DOX bound to one ATF–HSA molecule. It is interesting to note that HSA has seven binding sites for fatty acids or drug molecules but only one binding site is used for DOX, as found in our study. It should be pointed out that DOX most likely does not bind to ATF, because there is no binding pocket in ATF.37

Stability of ATF–HSA:DOX

DOX is not covalently attached to ATF–HSA in the ATF–HSA:DOX. To test if DOX would leak out of the binding site of ATF–HSA, we stored ATF–HSA:DOX in PBS at 4°C for a month and observed no visible color change or precipitation. Furthermore, the stoichiometric ratio of ATF–HSA and DOX was measured after storage and was found to be 1:1. Thus, it appears that ATF–HSA:DOX is quite stable. ATF–HSA:DOX showed an enhanced antitumor efficacy in vivo compared with free DOX at equal concentrations (see the ATF–HSA:DOX shows a higher antitumor efficacy than DOX in vivo section), providing additional support on the stability of ATF–HSA:DOX in blood circulation.

At low pH value (pH 4.5), DOX was partly released from the ATF–HSA:DOX based on the fluorescence measurements (Figure S5). Such release is likely due to the deformation of HSA structure at such a low pH value.36 The complete release of DOX requires stringent conditions, eg, the denaturing of ATF–HSA:DOX complex by 1% SDS (Figure S5).

To test the stability of ATF–HSA:DOX in cell culture medium (RPMI-1640) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), ATF–HSA:DOX was incubated with culture medium for 24 hours. If DOX was competed off from ATF–HSA by BSA or small molecules in the FCS, the fluorescence of DOX would be enhanced. This was not the case from our results (Figure S5), which showed that the fluorescence emission spectra had almost no change, demonstrating the stability of ATF–HSA:DOX in FCS (Figure S6).

**ATF–HSA:DOX reduces the cellular uptake of DOX**

As the DOX is buried inside the ATF–HSA:DOX, will it be able to enter the cells? We carried out the cellular uptake experiments of ATF–HSA:DOX in both H1299 and HELF cell lines with free DOX as a control. H1299, a lung cancer cell line, has a large amount of uPAR expressed on the cell surface, while fibroblast HELF cell line has little uPAR expression on the cell surface.37 In our experiment, cells were incubated with DOX, HSA:DOX, or ATF–HSA:DOX (all at a final concentration of 5 μM) for different time periods (0 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 16 hours, and 24 hours) followed by washing to remove the unbound DOX. The taken DOX was quantified by measuring the fluorescence of DOX. We noticed that the amount of ATF–HSA:DOX was higher than that of HSA:DOX in H1299 at any time periods, whereas there was almost no difference in the amount of ATF–HSA:DOX and DOX in HELF (Figure 2), presumably due to the higher level of uPAR expression on the cell surface of H1299 compared with HELF.

It is interesting to note that both H1299 and HELF cell lines absorbed much more free DOX than ATF–HSA:DOX.
The uptake amount of DOX, after an 8-hour incubation, was more than ten-fold higher than that of ATF–HSA:DOX for both cell lines (Figure 2), suggesting that the cellular uptake mechanism of ATF–HSA:DOX is different from that of free DOX.

ATF–HSA:DOX distributes mainly in cytoplasm, DOX in nuclei

It is important to study the subcellular localization of ATF–HSA:DOX, which helps to understand the molecular mechanism of DOX-induced cytotoxicity. We incubated DOX or ATF–HSA:DOX (both at 5 μM) with either H1299 or HELF cells in confocal chamber slides for two different time periods (2 hours and 12 hours). After the cells were fixed, a cell nucleus dye DAPI was applied. Cells were imaged by a laser scanning microscope under identical experimental conditions. ATF–HSA:DOX and DOX were found inside both H1299 and HELF cells after 2-hour incubation (Figure 3, red color). As expected, DOX was observed to localize in cell nuclei (Figure 3, blue color; magenta color is the mix of blue and red). Surprisingly, ATF–HSA:DOX was found to distribute mainly in cytoplasm, but not in nuclei at all. Such distribution pattern remained almost the same after 12-hour incubation for both DOX and ATF–HSA:DOX in both cell lines, except that a trace amount of ATF–HSA:DOX was observed to enter the nuclei in H1299 cells. Therefore, we concluded that DOX embedded into ATF–HSA had a subcellular distribution different from that of DOX.

