Nanotechnology for treating osteoporotic vertebral fractures
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Abstract: Osteoporosis is a serious public health problem affecting hundreds of millions of aged people worldwide, with severe consequences including vertebral fractures that are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. To augment or treat osteoporotic vertebral fractures, a number of surgical approaches including minimally invasive verteoplasty and kyphoplasty have been developed. However, these approaches face problems and difficulties with efficacy and long-term stability. Recent advances and progress in nanotechnology are opening up new opportunities to improve the surgical procedures for treating osteoporotic vertebral fractures. This article reviews the improvements enabled by new nanomaterials and focuses on new injectable biomaterials like bone cements and surgical instruments for treating vertebral fractures. This article also provides an introduction to osteoporotic vertebral fractures and current clinical treatments, along with the rationale and efficacy of utilizing nanomaterials to modify and improve biomaterials or instruments. In addition, perspectives on future trends with injectable bone cements and surgical instruments enhanced by nanotechnology are provided.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is a progressive bone disease characterized by reduced bone density and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue.1 According to the definition given by the World Health Organization, a patient is diagnosed as having osteoporosis when his/her bone mineral density is 2.5 standard deviations or more below the mean peak bone mass (defined by the average value for young healthy adults).2 For measuring bone density and evaluating osteoporosis or fracture risk prediction, dual X-ray absorptiometry is considered the “gold standard” method,3 while ultrasound methodology has been developed for population screening and diagnosis in primary care.4,5

In clinical practice, according to osteoporotic condition, osteoporosis is usually categorized as primary or secondary osteoporosis. With the increased life expectancy and rapidly aging population worldwide, osteoporosis has become a major public health problem in many countries. According to the International Osteoporosis Foundation, osteoporosis is estimated to affect one in three women and one in eight men over the age of 50 years.6,7 In the USA, it is estimated that at least 10 million people suffer from osteoporosis and over 1.5 million cases of osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF) occur every year, generating direct health care costs of US$12–18 billion each year.8 In fact, the direct annual costs of treating osteoporotic fractures in the workplace in the USA, Canada, and Europe alone are estimated to be approximately $48 billion.8 As of 2006, in the Chinese population aged 50 years or older, 65 million people were estimated to have osteoporosis, while an additional 200 million were estimated to
have osteopenia. Demographic studies also indicate that osteoporosis may soon reach epidemic proportions in the developing world.\textsuperscript{10}

One of the serious consequences of osteoporosis is an increased risk of fractures.\textsuperscript{11} Fractures can occur in many locations of the skeleton and are often associated with high morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{12} According to Burger’s model, fractures of the spine have a much higher incidence than fractures at other sites, especially in the patient with earlier osteoporosis. Spinal or vertebral fractures are fractures in one or more vertebral bodies and are usually classified as wedge, biconcavity, or compression fractures depending on the type of deformity.\textsuperscript{13} Vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis are also known as OVFs, which are the focus of this article. Patients experiencing a spinal fracture have an increased mortality rate of approximately 10\%, and female OVF patients have a 23\% higher mortality rate when compared with those without OVF.\textsuperscript{14} OVF is also one of the major risk factors for secondary fractures, the probability of which is increased fourfold after the first vertebral fracture occurs.\textsuperscript{15} OVF can also cause other severe physical, functional, and psychological problems or disorders, such as chronic back pain, kyphosis, and shortening of the segmental vertebrae.\textsuperscript{16}

Because of the extraordinary public health challenges and economic burden imposed by OVF worldwide, prevention and treatment of OVF are of considerable importance for the health care community.

**Current treatments for OVF and their challenges**

Clinical treatment of OVF aims at achieving pain relief and restoring height and functional stability of the fractured vertebral body.\textsuperscript{17,18} Treatment of OVF includes non-surgical and surgical approaches, which are summarized in Table 1. Although conservative non-surgical approaches (bed rest, medication, nutritional improvements) can relieve pain and alleviate reduce complications, they cannot restore the height and functional stability of the fractured vertebral body. Traditional surgical approaches include anterior decompression and fusion and/or posterior instrumentation and fusion, but these approaches may not be appropriate for elderly patients and still have high postoperative failure rates.\textsuperscript{19,20} Therefore, minimally invasive procedures like vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty are becoming increasingly attractive options for the treatment of OVF.\textsuperscript{21}

Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty are minimally invasive percutaneous surgical techniques that internally stabilize the vertebral body by injection of self-hardening biomaterials such as bone cements (Figure 1). Both procedures are performed using a fluorescence detector (eg, a C-arm X-ray machine) and thus require the injectable bone cement to be radiopaque. Vertebroplasty was first developed in France by Herve Deramond et al in 1986\textsuperscript{22} and was introduced to the USA in 1995.\textsuperscript{23} Vertebroplasty is effective for pain relief, and statistics show that it eases pain in 80\% of patients. Vertebroplasty is also used to strengthen vertebral bodies that are weakened but not yet fractured, thereby preventing further fractures.\textsuperscript{24} However, vertebroplasty is not particularly effective in restoring the height of fractured vertebra or correcting spinal deformity. Another disadvantage of vertebroplasty is the high probability of bone cement leakages into the spinal canal, which increases the risks of neurological damage and pulmonary embolism.\textsuperscript{25}

Kyphoplasty (also known as balloon-assisted vertebroplasty) was developed in the early 1990s as an improved approach to mitigate the complications of vertebroplasty and to restore the height of the fractured vertebra.\textsuperscript{26} This procedure starts with inserting an inflatable balloon catheter into the center of the fractured vertebra, after which the balloon is inflated to recover the compressed vertebral height and correct the deformity, meanwhile creating a void for injecting bone cement (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{27} Kyphoplasty is now a well-established procedure for the treatment of OVF and has been practiced in many countries.\textsuperscript{28}

