BRAF G596R mutation in a slow-progressing melanoma

Abstract: More than 50% of melanomas harbor a single V600E point mutation in the kinase domain of the BRAF gene, resulting in constitutive activation of the MAP kinase pathway. The kinase loop in BRAF begins with Asp-Phe-Gly (DFG; codons 594–596). Mutations in this region are rare, and the effect on tumorigenesis or progression is unknown. We present a slow-progressing metastatic melanoma with a p.G596R (c.1786G>C) mutation in an 84-year-old female. This mutation has only been reported in two cases of melanoma in the current literature, with no clinical information available. Mutations in the DFG motif are considered low-activating through indirect binding and allosteric activation of the CRAF protein. Our patient has carried a clinical diagnosis of melanoma for over 25 years, suggesting that the G596R mutation may be associated with her indolent clinical course. It is unknown whether patients carrying this mutation will benefit from vemurafenib therapy. Our patient declined medical treatment.
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Introduction

Mutations in the kinase domain of the BRAF gene drive tumorigenesis in melanoma through constitutive activation of the MAPK signaling pathway. The V600E mutation accounts for 80%–90% of BRAF mutations in melanomas, and patients with BRAF V600E-positive metastatic melanoma have shown increased overall survival when treated with vemurafenib, a US Food and Drug Administration-approved BRAF inhibitor.1,2

The BRAF kinase activation loop begins with Asp-Phe-Gly (DFG; codons 594–596) and ends with Ala/Pro/Glu (APE; codons 621–623).3 The DFG motif plays an important role in the regulation of kinase activity.3–5 The active conformation of BRAF is characterized by an open conformation of the activation loop, while a closed conformation of the activation loop represents the inactive form.3 The conformation of the DFG motif is also known to affect adenosine triphosphate-substrate binding and the catalytic competency of the kinase.6 Mutations in the DFG activation loop are rare, and information about their oncogenic effect or response to BRAF inhibitors is very limited. We report a G596R mutation in an 84-year-old female who had carried a diagnosis of melanoma for over 25 years.

Materials and methods

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry studies

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) core biopsy tissue from the left level II neck mass was processed, and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained slides were examined by two pathologists. Immunohistochemistry studies were performed in our lab.
clinical immunohistochemistry laboratory using SOX10 (polyclonal antibody at 1:100, catalog number 383A-76, Cell Marque; Sigma-Aldrich Co, StLouis, MO, USA), HMB45 (monoclonal antibody at 1:100, clone HMB45, Dako; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and melan A (monoclonal antibody at 1:25, catalog number M7196; Agilent Technologies). After antigen retrieval with high pH (9.0) solution, the slides were incubated with primary antibody at room temperature for 30 minutes followed by 15-minute incubation with the EnVision Flex Kit (catalog number K8000; Agilent Technologies). The slide was then stained with the chromogen 3,3′-diaminobenzidine for 5 minutes. No informed consent is required for a single case report according to the institutional research review committee of University of Iowa (Iowa City, IA).

DNA extraction and mutational analysis
One H&E-stained slide and ten unstained sections (6 µm in thickness) were cut from the FFPE block. Areas with the highest percentage of tumor and fewest nonneoplastic materials were marked on the H&E slide by a pathologist, and the corresponding areas from the unstained slides were manually microdissected using a razor blade. The paraffin flakes were placed in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube, deparaffinized with 1,200 µL of xylene, vortexed, and centrifuged (16,000 g ×5 minutes). The tissue pellet was washed with 95% ethanol twice before proceeding with the extraction. Genomic DNA was isolated from the microdissected FFPE sections using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). DNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Exon 15 of the BRAF gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the following primers: 5′-GATCCCTTTACTTACACCTCAG-3′ and 5′-GATCGTAACTCAGCAGCATCTC-3′. The PCR thermocycling conditions were as follows: 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 15 seconds, 55°C for 15 seconds, and 72°C for 40 seconds. The amplification products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Cycle sequencing was performed using the ABI Big Dye Terminator Mix, version 3.1. Postcycle sequencing products were purified using the Big Dye X Terminator Purification Kit from Applied Biosystems (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The products were separated by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Case report
An 84-year-old female was first noted to have a pigmented lesion on her left forearm in 1988. The lesion was nodular and hyperpigmented, and was clinically diagnosed as malignant melanoma. The patient refused to have a biopsy or surgery, but instead elected to proceed with an alternative therapy using Cancell/Cantron. She had been considered “cured” from her disease until she noted a left submandibular lump in 2011. The mass enlarged with no other symptoms. She also noted a left subclavicular mass. Fine-needle aspiration was performed on both lesions at an outside hospital, and the materials were reviewed in our department. Both lesions were diagnosed as poorly differentiated malignant neoplasm with extensive necrosis. Immunohistochemistry studies showed that the tumor cells were negative for AE1/AE3, CK5/6, CK7, TTF1, p63, synaptophysin, and chromogranin. S-100 staining was noncontributory, due to the high background staining. Core biopsy of the left level II neck mass (5.3 cm) was performed at our hospital in 2013. Microscopically, sections showed a poorly differentiated neoplasm with highly pleomorphic malignant cells. The cells had abundant cytoplasm, markedly increased nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio, prominent nucleoli, and were mitotically active, with no glandular formation (Figure 1A). The neoplastic cells were in a background of lymphoplasmacytic cells with no skin identified, suggesting that the level II mass most likely represented a lymph node rather than a primary tumor site. By immunohistochemistry, the tumor cells were negative for AE1/AE3 (Figure 1B), and strongly and diffusely positive for SOX10, HMB45, and melan A (Figure 1C–E). The morphology and immunohistochemical phenotype were consistent with a diagnosis of metastatic malignant melanoma.

