Efficacy, safety, and patient acceptability of elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir in the treatment of HIV/AIDS
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Abstract: The fixed-dose combination (FDC) elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir (EVG/c/FTC/TDF) is a once-daily, single-tablet regimen containing an integrase strand transfer inhibitor and a pharmacoenhancer (cobicistat) associated with two nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors. It is approved as the preferred regimen and as the first-line combined antiretroviral therapy in treatment-naïve patients with HIV infection. Two large trials, 102-Study and 103-Study, demonstrated that EVG/c/FTC/TDF was not inferior to efavirenz/FTC/TDF and ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in association with FTC/TDF, in terms of virological suppression and immunological reconstitution through week 144. Also, simplification arms containing EVG/c/FTC/TDF reached noninferiority in comparison with a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, or a protease inhibitor, or a raltegravir-based regimen. Furthermore, EVG/c/FTC/TDF exhibited an excellent tolerability profile, with a safer lipid profile, and despite the indication of its use in subjects with an estimated creatinine clearance.

Introduction

Thanks to the introduction of new drugs or new fixed-dose combinations (FDCs) in the recent years, the combined antiretroviral treatment (cART) is now able to ensure almost excellent efficacy and tolerability profiles in HIV-infected patients. Simplification of dosing frequency and reduction of pill burden are the key features for new regimens, aimed at improving adherence and quality of life of people living with HIV. As recently reported by AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study A5257, the integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI)-containing regimens are of equivalent efficacy and have high rate of virologic suppression than that of protease inhibitor regimen (PI) (atazanavir/ritonavir and darunavir [DRV]/ritonavir), but have more favorable tolerability profile and few adverse effects (lipids and bilirubin).1

INSTI drugs prevent or inhibit the binding of the preintegration complex to host cell DNA, thus terminating the integration step of HIV replication and resulting in a rapid
early-phase decay of plasma HIV-RNA. As HIV integrase has no counterpart in host cells, INSTIs do not interfere with common cellular process, thus ensuring a safer profile. For these reasons, all three currently available INSTIs are included now among the recommended regimens and, in general, should be selected for most patients. Furthermore, when choosing between regimens of similar efficacy and tolerability, it is suggested to use once-daily regimens for both treatment-naive patients beginning cART and experienced patients receiving complex or poorly tolerated regimens and to use FDCs and single-tablet regimens (STRs) to decrease pill burden.

Among STR formulations, elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (EVG/c/FTC/TDF) is a relatively new combination. It was approved by Food and Drug Administration in August 2012 in a once-a-day FDC to treat ART-naive patients or to switch ART-experienced patients. Composed of an INSTI (EVG), a pharmacoenhancer (cobicistat), and two reverse transcriptase inhibitors (TDF and FTC), it is the first INSTI-based STR available.

The aim of this review is to evaluate the clinical usefulness, defined as the quality of having medical utility and especially practical worth or applicability in clinical practice, of the combination of EVG/c/FTC/TDF in the management of HIV infection.

**Current options for naïve and experienced patients**

The 2015 US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) guidelines recommend a combination of two reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) plus a ritonavir-boosted DRV, or an INSTI for the initial treatment of HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents (Table 1).

INSTIs are now the standard of care for naïve HIV-infected individuals due to their efficacy and safety profile. Three different integrase inhibitors were approved: dolutegravir (DTG), EVG/c, and raltegravir (RAL). Tenofovir/emtricitabine and abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) were the NRTIs preferred in first-line treatment.

Despite the high efficacy of the currently preferred cART regimens (75% over 99 weeks), than the alternative regimens (65%, difference 10%; 95% confidence interval 7.6–15.4; P<0.001), cART does not eradicate the HIV infection, and must be continued indefinitely.

The persistence of first-line cART regimen is essential for the management of HIV infection.

In Western countries, adverse events and treatment failure were the two most common reasons for first-line cART discontinuation or modification. In addition, a greater number of medications within a regimen and more frequent dosing were associated with early discontinuations. These data are supported by several studies in which simple, once-daily cART regimens demonstrate high levels of adherence and treatment satisfaction, resulting in persistent viral suppression.

