The impact of preadmission oral bisphosphonate use on 30-day mortality following stroke: a population-based cohort study of 100,043 patients
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Purpose: Bisphosphonate use has been associated with increased risk of fatal stroke. We examined the association between preadmission use of oral bisphosphonates and 30-day mortality following hospitalization for stroke.

Patients and methods: We conducted a nationwide population-based cohort study using medical databases and identified all patients in Denmark with a first-time hospitalization for stroke between 1 July 2004 and 31 December 2012 (N=100,043). Cox regression was used to compute adjusted hazard ratios as a measure of 30-day mortality rate ratios (MRRs) associated with bisphosphonate current use (prescription filled within 90 days prior to the stroke) or recent use (prescription filled in the 90–180 days prior to the stroke). Current use was further classified as new or long-term use.

Results: We found 51,982 patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS), 11,779 with intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), 4,528 with subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), and 31,754 with unspecified stroke. Absolute 30-day mortality risks were increased among current vs nonusers of bisphosphonates for AIS (11.9% vs 8.5%), ICH (43.2% vs 34.5%), SAH (40.3% vs 23.2%), and unspecified strokes (18.8% vs 14.0%). However, in adjusted analyses, current bisphosphonate use did not increase 30-day mortality from AIS (MRR, 0.87; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.75, 1.01); ICH (MRR, 1.05; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.23); SAH (MRR, 1.15; 95% CI: 0.83, 1.61); or unspecified stroke (MRR, 0.94; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.09). Likewise, no association with mortality was found for recent use. Adjusted analyses by type of bisphosphonate showed increased mortality following stroke among new users of etidronate (MRR, 1.40; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.93) and reduced mortality after AIS among current users of alendronate (MRR, 0.87; 95% CI: 0.74, 1.02).

Conclusion: We found no overall evidence that preadmission bisphosphonate use increases 30-day mortality following stroke.
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Introduction

Bisphosphonates are the first-line treatment for osteoporosis and are frequently prescribed in the elderly population.1 They inhibit osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and are effective in reducing bone loss and preventing fractures in osteoporotic patients and in patients receiving long-term glucocorticoid treatment.1 In 2007, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) showed an increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias and serious atrial fibrillation (AF) associated with the intravenously administered bisphosphonate, zoledronic acid.2 Since then, several studies have investigated the link between AF and use of bisphosphonates, with conflicting results.3,4

Because AF is a major risk factor for ischemic stroke,5 studies also have addressed whether bisphosphonates are associated with increased stroke risk.2,4,6–12 A Danish
nationwide observational cohort study of 97,511 bisphosphonate users and 292,533 age and sex-matched controls found an increased risk of fatal stroke (death within 30 days of stroke) associated with increasing adherence to the treatment with etidronate and alendronate. The association with stroke incidence was less clear, indicating that bisphosphonates might worsen the prognosis of stroke. In the Health Outcomes and Reduced Incidence with Zoledronic Acid Once Yearly (HORIZON) Pivotal Fracture Trial, individuals exposed to zoledronic acid had a similar incidence of serious stroke-related adverse events than placebo users, but a nonsignificantly higher proportion of stroke-related deaths (87 events, 20 deaths from stroke) vs placebo group (88 events, eleven deaths from stroke), also pointing to worsened stroke prognosis. In contrast, other RCTs primarily investigating the effect of zoledronic acid on fracture risk and bone mineral density (BMD) found no association between bisphosphonate use and risk of fatal stroke.

To our knowledge, no study has previously explored the impact of bisphosphonate use on subsequent mortality in a large cohort of stroke patients.

Since bisphosphonates are increasingly prescribed as the population ages, and stroke is a frequent disease among the elderly, any possible association between bisphosphonate use and worsened stroke prognosis is of clinical importance. We therefore conducted a nationwide population-based cohort study to examine the association of predmission bisphosphonate use and 30-day mortality following hospitalization with stroke.

Patients and methods

Setting

We conducted this population-based cohort study in Denmark using information from three nationwide databases: the National Health Service Prescription Database (NHSPD) (previously named the Danish National Database of Reimbursed Prescriptions), the Danish Civil Registration System (CRS), and the Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR). Since 1968, all Danish residents have been assigned a unique Civil Personal Register number at birth or upon immigration, which permits accurate and unambiguous individual-level linkage across the databases.

