

Comparison of cumulative dissipated energy between the Infiniti and Centurion phacoemulsification systems [Corrigendum]

Chen M, Anderson E, Hill G, et al. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2015;9: 1367–1372.

On page 1367, the final sentence of the Introduction which reads:

“The purpose of this study was simply to compare the CDE between the single Centurion and single Infiniti phacoemulsification systems in a larger number of cases to see which one uses less CDE under similar age, sex, and complication rate ($P > 0.05$) among five surgeons in one surgical center.”

Should read:

“The purpose of this study is to compare the mean CDE and complication rate of the Centurion and Infiniti phacoemulsification systems.”

On page 1370 there were errors in both Figures 1 and 2. The correct figures are presented below:




Figure 1 Comparison of CDE between Centurion system and Infiniti system among five surgeons.

Abbreviations: CDE, cumulative dissipated energy; SE, standard error.




Figure 2 Comparison of CDE between Centurion system and Infiniti system across age (years) among five surgeons combined.

Abbreviation: CDE, cumulative dissipated energy.

Clinical Ophthalmology downloaded from <https://www.dovepress.com/> by 3.238.130.97 on 14-May-2021 For personal use only.