This difference in cellular localization between ATF–HSA:DOX and DOX suggests that the whole ATF–HSA:DOX complex enters the cells before DOX is dissociated out of the ATF–HSA:DOX complex. Once inside the cells, DOX may release from the complex because the reducing environment of cytosol can loosen up the tertiary structure of HSA.

Lower cytotoxicity of ATF–HSA:DOX compared to DOX in vitro

To compare the cytotoxicities of ATF–HSA:DOX and free DOX on H1299 cells, we used a sensitive, noninvasive and in situ method named electric cell-substrate impedance sensing (ECIS) in our experiments. The cells were grown on the gold electrodes attached at the bottom of the ECIS plates. The
electric impedance values measured are proportional to the number of live cells attached to the plate, while cells detaching from the gold electrodes will not contribute to impedance values. The results of ECIS for H1299 cells incubated with or without 50 μM ATF–HSA:DOX or DOX were shown in Figure 4. In the absence of DOX or ATF–HSA:DOX, H1299 cells continued to grow, leading to the rise of the impedance (Figure 4). In the presence of DOX, the cells started to die at the fifth hour, and after 12 hours only a few cells were alive and a large quantity of cell debris was visible (Figure 4). ATF–HSA:DOX showed some toxicity, but not as cytotoxic as DOX (Figure 4) at the same dosage (50 μM). This difference between DOX and ATF–HSA:DOX is in line with the different subcellular localization and the different amounts of cellular uptake stated in the section ATF-HSA:DOX reduces the cellular uptake of DOX and ATF-HSA:DOX distributes mainly in cytoplasm, DOX in nuclei.

### Mechanism of cell death induced by ATF–HSA:DOX

Apoptosis and necrosis are two major types of cell death. Does the different subcellular localization of ATF–HSA:DOX...
Affect the mechanism of cell death? We incubated H1299 with ATF–HSA:DOX (10 μM) or DOX (10 μM) for 12 hours and stained the cells with FITC-label Annexin V and PI for a flow cytometry analysis. The Annexin V is commonly used to measure the extracellular exposure of phosphotidylserine, a sign of apoptosis. PI is a probe for nucleic acid and measures the number of necrotic cells. High Annexin V but low PI staining is an indication of early apoptosis of cells, whereas strong staining of both Annexin V and PI indicates the cells are in the stage of late apoptosis or necrosis. Figure 5 shows that the number of cells treated with DOX in both early apoptosis and necrosis quarters was higher than that treated with ATF–HSA:DOX, demonstrating that DOX was more toxic than ATF–HSA:DOX. The early apoptotic cells after the treatment with DOX were four-fold more than those treated with ATF–HSA:DOX (Figure 5B). Interestingly, the number of early apoptotic cells after the treatment with ATF–HSA:DOX (10 μM) was ~three-fold...

**Figure 4** ECIS results for H1299 cells incubated with or without 50 μM ATF–HSA:DOX or DOX.

_Notes:_ Time course of the impedance values measured by ECIS at 16,000 Hz using H1299 cells treated with ATF–HSA:DOX (50 μM) or DOX (50 μM) for 12 hours, and the photographs of the cells at the end of the experiment. DOX shows a higher cytotoxicity on H1299 cells compared with ATF–HSA:DOX. Magnification ×200.

_Abbreviations:_ ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; ECIS, electric cell-substrate impedance sensing; HSA, human serum albumin.

**Figure 5** Flow cytometric distribution of H1299 cells after treatment with and without DOX (10 μM) or ATF–HSA:DOX (10 μM) for 12 hours.

_Notes:_ (A) The cells were stained by FITC-labeled Annexin V and PI. (B) Quantifications of the cell population show that ATF–HSA:DOX is less toxic than DOX. ATF–HSA:DOX given for 12 hours produced significantly fewer early apoptotic cells than did DOX and ~three times fewer early apoptotic cells than late apoptotic cells, suggesting that ATF–HSA:DOX-induced cell death is likely due to a different mechanism from DOX. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

_Abbreviations:_ ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; HSA, human serum albumin; PI, propidium iodide.
less than cells of the late apoptotic or necrosis (Figure 5A and B) after a 12-hour incubation, which was different from the case of DOX (10 μM). This difference suggests that ATF–HSA:DOX may induce cell death by a mechanism different from that of DOX.

