Autophagy dysfunction upregulates beta-amylloid peptides via enhancing the activity of γ-secretase complex
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Abstract: Numerous studies have shown that autophagy failure plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease, including increased expression of beta-amylloid (Aβ) protein and the dysfunction of Aβ clearance. To further evaluate the role of autophagy in Alzheimer’s disease, the present study was implemented to investigate the effects of autophagy on α-, β-, or γ-secretase, and observe the effects of autophagy on autophagic clearance markers. These results showed that both autophagy inhibitor and inducer enhanced the activity of α-, β-, and γ-secretases, and Aβ production. Autophagy inhibitor may activate γ-secretase and promote Aβ production and accumulation than its inducer. Both autophagy inhibitor and inducer had no influence on Aβ clearance. Hence, autophagy inhibitor may activate γ-secretase and promote Aβ production and accumulation, but has no influence on Aβ clearance.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease, of which cognitive impairment gradually worsens over time.1-3 As AD advances through the brain, it eventually affects all aspects of a person’s life including mental abilities, emotions and moods, behavior, and the ability to carry out daily activities like eating and grooming.4,5 It is well known that there are three consistent neuro-pathological hallmarks associated with AD, including extracellular amyloid-rich senile plaques, intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal degeneration of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons that innervate the hippocampus and the cortex.6-8

Autophagy is one of the major degradation pathway characterized by a ubiquitous cellular process responsible for the bulk degradation of long-lived proteins and organelles through an autophagosome-lysosomal pathway.9,10 The word autophagy is derived from the Greek words auto (meaning “self”) and phage (meaning “eat”), of which the main function of autophagy refers to clear abnormal or obsolete cellular proteins.11 There are at least three processes by which intracellular constituents enter lysosomes for degradation distinguishable by their mechanisms: macro-autophagy (the most prevalent form), micro-autophagy, and chaperone-mediated autophagy.

Autophagy exists in both normal cellular homeostasis and disease states. Increasing findings have demonstrated that autophagosome-lysosomal dysfunction contributes to severe neurodegenerative disorders related to accumulations of lysosomes and autophagic vacuoles (AVs).12,13 Compelling research studies have supported that the pivotal role of autophagy in the clearance of aggregate-prone proteins is responsible for several neurodegenerative disorders,12,13 which are implicated in the pathogenesis...
of AD,13,14 Parkinson’s disease,15,16 Huntington’s disease,17,18 and other related disorders.

An autophagosome, a spherical structure with double layer membranes, is a cellular vesicle that ingests cellular debris and transports the debris to lysosomes. Growing evidence indicates that the rate of autophagosome formation and maturation and the efficiency of autophagosome/lysosome fusion decline in neurodegenerative diseases with age.19-21 A growing number of studies have shown that dysfunction of autophagy plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of AD, including senile plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, and neuronal degeneration.13,22 Moreover, it has been found that immature AVs accumulate during the early evolution of pathology in a dendrite in the PS1-APP (amyloid protein precursor) mouse model of AD while pathological AVs’ accumulation is associated with inhibited retrograde AVs’ transport and impaired autophagosome/lysosome fusion.23-25 Furthermore, a link between autophagy dysfunction and beta-amyloid (Aβ) generation and clearance has been reported to occur in AD.26,27 A number of papers have investigated the precise role of autophagy in the Aβ generation and clearance. However, understanding the exact mechanism may help to design more effective therapeutic strategies to prevent neuronal degeneration and death. It is well known that Aβ production and deposition represent a key feature and is thought as the classic pathological hallmarks in AD. Aβ is generated from APP by the sequential actions of two proteolytic enzymes: β-secretase (beta-site APP cleavage enzyme, BACE) and γ-secretase complex.28,29 In addition, APP undergoes another cleavage: the non-amyloidogenic pro-β-secretase and γ-secretase complex to release membrane-anchored carboxy-terminal fragments that may be associated with apoptosis.30,31 Therefore, it is possible that autophagy regulated Aβ generation via controlling the activity of α-, β-, or γ-secretases. The present study was implemented to investigate the effects of autophagy on α-, β-, and γ-secretase, and the level of Aβ, and to observe the effects of autophagy on autophagic clearance markers. The aim is to further evaluate the role of autophagy in the neurodegenerative process of AD.

