The relationship between eosinophilia and slow coronary flow
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Aim: The pathophysiology of slow coronary flow (SCF) involves atherosclerosis, small vessel dysfunction, platelet function disorders, and inflammation. It has been known that eosinophils also play a significant role in inflammation, vasoconstriction, thrombosis, and endothelial dysfunction. We propose to evaluate the relationship between eosinophilia and SCF.

Methods: All patients who underwent coronary angiography between January 2011 and December 2013 were screened retrospectively. Of 6,832 patients, 102 patients with SCF (66 males, mean age 52.2±11.7 years) and 77 control subjects with normal coronary angiography (50 males, mean age 50.7±8.1 years) were detected. Baseline characteristics, hematological test results, and biochemical test results were obtained from the hospital database.

Results: Baseline characteristics of the study groups were comparable between groups. There was no significant difference between groups regarding leukocyte count, platelet count, and mean platelet volume. However, patients with SCF had a higher eosinophil count than the controls (0.24±0.17×10^3/μL vs 0.16±0.15×10^3/μL, P=0.002). In addition, eosinophil count was found to be correlated with thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) frame count in the SCF group (r=0.3, P<0.01). There was no significant correlation between eosinophil count and the number of coronary arteries showing slow flow.

Conclusion: Patients with SCF have higher blood eosinophil count, and this may play an important role in the pathogenesis of SCF. Elevated baseline eosinophil count may indicate the presence of SCF.
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Introduction

The pathophysiological mechanisms of slow coronary flow (SCF) remain undetermined. However, several hypotheses have been offered for SCF, comprising a form of early phase of atherosclerosis, small vessel dysfunction, platelet function disorders, and instability between vasoconstrictor and vasodilatory factors. Recently, inflammation has been reported to have an important role in the development of SCF phenomenon.1,2

Previous studies have found the incidence of SCF changing between 1% and 7% in patients undergoing angiography.3 On the other hand, increased cardiovascular mortality associated with SCF has been reported.4 It has also been known that eosinophils play an important role in endothelial dysfunction, thrombosis, vasoconstriction, and inflammation.5,6 Eosinophils stimulate the aggregation and activation of platelets. Furthermore, they relieve the formation of thrombosis through inhibition of thrombomodulin.7-9 Therefore, we aimed to evaluate the relationship between blood eosinophil count and SCF as well as its correlation with thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) frame count and the number of coronary arteries showing slow flow.
Methods
Patient selection
In the present study, angiography records of 6,832 patients who underwent coronary angiography because of chest pain or objective signs of ischemia (treadmill exercise or myocardial perfusion SPECT [single photon emission computed tomography]) between January 2011 and December 2013 were retrospectively evaluated for the presence of SCF. Patients with SCF and who were proved to have normal coronary arteries (NCAs) were included as SCF group. Exclusion criteria were 1) troponin positivity; 2) coronary ectasia on coronary angiogram; 3) moderate-to-severe valvular heart disease; 4) congestive heart failure; 5) chronic renal disease (glomerular filtration rate [GFR] < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²); 6) thyroid dysfunction; 7) anemia; 8) inflammatory disease; 9) active infection; 10) hypertension; 11) hyperlipidemia (low density lipoprotein [LDL] ≥ 160 mg/dL); 12) diabetes mellitus; 13) bronchial asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 14) drug intake such as steroids and immunosuppressive treatment; 15) current use of cardiovascular medical therapy; 16) under the age of 40; and 17) chronic liver disease.

After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 102 patients with SCF were included in the study. Control group comprised 77 patients with angiographically NCAs without SCF.

In addition, patients were further divided into three subgroups: one-vessel, two-vessel, and three-vessel SCF. Routinely measured clinical and laboratory parameters such as complete blood count and biochemical test results were obtained from the medical records of the patients. Venous peripheral blood samples were routinely taken on admission from all patients at hospitalization before coronary angiography. Blood samples were routinely drawn into standardized tubes containing dipotassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and were studied within 2 hours upon arrival in the laboratory. All hematological measurements were performed using an XT-2000i analyzer (Sysmex Corporation of America, Long Grove, IL, USA). Transthoracic echocardiography was performed before coronary angiography with a Philips HD11 XE device using 2.5 MHz phased-array transducer. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was assessed by modified Simpson’s method.10

The present study was approved by the Diocese University ethics committee (Diyarbakir, Turkey) and complies with the requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Coronary angiography
At our hospital, we perform coronary angiography with Judkin’s method. We give a total of 6–8 mL low osmolar contrast agent for each demonstration of right and left coronary arteries. The coronary flow rates were assessed according to the TIMI frame count (TFC) method that was previously standardized. The normal frame counts for the left-anterior descending (LAD) artery (36±2.3 frames) are 1.7 times greater than the mean for the circumflex (Cx) artery (22.2±3.8 frames) and the right coronary artery (21.7±2.8 frames). Therefore, the longer LAD frame counts were corrected by dividing by 1.7 to derive the corrected TFC as described earlier.11 The mean TFC for each subject was calculated by summation of the TFCs for the LAD, Cx, and right coronary artery and then dividing the sum by 3. SCF was defined as corrected TFC > 2 standard deviations (SDs) from the normal reference values.11 Coronary angiograms were independently assessed by two or three cardiologists who were blinded to the clinical findings of the subjects.