During the study period (July 1, 2004–December 31, 2012), the cumulative Danish population numbered 6,379,918 persons, including 3,207,231 women. The NHSPD contains complete data on all reimbursed drugs sold in Denmark since 1 January 2004 and the study period was chosen to ensure availability of at least a 6-month prescription history for all study participants.

The Danish National Health Service provides all Danish residents with equal tax-supported access to health care. Services include access to general practitioners and hospitals and partial reimbursement for prescribed drugs.

Stroke

We used the DNPR to identify all patients with a first-time inpatient primary or secondary diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke (AIS), intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), or unspecified stroke during the study period. Despite the different underlying pathologies for these stroke subtypes, with AF being associated mainly with AIS, we choose to investigate all types of stroke because the study of Vestergaard et al included both AIS and ICH, and the HORIZON Pivotal Fracture Trial did not differentiate between stroke subtypes. Since its establishment in 1977, the DNPR has collected data on admission and discharge dates from all Danish nonpsychiatric hospitals. Data on visits to emergency rooms and outpatient specialist clinics have been collected since 1995. Each hospital discharge is associated with one primary diagnosis and up to 19 secondary diagnoses, coded by physicians and classified according to the International Classification of Diseases, eighth revision (ICD-8) until the end of 1993 and the tenth revision (ICD-10) thereafter. In Denmark, >90% of all patients with stroke are hospitalized and thus included in the DNPR.

From the DNPR, we also obtained information on diagnostic procedures performed during hospitalization, ie, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Bisphosphonates

We used the NHSPD to retrieve information on bisphosphonate prescriptions redeemed by stroke patients before their hospital admission date. The NHSPD contains information on reimbursable drugs dispensed at all pharmacies in Denmark. This registry includes the following information for each redeemed prescription: the patient’s Civil Personal Register number, the amount and type of drug prescribed according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification system, and the date on which the drug was dispensed.

We defined current use as at least one recorded prescription for bisphosphonates within 90 days before the hospital admission for stroke. Because some adverse effects might be more frequent shortly after initiation of therapy, we also examined new use (defined as a first-ever prescription filled within 90 days before the hospital admission date). Long-term use was defined as a prescription redemption within 90 days before the admission date in combination
with a minimum of one prescription redemption 90–180 days before admission and eventually additional prescriptions before this period. Recent use was defined as redemption of the most recent prescription between 90 days and 180 days before hospital admission. Nonuse was defined as no redeemed prescriptions within 180 days before hospital admission.

**Mortality**

We obtained information on all-cause mortality from the CRS, which has recorded all changes in vital status and migration for the entire Danish population since 1968, with daily electronic updates.18

**Potential confounders**

We searched the DNPR for patient characteristics that may be associated both with stroke prognosis and use of bisphosphonates, as recorded from 1977 up to the admission date. These included AF, myocardial infarction, intermittent arterial claudication, dementia, diabetes, angina pectoris, heart valve disease, venous thromboembolism, obesity, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cancer, chronic pulmonary disease (COPD), and alcoholism-related disease. To obtain more complete information on excessive alcohol use and to increase the sensitivity of the diagnoses of diabetes mellitus and COPD, we retrieved data on prescriptions for alcohol deterrents, glucose-lowering medications, and respiratory medications from the prescription database17 and included these data in the respective variables. Severe osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures, especially hip fractures are associated with increased mortality in general.22 From the DNPR, we retrieved each patient’s hospital contact history of osteoporosis and/or osteoporotic fractures (hip fractures, vertebral fractures and distal forearm fractures) before the stroke and defined three categories: recent fracture (fracture within 6 months of stroke admission), nonrecent fracture (fracture ≥6 months before stroke admission), and simple osteoporosis (without any fracture diagnosis).

From the DNPR, we also obtained information on current use (last prescription redemption within 90 days before admission) of hormone replacement therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, systemic glucocorticoids, vitamin K antagonists, clopidogrel, acetylsalicylic acid, statins, nitrates (if ≥2 prescriptions), diuretics, calcium channel blockers, beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and angiotensin-II receptor antagonists. Relevant ICD-codes and Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical codes are provided in Table S1.


**Statistical analysis**

We characterized the cohort according to sex, age group (<60 years, 60–69 years, 70–79 years, or ≥80 years), comorbidities, comedication use, and whether CT or MRI was performed during hospitalization. Patients were censored at death, at emigration, on December 31, 2012, or after 30 days of follow-up following the hospital admission date, whichever occurred first.