These results noted that the both autophagy inhibitor and inducer enhanced Aβ1–42 peptide expression while the level of Aβ1–42 peptide was more remarkably increased by the autophagy inhibitor than by the autophagy inducer. Both autophagy inhibitor and inducer increased the activity of α-, β-, and γ-secretases while the components of the γ-secretase complex (Presenilin 1, Nicastrin, and presenilin enhancer 2 [Pen-2]) were more activated by autophagy inhibitor, compared with the inducer treatment. However, this study revealed that there was no difference between the treatment of the autophagy inhibitor and autophagy inducer. Our study suggests that autophagy inhibitor may activate γ-secretase and promote Aβ accumulation, but has no influence on Aβ clearance.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

SH-SY5Y, a human-derived neuroblastoma cell line, is thrice-cloned originally from SK-N-SH and widely used in the scientific research of neurodegenerative disorders.32 SH-SY5Y was grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The autophagy inhibitor (3-methyladenine, 3-MA, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) and inducer (STF-62247, Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and used at the following concentrations: the inhibitor (3-MA), 10 mM; the inducer (STF-62247), 10 µM. The cells were treated with the autophagy inhibitor and inducer without fetal bovine serum for 24 hours.

RNA extraction and real-time quantitative PCR

Total cellular RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instruction. cDNAs were synthesized and real-time PCR was performed using the GoTaq® 2-Step RT-qPCR System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in an ABI Prism 7500 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Gene expression data were normalized to the geometric mean of the β-actin, housekeeping gene, to control for variability in expression levels and calculated as 2^[(Ct of gene)−(Ct of β-actin)], where Ct represents the threshold cycle for each transcript. The used primers in this study were the following: BACE1 (forward: 5′-AGGCAGCTGTCCAGCACATACC-3′, reverse: 3′-TAGCCAGCTGGTGCAAGGAGAT-5′), a disintegrin and metalloprotease 17 (ADAM17) (forward: 5′-AGCCAGGTGTTGTGTTTACA-3′, reverse: 3′-GTGGGATCTACGCAGAACAAT-5′), Presenilin 1 (forward: 5′-CAGGGTCATATAAGGTGTCATCC-3′, reverse: 3′-GGCAGATCAGGAGGAGAT-5′), Presenilin 2 (forward: 5′-CAGCTCATCAGACGCAGC-3′, reverse: 3′-CAGCAGCATCAGTGAAGACA-5′), Nicastrin (forward: 5′-TACGGAACCAGGTGGAGGAT-3′, reverse:
3′-GAAGGCACCAGTGTCAG-5′), APH-1 (forward: 5′-TCCTGACCTCGCCTTTCTGAC-3′, reverse: 3′-CAAGAGGCTGCGATGTTAC-5′), Pen-2 (forward: 5′-GCCCAAACTAAAGGTGCTGTC-3′, reverse: 3′-ATGTTGAAGGAGGTTGAC-5′), β-actin (forward: 5′-TGGCAACCCAGACAAATGAA-3′, reverse: 3′-CTAAGTCATAGTCCTAGAAGCA-5′).

Western blotting
Cells were harvested in sampling buffer (62.5 mmol/L Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 10% glycerol, 2% SDS) and heated for 5 minutes at 100°C. The concentration of extracted proteins was determined by the Bradford assay using a commercial kit (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA). Equal amounts of protein were separated by electrophoresis on 12% SDS/polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The membranes were then probed with rabbit primary antibodies against anti-BACE1, anti-ADAM17, anti-Presenilin 1, anti-Presenilin 2, anti-Nicastrin, anti-APH-1, anti-Pen-2, neprilysin (NEP), insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), endothelin-converting enzyme 1 (ECE-1), and ECE-2 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The protein expression was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:2,000; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Anti-β-actin mouse monoclonal antibody (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) acted as a loading control.

Transmission electron microscopy
SH-SY5Y treated with 3-MA and STF-62247 for 24 hours was fixed for 2 hours at room temperature with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) and, subsequently, with 1% OsO4 in 50 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3), dehydrated in an ethanol series and embedded into epon (catalyst). Ultrathin sections of 50 nm were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and analyzed in a Tecnai Spirit transmission electron microscope (FEI) with an ORIUS CCD camera (Gatan).

Aβ1–42 measurement
Cells were seeded at a constant density to obtain identical experimental conditions in the different tests and to achieve a high accuracy of the measurements. Aβ1–42 levels were determined in the culture supernatant using an ELISA kit (Uscn Life). The assays were performed according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Results were expressed as pg/mL.