**ATF–HSA:DOX shows an enhanced tumor specificity in vivo compared with free DOX**

To further investigate the biodistribution of ATF–HSA:DOX in vivo, we established an H22 tumor-bearing mouse model. The mice were injected with ATF–HSA:DOX or free DOX (5 μmol/kg body weight) through their caudal veins. The mice were imaged using DOX fluorescence at different time points (3 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours) after the injection on an IVIS Lumina II imaging system. ATF–HSA:DOX was found to accumulate at the tumor sites, and its accumulation was quite fast (Figure 6A), reaching almost a maximal amount in tumors at 3 hours after injection and gradually reducing after the sixth hour. In contrast, DOX did not show clear specific accumulation in tumors. As a result, the observed amount of DOX at the tumor sites was much less than that of ATF–HSA:DOX at each time point we investigated (Figure 6B). Therefore, ATF–HSA:DOX exhibited a specific and much higher amount of tumor retention compared with DOX in tumor-bearing mice. This is quite different from the cellular experimental results shown in the section ATF–HSA:DOX reduces the cellular uptake of DOX.

**ATF–HSA:DOX shows a higher antitumor efficacy than DOX in vivo**

To investigate the antitumor efficacy of ATF–HSA:DOX in H22 tumor-bearing mice, we measured the tumor volumes of mice (eight per group) for 6 continuous days after one bolus injection of ATF–HSA:DOX (5 μmol/kg), DOX (5 μmol/kg or 2.9 mg/kg), or saline (as a control) through the caudal vein. The DOX treatment inhibited the tumor growth rate (Figure 7A) compared with the untreated group. In contrast, ATF–HSA:DOX treatment further reduced the tumor growth rate compared to the group treated with DOX (~60% of the tumor size from the DOX-treated group at day 6). These data showed that ATF–HSA:DOX had an enhanced antitumor efficacy compared with DOX.

**ATF–HSA:DOX reduces cardiotoxicity compared to DOX in vivo**

One of the main side effects of DOX is cardiac toxicity. To investigate the cardiotoxicity of ATF–HSA:DOX in vivo, we carried out the histological assessments of hearts from mice after injection with saline, ATF–HSA:DOX (5 μmol/kg), or DOX (5 μmol/kg) for 6 days. Compared with that from saline-treated group (Figure 7B), the histopathological slices of hearts from DOX-treated mice showed an extensive swelling of myocardial cells, reduced interconnection between myocardial cells and the loss of myofibril (Figure 7D). In comparison, no severe myocardial lesions were observed in hearts from ATF–HSA:DOX-treated group (Figure 7C). This
observation demonstrated that ATF–HSA:DOX significantly reduced cardiotoxicity and simultaneously exhibited an enhanced antitumor efficacy compared with DOX.

**Discussion**

**ATF–HSA as a molecular drug carrier**

HSA is a natural protein in human blood serum and is used as a delivery carrier for many endogenous molecules or drug molecules. ATF renders the tumor targeting capability of this drug carrier. A challenge here is how to successfully load DOX into HSA. DOX has a strong tendency to aggregate in aqueous solution at high concentrations due to its hydrophobicity. We developed the DIP method to cope with this challenge.

As a method to embed drug molecules, the DIP method is different from Nab technology. ATF–HSA:DOX formed by the DIP method has following characteristics: 1) DOX is buried inside HSA, forming a noncovalent macromolecule complex with a 1:1 stoichiometry; 2) HSA is most likely to maintain its native conformation; 3) the immune reaction from the human body toward ATF–HSA is likely to be low because both components (ATF and HSA) have human sequences and native tertiary conformations. In Nab technology, where the HSA is denatured to some degree and intertwined into nanoparticles (Figure 8A). An advantage of Nab technology is that it can load many more drug molecules than the DIP method, because the native HSA in the DIP method has limited numbers of drug binding sites, whereas the Nab technology uses the denatured HSA nanoparticles to formulate drug molecules.