Recently, an alternative procedure known as vertebral stenting, which is based on the principles of balloon kyphoplasty and vascular stenting, has also been developed. In vertebral stenting, after the balloon is removed, a metal stent remains within the created void to prevent the vertebral body from collapsing, so in an ideal scenario, a virtually physiological vertebral body height and shape can be restored and preserved.\textsuperscript{29} However, vertebral stenting has not been widely accepted by surgeons due to the limited supply of appropriate stents.

| Table 1 Current approaches for treating osteoporotic vertebral fractures |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Therapeutic approaches**  | **Surgical treatments**                      |
| Lifestyle and exercise      | Plates and locked plates, intramedullary nails, bone screws |
| Nutritional considerations  | Pedicle screw fixation and spinal fusion, vertebral body resection |
| Medications                 | Vertebraloplasty, kyphoplasty                |
| Antiresorptive, anabolic agents |                                      |
Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty or vertebral stenting face a number of problems in clinical practice, including those associated with bone cement, which will be further discussed. In addition, bacterial infection is a common complication associated with implants or devices for the treatment of OVF. Although minimally invasive surgery can significantly lower the risk of infection when compared with open surgery, bacterial colonization and formation of biofilm on the implanted device cannot be completely eradicated. The consequences of infection are severe, leading to considerable health care burden, prolonged patient suffering, and substantial morbidity and even mortality. As a result, reducing infection in the surgical treatment of OVF has also become a persistent challenge.

**Nanotechnology for orthopedic applications**

Bone is a natural nanocomposite that consists of hierarchically arranged collagen fibrils, proteoglycans, and hydroxyapatite (HA) crystals, all at nanometer scale. Inspired by this nanostructure, it has been widely speculated that mimicking nanoscale features of natural bone in materials or creating topographies resembling nanoscale roughness of bone may enhance new bone growth or regeneration. This strategy provides innovative opportunities to design and fabricate novel material formulations, devices, and systems, or to modify existing ones for better outcomes. Based on a similar rationale, nanotechnology-based bone tissue engineering, which combines living cells and growth factors with appropriate biomaterial nanoscaffolds for restoration and regeneration of defective bone tissue, has also been developed. Moreover, nanotechnology has demonstrated significant impacts on the development of novel bone substitutes, biological electronics such as biosensors, sensitive diagnostic systems, and controlled drug delivery systems.

Recent studies have shed light on the mechanism(s) behind the positive role of nanotechnology in orthopedic applications. On the one hand, this positive role is attributed to the factors such as vastly changed grain (or feature) size, surface roughness and surface area-to-volume ratio, surface wettability, and associated energetics, which have also been correlated with the superior physiochemical properties of nanomaterials, including their mechanical, electrical, optical, catalytic, and magnetic properties.

On the other hand, studies have arguably elucidated that favorable cell or tissue responses to nanomaterials are strongly correlated with greater adsorption or interactions of selected proteins compared with conventional micron-sized materials. This may be one of the underlying mechanisms accounting for why nanomaterials possess more intriguing biological properties than conventional micron-sized materials.

Increasing numbers of nanotechnologies and nanomaterials have been developed and are utilized in the field of orthopedics, and there is a growing interest in the research and development of nanotechnology for preventing and treating osteoporosis. For instance, nanotechnology has been utilized to enhance the bioavailability of calcium supplements, which can reduce the risk of developing
osteoporosis. Nano calcium carbonate and calcium citrate were devised and prepared to increase bioavailability in the gastrointestinal tract. The results suggested that nanosized calcium carbonate and calcium citrate are more bioavailable than orally administered microsized calcium carbonate and calcium citrate, respectively.

The present article addresses the frontiers of research on nanotechnology and nanomaterials tentatively used for treating OVF. New advances in injectable nanomaterials and surgical tools for vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty, such as improvements in the mechanical, biocompatible, antibacterial, and radiological properties of bone cements and tools, are also analyzed. However, since the nanotechnologies and nanomaterials for treatment of OVF are still in the early stages of development, most studies to date have been performed in vitro, and the efficacy and feasibility of these nanotechnologies and nanomaterials for clinical use have not been explicitly demonstrated. Therefore, this review focuses more on the potential and promise of nanotechnology and nanomaterials for treating OVF and future perspectives.

Injectable nanomaterials for treatment of OVF
Surgical procedures for treating OVF essentially aim at regaining sufficient strength and height of the fractured vertebral body with the assistance of implants and/or biomaterials. Possible implants and biomaterials include metal fixtures (eg, screws, plates, rods) and bone fillers that can augment osteoporotic or weak vertebral bodies. In the aforementioned minimally invasive procedures like vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty, bone fillers need to be injectable and then able to solidify, so are also known as bone cements. So far, the only clinically approved bone cement is polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) cement, and others currently under development include calcium phosphate cement (CPC) and calcium sulfate cement (CSC). Meanwhile, new radiopacifiers for imaging purposes during minimally invasive surgery have also been developed. In addition, injectable hydrogel is a new candidate for bone healing and regeneration via minimally invasive procedures. In this section, current progress and advances with these three injectable materials are summarized.

Bone cements
A package of bone cement usually consists of a powder component and a liquid phase acting as a reaction medium. During surgery, bone cement is formed into a paste by mixing the powder and the liquid, and the paste can then either be injected through a channel or molded by the surgeon into the sites of bone defects or voids. After setting and hardening, the paste conforms to the shape of the defect or void, and solidifies to achieve enough strength to support the vertebra. The characteristics and properties of PMMA, CPC, and CSC for vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty are shown in Table 2.

An ideal bone cement for vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty is expected to have the following properties: high injectability and homogeneity during injection; appropriate setting properties allowing appropriate handling times; high bio-compatibility and low risk of necrosis or infection; adequate mechanical strength and appropriate stiffness to match neighboring vertebral bodies; bioactivity and biodegradability to stimulate new bone ingrowth or appropriate porous structures for osseointegration and angiogenesis; and high radiopacity for tracking during surgery. In order to achieve these goals, nanotechnology has recently been applied to improve the properties of various bone cements.

