Radial fundus autofluorescence in the periphery in patients with X-linked retinitis pigmentosa
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Purpose: To describe the peripheral autofluorescence images and clinical features of patients with retinal dystrophy who showed radial fundus autofluorescence (FAF) at the posterior pole.

Methods: The authors retrospectively reviewed pooled wide-field FAF images of 711 patients with retinal dystrophy and 56 family members.

Results: Eleven eyes of seven women exhibited radial FAF at the posterior pole. Wide-field FAF showed extension of the radial pattern to the periphery in all eyes except one. One woman showed radial hyper-FAF only in the periphery, not at the posterior pole. These eight individuals were X-linked retinitis pigmentosa patients or carriers. The tapetal-like reflex was not observed in their color fundus photographs. The peripheral visual field showed wedge-shaped restriction in some individuals.

Conclusion: Wide-field FAF imaging can depict radial FAF not only at the posterior pole but also in the periphery in X-linked retinitis pigmentosa carriers. The authors therefore agree with previous reports that radial FAF may be a hallmark of X-linked retinitis pigmentosa.
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Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is an inherited retinal dystrophy and a major cause of visual impairment in developed countries. RP is conventionally diagnosed on the basis of night blindness or visual field defects and the results of funduscopy examination and electoretinography. However, recent advances in technology, such as optical coherence tomography and fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging, have elucidated the morphology of the RP-affected retina and its correlation with visual function.1–7

FAF imaging was performed in patients with various macular diseases in the 1990s by using a scanning laser ophthalmoscope.8–12 Patients with RP exhibited a ring of hyperautofluorescence at the fovea that was associated with central foveal function measured using pattern electroretinography.13 In another study, peripheral hypoautofluorescence depicted by wide-field FAF imaging was correlated with the visual field area measured using the Goldmann perimeter.14 This abnormal FAF in patients with RP usually assumes a ring shape, which is compatible with the symptom of concentric visual field restriction. Meanwhile, Wegscheider et al15 found radial FAF at the posterior pole in carriers belonging to two families with X-linked RP.

The authors also observed radial FAF in some patients; therefore, in this study, the authors investigated the rate of radial FAF observed on pooled wide-field FAF images of patients with retinal dystrophy, and described the clinical features of the individuals who exhibited radial FAF.
Methods

All procedures conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee of the Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine.

The authors retrospectively reviewed bilateral wide-field FAF images obtained from 711 patients with retinal dystrophy (362 male and 349 female patients) and 56 family members (29 male and 27 female participants) who visited the retinal degeneration service at Kyoto University Hospital from March 2012 through November 2014. The patients were diagnosed with nonsyndromic/syndromic RP (n=540), cone–rod dystrophy (n=66), Stargardt disease (n=29), other macular dystrophies (n=15), Bietti’s crystalline dystrophy (n=19), fundus albipunctatus (n=8), X-linked retinoschisis (n=8), choroideremia (n=11), and Leber congenital amaurosis (n=15) on the basis of the history, funduscopic examination, electroretinography, fluorescein angiography, and genotyping findings. Of the 767 individuals, 5 male and 8 female participants were diagnosed as RPGR RP patients and carriers, respectively. The patients with noninherited retinal dystrophy such as age-related macular degeneration, acute zonal occult outer retinopathy, and cancer-associated retinopathy were excluded. The family members of the probands underwent wide-field FAF imaging and provided blood samples for cosegregation in the authors’ previous genotype screening study.

The wide-field FAF images were obtained, as previously reported, using the Optos 200Tx imaging system (Optos PLC, Dunfermline, United Kingdom). The pupils were dilated with 0.5% tropicamide and 0.5% phenylephrine in all patients, but not family members.

The authors evaluated the presence of radial FAF at the posterior pole by using representative FAF images illustrated by Wegscheider et al12 (their Figure 2). Positive radial FAF was confirmed by three authors (KO, AO, and SM).

The peripheral appearance of wide-field FAF, symptoms, genotype, and other clinical findings were described for all patients with radial FAF through a review of their clinical records.

Results

Of the 767 individuals, 7 women (11 eyes) exhibited radial FAF at the posterior pole (Figure 1), and this was found to extend to the periphery on wide-field FAF images in 6 of the 7 women (10 of the 11 eyes) (Figure 2). The radial FAF was unilateral in three patients. One additional individual displayed radial FAF only at the periphery. These eight individuals were X-linked RP family members (Figure 3).

None of the other dystrophies was associated with radial FAF. The characteristics of the cases are described hereafter and summarized in Table 1.

