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Abstract: Since their initial availability in 1997, the thiazolidinediones (TZDs) have become one of the most commonly prescribed classes of medications for type 2 diabetes. In addition to glucose control, the TZDs have a number of pleiotropic effects on myriad traditional and non-traditional risk factors for diabetes. TZDs may benefit cardiovascular parameters, such as lipids, blood pressure, inflammatory biomarkers, endothelial function and fibrinolytic state. In this review, we summarise the experimental, preclinical and clinical data regarding the effects of the TZDs in conditions for which they are indicated and discuss their potential in the treatment of other conditions.

Keywords: PPAR\(\gamma\), thiazolidinediones, rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, troglitazone

Introduction

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) agonists for peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor (PPAR) \(\gamma\) are currently used therapeutically. Troglitazone, the first agent of this class to be approved, was effective in controlling glycemia but was removed from the market because of serious liver toxicity. Pioglitazone and Rosiglitazone are PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists currently licensed for the management of hyperglycemia in Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). TZDs induced glycemic improvement is accompanied by a significant reduction in fasting insulin. The magnitude of the improvement depends on many factors such as body mass index (BMI), basal glucose levels, the degree of insulin resistance and the extent of \(\beta\)-cell failure. Interestingly, TZDs may benefit cardiovascular parameters, such as lipids, blood pressure, inflammatory biomarkers, endothelial function and fibrinolytic state (Parulkar et al 2001; Haffner et al 2002). Moreover, they have been successfully used in non-diabetic insulin resistant conditions such as polycystic ovary syndrome (Romualdi et al 2003; Glintborg et al 2005).

The purpose of this review is to give a timely examination of the efficacy of PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists in conditions for which they are currently indicated and discuss their potential in the treatment of other conditions.

Mechanism of action and insulin resistance

The mechanism of action of thiazolidinediones involves their binding to the nuclear PPAR\(\gamma\) receptor. PPAR\(\gamma\) is a nuclear receptor that acts as a transcription factor upon activation, by regulating the transcription and expression of specific genes. Together with the isoforms PPAR-\(\alpha\) and PPAR-\(\delta\), is a member of a family of nuclear hormone receptors that includes the retinoid X receptor (RXR), the vitamin D receptor and the thyroid hormone receptor. PPARs play a critical role as lipid sensors and regulators of lipid metabolism.
PPARs seem to regulate gene transcription by two mechanisms (Figure 1). Transactivation, a DNA-dependent mechanism that involves binding to PPAR response elements of target genes (see below) and a second mechanism, transrepression, that would explain the anti-inflammatory actions of PPARs. It involves interfering with other transcription-factor pathways in a DNA-independent way (Jarvinen 2004).

PPAR-α is principally expressed in tissues that exhibit a high rate of fatty acid metabolism (eg, brown adipose tissue, liver, kidney and heart) and is the molecular target for the fibrate class of drugs (Willsom et al 2000). Less is known about the physiological roles of PPAR-δ, which is expressed more ubiquitously, although recent studies have implicated it in lipid trafficking in macrophages and trophoblast (Oliver et al 2001; Chawla et al 2003), blastocyst implantation (Lim and Dey 2000), wound healing (Tan et al 2001), and the regulation of fatty acid catabolism and energy homeostasis (Peters et al 2000; Wang et al 2003). PPAR-γ is mainly found in adipose tissue, intestinal cells and macrophages, although it is also expressed in other tissues including skeletal muscle and endothelium at lower concentrations (Perry and Petrie 2002).

On ligand binding, the PPAR forms a heterodimer with the RXR and they bind to specific peroxisome proliferators response elements (PPRE) on a number of key target genes involved in the carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. Endogenous ligands of PPAR-γ include long chain unsaturated fatty acids and prostanoids (Shiraki et al 2005). Insulin resistance is caused by multiple factors, most likely involving many

Figure 1 Molecular mechanisms of Thiazolidinediones. In transactivation, peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) is a nuclear receptor that acts as a transcription factor upon activation. Thiazolidinediones can activate PPARγ. On ligand binding, the PPAR forms a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) and they bind to specific peroxisome proliferators response elements (PPRE) on a number of key target genes involved in the carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. In transrepression PPARs can repress gene transcription of other pathways, such as nuclear factor –kB (NF-κB).
different aspects of the metabolic regulation of insulin in muscle, liver and adipose tissue.