Polyethylmethacrylate cement
PMMA bone cement has excellent setting and injectability as well as adequate mechanical properties for augmentation of a fractured vertebral body (Table 2). However, PMMA bone cements have clear disadvantages, including monomer toxicity, high polymerization temperature detrimental to tissue, a lack of biological potential to remodel or integrate into bone, and excessive stiffness that may cause fracture at the adjacent levels. Among these problems, the lack of capability for osseointegration and excessive stiffness are intrinsic drawbacks that cannot be avoided by carefully adjusting the surgical procedure.

Nanotechnology has recently opened up new opportunities to resolve these problems. For improving osseointegration,
nanomaterials with unique topography, surface roughness, surface hydrophilicity, and surface energy properties have shown a strong ability to mediate specific protein adsorption and subsequent cell behavior and tissue regeneration. This rationale has been applied to modify PMMA bone cement by incorporating nanoparticles, nanofibers, and other nanostructures for introducing enhanced osseointegrative capability to bio-inert PMMA. For example, a number of studies have shown improved bone cell activity on PMMA which was modified with nanostructured additives even if the additives were not inherently bioactive. Ricker et al reported PMMA bone cements with nanophase MgO and BaSO$_4$ had higher osteoblast adhesion densities than pure PMMA cement samples after 4 hours of culture, as shown in Figure 2. Also, the effect of incorporating 10 wt% MgO nanoparticles (12.8 nm in size) into PMMA on increasing surface roughness of the cement was investigated. The results showed that the bone cement with nanophase MgO significantly increased surface roughness when compared with PMMA modified with the same amount of microparticles.

Similarly, the increased nanometer surface roughness achieved by embedding TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (32 nm in diameter) in polymer matrix was demonstrated to increase osteoblast activity. Based on these in vitro studies, it appears that the improved osteoblast activity of PMMA bone cements are due to the nanoscale surface roughness resulted from addition of oxide nanoparticles.

The ultrahigh stiffness of PMMA bone cement, which reaches 2–3 GPa (elastic modulus) and is 4–40 times higher than that of human cancellous bone (50–800 MPa depending on location), is another impediment to its application in treating OVF. This high stiffness has a stress-shielding effect, weakening neighboring vertebrae and ultimately causing them to fracture. Creating porous structures in the hardened PMMA bone cement is becoming an effective strategy to overcome the ultrahigh stiffness of PMMA. For example, the elastic modulus and yield strength of PMMA could be decreased when mixed with a 2% aqueous solution of sodium hyaluronate gel.

In order to develop bone cement with anti-infective properties, silver nanoparticles has been investigated as a antimicrobial additive to bone cement. Alt et al showed that PMMA bone cement loaded with 1 wt% nanosilver (particle sizes of 5–50 nm) had satisfactory antibacterial activity against all bacterial strains tested, including *S. epidermidis* and methicillin-resistant strains of both *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus*. Among three different concentrations of nanosilver (0.1, 0.5, and 1 wt%), PMMA with 1 wt% nanosilver exhibited the highest antibacterial activity, with no decrease in human osteoblast viability when
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**Figure 2** Fluorescent images showing osteoblast adhesion (nuclei stained with DAPI) on PMMA modified with nano and conventional MgO and BaSO$_4$ (magnification 100×). (A) Pure PMMA; (B) conventional MgO; (C) nano MgO; (D) conventional BaSO$_4$; and (E) nano BaSO$_4$.


**Abbreviations:** PMMA, polymethylacrylate; DAPI, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.
compared with osteoblasts cultured without adding silver.\textsuperscript{45} This suggests that PMMA incorporated with nanosilver may be a promising bone cement for clinical use due to its high antibacterial activity and lack of cytotoxicity.

**Calcium phosphate cement**

As an alternative to PMMA bone cement, CPCs have received much attention due to their chemical similarity to natural bone, high bioactivity and biodegradability, and the isothermal reaction during setting.\textsuperscript{37} Usually, CPC consists of one or more calcium phosphate compounds (such as CaHPO\textsubscript{4}, Ca\textsubscript{5}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O) and a liquid phase of water or phosphate-containing aqueous solution. Depending on the composition of the final product, CPCs are usually classified into brushite (dicalcium phosphate dihydrate) CPC or apatite CPC. Firstly developed by Brown and Chow in 1986, the attempt to use CPC for augmentation of osteoporotic bone started in 1992\textsuperscript{46} and use of CPC for intravertebral reconstruction was proposed in 1995.\textsuperscript{47} In the last two decades, CPC has also been investigated for its ability to reinforce osteoporotic vertebral bodies,\textsuperscript{48} thoracolumbar burst fractures,\textsuperscript{49} and pedicle screw fixation.\textsuperscript{50} However, most of the commercially available CPC products are not suitable for treating OVF or replacing load-bearing bones due to insufficient mechanical properties, setting and hardening times that are too long, and uncontrolled degradation.

In order to tackle these problems, nanotechnology-enhanced CPCs have been developed by a number of companies and research groups (Table 3).\textsuperscript{51–53} Some of the CPCs are based on strategies of decreasing the particle size of the starting calcium phosphate compounds to a nanometer or submicron range or adding nanophase materials to existing CPC systems. Alpha- and beta-tricalcium phosphates with reduced particle sizes could substantially decrease setting time, facilitate nucleation of apatite crystals, and accelerate hardening of the cement without significantly affecting the final compressive strength (41±1.8 MPa).\textsuperscript{54} In addition to the strategy of reducing particle size, ultrafine nanofibers to enhance mechanical properties were also incorporated into CPC and the results showed clear increases in the fracture resistance of CPC. This CPC-nanofiber composite had an elastic modulus (15–50 GPa) comparable with that of human cortical bone, and degradation of the fibers could introduce pores and interconnective channels for bone ingrowth.\textsuperscript{35}