Case 1

A 38-year-old woman presented with temporal visual field defects in the right eye and night blindness since the age of 30. No one in her family was diagnosed with RP, but her deceased father had blindness at least in the right eye. Her fundus showed mild bone spicule pigmentation and atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) in the midperiphery. Marked decrease of amplitudes on electroretinography suggested RP. Genotype screening with next-generation sequencing showed a novel heterozygous deletion (c.1860_1861del) of the RPGR gene. Goldmann perimetry showed a temporal scotoma in the right eye and wedge-shaped visual field restriction in both eyes, which were atypical of RP (Figure 4). Hypoautofluorescence in the nasal region and radial FAF in the periphery corresponded to her symptoms and wedge-shaped visual field restriction, respectively.

Case 2

A 40-year-old woman with amblyopia since childhood, represented by a refractive error of −11.25 diopters in the left eye and −8.25 diopters in the right eye, was diagnosed with a visual field defect in the left eye at 30 years of age. Her brother was diagnosed with RP, and next-generation sequencing revealed a novel missense mutation (c.922G > C) in exon 8 of the RPGR gene. The patient also exhibited this heterozygous mutation. Her fundus showed RPE atrophy around the vascular arcade and optic nerve disc, although bone spicule pigmentation was not observed. Electroretinography showed an extinguished pattern in the left eye and a more preserved pattern in the right eye. Although radial FAF was observed in the right eye, the image of her left eye showed a ring of hypo-FAF in the macular region and patchy atrophy around the vascular arcade, typical of RP. Wedge-shaped visual field restriction was observed in her right eye, while concentric visual field restriction and temporal islands were observed in her left eye.

Case 3

An 11-year-old girl, the daughter of the patient in Case 2, exhibited the same heterozygous mutation (c.922G > C) in the RPGR gene. Interestingly, she also showed amblyopia in the left eye. Although wide-field FAF images showed a radial pattern in both eyes, electroretinography revealed higher amplitude in the right eye than in the left eye.
Figure 1 Radial FAF at the posterior pole.

Notes: Cases 1, 3, 4, and 7 show marked radial patterns in both eyes, while the radial FAF of cases 2 and 5 exhibits low contrast in the right eye only. The radial FAF extends only to the superior temporal area in the right eye of case 6. The asterisks indicate the images without radial FAF. The images within the yellow box represent those of the study participant with a radial pattern limited to the periphery.

Abbreviation: FAF, fundus autofluorescence.

Figure 2 Peripheral radial FAF.

Notes: Except in case 5, the radial FAF is depicted better in the periphery than at the posterior pole. The images within the yellow box represent those of the study participant with a radial pattern limited to the periphery.

Abbreviation: FAF, fundus autofluorescence.
Her fundus showed mild RPE atrophy without bone spicule pigmentation. Goldmann perimetry revealed a peripheral island scotoma of V/4e or III/4e isopter in the right eye and a ring or central scotoma of I/4e isopter in the left eye.

Case 4
The individual in case 4 was a 22-year-old woman who had visited Kyoto University Hospital without any symptoms at 12 years of age because her father was blinded by RP. Her family history suggested an X-linked inheritance pattern. Next-generation sequencing confirmed the previously found missense variant (c.785C>G, rs138018739) in the RPGR gene, but this variant was judged as benign because of its relatively high prevalence in the Japanese population according to the authors’ filtering process (http://www.genome.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp/SnpDB/index.html). Her fundus showed bone spicule pigmentation and RPE atrophy in the midperiphery. The amplitudes for both eyes were 50% preserved according to the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision protocol, and radial FAF showed a similar pattern in both eyes. A peripheral island scotoma of III/4e or I/4e isopter in the right eye and wedge-shaped visual field restriction of I/3e isopter in the left eye were identified using Goldmann perimetry.

Case 5
The individual in case 5 was a 42-year-old asymptomatic woman whose son was diagnosed with RP. She was examined for segregation of the mutation. Both the patient and her son had known deletion of exon 8 (c.894_895del) in the RPGR gene. Her color fundus photograph appeared normal, although radial FAF at the posterior pole was observed in the right eye. Her peripheral FAF images appeared normal.

Case 6
The individual in case 6 was a 43-year-old woman with night blindness since childhood, whose father was diagnosed with RP. Her family history revealed an X-linked inheritance pattern. Genotype screening had not yet been performed. Her fundus showed myopic changes without bone spicule pigmentation. Radial FAF at the posterior pole was observed only in the right eye. Peripheral FAF images showed radial FAF in the temporal region and diffuse hypo-FAF in the nasal
Peripheral radial FAF in XL-RP region. In the left eye, a ring of hyper-FAF was observed in the macular region, typical of RP. The Humphrey visual field test (30-2) showed concentric restrictions in both eyes.