Currently, four FDCs are approved for the treatment of HIV-1 infection: Atripla® (efavirenz FTC/TDF; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Princeton, NJ, USA), Complera® (FTC/TDF/rilpivirine; Gilead Sciences, Inc.)

### Table 1 DHHS recommendations on preferred and alternative regimen for first-line antiretroviral therapy

**Recommended regimen options**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTI-based regimens:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• DTG/ABC/3TC only for patients who are HLA-B*5701 negative (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DTG plus TDF/FTC (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EVG/c/TDF/FTC only for patients with pretreatment estimated CrCl ≥70 mL/min (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RAL plus TDF/FTC (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PI-based regimens:**

| • DRV/r plus TDF/FTC (AI) |  |

**Alternative regimen options**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NNRTI-based regimens:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• EFV/TDF/FTC (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RPV/TDF/FTC only if pretreatment HIV RNA &lt;100,000 copies/mL and CD4 cell &gt;200 cells/mm² (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PI-based regimens:**

| • ATV/c plus TDF/FTC only for patients with pretreatment estimated CrCl ≥70 mL/min (BI) |  |
| • ATV/r plus TDF/FTC (BI) |  |
| • (DRV/c or DRV/r) plus ABC/3TC only if HLA-B*5701 negative (BII for DRV/c and BI for DRV/r) |  |
| • DRV/c plus TDF/FTC only if pretreatment estimated CrCl ≥70 mL/min (BII for DRV/r and BII for DRV/c) |  |

**Notes:** Evidence rating is either ‘A’ for strong recommendation, or ‘B’ for moderate recommendation. Quality of evidence classified as ‘I’ being one or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated, ‘II’ being one or more well-designed, non-randomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes, and ‘III’ being expert opinion. Indicate allelic variants.

**Abbreviations:** DHHS, US Department of Health and Human Services; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; DTG, dolutegravir; ABC/3TC, abacavir/lamivudine; TDF/FTC, tenofovir/emtricitabine; EVG/c, elvitegravir/cobicistat; RAL, raltegravir; G/C, creatinine clearance; PI, protease inhibitors; DRV/r, darunavir/ritonavir; EFV, efavirenz; RPV, rilpivirine; ATV/c, atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r, atazanavir/ritonavir; DRV/c, darunavir/cobicistat.
Foster City, CA, USA), Stridbiot® (EVG/c/FTC/TDF; Gilead Sciences, Inc), and only in the United States and Canada, Triumeq® (ABC/3TC/DTG; Pfizer, Inc, New York, NY, USA).

The two INSTI-containing STRs are the preferred regimen, both with a footnote, EVG/c/FTC/TDF only for patients with pretreatment estimated creatinine clearance (CrCl > 70 mL/min) and ABC/3TC/DTG only for patients who are HLA-B*5701 negative.

Efficacy profile in naïve patients
The efficacy of EVG/c/FTC/TDF in cART-naïve patients with HIV infection was evaluated in two large randomized, Phase III, double-blind active-controlled trials.

In the 102-Study, patients received once-daily EVG/c/FTC/TDF or efavirenz/FTC/TDF. In the 103-Study, patients received once-daily EVG/c/FTC/TDF or ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in association with FTC/TDF. In both studies, the primary end point was HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at week 48,14,15 with secondary analysis at week 9616,17 and week 144.18,19

EVG/c/FTC/TDF was noninferior to comparators regimens in terms of virological suppression and immunological reconstitution through week 144. The mean increase of lymphocyte T-CD4 cell count at most time points were similar in all groups. However, at week 48, mean increase in lymphocyte T-CD4 cell count was significantly higher in the EVG/c/FTC/TDF group than in the efavirenz/FTC/TDF arm (239 vs 206 cells/μL; P = 0.009).14

The rate of resistance development to EVG/c/FTC/TDF was low in the 102-Study and 103-Study (< 2% treated). The most common pattern of resistance was M184V in reverse transcriptase and E92Q in INSTI. There was no selection pressure from cobicistat for protease substitutions.18,19