Although adding nanofibers has a positive effect by enhancing the mechanical properties of CPC, identification of suitable nanofibers that are bioresorbable and bioactive while being able to provide sufficient strength and fracture toughness remains challenging.\textsuperscript{55} Recent studies have demonstrated that carbon nanotubes (CNTs) may be a reasonable choice. In the study by Wang et al, incorporation of 0.2 wt% and 0.5 wt% as-received CNTs into CPC resulted in an increase in compressive strength by 24%, and biomineralized CNTs led to a 120% increase in the compressive strength of CPC.\textsuperscript{56} Similarly, Chew et al\textsuperscript{57} reported a high-strength CPC achieved by reinforcement of multi-walled CNTs and bovine serum albumin. This CPC/multi-walled CNT/bovine serum albumin composite had substantially improved compressive strength (~16 MPa) compared with pure CPC cement (~1 MPa). Their study also suggested that hydroxyl functional groups on the surface of multi-walled CNTs improved their reactivity and wettability, leading to strong interfacial bonding with CPC. In addition, strong attractions of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and PO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3−} ions with the functional groups of multi-walled CNTs-OH are expected to promote nucleation and growth of HA crystals in the bone cement, enhancing mechanical strength and osteoconductivity. Further, adding 5 wt% CaSiO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers (with an aspect ratio of 9.6) achieved a significant 250% increase in the compressive strength of CPC (from 14.5 to 50.4 MPa) but no decrease in self-setting ability of the cement. Better than CNTs or other nanofibers, CaSiO\textsubscript{2} can simultaneously release Ca and Si ions during hydrolysis, and the increases in Ca ion concentration and silica gel precipitation can

**Table 3** Nanotechnology-enabled calcium phosphate cements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Setting time</th>
<th>End product</th>
<th>Compressive strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Company/ reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopex</td>
<td>70% α-TCP, 23% TTCP, 5% DCPA, 2% HA</td>
<td>7–10 minutes</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Mitsubishi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-BSM</td>
<td>ACP, DCP</td>
<td>15–20 minutes</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ETEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>β-TCP, DCPA, MWCNT/BSA</td>
<td>–20 minutes</td>
<td>Brushite</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>Low et al\textsuperscript{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>α-TCP, 2 wt% HA, 0.1% Fe nanoparticles</td>
<td>–13 minutes</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Perez et al\textsuperscript{12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>α-TCP, 2 wt% HA, 10 wt% mesoporous bioglass nanoparticles</td>
<td>–25 minutes</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>El-Fiqi et al\textsuperscript{13}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** α-TCP, alpha-tricalcium phosphate; β-TCP, beta-tricalcium phosphate; DCPA, dicalcium phosphate; HA, hydroxyapatite; ACP, amorphous calcium phosphate; DCPD, dicalcium phosphate dehydrate; MWCNT, multiwalled carbon nanotubes; BSA, bovine serum albumin; TTCP, tetracalcium phosphate.
facilitate precipitation of HA crystals in the cement setting reaction. This CaSiO$_3$-nanofiber-based strategy of enhancing the mechanical properties of CPC is still under development but can potentially be used for the treatment of OVF.

**Calcium sulfate cement**

Calcium sulfate, also known as plaster of Paris or gypsum, has a long clinical history as a bone graft substitute. Surgery-grade CSC is mainly calcium sulfate hemihydrate (2CaSO$_4$·H$_2$O, CSH), the physical properties of which are shown in Table 2. Compared with CPC, CSC has relatively higher mechanical strength but degrades much faster. Studies show that it may be fully absorbed within a few weeks after implantation in vivo. For application in the treatment of OVF, this fast degradation rate generates problems with regard to insufficient mechanical stability and mismatch between bone remodeling and cement receding.

Incorporation of nanostructured materials has been shown to be an effective strategy to improve the mechanical properties of CSC. The influence of ceramic nanoparticles on the hydration reaction and consequent mechanical properties of the CSC cement was investigated. The results showed that a compressive strength as high as 72 MPa was achieved after 42 days for the CSC doped with 10 wt% of electric arc furnace dust, which was mainly composed of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Another study of a nanocomposite cement containing CSH and biomimetic nanocrystalline carbonated apatite revealed that initial setting time and injectability of the nanocomposite increased to 33 minutes and 95% compared with 7 minutes and 71% for pure CSH, respectively. To control the degradation rate of CSC, Liu et al studied degradation of a nanocrystalline HA/CSH composite and showed that addition of 30 wt% HA nanocrystals to CSH had a significant effect on the degradation rate of the composite cement.

**Other bone cements**

Other types of injectable bone cements, including calcium silicate cement (CSiC), magnesium phosphate cement, and bioactive glass cement, have also received attention. CSiC is primarily used for replacement of dentine, but there is a highly basic calcium hydroxide byproduct that is potential toxic to osteogenic activity and bone regeneration. Some nanoparticles are used to reduce generation of this calcium hydroxide byproduct while also improving the mechanical properties of CSiC. For example, CSiC/Nano-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and CSiC/Nano-SiO$_2$ composites have been developed, and the compressive and flexural strengths of the CSiC cements containing nano-SiO$_2$ and nano-Fe$_2$O$_3$ were both higher than those of the CSiC cement. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) revealed that the nanoparticles acted not only as fillers but also as activators promoting hydration of the cement. Moreover, blended CSiC were fabricated by adding CNTs and nanoclay (kaolin clay) to the cement, and the nanoclay was found to improve CNT dispersion and interfacial interaction between cement phases. For example, replacement of CSiC by 6 wt% exfoliated nanoclay increased compressive strength by 18%, and combination of 6 wt% nanoclay and 0.02 wt% CNTs increased the compressive strength by 29%, all compared with unmodified CSiC cement.

In summary, combining nanomaterials with bone cement to create nanocomposite has showed great potential for improving the mechanical properties of bone cement in the treatment of OVF. This strategy also has the ability to provide a bioactive environment for enhancing bone cell recruitment, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. Therefore, nanotechnology-enhanced bone cements are expected to be better for the treatment of OVF and thus should be further studied and developed.