Current evidence supports the notion that the primary target tissue of TZDs is the adipose tissue. Their effect on insulin-stimulated glucose disposal may in part be secondary to changes in adipose tissue, where PPAR-γ is predominantly expressed, and involve factors that alter peripheral insulin sensitivity. TZDs have been shown to selectively stimulate lipogenic activities in fat cells resulting in greater insulin suppression of lipolysis (Oakes et al 2001). They decrease FFAs available for infiltration into other tissues; thus TZDs treatment target the insulin-desensitizing effects of FFAs in muscle and liver (Vasudevan and Balasubramanyam 2004). Finally, TZDs have been shown to alter expression and release of adipokines. Resistin and TNF-α, which have the potential to decrease insulin sensitivity, are reduced following incubation with TZDs (Steppan et al 2001; Hofmann et al 1994; De Vos et al 1996). Conversely, the level of adiponectin is increased after TZD treatment in vitro (Maeda et al 2001). Additionally, TZDs enhance the lipid storage capacity of adipose tissue by increasing the number of small adipocytes. This lipogenic effect may lead to a reduction of deleterious lipid accumulation in other insulin-sensitive tissues such as the muscle and liver, thus reducing insulin resistance (Okuno et al 1998).

The TZDs therefore hold promise as agents that can ameliorate insulin resistance due to lipotoxicity in obesity- and lipodystrophy-associated T2DM (Unger and Orci 2001).

**Clinical effects**

The TZDs have been shown to mediate a variety of effects on the complex pathophysiology associated with insulin resistance (Bhatia and Viswanathan 2006) (Figure 2).

They are now approved for the treatment of T2DM and offer a new angle of attack in the pharmacologic management of T2DM.

Unlike other oral antidiabetic agents, TZDs are unique because they improve insulin sensitivity by increasing insulin-mediated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle,
suppressing hepatic glucose output and improving the secretory response of insulin in pancreatic β-cells (Camp 2003).

The TZDs are indicated in the United States for monotherapy and as combination therapy with sulfonylureas, metformin and insulin for treatment of T2DM (Diamant and Heine 2003).

Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone are the only TZDs available for clinical uses.

As monotherapies, both agents significantly improve insulin sensitivity and glycemic control in T2DM patients by decreasing plasma glucose and plasma insulin levels, thus reducing HbA1c levels from 0.5% to 1.9% (Lebovitz et al 2001; Miyazaki et al 2001). In combination therapy with other oral diabetes medications, both rosiglitazone and pioglitazone demonstrate additive effects on glycemic control compared with monotherapy (Camp 2003).

**Effect of adipocytes and serum lipid profile**

The typical lipid profile of T2DM is characterized by low HDL, hypertriglyceridemia, and degeneration of atherogenic, small, dense LDL-cholesterol particles which are prone to oxidation and glication (Ginsberg et al 2005). Pioglitazone have beneficial effects in attenuating this complex dyslipidemic states. Typical beneficial effects in attenuating this complex dyslipidemic state have been described in studies using pioglitazone (Einhorn et al 2000; Rosenstck et al 2002) and rosiglitazone (Patel et al 1999; Raskin et al 2000; Fhillips et al 2001). Data for rosiglitazone, in combination with metformin for 26 weeks showed an HDL increase of 13.3%, LDL increase of 18.6% and a neutral effect on total cholesterol (Fonseca et al 2000). A study reported by Khan et al found that pioglitazone was superior to rosiglitazone in terms of lowering triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol (TC) in T2DM patients (Khan et al 2002). By modulating adipogenic differentiation, the in vivo effects of TZDs on lipid profile may be do to the repartitioning of lipids. Studies in human tissue point to a critical role for PPARγ in the regulation of adipogenesis. Exposure of human pre-adipocytes to TZDs induces differentiation (Adams et al 1997). Intriguingly, pre-adipocytes isolated from subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue have been shown to differentiate more readily in response to TZDs than from visceral depots taken from the same subjects (Adams et al 1997).