Mutation analysis by Sanger sequencing for BRAF exon 15 showed a G–C substitution at position 1786 (c.1786G>C), which was predicted to cause an amino acid change from a glycine to arginine residue at codon 596 (p.G596R) (Figure 2). In addition to these lesions, she also developed a large, flat, and irregularly shaped hyperpigmented lesion on the left forearm, at approximately the same location as her previous melanoma. An ulcer with hyperpigmentation of the surrounding skin was also noted on her left leg. Positron emission tomography–computed tomography demonstrated two large lymph nodes in left cervical levels IB and IV, compatible with metastatic disease, and possible osseous erosion of the adjacent mandibular angle. The patient refused biopsy or further molecular testing on the additional lesions.
Discussion

V600E accounts for 90% of all the BRAF mutations in melanoma. The mutant protein is 500-fold more potent in activating the MAPK pathway and promoting cell proliferation. Other mutations with reduced oncogenic effects or impaired kinase activity within the DFG motif (codons 594–596) of the activation loop have also been identified by in vivo studies. Mutations in the DFG domain are rare. The G596R mutation has only been reported in two cases of melanoma by two different groups: an anorectal melanoma and a malignant melanoma of unknown site. Neither of these cases had available clinical information. This mutation has also been reported in colorectal, lung, and urinary carcinomas and cancer cell lines at a very low frequency. The lack of cytokeratin staining and strong positivity for three melanoma

Figure 1 Histology of left level II neck mass.
Notes: (A) Hematoxylin and eosin stain, 20× magnification. (B–E) Immunohistochemical staining for AE1/AE3 (B), SOX10 (C), HMB45 (D), and melan A (E): 20× magnifications.

Figure 2 Chromatograms of Sanger sequencing.
Notes: (A) Reference sequence of BRAF. (B) Forward strand sequence of BRAF from the patient's malignant melanoma. (C) Reverse strand of BRAF sequence of the patient's specimen. Blue arrow indicates the c.1786G>C (p.G596R) mutation and red arrow marks the position of c.1799T (p.V600) of the BRAF gene.
markers (SOX10, HMB45, and melan A), in addition to the morphology, provided convincing evidence that the lesion examined was a malignant melanoma. In vivo studies have shown that unlike the high-activity V600E mutant, which can directly phosphorylate and activate MEK, G596R alone is inactive but can activate MEK and MAPK phosphorylation indirectly by binding and allosterically activating the CRAF protein.4,5,7 In addition, the DFG domain is known to regulate BRAF kinase activity by changing the conformation of the kinase domain.8 The introduction of a bulky arginine residue at position 596 of the DFG motif is predicted to keep the conformation of the activating loop in an active conformation and mimic phosphorylation of the kinase.9 All the mutations identified in the DFG domain so far are considered to have low activity or impaired kinase activity. Our patient has carried a clinical diagnosis of malignant melanoma for over 25 years without receiving any treatment except the Cancell/Cantron alternative therapy. The G596R mutation in the DFG region most likely accounts for her indolent clinical course. It is unknown whether patients carrying this mutation will benefit from vemurafenib therapy; however, it is likely that patients carrying this mutation may not be responsive to the drug, since this mutation has lower activating activity for BRAF itself but instead can lead to impaired MEK activity. Our patient declined medical treatment.

There are conflicting findings regarding BRAF-mutation status in primary disease and subsequent metastasis. The reported concordance between primary and metastasis ranges from 68% to 96%.13 Our patient’s original skin lesion from 1988 was not available for testing. The G596R mutation in her metastatic disease may exist in the original melanoma. It may also be newly acquired and contributed to the sudden increase in tumor burden with multiple metastases.

In conclusion, we present a c.1786G>C, p.G586R mutation in the BRAF DGF motif in an 84-year-old female patient who had a slow-progressing malignant melanoma for 25 years. This case provided the first evidence of patient data in the literature that the G596R mutation, which has reduced BRAF oncogenic activity in melanoma, may be associated with the indolent clinical course of the patient.
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