**Injectable hydrogels**

Because of their biomimetic structure similar to that of extracellular matrix, a number of hydrogels have been developed as injectable carriers of growth factors, drugs, or cells for bone repair or regeneration. Unlike the aforementioned injectable bone cements, injectable hydrogel has in situ cross-linking ability that can be initiated by temperature, pH, light, or ions. For example, a thermosensitive injectable hydrogel for long-term sustained and controlled drug delivery was created by adding biodegradable poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate) nanoparticles to chitosan. Abdel-Bar et al developed an injectable and thermoreversible chitosan/β-glycerophosphate hydrogel system that enabled controlled release of vancomycin for the treatment of orthopedic infections. Many hydrogels contain rich carboxylic groups that can chelate with calcium-containing phosphates, thus facilitating the formation of polymer/calcium phosphate composites resembling natural bone.

However, hydrogels alone are generally too soft to be applied for the repair or treatment of load-bearing bones like the spine. Incorporating nanoscale components into the hydrogel system is an effective approach to reinforce hydrogel and combine new functionalities. Campbell et al devised an injectable composite hydrogel of poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) reinforced by superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, which showed extremely high elasticity (G’ >60 kPa) and contrast for magnetic resonance imaging.
purposes. Silk fibroin hydrogel containing HA nanoparticles (silk fibroin/HA composite hydrogel) is another promising injectable hydrogel developed for bone regeneration and repair. Similarly, a variety of gelatin-based hydrogels modified by nanoparticles have been developed. For example, a composite hydrogel of photopolymerizable gelatin incorporating recombinant bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2 and sulfated chitosan nanoparticles was prepared and shown to have clear advantages for sustained and sequential delivery of growth factors (eg, BMP-2 and BMP-7). Biodegradable gelatin hydrogel incorporating gold nanoparticles (average size 27 ± 3 nm) was also studied. The results showed that these gel-gold nanoparticles were degradable with collagenase and promoted significant alkaline phosphatase activity, proliferation, viability, and osteogenic differentiation of stem cells. Moreover, the gel-gold nanoparticles achieved significantly greater new bone formation in vivo, indicating its potential for treating fractured bones.

Although nanoparticle-modified hydrogels demonstrate great potential for delivering drugs to treat diseases of bone, use of hydrogels in the treatment of OVF remains challenging. A temporary fixation strategy was developed recently in order to expand the application of hydrogels to load-bearing bones like spine, as shown in Figure 3. Specifically, a delivery system consisting of an electrospun polycaprolactone nano-fibrous mesh tube was used to support alginate hydrogel until sufficient bone regeneration was achieved (Figure 3). The main advantages of the nanofibrous mesh tube were that it could support cell infiltration and bone formation while acting as a barrier to separate the osseous and non-osseous regions. Local delivery of BMP-2 via the hydrogel was tested, and the results showed that the BMP-2 delivery system could effectively repair large bone defects (Figure 3D–G).

Nanoparticles for localized drug delivery and treatment

Targeting of systemically administered drug conjugates and particles to bone is an attractive and minimally invasive option for treatment of osteoporosis and its complications. However, drug conjugates may suffer from instability of the active components during delivery to the site of action or at the site of action. Therefore, a localized and controlled...
drug delivery system for OVF patients would be an attractive strategy for the enhancement of bone-implant integration during or after surgery. Nanotechnology also provides new ways to enable or enhance local treatment of OVF, and a number of examples are listed in Table 4. Specifically, a novel biodegradable thermosensitive drug carrier based on amphiphilic monomethoxypoly (ethylene glycol)-co-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (mPEG-PLGA) diblock copolymers has been developed for treating osteomyelitis. The prepared mPEG–PLGA hydrogels were useful for formulation of injectable drug depots, and an in vivo study confirmed sustained release of teicoplanin from mPEG-PLGA hydrogel, which could efficiently treat osteomyelitis in rabbits and avoid the disadvantages of PMMA cement beads.

In addition, bisphosphonate-loaded calcium phosphate nanoparticles (nCaPs) have been prepared for treating problems associated with osteoporosis. The peri-implant bone response to titanium implants coated with bisphosphonate-loaded nCaPs was evaluated in an established rat femoral condyle implantation model of osteoporotic and healthy bone under compromised medical conditions. The results demonstrated that combined use of nCaPs and bisphosphonates increased both bone formation and bone-to-implant contact, and also suggested that simultaneous targeting of bone formation (by nCaPs) and bone resorption (by bisphosphonates) represents an effective strategy for improving bone-implant integration, especially in the case of osteoporotic patients.

### Nanostructured radiopacifiers

Since bone cement materials are not usually visible under X-ray radiation, adding radiopacifier becomes necessary to enable monitoring and tracking of bone cement during minimally invasive surgeries like vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty. Micron-sized BaSO₄ and zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) particles have been used as radiopacifiers in bone cements for years. However, the evidence shows that adding high contents of micron-sized BaSO₄ or ZrO₂ is detrimental to the mechanical and biological properties of bone cement. For example, addition of micron-sized BaSO₄ particles decreased the tensile strength of PMMA bone cement from 45 MPa to 36 MPa, since agglomerates of BaSO₄ could serve as initiation sites for fatigue cracks. Based on the reinforcing strategy mentioned in the previous sections, there is a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Nanotechnology-enabled local treatments of osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF) or osteoporosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>System</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin hydrogels incorporated with gold nanoparticles (mean size –27±3 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate microencapsulation of chitosan-dextran sulfate-bovine serum albumin nanoparticles and mesenchymal stem cells (dual delivery system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigecllycline-loaded calcium-phosphate/poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA interfering molecules from in situ forming hydrogels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin-encapsulated monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-poly-D,L-lactide-co-glycolide hydrogel nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonate-loaded calcium phosphate nanoparticle-coated on titanium implants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
growing interest in developing a nanosized radiopacifier with high X-ray contrast which can promote the mechanical strengths and biological properties of the bone cement at the same time. For instance, when compared with conventional \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) microparticles, addition of 10 wt% \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) nanoparticles into commercial PMMA cement resulted in a 41% increase in tensile strain-to-failure, a 70% increase in tensile work-of-fracture, and a twofold increase in the fatigue life of the cement (Figure 4). Similar results have been found for bone cements containing \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nanoparticles (Figure 4). Furthermore, a study by Ajeesh et al. showed improved osteoblast adhesion and proliferation on PMMA.