Statistical analysis
Student’s t-test was used to evaluate the significant difference between two groups of data in all the pertinent experiments. Data were represented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. P-value <0.05 (using a two-tailed paired t-test) was considered as statistically significant.
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Results
Inhibiting autophagy pathway increased Aβ expression
Mounting hypothesis has shown that extracellular Aβ plays an important role in AD pathogenesis.22,31,34 Consistent with other research results, the level of Aβ1–42 peptide was remarkably increased by the autophagy inhibitor (3-MA), compared with control and the autophagy inducer (STF-62247) (P<0.001) (Figure 1). Different from the other research results, both the autophagy inhibitor (3-MA) and inducer (STF-62247) enhanced Aβ1–42 expression whereas the level of Aβ1–42 stimulated by 3-MA was higher than that by STF-62247 (P<0.005) (Figure 1).

Autophagy dysfunction augmented the activity of γ-secretase complex
APP is cleaved sequentially at the extracellular site by β-secretase (BACE) and γ-secretase to release Aβ peptides.35–37 APP can be also cleaved by α-and γ-secretases to produce P3. The α-secretase represents two members of the family of ADAM: tumor necrosis factor-converting enzyme (ADAM17) and ADAM10. β-Secretase, a membrane-bound aspartic protease, is also called BACE.36,38 γ-Secretase is a multi-subunit protease complex, consisting of four individual proteins: Presenilin, Nicastrin, APH-1 (anterior pharynx-defective 1), and Pen-2.35,38

In the present study, the glioma cell line SH-SY5Y was treated with autophagy inhibitor (3-MA) and autophagy inducer (STF-62247). Then, the cell harvested to analyze by real-time quantitative PCR and Western blotting. These results demonstrated that α- and β-secretases, respectively exhibited as BACE1 and ADAM17, were not different between 3-MA and STF-62247 treatments. The components of the γ-secretase complex (Presenilin 1, Nicastrin, and Pen-2) were activated by 3-MA, compared with the STF-62247 treatment (P<0.001) (Figure 2). Both autophagy inhibitor and inducer improved the activity of α-, β-, and γ-secretases (P<0.005).
Figure 1 Modulation of autophagy affects Aβ secretion.

Notes: The autophagy inhibitor (3-MA) significantly enhanced Aβ expression in the neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line, compared with the control and autophagy inducer (STF-62247) (*P<0.001). In addition, the autophagy inducer (STF-62247) also increased the level of Aβ1–42 peptide (in supernatant) (*P<0.005). The level of Aβ1–42 stimulated by 3-MA was higher than the level by STF-62247 (P<0.005) (A). The result by transmission electron microscopy showed that 3-MA prevented the formation of autolysosome by inhibiting autophagosome formation while STF-62247 enhanced the combination between autophagosome and lysosome to benefit the autophagosome fusion and breakdown (B).

Abbreviations: Aβ, beta-amyloid; 3-MA, 3-methyladenine; mag, magnification.
Autophagy had no effect on the Aβ clearance markers

Aβ production and failure of Aβ clearance are key factors in the development of AD. An overall impairment in Aβ clearance has been found where clearance rates for both Aβ1–42 and Aβ1–40 were impaired in AD.35,39 Several Aβ-degrading enzymes such as NEP, IDE, ECE-1, and ECE-2 are critical in Aβ accumulation determined in part by the imbalance between the production of Aβ and its removal from the brain.35,40,41 Therefore, the present study further investigated the influence of autophagy on the Aβ clearance markers (NEP, IDE, ECE-1, and ECE-2) although several research supported that the activation of autophagy benefited Aβ clearance. However, these findings revealed that there was no difference between the treatment of the autophagy inhibitor (3-MA) and autophagy inducer (STF-62247) \( (P > 0.05) \) (Figure 3).

Discussion

Autophagy is one major cellular pathway associated with the removal of aggregated proteins. A few studies elucidated that autophagy plays a critical role in multiple pathological
lesions of AD, such as dysregulating APP turnover and enhancing the activity of β- and/or γ-secretases. Autophagy affects an array of molecular pathways that may play a role in both Aβ generation and Aβ clearance. However, the precise mechanism or role of autophagy in Aβ generation and Aβ clearance remained unclear. We found that both autophagy inhibitor and inducer are associated with Aβ generation via regulating α-, β-, and/or γ-secretases. The relationship between autophagy inhibitor and the level of Aβ is robust enough for autophagy inducer, which heightened Aβ expression through upregulating α-, β-, and/or γ-secretases. Nevertheless, there was no divergence in Aβ clearance under the treatment of autophagy inhibitor and inducer.