We computed absolute 30-day mortality risks using Kaplan–Meier method. We used a Cox proportional hazards regression model to compute hazard ratios (HRs) as a measure of mortality rate ratios (MRRs) within 30 days of hospital admission for current, recent, long, and new use of bisphosphonates compared with nonuse. We also examined alendronate, etidronate, risedronate, and ibandronate separately. To control for confounding, the model was adjusted for the potential confounders listed earlier. In a sensitivity analysis, we ran the model excluding AF and vitamin K antagonist use (marker of AF) as potential intermediate steps, to assess if any effect of bisphosphonates on stroke mortality was independent of AF and its treatment. We did an additional analysis of long-term users defining long-term use as minimum one prescription redemption 0–90 days prior to the stroke and minimum one additional prescription redemption 365 days to 2 years prior to the stroke.

The analyses were stratified by age group, sex, simple osteoporosis, recent and nonrecent osteoporotic fracture, and AF. We repeated the analyses restricted to patients with a CT or MRI scan registered in the DNPR during their hospitalization, in order to increase the positive predicted value of the stroke diagnosis. To examine the sensitivity of the estimates to differences in exposure definitions, we repeated the analysis using a 30-day, a 60-day, and a 180-day exposure window instead of a 90-day window. To reduce the potential for confounding by indication for bisphosphonate use, we also directly compared current users with recent users.

Finally, we conducted a propensity score-matched analysis. As previously described,23 we generated a logistic regression model that predicted bisphosphonate use among stroke patients conditional on the variables included in the multivariable model described earlier.24 We calculated the propensity score, ie, the probability of bisphosphonate use for each stroke patient. The propensity score distribution among users and nonusers was visually inspected.
Each bisphosphonate user was matched with a nonuser with
the closest propensity score by using a greedy matching
algorithm.25 The matching was performed without replace-
ment, separately for the individual types of bisphosphonates,
and within a maximum matching range (caliper width) in
propensity score of ±0.025.23 We repeated the Cox regres-
sion model with robust standard errors to account for clustering in
matched pairs, and compared mortality rates between bisphos-
phonate users and propensity score-matched nonusers.25

The proportional hazard assumption was visually assessed
using log–log plots and found valid.

All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS
Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

This registry-based study was approved by the Danish
Data Protection Agency (record number 2011-41-5755).
The study did not require further ethics approval as it did
not involve patient contact or any intervention.

Results
Table 1 presents patient characteristics. The total study
population included 100,043 patients with a first-time stroke
between 2004 and 2012. Among these, 51,982 (52.0%)
patients had AIS (median age: 73 years), 11,779 (11.8%)
patients had ICH (median age: 72 years), 4,528 (4.5%) patients
had SAH (median age: 58 years), and 31,754 (31.7%) patients
had unspecified stroke (median age: 76 years). In general,
stroke was more common among men, but for each type of
stroke, women were overrepresented among bisphosphonate
users. A total of 3,427 patients (3.4%) were current bisphos-
phonates users, 652 (0.7%) were recent users, and 95,964
(95.9%) were nonusers. Among current bisphosphonates
users, 82.3% used alendronate, 10.3% used etidronate, 5.7%
used ibandronate, and 2.0% used risedronate (Table S2).
Bisphosphonate users were older than nonusers and also had
a higher prevalence of fractures, angina pectoris, AF, heart
valve disease, hypertension, dementia, COPD, and cancer.
Bisphosphonate users also more frequently had prescrip-
tions for hormone replacement therapy, glucocorticoids,
nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, selective serotonin
reuptake inhibitors, and most cardiovascular drugs.