Table 1 Characteristics of the cases with radial pattern fundus autofluorescence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Visual acuity R/L</th>
<th>Visual field R/L</th>
<th>ERG (ISCEV 2008) R/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>RPGR exon15 c.1860_1861del (p.620_621del)</td>
<td>Visual field defect in the right eye and night blindness since the third decade</td>
<td>1.2/1.2</td>
<td>Wedge-shaped restriction/wedge-shaped and concentric restriction</td>
<td>20%–30% amplitude in both eyes compared with normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>RPGR exon8 c.922G&gt;C (p.A308P)</td>
<td>Amblyopia in the left eye and visual field defect in the left eye since 30 years of age</td>
<td>0.9/0.15</td>
<td>Wedge-shaped restriction and ring scotoma/ concentric restriction</td>
<td>50%–60% amplitude/ nonrecordable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>RPGR exon8 c.922G&gt;C (p.A308P)</td>
<td>Amblyopia in the left eye and photophobia since childhood</td>
<td>1.2/0.04</td>
<td>Peripheral island scotoma/ring scotoma</td>
<td>60%–80% amplitude/ 30%–60% amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Undetermined (X-linked)</td>
<td>Recent night blindness</td>
<td>1.2/1.2</td>
<td>Peripheral island scotoma/wedge-shaped restriction</td>
<td>50% amplitude in both eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>RPGR exon8 c.894_895del (p.298_299del)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Not tested (X-linked)</td>
<td>Night blindness since childhood</td>
<td>0.7/0.6</td>
<td>Concentric restriction/concentric restriction measured with Humphrey Field Analyzer</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Not tested (X-linked)</td>
<td>Amblyopia in the left eye</td>
<td>1.0/0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra 66</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>RPGR exon10 c.1087_1088insGTAG (p.V363fs)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ERG, electroretinogram; ISCEV, International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision; NA, not applicable; R/L, right/left.

Discussion

The current study showed the first case of radial FAF in the fundus of a patient with RP. Although color fundus photographs showed only a myopic fundus and FAF at the posterior pole did not show a radial pattern, the peripheral FAF was observed in the left eye. The patient exhibited no visual disturbances in daily life for over six decades.

Extra case

An 11-year-old girl, the daughter of the patient in case 6, was diagnosed with amblyopia in the left eye, represented by a refractive error of -7.5 diopters. The Humphrey visual field test (30-2) showed concentric restrictions in both eyes.
Figure 4 Color fundus photograph of patients with radial FAF.
Notes: Bone spicule pigmentation is seen only in cases 1 and 4. No eyes show the tapetal-like reflex.
Abbreviation: FAF, fundus autofluorescence.
is one of the features observed in carriers. Although color fundus photographs obtained using the Topcon nonmydriatic camera or Optos (Figure 5) were reviewed, this reflex was not observed in the seven women with radial FAF. Wegscheider et al \(^{15}\) described a discrete tapetoretinal reflex in a carrier with clear radial FAF and an intense tapetoretinal reflex in a carrier without radial FAF. Acton et al \(^{17}\) also demonstrated that the tapetal-like reflex that was well depicted on color fundus photographs or 488 nm reflectance was at a location different from that of radial FAF.

Radial FAF has been explained as the result of X-chromosome inactivation and peripheral migration of mosaic stem cells.\(^{15}\) The unilateral radial FAF in cases 2, 5, and 6 or amblyopia in cases 2, 3, and 7 may have been derived from random inactivation in each organ. However, the studies cited by Wegscheider did not show peripheral migration of stem cells.\(^{19,20}\) Reese et al \(^{19,20}\) described the term radial not in relation to the periphery, but as a transverse pattern through the retina. The whole-mounted retina of a transgenic mouse did not show radial dispersion of transgenic-active columns.\(^{19,20}\) The reason for this radial pattern needs to be elucidated.

This study has some limitations. First, it was a retrospective, small case study. Second, the definition of radial FAF was ambiguous because previously reported images were used. Third, the lack of molecular genetics in two families led to an undetermined association between radial FAF and the \(RPGR\) gene. However, no evidence of radial FAF in patients with other dystrophies suggests the specificity of radial FAF to X-linked RP. The presence of asymptomatic carriers leads to confused opinions about the inheritance pattern in clinical settings.\(^{21,22}\) In addition to the tapetal-like reflex, the detection of radial FAF would be helpful for the diagnosis of carriers of X-linked RP. Accurate diagnosis of X-linked inheritance mode reduces the time and cost of genetic testing. Furthermore, radial FAF in the periphery, but not at the posterior pole, was found in one patient. Disease progression might make the radial FAF at the posterior pole unclear. In this regard, Optos can be considered useful for screening because wide-field FAF images can be obtained even in the nonmydriatic state and in under 1 second.

In conclusion, wide-field FAF imaging can depict radial FAF not only at the posterior pole but also in the periphery in X-linked RP patients and carriers. The present study results concur with previous reports that radial FAF may be
a hallmark of X-linked RP and present a potentially useful diagnostic technique for this condition.
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