An additional potential advantage of albumin-packaged DOX by the DIP method is that it overcomes the multidrug resistance induced by DOX. Multidrug-resistance protein 1, also known as permeability glycoprotein (P-gp), is an important adenosine-triphosphate–binding-cassette transporter in the cell membrane that pumps a wide variety of foreign substances, including DOX, out of cells. Crystal structure study reveals that P-gp has a large binding cavity that binds to drugs through hydrophobic interactions. HSA...
Figure 8 Structure model and tumor-targeting mechanism of ATF-HSA:DOX.

Notes: (A) ATF-HSA:DOX, as a molecular complex with well-defined structure, is different from the nanoparticles formed by Nab technique. (B) Dual effects of ATF-HSA:DOX on H22 tumor in mice. The higher retention of ATF-HSA:DOX in tumor is mediated by both passive targeting (the EPR effect) and active targeting (binding to uPAR on tumor surface).

Abbreviations: ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; EPR, enhanced permeability and retention; HSA, human serum albumin; Nab, Nanoparticle Albumin Bound technology; uPAR, urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor.

is not a substrate of P-gp and buries the hydrophobic DOX inside its own Sudlow site I. Thus ATF-HSA:DOX may affect the recognition of DOX by P-gp and avoid the activation of P-gp in response to DOX. Such a potential advantage needs to be further tested experimentally.

Different mechanism of cellular uptake between ATF-HSA:DOX and DOX

We have noticed that the cellular uptakes of the complex ATF-HSA:DOX in both H1299 cells and HELF cells are significantly lower than that of free DOX (Figure 2). The exact mechanism of the cellular uptake is yet unknown. One possibility for the difference in cellular uptake between ATF-HSA:DOX and free DOX is their different cellular entry routes. DOX is hydrophobic in chemical nature and is demonstrated to traverse the cell membrane mainly by diffusion.\textsuperscript{41} In contrast, ATF-HSA:DOX is a macromolecule complex and likely to enter cells through endocytosis as the most important mechanism. ATF in the ATF-HSA carrier has a high affinity for uPAR, which is overexpressed on surfaces of many types
of tumors and thus may facilitate the entry of the complex ATF–HSA:DOX into tumor cells by receptor-mediated endocytosis. In the endocytosis process, the invaginated area on the cell membrane engulf macromolecules, forming membrane-bound vesicles or endosomes, leading to the transport of macromolecules into cells. Such a difference in cellular entry routes may account for the difference of cellular uptake between ATF–HSA:DOX and free DOX.

Cell-death mechanism
DOX has a strong affinity to the nucleus and is typically found to be located in the cell nucleus. DOX intercalates into DNA, causing DNA damage and disrupting the replication and transcription processes. Meanwhile, DOX is able to inhibit topoisomerase II, leading to cell death. In our study, we found that ATF–HSA:DOX complex mainly distributed in cytoplasm, which was different from DOX. In addition, ATF–HSA:DOX was observed to induce less early apoptosis compared with DOX after a 12-hour incubation (Figure 5), indicating a different cell death mechanism upon DOX encapsulation inside HSA.

It has been reported recently that cytosolic DOX can generate reactive oxygen species (ROS). The ROS can cause oxidative damage of mitochondria and increase the permeabilization of the lysosomal membrane, which causes spillage of catabolic enzymes from the lysosomal lumen into cytosol, ultimately leading to cell death. Whether the ATF–HSA:DOX uses the ROS to cause cell death requires further study.

Enhanced antitumor efficacy of ATF–HSA:DOX in mice
Although the antitumor efficacy of ATF–HSA:DOX complex on H1299 cells was inferior to free DOX (Figures 4 and 5), ATF–HSA:DOX complex indeed exhibited an enhanced antitumor efficacy compared with DOX in vivo (Figure 7A). This enhanced antitumor efficacy of ATF–HSA:DOX in vivo is likely due to the higher amount of ATF–HSA:DOX complex than DOX at the tumor sites (Figure 6). Two effects here may contribute to the in vivo tumor-specific accumulation: one is the active targeting of ATF–HSA:DOX to tumor cells by binding to uPAR on the tumor cell surface; the other one is the passive targeting effect, or the enhanced permeability and retention effect, which also increases the retention of ATF–HSA:DOX in tumor (Figure 8B). The enhanced permeability and retention effect is the unique anatomical–pathophysiological nature of tumors, due to the permeable nature of tumor blood vessels and the defective lymphatic drain in most solid tumors. It is reported that macromolecules (larger than 40 kDa), including HSA, can be selectively enriched in tumors.