Efficacy profile in simplification
The efficacy of EVG/c/FTC/TDF in simplification was evaluated in three different trials. STRATEGY-NNRTI20 and STRATEGY-PI21 are 96-week, international, multicenter, randomized, open-label, Phase IIIb, noninferiority trials enrolling adults (≥ 18 years) with HIV-1 and plasma HIV-RNA < 50 copies/mL for at least 6 months on an (a) nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimen or a ritonavir-boosted PI (atazanavir, DRV, lopinavir, fosamprenavir, or saquinavir) plus FTC/TDF. Patients were randomly assigned (2:1) to switch to EVG/c/FTC/TDF. Key eligibility criteria included no history of virological failure and an estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of 70 mL/min or greater. The primary end point was the proportion of participants with plasma viral loads < 50 copies/mL at week 48. At week 48, 93% subjects compared to 88% in STRATEGY-NNRTI (P = 0.066), and 93.8% subjects compared to 87.1% in STRATEGY-PI (P = 0.025) maintained viral suppression. An innovative approach examined the switching of 48 subjects from twice-daily RAL plus FTC TDF to once-daily EVG/c/FTC/TDF.22 All of the enrolled subjects maintained HIV-RNA < 50 copies/mL throughout the 48 weeks of treatment.

These data taken together support the fact that EVG/c/TDF/FTC can be a viable candidate for those patients seeking to discontinue their current drug regimen.

Safety profile
EVG/c/FTC/TDF is well tolerated; the most common adverse events reported in registration trials were diarrhea, nausea, upper respiratory infection, and headache (Table 2).14–19 The use of EVG/c/FTC/TDF is limited by several drug interactions because of the fact that EVG is metabolized primarily by CYP3A enzymes; as a result, CYP3A inducers or inhibitors may alter EVG plasma concentrations. Moreover, because of inhibition of CYP3A by cobicistat, EVG/c/FTC/TDF interacts with a number of medications that are metabolized by this enzyme.23

Furthermore, cobicistat, like cimetidine, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, telaprevir, ritonavir, and DTG, increases serum creatinine by blocking its tubular secretion. This results in a reduction in estimated GFR but not a reduction of actual GFR, as demonstrated in prior iohexol studies with cobicistat. This inhibition is mediated via blockage of the cationic transporters, including the efflux pump multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1 in the renal proximal tubule, and is reversible.24

In the 102-Study and 103-Study, an increase in creatinine with EVG/c/FTC/TDF was observed, and it occurred mostly by week 4. Thereafter, creatinine levels stabilized and were nonprogressive through week 144. These changes occurred in both groups (< and ≥ 50 years).18,19 In an in vitro study conducted in various renal cell and tissue models aimed at investigating the potential for a renal drug–drug interaction between TDF and cobicistat, Stray et al25 observed no increase in the accumulation of tenofovir (TFV), the hydrolyzed form of the prodrug TDF, in freshly isolated human renal cortex tissue or renal proximal tubule cells, in the presence of cobicistat and demonstrated that cobicistat and TFV interacted primarily with distinct renal transporters indicating a low potential for pharmacokinetic renal drug–drug interaction.
The safety renal profile of EVG/c/FTC/TDF has also been recently investigated by Post et al\(^26\) in a 96-week, phase III, open-label, multicenter study in which they demonstrated a renal safety profile of EVG/c/FTC/TDF in 33 treatment-naïve patients with pretreatment mild-to-moderate renal impairment: decreases in median value of CrCL and GFR were noted as early as week 2, after which they generally stabilized and were nonprogressive through week 48. Furthermore, in a Phase I study of EVG and cobicistat in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL, \(\leq30\) mL/min), no clinically relevant differences in EVG or cobicistat exposures were seen.\(^27\)

As for the lipid profile in 102-Study, EVG/c/FTC/TDF showed a smaller median increases in total cholesterol (\(P=0.007\)), fasting low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) (\(P=0.007\)), and fasting high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) (\(P=0.021\)) at week 144 than EFV/FTC/TDF; increases in triglycerides and changes in total cholesterol to HDL ratio were similar in the two groups.\(^18\)

In the 103-Study at week 144,\(^19\) the median change from baseline fasting triglycerides was numerically higher but not significant (\(P=0.24\)). There were no significant treatment differences in change from baseline through week 144 in median LDL-c, HDL-c, or fasting total cholesterol to fasting HDL-c cholesterol ratio. In the 103-Study, a bone mineral quality assessment was also performed. At week 144, the mean percent decrease from baseline in spine bone mineral density (BMD) and in hip BMD were \(-1.43\)% and \(-2.83\)% in the EVG group and \(-3.68\)% and \(-3.77\)% in the atazanavir group (\(P=0.018\) and 0.23, respectively).

