

Comparison of cumulative dissipated energy between the Infiniti and Centurion phacoemulsification systems [Corrigendum]

Chen M, Anderson E, Hill G, et al. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2015;9: 1367–1372.


On page 1367, the final sentence of the Introduction which reads:


"The purpose of this study was simply to compare the CDE between the single Centurion and single Infiniti phacoemulsification systems in a larger number of cases to see which one uses less CDE under similar age, sex, and complication rate (P>0.05) among five surgeons in one surgical center."

Should read:

"The purpose of this study is to compare the mean CDE and complication rate of the Centurion and Infiniti phacoemulsification systems."

On page 1370 there were errors in both Figures 1 and 2. The correct figures are presented below:





Abbreviations: CDE, cumulative dissipated energy; SE, standard error.




Figure 2 Comparison of CDE between Centurion system and Infiniti system across age (years) among five surgeons combined.

Abbreviation: CDE, cumulative dissipated energy

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.