Conclusion
In this study, we loaded DOX inside ATF–HSA by the DIP method to generate the macromolecule ATF–HSA:DOX with a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio. This albumin-embedded DOX exhibited a lower cellular uptake and reduced cytotoxicity compared with DOX in vitro. In addition, ATF–HSA:DOX showed a different subcellular localization and a different cell death mechanism compared with DOX. However, in vivo, ATF–HSA:DOX showed a stronger antitumor potency and a lower cardiotoxicity compared with DOX. Our work demonstrates that ATF–HSA is an effective drug carrier that may also be used for other drugs to improve the therapeutic window.
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**Figure S1** Standard curve for the DOX quantification.

**Notes:** Standard curve for the DOX quantification in its free form or in the presence of ATF–HSA (two stoichiometric ratios) using ultraviolet absorption at 490 nm at different concentrations (1 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, 15 μM, 20 μM, 30 μM in phosphate-buffered saline). The presence of ATF-HSA does not influence the standard curve of DOX, so it is reasonable to use standard curve of DOX to quantitate ATF-HSA:DOX.

**Abbreviations:** ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; HSA, human serum albumin.

**Figure S2** Fluorescence excitation spectra of DOX, ATF–HSA:DOX, and ATF–HSA.

**Notes:** Fluorescence excitation spectra of DOX (5 μM), ATF–HSA:DOX (5 μM), and ATF–HSA (5 μM) in phosphate-buffered saline. λ_em was scanned from 400–550 nm by fixing λ_ex at 590 nm. These results demonstrated that the fluorescence of DOX and ATF–HSA:DOX can be excited using the green fluorescent protein filter at 445–490 nm in an in vivo fluorescence imaging experiment.

**Abbreviations:** ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; HSA, human serum albumin.

**Figure S3** Characterizations of ATF–HSA:DOX.

**Notes:** (A) SDS-PAGE of ATF–HSA:DOX prepared by the DIP method. (B) Ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra of ATF–HSA:DOX (10 μM), DOX (10 μM), and ATF–HSA (10 μM) in PBS. (C) Tryptophan fluorescence spectra of ATF–HSA:DOX (5 μM in PBS) and ATF–HSA (5 μM in PBS) excited at 280 nm showed a blue shift and slight decline of tryptophan upon DOX binding. (D) DOX fluorescence spectra of DOX (5 μM in PBS) and ATF–HSA:DOX (5 μM in PBS) excited at 490 nm showed the quenching of DOX fluorescence upon embedding in HSA.

**Abbreviations:** ATF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DIP, dilution–incubation–purification; DOX, doxorubicin; HSA, human serum albumin; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
Figure S4 Fluorescence of DOX at different concentrations.

Notes: Fluorescence of DOX with $\lambda_{ex}=490$ nm and $\lambda_{em}=590$ nm at different concentrations in aqueous solution showed a nonlinear relationship above 20 μM. The most likely reason for such a nonlinear relationship is the formation of DOX aggregate at high concentrations.

Abbreviation: DOX, doxorubicin.

Figure S5 Fluorescence spectrum measurements of aTF–hsa:DOX under three different conditions.

Notes: aTF–hsa:DOX was kept at a constant concentration 5 μM, $\lambda_{ex}=490$ nm under each condition. The low pH (4.5) condition increased the DOX fluorescence (dashed line) compared to the neutral pH fluorescence (solid line), showing the partial release of DOX from the aTF–hsa:DOX complex at a low pH. The complete release of DOX requires the denaturing of aTF–hsa:DOX complex by 1% SDS (dotted line).

Abbreviations: aTF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; HSA, human serum albumin; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulphate.

Figure S6 Fluorescence emission spectra of aTF–hsa:DOX incubated with culture media or phosphate-buffered saline.

Note: Fluorescence emission spectra ($\lambda_{ex}=490$) of aTF–hsa:DOX (5 μM) incubated with a culture medium including 10% fetal calf serum or phosphate-buffered saline for 24 hours.

Abbreviations: aTF, amino-terminal fragment of urokinase; DOX, doxorubicin; FCS, fetal calf serum; HSA, human serum albumin.