Table 2 presents mortality data. Absolute 30-day mort-
ality risks were increased among current vs nonusers of
bisphosphonates for AIS (11.9% vs 8.5%), ICH (43.2% vs
34.5%), SAH (40.3% vs 23.2%), and unspecified stroke
(18.8% vs 14.0%), and this was reflected in the unadjusted
analyses in which current use of bisphosphonates, compared
with nonuse, showed increased 30-day mortality for AIS
(MRR =1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.24, 1.64),
ICH (MRR =1.37, 95% CI: 1.19, 1.58), SAH (MRR =1.82,
95% CI: 1.35, 2.45), and unspecified stroke (MRR =1.39,
95% CI: 1.21, 1.59). However, after adjustment for potential
confounders, current use of bisphosphonates showed no
association with mortality following ICH (MRR =1.05,
95% CI: 0.90, 1.23), SAH (MRR =1.15, 95% CI: 0.83, 1.61),
or unspecified stroke (MRR =0.94, 95% CI: 0.81, 1.09),
whereas for AIS, a tendency toward reduced 30-day stroke
mortality was observed (MRR =0.87, 95% CI: 0.75, 1.01).
Recent use of bisphosphonates showed no impact on 30-day
mortality. The estimates from the propensity score-matched
analyses tended to be a little higher than the conventionally
adjusted estimates, but in general, the results from the two
methods were in agreement. Subanalyses according to
the type of bisphosphonate showed an increased adjusted MRR
for new users of etidronate (Table S3): Stroke overall =1.40
(95% CI: 1.01; 1.93), ICH =1.52 (95% CI: 0.81, 2.84), and
unspecified stroke =1.76 (95% CI: 1.09, 2.84), which was
confirmed in the propensity score-matched analyses: stroke
overall =1.50 (95% CI: 1.03, 2.17), ICH =1.82 (95% CI: 0.88,
3.76), and unspecified stroke =1.74 (95% CI: 1.00, 3.04).
A subanalysis for alendronate revealed reduced MRR for
AIS in conventionally adjusted analyses (MRR current =0.87,
95% CI: 0.74, 1.02) and in propensity-score-matched analy-
ses (MRR current =0.90, 95% CI: 0.74, 1.10) (Table S4).
For the remaining types of bisphosphonates, none of the separate
analyses by drug type had any impact on 30-day mortality
(Tables S5 and S6).

MRR estimates were not changed materially when
comparing current users with recent users, restricting the
analysis to CT- or MRI-confirmed cases, when using a
30-/60-/180-day exposure window, or when extending the
definition of long-term use (data not shown). No notable
effect modification was observed within strata of age, sex,
AF, recent fracture, nonrecent fracture or simple osteoporosis
(Table S7). Excluding AF and vitamin K antagonists from
the multivariate model did not materially affect the estimates;
eg, MRRs with current bisphosphonate use: AIS =0.86 (95%
CI: 0.74, 1.00), ICH =1.06 (95% CI: 0.91, 1.24), SAH =1.16
(95% CI: 0.83, 1.62), and unspecified stroke =0.94 (95% CI:
0.82, 1.09) (Table S8).

Discussion
In our study, preadmission bisphosphonate use reassur-
ingly showed no increased 30-day mortality following a
first-time hospitalization for AIS, ICH, SAH, or unspecified
stroke. This finding was consistent in separate analyses of
alendronate, ibandronate, and risedronate. For etidronate,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of 100,043 first-time stroke patients, by preadmission use of oral bisphosphonate, Denmark, July 2004–December 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No use</strong></td>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td>10 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>6,429 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,534 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unspecified</strong></td>
<td>1,218 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes:</strong></td>
<td>Fracture 6 months prior to the stroke included in the “recent osteoporotic fracture” category. “Nonrecent osteoporotic fracture” category includes fractures 6 months prior to the stroke; indicates that no event has occurred.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
we noted a possibly increased 30-day mortality following stroke associated with new use, but no association with current or recent use. For AIS, a slightly reduced short-term mortality, driven by alendronate, was seen.

The overall 30-day mortality for AIS (−10%), ICH (−40%), and SAH (−25%) in our study is comparable to previous studies of stroke prognosis in the general population, finding absolute 30-day mortality of 6.8%–12.6% for AIS,26,27 13.1%–55.8% (median value 40.4%) for ICH,28 and 25%–40% for SAH.29