Figure 4 Morphology, mechanical properties and biocompatibility of bone cements containing functionalized nanoparticles.

Notes: Scanning electron micrographs of (A) ZNFT bone cement containing functionalized \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nanoparticles and (B) BNFT bone cement containing functionalized \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) nanoparticles. Representative compressive stress-strain curves for (C) various bone cements containing \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) particles, and (D) bone cements containing \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) particles. (E) Twenty-four-hour osteoblast adhesion tests showing cell adhesion density as a function of bone cements type. *Compared to bone cements containing micron \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) particles, adhesion was found to be greater on bone cements containing \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) nano-particles functionalized with TMS (\( P<0.05 \)). **WRT bone cements containing micron \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) particles, adhesion was found to be greater on bone cements containing unfunctionalized \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nano-particles (\( P<0.05 \)) and \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nano-particles functionalized with TMS (\( P<0.1 \)).

Abbreviations: BM, \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) micron particles; BN, \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) nanoparticles; TMS, 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate; BNFT, \( \text{BaSO}_4 \) nanoparticles functionalized with TMS; ZM, \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) micron particles; ZN, \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nanoparticles; ZNFT, \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) nanoparticles functionalized with TMS.
cement containing ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles when compared with cement containing microparticles. In addition to the effect of decreased size, surface modification of a nanosized radiopacifier is also effective in improving both the biological and mechanical properties of bone cement.$^{56}$ For example, PMMA bone cements containing ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles functionalized with 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propylmethacrylate showed greater in vitro osteoblast adhesion and improved mechanical strength when compared with conventional bone cements containing micron-sized particles. Mechanical tests also revealed that the failure modes of bone cement containing functionalized ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles were less brittle and had a clear plastic deformation region. Moreover, bone cements containing functionalized nanoparticles showed greater radiopacity than their nonfunctionalized counterparts.

Since CPC and CSC are biodegradable, radiopaque particles added to these cements can be released into the surrounding tissue as the cement degrades. Radiopacifiers such as BaSO$_4$ and ZrO$_2$ are barely soluble, and release of such particles may result in a biocompatibility hazard. Due to their large surface area-to-volume ratio, a lower concentration of radiopaque nanoparticles can have the same radiopacity as a large amount of micron-sized radiopacifier. This reduced dosage may have less of an adverse biological effect. In addition, biocompatible nanoparticles, such as iron oxide,$^{81}$ alumina,$^{82}$ strontium-modified titanium nanotubes,$^{83}$ and tantalum pentoxide,$^{84}$ have shown improved radiopacity when blended with bone cements. Since nanosized radiopacifiers may have greater radiopacity than their micron-sized counterparts, this advantage allows the nanoparticle modified-bone cement to have higher resolution and contrast when compared with the surrounding tissue, which is likely to allow patients to be subjected to lower X-ray doses.$^{39}$

**Nanotechnology-enhanced instruments for treatment of OVF**

**Balloon catheter for kyphoplasty**

A balloon catheter comprises a thin catheter tube and a distensible balloon located at the distal end of the catheter.$^{55}$ A balloon catheter is the key instrument used in kyphoplasty to create a cavity in the compressed or fractured vertebral body, thereby recovering the height of the fractured vertebra while creating room for cement reinforcement. However, a common complication of kyphoplasty is leakage of cement from the vertebral body, which may cause pulmonary embolism, nerve damage, paralysis, and even death.$^{86,87}$ Special balloon catheters have been developed for restoring the height of a vertebral body or reducing/avoiding cement leakage.$^{58-90}$ However, most of these balloon catheters are non-degradable and needed to be removed prior to the injection of bone cement.

Recently, degradable nanofibrous poly(D,L-lactide-co-$\varepsilon$-caprolactone) balloons (ENPBs) were prepared by electrospinning (Figure 5).$^{91}$ Preliminary studies showed that these nanotechnology-enhanced balloon catheters could separate the cement from the surrounding environment, indicating an ability to eliminate cement leakage and prevent the water-induced collapse of cement often seen with CPC. ENPBs filled with CPC also showed enough strength to restore the height of a fractured vertebral body. Further, ENPBs showed good biodegradability and cytocompatibility, and calcium-based bone cements can release calcium ions throughout the ENPB membrane. These advantages suggest that ENPBs could be effective balloon catheters for CPC cement, enabling simple, convenient, and safe delivery of bone cements in kyphoplasty.

**Pedicle screws**

Pedicle screws usually serve as anchoring points for implantation of spinal instrumentation in the treatment of spinal fractures and deformities. The strength of the screw in contact with the surrounding bone diminishes as the bone degrades due to osteoporosis, which would cause screw loosening and subsequent instability in spinal instrumentation or even fixation failure.$^{92}$ Different strategies have been investigated for their ability to improve the fixation efficacy of pedicle screws in osteoporotic bone, including adjustments in thread design and screw shape as well as surface modification of the screws.$^{93}$ In the past few years, nanostructural modification of the surface of titanium pedicle screws has yielded promising results in research and clinical tests. It is now widely accepted that the surface topography of titanium and its alloys affects the attachment, proliferation, and differentiation of osteoblasts. This understanding is important for enhancing the osseointegrative capability of bone screws. Compared with screws made from uncoated Ti6Al4V and conventional HA-coated Ti6Al4V, a nanosized HA coating on Ti6Al4V screws significantly enhanced the fixation efficacy of the screws and led to better stability, bone ingrowth, and osseointegration.$^{94}$ Figure 6 shows images of Ti6Al4V and bioabsorbable screws before and after nano-HA coating. The variation in failure loads for coated Ti6Al4V interference screws after extraction are shown in Figure 6E. The nano HA-coated Ti6Al4V interference screws also showed good osteoblastic activity and minimal formation of vascular granulation tissue.