Evidence emerging from studies of humans and mice has indicated PPARγ to be not only a key factor for adipogenesis but also a critical determinant of body fat distribution (Tsai and Maeda 2005). In patients with T2DM studied by computerized tomography, visceral adipose tissue decreased following TZDs treatment while subcutaneous adipose tissue increased (Mori et al 1999).

These findings correlate with the adipogenic effects of TZDs used in clinical practice; treated type 2 diabetic patients accumulate subcutaneous fat, whereas visceral adipose tissue volume is reduced or unchanged (Bays et al 2004). It is well-established that subcutaneous adipose tissue is metabolically less harmful than visceral adipose tissue (Montague et al 2000). This may, in part, explain the beneficial effects of TZDs despite their tendency to induce weight gain (Bays et al 2004).

**Effect on inflammation and atherosclerosis**

Atherogenesis is believed to be initiated by passage of lipid through the vascular endothelium, and deposition in the arterial intima, where it stimulates an inflammatory response. The anti-inflammatory properties of TZDs, in addition to their metabolic effects, may confer further cardiovascular benefits (Blaschke et al 2006).

PPARγ agonists have been shown to reduce endothelial expression of VCAM-1 (Pasceri et al 2001) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (Wang et al 2002) on activated endothelium. Thus TZDs, through modulating inflammation, may influence the early stages of atherosclerosis.

The subsequent immune response, monocyte chemotaxis, T-cell activation and vascular smooth cell migration, forms the atherosclerotic plaque. It was recently demonstrated that pioglitazone and rosiglitazone have direct anti-inflammatory effects in a rat model of atherosclerosis via interference with monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 and its monocyte receptor (CCR2) (Ishibashi et al 2002; Han et al 2000).

As monocytes enter in the intima they undergo transformation into macrophages. They take up lipid deposited in the intima forming the “foam cells”. Foam cells may play a pivotal role in atherogenesis, secreting reactive oxygen species and inflammatory cytokines. PPARγ is upregulated in activated macrophages while PPARγ agonists have been shown to attenuate the inflammatory response in activated monocytes and macrophages. Thus, activation of PPARγ receptors in macrophages within the arterial intima may reduce cytokine production, limiting the local inflammatory response, hence arresting atherosclerosis (see below) (Roberts et al 2003).

**Effect on endothelial dysfunction and blood pressure**

The TZDs have salutary effects on endothelial dysfunction, a key factor in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease (Widlansky et al 2003). Endothelium-derived nitric oxide
Insulin resistance is the key mechanism underlying impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) (Turner and Clapham 1998). This has been shown that TZDs up-regulate eNOS expression (Goya et al 2006). Expression of endothelin-1 (ET-1), a potent endothelial-derived vasoconstrictor compound, thought to be involved in the pathogenesis of numerous complications of diabetes, is regulated by TZDs action (Hopfner and Gopalakrishnan 1999). In a randomized study rosiglitazone was shown to improve endothelial dysfunction (Natali et al 2002).

TZDs are also able to exert direct beneficial vascular effects beyond their effect on glycemia, thus reducing cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetics. For example, rosiglitazone has been reported to increase differentiation towards the endothelial lineage when treating a heterogeneous population of angiogenic precursors, an effect mediated by PPAR-γ (Wang et al 2004)

Twelve-week treatment with rosiglitazone improved endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) number and migratory activity of T2DM patients (Pistrosch et al 2005).