Previously, only a few studies have investigated bisphosphonate use and risk of fatal stroke – an endpoint that combines both stroke risk and prognosis. Of these, only one cohort study investigated oral bisphosphonates,11 finding an increased risk of fatal stroke associated with alendronate (HR =2.05, 95% CI: 1.63, 2.58) and etidronate (HR =1.56, 95% CI: 1.35, 1.80). Our data indicated worsened stroke prognosis, for ICH and unspecified stroke, solely for the small subgroup of new etidronate users, whereas in contrast to the previous study, we found no increase yet even a slight decrease in stroke mortality associated with alendronate use. This finding for alendronate could, in theory, be due to the nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates exerting arterial vascular protection through a cholesterol-lowering effect.30,31 However, in clinical studies, predominantly the nonnitrogen-containing bisphosphonate etidronate has been associated with reduced arterial vascular calcification and arteriosclerosis.32–36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke overall</th>
<th>Mortality risk % (95% CI)</th>
<th>MRRs (95% CI) unadjusted</th>
<th>MRRs (95% CI) adjusted</th>
<th>PS-matched (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No use</td>
<td>13.9 (13.7; 14.2)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use</td>
<td>19.2 (17.9; 20.5)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.31; 1.53)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89; 1.05)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.85; 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent use</td>
<td>20.2 (17.3; 23.5)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.26; 1.77)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.83; 1.18)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.87; 1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New use</td>
<td>20.8 (18.0; 23.9)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.32; 1.83)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90; 1.25)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.88; 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use</td>
<td>18.7 (17.3; 20.3)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.26; 1.51)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.85; 1.03)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.86; 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No use</td>
<td>8.5 (8.2; 8.7)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use</td>
<td>11.9 (10.4; 13.5)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.24; 1.64)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75; 1.01)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.74; 1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent use</td>
<td>14.7 (11.2; 19.0)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.35; 2.40)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.84; 1.50)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.82; 1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New use</td>
<td>11.5 (8.6; 15.4)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.02; 1.89)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.58; 1.10)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.63; 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use</td>
<td>12.0 (10.4; 13.8)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.23; 1.68)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75; 1.04)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.85; 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No use</td>
<td>34.5 (33.7; 35.4)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use</td>
<td>43.2 (38.8; 48.0)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.19; 1.58)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.90; 1.23)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.83; 1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent use</td>
<td>43.4 (32.8; 55.6)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.96; 1.95)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.72; 1.47)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.60; 1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New use</td>
<td>44.3 (35.1; 54.8)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.05; 1.92)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.79; 1.46)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.83; 2.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use</td>
<td>42.9 (37.9; 48.3)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.15; 1.60)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.88; 1.24)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.79; 1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No use</td>
<td>23.2 (22.0; 24.5)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use</td>
<td>40.3 (31.9; 50.0)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.35; 2.45)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.83; 1.61)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.92; 2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent use</td>
<td>31.8 (16.6; 55.4)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.72; 3.17)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.46; 2.08)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.48; 4.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New use</td>
<td>50.0 (32.2; 70.9)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.35; 4.20)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.85; 2.74)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.68; 3.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use</td>
<td>37.6 (28.4; 48.7)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.18; 2.37)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.71; 1.54)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.76; 2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No use</td>
<td>14.0 (13.6; 14.4)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use</td>
<td>18.8 (16.6; 21.2)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.21; 1.59)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.81; 1.09)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.73; 1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent use</td>
<td>19.5 (15.0; 25.2)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.06; 1.90)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.68; 1.22)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.69; 1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New use</td>
<td>21.7 (17.1; 27.4)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.25; 2.13)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.93; 1.59)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.75; 1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term use</td>
<td>17.9 (15.6; 20.6)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.12; 1.54)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.73; 1.02)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.70; 1.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Adjusted for sex, age groups, recent osteoporotic fracture (<6 months), nonrecent osteoporotic fracture (>6 months), simple osteoporosis (no fracture), myocardial infarction, angiitis pectoris, atrial fibrillation or flutter, heart valve disease, intermittent arterial claudication, venous thromboembolism, obesity, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (includes inhalation medications for chronic pulmonary obstructive disease), alcoholism-related diseases, dementia, cancer, and use of cardiovascular drugs (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin-II receptor blockers, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, nitrates, statins, acetylsalicylic acid, clopidogrel, and vitamin K antagonists), hormone replacement therapy, systemic glucocorticoids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and selective serotonin receptor inhibitors; **no prescription redemption within 0–180 days before admission date; ***prescription redemption within 90–180 days before admission date; ****prescription redemption within 90 days before admission and no additional prescriptions; *****prescription redemption within 90–180 days at least one additional prescription 90–180 days prior to admission and eventually additional prescriptions before this period.

Abbreviations: MRRs, mortality rate ratios; CI, confidence interval; PS-matched, propensity-score-matched; AIS, acute ischemic stroke; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.
It remains unclear to which degree these subgroup findings in our study may be due to chance. For etidronate, we speculate that the finding in new users may be related to potential protopathic bias, ie, early warning or prodromal symptoms of an impending stroke or other deteriorating health status predicting both etidronate initiation and subsequent stroke.