Nano-HA coatings have not been tested in humans, but Olerud et al.$^{95}$ investigated the effects of HA coating on pedicle screws made from wrought stainless steel (SAF
Figure 5 Morphology and mechanical property of electrospun nanofibrous P(DLLA-CL) balloons (ENPBs).
Notes: (A) Photograph of inflated (top) and non-inflated (bottom) ENPBs. Arrows indicate the diameter of balloon catheter. (B) Scanning electron micrograph of electrospun nanofibers in an ENPB. (C) Typical force-displacement curves for natural bones, nature bones injected with CPC, natural bones injected with PMMA, and natural bones with balloon insertion and CPC injection, respectively. Reprinted from Nanomedicine. Vol 9. Sun G, Wei D, Liu X, et al. Novel biodegradable electrospun nanofibrous P(DLLA-CL) balloons for the treatment of vertebral compression fractures. 829–838:2013, with permission from Elsevier.Abbreviations: CPC, calcium phosphate cement; ENPB, electrospun nanofibrous poly(D,L-lactide-co-ε-caprolactone) balloon; NB, nature bone; PMMA, polymethylacrylate.

Figure 6 Images and failure loads of metallic interference screws before and after coating with HA.
In their study, 23 consecutive patients undergoing lumbar fusion were randomly assigned to one of three treatment groups. The first group received uncoated stainless steel screws, the second group received screws partly coated with HA, and the third group received screws fully coated with HA. After 11–16 months, 21 screws had been extracted and the extraction torque was recorded. At removal, the extraction torques exceeded the upper limit of the torque wrench (600 N·cm) for many HA-coated screws. The calculated mean extraction torque was 29±36 N·cm for the uncoated group, 447±114 N·cm for the partly coated group, and 574±52 N·cm for the fully-coated group (P<0.001). Radiographs showed more radiolucent zones surrounding the uncoated screws than the HA-coated screws (P<0.001). The results suggested that HA coating of pedicle screws resulted in improved fixation with a reduced risk of loosening of the screws.

Anodization is another promising nanotechnology to improve osseointegrative ability on titanium.96 A large number of studies have confirmed that adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of osteoblasts are enhanced on anodized nanotubular titanium when compared with titanium surfaces containing anodized nanoparticles or without anodization.97 These enhancements are arguably attributed to the increased initial adsorption of vitronectin and fibronectin (proteins known to promote cell adhesion) on the anodized nanotubular titanium compared with other titanium surfaces. For example, a cytocompatibility study of anodized nanotubular substrates showed that a 33% increase in osteoblast adhesion, which was correlated with a 18% increase in vitronectin adsorption and a 30% increase in fibronectin adsorption onto anodized titanium when compared with conventional titanium.98 Studies also suggest a possibly higher clinical success rate for the anodized titanium implants in comparison with titanium surfaces of similar shape without anodization. In addition, when compared with conventional titanium screws, the anodized titanium screws resulted in enhanced skin growth and decreased infection.99 Similarly, Oha et al100 reported enhanced osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells cultured on anodized nanotubular titanium compared with conventional titanium samples. They also reported that osteoblasts deposited more calcium and synthesized more osteocalcin, which are important for bone formation, on anodized titanium compared with conventional titanium.

Infection of an orthopedic implant is another problem needing to be resolved. Some researchers have demonstrated that certain nanosized titanium topographies may be useful for reducing bacterial adhesion while promoting formation of bone tissue.101–103 Puckett et al104 showed that the surface features of nanosized titanium are possibly a simple means for reducing bacterial adhesion and subsequent infection on titanium implants like pedicle screws. Specifically, nanorough titanium surfaces showed decreased adhesion of S. aureus, S. epidermidis, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (three types of bacteria commonly associated with orthopedic implant infection), while nanotubular and nanorough titanium created by anodization resulted in increased bacterial attachment. Figure 7 shows the surface features of conventional titanium, anodized nanotubular titanium, fibronectin adsorption, and growth of bacteria cultured on conventional and anodized nanotubular titanium for 1 hour. Figure 7C shows that titanium with appropriate nanotopography may be useful for reducing bacterial adhesion while promoting formation of new bone, and so should be further studied for improving the efficacy of titanium-based instruments like pedicle screws.

**PEEK-based spinal implants**

Polyether-ether-ketone (PEEK) has recently been attracting attention as a high-strength polymer with favorable imaging compatibility and stiffness that closely matches bone, rendering it suitable for orthopedic, trauma, and spinal implant applications.105,106 For spinal implants, PEEK has many advantages, including excellent mechanical properties, chemical inertness, ease of processing, biocompatibility, low toxicity, and radiolucency. PEEK and PEEK-based composites are commonly used for fabricating spinal fusion cages.107 However, PEEK faces the problem of insufficient osseointegrative capability and the common solution of adding HA to PEEK suffers from dramatically decreased mechanical strength. To solve this problem, nanophase HA has been combined with PEEK and showed better mechanical properties due to the strong adhesion of HA fillers to the PEEK matrix.108 Figure 8A and B show TEM images of PEEK-HA nanocomposites with 5.0 vol% and 15.0 vol% HA content. The nanocomposite showed enhanced mechanical properties, with tensile strength increased from 92 MPa to 98 MPa and hardness increased from 23 HV to 27 HV when the HA content increased from 0 vol% to 5 vol% (Figure 8C).109 The study also revealed that well-dispersed HA nanoparticles bonded strongly to PEEK and no debonding was observed, suggesting that using nanoparticles is a possible solution to the debonding problem encountered with current PEEK-HA composites. However, the tensile strength decreased from 98 MPa to 24 MPa as the HA content increased from 5 vol% to 10 vol% due to widespread agglomeration of HA nanoparticles.