Our study has certain distinctive outcome. Formerly, inducing autophagy pathway keeps a foothold to restrain Aβ generation whereas inhibiting autophagy pathway is a friend for Aβ generation. Yet, the present results were different from the past whereas this study illustrated that both autophagy inhibitor and inducer account for Aβ generation. Consistent with the former findings, inhibiting autophagy pathway was a more strongly enhancer to the event of Aβ generation. Thus, it seems that our results were self-contradictory and paradox. It may be reasonable to take into consideration that Aβ plays a dual role as both physical and pathological substance. Functional Aβ is abundant in most environmental biofilms. Several potential activities have been discovered for Aβ, such as activating kinase enzymes, protection against oxidative stress, regulation of cholesterol transport, functioning as a transcription factor, and anti-microbial activity. Intracellular Aβ may impel a variety of cellular events such as protein degradation, axonal transport, neuronal firing, and autophagy to apoptosis. Therefore, it is indicated that autophagy plays dual roles in the degradation and secretion of Aβ since inducing and inhibiting autophagy pathway shares a common access to heighten Aβ production under the Aβ physical process (Figure 4).

It is well known that Aβ is generated from APP by the sequential cleavage of two proteolytic enzymes: β-(BACE) and γ-secretase. A few studies demonstrated that the role of autophagy has been linked to Aβ generation and aberrant processing of APP. Autophagy plays an important role in Aβ generation via regulating APP turnover and stimulating the activity of β- and γ-secretases. However, the key role of autophagy in AD development is still under consideration today. Whether autophagy regulates Aβ by influencing the expression of these associated secretases (α-, β-, and/or γ-secretases)? In controversy with the other findings which indicate that autophagy inducer can inhibit the expression of β- and γ-secretases, and Aβ generation, it was occurred that both autophagy inhibitor and inducer increased the activity of α-, β-, and γ-secretases in the present study. In consideration to the physiological function of APP, α-, β-, and γ-secretases, it may be a normal response to the stimulation of autophagy inducer and acute stress.

Importantly, this study demonstrated that autophagy inhibitor augmented the activity of γ-secretase complex by upregulating its components (Presenilin 1, Nicastrin, and Pen-2), compared with autophagy inducer. Accordingly, it was inferred that autophagy failure contributed to Aβ generation via activating γ-secretase complex (Figure 4).
Several studies have supported that failure of Aβ clearance in the brains of patients with AD has been involved in autophagy dysfunction.\textsuperscript{62,63} The Aβ deposition and formation of Aβ plaques will be accelerated because of defects in its removal, mediated through a combination of diffusion along perivascular extracellular matrix, transport across vessel walls into the blood stream, and enzymatic degradation.\textsuperscript{44,64–66} Several Aβ-degrading enzymes such as NEP, IDE, ECE-1, and ECE-2 are critical in Aβ clearance, most of which are produced by neurons and glial cells.\textsuperscript{40,41} Scientific evidence has revealed the role of autophagy in Aβ clearance involved in Aβ-degrading enzymes.\textsuperscript{30,44} However, the clearance markers of Aβ (NEP, IDE, ECE-1, and ECE-2) were not different between autophagy inducer and inhibitor treatment. These results showed that, autophagy inhibitor may activate γ-secretase and promote Aβ generation and accumulation, but has no influence on Aβ clearance.

In the current study, both autophagy inhibitor and inducer increased the activity of α-, β-, and γ-secretases, and enhanced Aβ production. Moreover, autophagy inhibitor may more activate γ-secretase and promote Aβ production and accumulation, compared with its inducer. Both autophagy inhibitor and inducer had no influence on Aβ clearance. However, other results indicate that inducing autophagy pathway keeps a foothold to restrain Aβ generation whereas inhibiting autophagy pathway is a friend for Aβ generation. Aberrant autophagy induction leads into a concentration of AVs rich in APP, Aβ and the elements crucial for its formation while the dysfunction of autophagic clearance plays an important role in AD pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{40} Overall, the precise role of in AD pathogenesis is still under contention.\textsuperscript{11} Therefore, it is indispensable to further elucidate the potential routes for autophagy-mediated Aβ production and clearance in the AD pathophysiology mechanisms.
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