Another recently highlighted advantage of the INSTI class of drugs is its ability in reducing levels of inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and reducing the level of immune activation both in naïve and experienced patients.\(^28,29\)

In the Spiral study, a switch from PI-based therapy to a RAL-containing regimen in patients with suppressed viremia led to a decrease in biomarkers associated with inflammation, insulin resistance, and hypercoagulability.\(^30\) Furthermore, it has been recently noted that switching to RAL-based regimens may be associated with a decrease of HIV reservoir, as measured by total peripheral blood mononuclear cells’ HIV DNA.\(^31\)

As observed for RAL-based cART, EVG/c/FTC/TDF had led to greater decreases in markers of monocyte activation and vascular inflammation, in particular, sCD14, hsCRP, and Lp-PLA2 levels, than EFV/FTC/TDF in cART-naïve HIV-infected adults.\(^32\)

**Table 2** Most common adverse events reported in registration trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>102-Study (n=348)</th>
<th>103-Study (n=353)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 96</td>
<td>Week 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs (all grades) (%) reported in ≥10% of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchitis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs (%) leading to study drug discontinuation in ≥1 patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal events</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrexia</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: AEs, adverse events.*

**Patient acceptability**

When effective cART was first developed almost two decades ago, adherence was particularly challenging because patients had to consume handfuls of pills, often with substantial toxicity, multiple times per day.\(^33\) The introduction of STR strategies has been associated with an improvement in
adherence rate.\textsuperscript{34} As emerged in the ADONE (ADherence to ONE pill) study,\textsuperscript{35} which aimed at verifying the effect of a reduced number of pills on adherence and quality of life in HIV-infected patients on cART, a one-pill once-a-day cART increased significantly the adherence rate at 1 month after switching to STR ($P<0.01$) that was maintained throughout the study. Quality of life, which significantly influenced adherence ($P<0.0001$), improved over time ($P=0.042$). Furthermore, patient’s opinion in terms of patient’s preferences concerning tolerability, convenience, simplicity, and efficacy was significantly in favor of the FDC.

In two subgroup analysis of STRATEGY studies,\textsuperscript{35,36} the patient’s satisfaction was investigated using an Ease Score. Questions included in the questionnaire are listed in Table 3. In the NNRTI-STRATEGY subgroup analysis, 59 patients switched to EVG/c/FTC/TDF, and 37 continued a non-EFV NNRTI (27 nevirapine, ten rilpivirine) with FTC/TDF. Switch to EVG/c/FTC/TDF was associated with a higher treatment ease (convenience, flexibility, demand, lifestyle, understanding) score (range: −15 to 15) at week 4 (median: 14 vs 11; $P=0.047$) and week 24 (median: 14 vs 12.5; $P=0.038$) than patients who continued their nevirapine- or rilpivirine-based cART. In the PI STRATEGY subgroup analysis, 113 subjects switched to EVG/c/FTC/TDF; 60 continued a ritonavir-boosted DRV with FTC/TDF. An increased satisfaction with the ease of therapy for subjects who simplified their multitablet DRV-based regimen to the STR EVG/c/FTC/TDF was observed at week 4 (median: 12 vs 9; $P=0.006$) and week 24 (median: 13 vs 8; $P<0.001$).

### Resource-limited setting

The use of EVG/c/FTC/TDF offers the potential to improve the simplicity, safety, and efficacy of first-line antiretroviral therapy in resource-limited settings. However, Stribild$^\textregistered$ (Gilead Sciences, Inc) registration in the developing world is still not comprehensive. In March 2015, its approval was confirmed by only nine developing countries.\textsuperscript{37} No data are reported on interactions with drugs to treat common coinfections including tuberculosis and malaria.

### Present scenario and future perspectives

EVG/c/FTC/TDF has been shown to be effective and safe in adults patients with CrCl $>70$ mL/min. The single tablet and FDC offer advantages over more complex drug regimens, and improve adherence and persistence.

The privileged position in the different international guidelines, and the clinical use in the next few years, will enable EVG/c/FTC/TDF to have a strategic role in the management of patients with HIV infection.
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