The more stroke-related deaths with zoledronic acid vs placebo in the HORIZON Pivotal Fracture Trial might be due to type I error. There is no firm evidence of any strong biological mechanisms that would readily explain any association of bisphosphonates and increased stroke mortality. Unfortunately, we lacked information of stroke severity, which is an important predictor of stroke outcome.26,27 Theoretically, the underlying mechanisms for an association between bisphosphonate use and stroke mortality could include an ability of — in particular — recently intravenously administered bisphosphonates to induce an acute phase response with release of proinflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, which appear to exacerbate neuronal injury in ischemic stroke patients.37 Similar immune-modulating effects could in theory worsen the outcome of poststroke infections and thereby increase stroke mortality.30,38 Bisphosphonates might induce arrhythmias including AF, which is associated with more severe AIS and is a strong adverse prognostic factor in first-time AIS.39 For ICH and SAH, any effect on stroke prognosis might be indirect caused by anticoagulant therapy for AF. Nonetheless, the association of bisphosphonates with AF remains uncertain, and adjustment for known AF and vitamin K antagonist treatment did not materially affect the estimates in our study. Finally, bisphosphonates have been linked with increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding.41 Such bleeding occurs only in 1.5%–7.8% of stroke events42-44 and is associated with increased mortality.44 Conclusively, a strong biological rationale behind bisphosphonates being associated with worsened stroke prognosis is lacking, which is in agreement with our null result. Moreover, other previous RCTs did not detect an increased risk of fatal stroke associated with use of zoledronic acid.13,14

Data on reimbursed prescriptions are nearly complete in the NHSPD.17 Since oral bisphosphonates are not sold over-the-counter in Denmark, we were able to identify all bisphosphonate users. However, compliance with bisphosphonate therapy is known to vary45 and potentially could have biased our results toward the null.

Our mortality data were virtually complete.46 The positive predictive value of acute stroke diagnoses in the DNPR is 97% for AIS, 74% for ICH, and 67% for SAH.46 In our study, 32% of patients had a diagnosis of unspecified stroke. A previous validation study found that two-thirds of unspecified strokes in DNPR were ischemic strokes.46 Adjustment for a range of covariates, including increased age, osteoporotic fractures, and other comorbidities, virtually eliminated the association between bisphosphonate use and increased stroke mortality that was observed in unadjusted analyses. As in any observational study, we cannot exclude residual confounding. We lacked information on low BMD, which is the main indication for bisphosphonate use. Low BMD is a risk factor for stroke, all-cause and cardiovascular death, while it does not seem to be associated with increased stroke mortality.47 If low BMD per se caused increased stroke mortality, ie, confounding by indication, this would have biased mortality estimates associated with bisphosphonate use upward, not changing our conclusion. In addition, our adjustment for hospital diagnosed osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures likely reduced confounding by indication. On the other hand, a more comprehensive registration of potential confounders such as osteoporosis and comorbidities among bisphosphonate users vs nonusers may have led us to underestimate mortality associated with bisphosphonates.

We speculate that, eg, the tendency toward reduced adjusted AIS mortality in bisphosphonate users may be due to such a more comprehensive registration of potential confounders. Also, compliant use of preventive medications like bisphosphonates may be associated with unmeasured healthy-user effects, which may also have caused underestimation of mortality associated with bisphosphonate use. However, we were able to adjust for other preadmission preventive medications like statins and antihypertensives, and we also to some degree adjusted for lifestyle factors through surrogate measures such as obesity, COPD, alcoholism-related disease, and cardiovascular diseases. Finally, use of vitamin D and calcium is likely more prevalent in people with low BMD than in healthy people. These drugs were not included in our adjusted model, since they are sold mostly over-the-counter in Denmark. The cardiovascular profile of vitamin D and calcium is unclear,48-50 with vitamin D deficiency being...
prevalent among patients admitted for stroke\textsuperscript{31} and low vitamin D level being inversely related to stroke severity and mortality,\textsuperscript{52,33} whereas calcium supplementation may increase cardiovascular risk.\textsuperscript{30}

Generally, bisphosphonates are well tolerated. Our study provides evidence that bisphosphonates are safe to use with respect to severe cardiovascular disease. In conclusion, predmission oral bisphosphonates did not impact 30-day mortality after hospitalization for stroke.
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