Also pioglitazone was demonstrated to have a PPAR-γ dependent stimulation effect on EPCs (Redondo et al 2007).

Some observations strongly suggest that insulin resistance perse is related to endothelial dysfunction, independent of glycemic control, and that rosiglitazone has therapeutic effects on this endothelial dysfunction (Pistrosch et al 2004). Interestingly, these endothelium-related effects are observed even in non-diabetic subjects (Horio et al 2005).

Studies conducted on mammalian models of diabetes suggest that TZDs may be useful in the treatment of hypertension, particularly when associated with insulin resistance (Grinsell et al 2000; Diep et al 2002; Schiffrin et al 2003). Bakris et al demonstrated significant reduction in systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure after 52 week of rosiglitazone treatment (Bakris et al 2000). In another study, decreases in mean arterial blood pressure with the use of troglitazone correlated significantly with reductions in plasma insulin, suggesting a link between improvement of insulin sensitivity and blood pressure reduction (Ogihara et al 1995). ET-1 is a potent vasoconstrictr, as well as a mediator of smooth muscle mitogenesis, and thus a determinant of systemic blood pressure (Miller et al 1993). TZDs treatment has been shown to attenuate the release of ET-1 from vascular cells, which may contribute to the observed blood pressure lowering effect (Satoh et al 1999; Iglarz M et al 2003).

**Use in diabetes prevention**

Insulin resistance is the key mechanism underlying impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) (Turner and Clapham 1998). Three large-scale intervention trials have demonstrated the beneficial effect of weight reduction, dietary modifications and regular exercise on IGT (Pan et al 1997; Knowler et al 2002; Tuomilehto et al 2001). The overall risk that patients with IGT will develop diabetes is 3–9% per year in the United States (Ipp 2000). TZDs improve insulin sensitivity in a range of insulin-resistant states without diabetes, including obesity and IGT (Ghanim et al 2001; Sekino et al 2003; Bennett et al 2004).

Durbin et al studied 172 IGT patients and demonstrated that the incidence of diabetes after three years was 88.9% lower in the TZD’s treated group compared with the control group (Durbin 2004).

The pivotal problem of progression to overt diabetes from a prediabetic state is impaired β-cell function to begin with decline due to glucolipotoxicity; β-cell is unable to meet the insulin requirements imposed by insulin resistance. The TRIPOD Study (Troglitazone in the Prevention of Diabetes Mellitus) (Buchanan et al 2002) was a double blind prospective, randomized, placebo controlled, study examining Hispanic women without diabetes but with previous gestational diabetes. In this study troglitazone had a sustained beneficial effect on β-cell function in addition to the insulin-sensitizing and glucose lowering properties still present 8 months after discontinuation of the medication. The administration of troglitazone reduced the incidence of diabetes by 50% in high-risk women. All these data strongly advocate a role of this class of drugs in the prevention of T2DM.

**Safety**

The TZDs are generally safe and well tolerated. The liver toxicity that resulted in withdrawal of troglitazone from market does not seem to be a problem with rosiglitazone and pioglitazone (Scheen 2001). The incidence of liver toxicity occurred in approximately one in 100000 patients (Tolman 2000; Parulkar et al 2001). There is no clear molecular explanation for this adverse effect, however, it was reported that troglitazone, unique among the TZDs, activates the pregnane X receptor, a transcription factor that induces the expression of the hepatic cytochrome P450 3A4 gene (Kliwerer et al 1999; Lehmann et al 1998). Following the withdrawal of troglitazone, the liver enzyme levels of patients prescribed rosiglitazone or pioglitazone have been carefully monitored, and no increase in TZD-induced liver toxicity has been reported.

The TZDs have been associated with weight gain of 3–5 Kg in a dose-dependent manner, most likely due to an increase in fat mass, peripheral edema or both. In particular...
the weight gain noted with TZD therapy may actually be associated with a beneficial redistribution of fat between the visceral versus subcutaneous body compartments (Nakamura et al 2001; Nesto et al 2003). Visceral adipose tissue is associated with the metabolic syndrome, being morphologically and functionally different from subcutaneous adipose tissue. Insulin effects trend to be blunted, and glucocorticoid and catecholamine effects trend to be enhanced in visceral adipose tissue compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue.