In a further attempt to avoid agglomeration of HA nanoparticles, a novel PEEK/HA nanocomposite was developed by in situ synthesis.110 In this process, HA particles were first...
mixed into PEEK oligomers with short chains to achieve low viscosity, good wetting, and contact between HA nanoparticles and PEEK molecules. The PEEK oligomers then started to polymerize and wrap HA nanoparticles in the PEEK matrix. The tensile strength of the HA/PEEK composite reached as high as 108 MPa at an HA content of 6.1%. The composites with an HA content below 17.4% exhibited a plastic fracture mode, while a brittle fracture mode was observed in the composites with HA content above 17.4%.

To improve the mechanical and biological properties of PEEK, nano-TiO$_2$ reinforced PEEK composites have been studied. In vitro tests showed that n-TiO$_2$ promoted cell attachment and improved osteoblast spreading. In vivo tests showed that n-TiO$_2$ improved bone regeneration around the implants compared with pure PEEK, as assessed by micro-computed tomography and histological analysis. Li et al. fabricated HA/PEEK nanocomposites containing 15.1, 21.6, 29.2, and 38.2 vol% nanosized HA and reported that the tensile strength and fracture strain of the nanocomposites filled with 21.6 vol% and 29.2 vol% nanosized HA closely matched those of human cortical bone. In vitro tests of immersion, cell adhesion, and proliferation in simulated body fluid also suggested that the 29.2 vol% nano HA/PEEK nanocomposite had better biocompatibility than the other specimens. Therefore, development of PEEK composites containing nanosized bioactive materials may be an effective way of obtaining both mechanical and biological benefits for PEEK-based spinal implants.

**Safety concerns with nanotechnology**

Nanotechnology offers vast potential for massive improvement in the field of orthopedic repair and regeneration,
particularly with regard to improving the interaction between host bone and the implant. Injectable nanomaterial and nanotechnology-enhanced instruments have demonstrated their superior properties in terms of bioactivity, radiopacity, mechanical strength, antibacterial effect, and osseointegration when compared with traditional materials and unmodified instruments. However, the safety concern is still an important issue in implementing nanotechnology for the treatment of OVF and other orthopedic problems. For example, nanophase silver is of significant interest for preventing infections. However, silver nanoparticles themselves also have severe toxicity due to their size and shape and the silver ions released. As a result, a lot of nanosilver-embedded composites developed to date have come with a risk of uncontrollable toxicity during degradation of the implanted composite in humans. The aforementioned BaSO$_4$ nanoparticles that were added to bone cements also face the health risk of released barium ions, and the long-term influence of BaSO$_4$ nanoparticles on human health is still unclear. Obviously, further studies on the extensive toxicity and safety risks of nanotechnology are necessary before nanotechnology can be translated into clinical treatments.

**Summary and future directions**

Emergence of nanotechnology has provided new strategies for improving the properties of biomedical materials for orthopedic applications. In this article we have summarized the recent progress and perspectives in nanotechnology for the surgical treatment of OVF. For developing better bone cements and
surgical instruments for minimally invasive vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty, incorporating nanomaterials (e.g., nanoparticles, nanofibers, nanocrystals) has been demonstrated to be an effective means of improving the biological properties, radiopacity, mechanical properties, and safety of bone cements, from PMMA to CPC. The positive and effective role of nanomaterials is probably a result of the appropriate surface roughness, nanotopography, hydrophobicity, and surface area generated by adding nanomaterials, which is favorable for cellular activity, protein absorption, enhanced radiopacity, and strengthening the interaction between nanoscale additives and bone cement components. Further research on these mechanisms may potentially lead to new bone cements or surgical tools with improved efficacy. Despite the fact that nanophase composites have shown great potential for improving bone cements or surgical instruments used for treating OVF, problems and unknown risks associated with these materials remain.

Future directions in this area are likely to encompass the following aspects. Firstly, extensive investigations on the agglomeration problem, toxicology, and safety concerns regarding nanoscale additives for bone cements or implantable materials will continue. In addition, optimized parameters for fabrication and modification of such nanocomposites will be further studied. Secondly, a number of new nanomaterials such as Injectable hydrogels with advanced properties, including sensing, detecting, and environment-responsive capabilities are expected to play a more important role in the treatment of OVF. These new materials will be administered to defective sites in less invasive ways and allow more functionalities for drug delivery, cell therapy, and theranostic treatment of spinal fractures. Compared with the bone cements and metallic implants mentioned above, these materials are smart and responsive to temperature, pH, light, ionic, or biological change. Although many of these new smart biomaterials show promise, it is still challenging to use these materials for the treatment of OVF due to insufficient mechanical strength. Therefore, the third research direction will be to enhance the mechanical properties of bone cements for wider application in load-bearing bones including the spine. This review has shown some possible approaches to reinforce new smart materials like hydrogel by incorporating nanomaterials into its network, but further studies in this direction are still needed. Lastly, studies aiming at improving biocompatibility or osseointegration properties of spinal implants and decreasing the risk of infection associated with these implants are also of great interest. It is known that surface properties of metallic implants can directly affect bone and bacterial behaviors in both the short and long term. Therefore, surface modification through nanotechnology is expected to play an important role in design and fabrication of better spinal implants.

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to thank the Jiangsu Provincial Special Program of Medical Science (BL2012004), the project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu High Education Institutions, National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2014CB748600), the Jiangsu R&D Innovation Program (BY2014059-07), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51472279), the Jiangsu Six Peak of Talents Program (2013-WSW-056), the Chinese Ministry of Education Star-up Fund for Oversea Scholars, and the Open Fund of State Key Laboratory of Nonlinear Mechanics for supporting this work.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in relation to this work.

References
42. Glimcher MJ. PMMA. Instr Course Lect. 1987;36:49.