Fluid retention, expanded plasma volume and dependent edema have been noted with TZD therapy (Nesto et al 2003). In most of these cases, patients showed peripheral edema (swelling of legs, ankles, hands and face). In clinical practice, 3–5% of patients on either pioglitazone or rosiglitazone develop peripheral edema (Nesto et al 2003). The incidence of edema is much higher (up to 15%) in combination therapies that include insulin or sulfonylureas (Malinowski and Bolesa 2000). Although the precise mechanism underlying the edema are unclear, some studies have suggested a vascular leak syndrome, possibly due to increased concentrations of vascular permeability factors and enhancement of endothelial-mediated vasodilation (Emoto et al 2001; Niemeyer and Janney 2002). Exacerbation of congestive heart failure (CHF) has rarely been reported with the use of TZDs (Nesto et al 2003). Because patients with advanced heart failure were not evaluated in clinical studies, TZDs are currently not recommended for use in individuals with New York Heart Association Class III or IV heart failure symptoms.

In their meta-analysis, Nissen and Wolski (2007) still suggest that rosiglitazone is associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction. The main limitations of this study are the quality and quantity of the available data (Psaty and Furberg 2007). The mechanism for the apparent increase death from cardiovascular causes associated with rosiglitazone remains unclear. One potential contributing factor may be a modest reduction in the hemoglobin level. In susceptible patients, a reducted hemoglobin level may result in increased physiological stress, thereby provoking myocardial ischemia. These data point to the urgent need for comprehensive evaluations to clarify the cardiovascular risk of TZDs.

**Future applications of PPAR agonists**

TZD therapy appears to result in a variety of effects independent of blood glucose lowering which may have the potential to revolutionize the management of T2DM (Boden and Zhang 2006). Evidently, TZDs improve insulin sensitivity not only of T2DM but also of primarily non-diabetic conditions. Theoretically, this opens the possibility of pharmacological prevention of T2DM and associated disease as strongly suggested by the sustained beneficial effect in women with previous gestational diabetes (Stumvoll 2003).

The anti-inflammatory properties of the TZDs are particularly interesting in the context of atherosclerosis, now recognised to have a central inflammatory component. Their immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory effects may have a powerful impact on the cardiovascular disease burden associated with T2DM. There are some very recent results from the Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial In Macrovascular Events (PROactive study). They show that pioglitazone reduces the occurrence of fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) and acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (Erdmann et al 2007) and recurrent stroke (Wilcox et al 2007) in high-risk patients with T2DM. On the other hand, metabolic control as a surrogate endpoint did not demonstrate clinically relevant differences to the other oral antidiabetic drugs and the occurrence of edema was significantly raised. Therefore, until new evidence becomes available, the benefit-risk ratio of pioglitazone remains unclear (Richter et al 2006).

In ADOPT study is compared rosiglitazone with metformin and glyburide in terms of the duration of glycemic control. Recent data show a cumulative incidence of monotherapy failure at 5 years of 15% with rosiglitazone, 21% with metformin and 34% with glyburide (Kahn et al 2006).

The DREAM trial and related studies are determining if rapamil or rosiglitazone reduces the number of cases of diabetes and atherosclerosis, and are trying to identify novel risk factors for diabetes (DREAM Study 2004).

These and other large randomized studies are under way to evaluate this theme including, RECORD (Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiac outcomes and regulation of glycemia in diabetes), ACCORD (Action to control cardiovascular risk in diabetes), PPAR (PPAR agonists for the prevention of late adverse events following percutaneous revascularisation).

Final results of these studies will shed light on effects of TZDs in treatment and prevention of insulin resistance, IGT and T2DM.
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T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes.
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