Statistical analysis
SPSS statistical software, version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), was used for the statistical analyses. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. The normality of distribution of continuous variables was evaluated by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. For continuous variables, the Student t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to test differences between the main groups, and the one-way analysis of variance test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to test differences between the subgroups. Categorical data were analyzed with the χ² test. Two-tailed P-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation was used to determine the strength of the relationship between the parameters when appropriate. Linear regression analysis was used to detect independent factors affecting the presence of SCF.

Results
All records of 6,832 patients who underwent coronary angiography were retrospectively screened, of which 102 patients with SCF (mean age 52±11 years, 34 females) and 77 patients with NCAs (mean age 50±8 years, 41 females) were detected. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups with regard to age, sex, body mass index, blood pressures, New York Heart Association classes, LVEF, glucose, triglycerides, WBC count, platelet count, and neutrophil (Table 1). However, hemoglobin level, and eosinophil count were found to be elevated in patients with SCF when compared to those with NCA (14.8±3.4 g/L vs 13.8±1.7 g/L, P=0.03; 0.24±0.17×10⁹/µL vs 0.16±0.15×10⁹/ µL, P=0.002, respectively) (Table 1). Eosinophil count was
Baseline and laboratory characteristics of the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SCF group (n=102)</th>
<th>Control group (n=77)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52.2±11.7</td>
<td>50.7±8.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>66 (66.1)</td>
<td>36 (35.9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>22.7±1.8</td>
<td>22.9±1.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (68.6)</td>
<td>50 (64.9)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>118.4±9.8</td>
<td>117.7±10.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>75.1±6.6</td>
<td>75.3±7.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td>1.03±0.19</td>
<td>1.03±0.19</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>59.4±2.7</td>
<td>59.5±2.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>94.8±12.8</td>
<td>93.7±14.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>15.4±6.8</td>
<td>15.1±5.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count, ×10^3/μL</td>
<td>8.1±2.1</td>
<td>7.8±1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dL</td>
<td>14.8±3.4</td>
<td>13.8±1.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count, ×10^3/μL</td>
<td>237.0±56.1</td>
<td>243.4±66.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil, ×10^3/μL</td>
<td>4.8±1.6</td>
<td>4.7±1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil count, ×10^3/μL</td>
<td>0.24±0.17</td>
<td>0.16±0.15</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Baseline and laboratory characteristics of the study groups

Eosinophil counts in SCF subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Eosinophil count, ×10^3/μL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One vessel</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.22±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two vessels</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.25±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessels</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.24±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Eosinophil counts in SCF subgroups

Discussion

In our study, we have found significant differences in eosinophil count between patients with SCF and those with NCAs. The higher eosinophil count may indicate the presence of SCF.

The pathophysiology of SCF is not fully understood. However, it has a close relationship with accompanying atherosclerosis, oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, increased inflammatory parameters, vasculitis, and platelet function disorder.\textsuperscript{1,2,5,6} Especially, the association between SCF and inflammatory parameters is intriguing. Recent studies showed that SCF was associated with various inflammatory markers such as serum YKL 40, hs-CRP, plasma factors IX and XII, myeloperoxidase levels, soluble serum CD 40 ligand, interleukin 6 (IL-6), intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), WCAM-1, and E-selectin.\textsuperscript{12,17} Eosinophils are laden with a variety of granule-associated molecules that play a role in the formation of thrombosis and vascular damage. Two of the four principal cationic proteins of the eosinophil granule, major basic protein and eosinophil peroxidase, were shown to be platelet agonists.\textsuperscript{7}

Eosinophils create an increased disposition to thrombosis by way of leukocyte, platelet stimulation, and release of tissue factor.\textsuperscript{7,18} Eosinophils store and release tissue factors and proteins like major basic protein and eosinophil peroxidase. These proteins have important role in activating platelets and thrombus formation by inhibiting trombomodulin. Activated eosinophils and eosinophil granule proteins were detected within the necrotic and thrombotic lesions and, furthermore, were found mainly in acute tissue damage in the endocardium and in the walls of small blood vessels. These findings indicated the potential role of eosinophils in vascular injury and inflammation.\textsuperscript{19,21} There is some evidence that eosinophil granule proteins can activate factor XII, platelets, and mononuclear cells in patients with hypereosinophilic syndrome, which may also underlie the procoagulant activity of eosinophils.

Regression analysis with SCF as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>–0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>–0.01</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>–0.21</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Regression analysis with SCF as the dependent variable

neutrophil, platelet count,
hemoglobin, g/dl
WBC count,
Triglycerides, mg/dl
glucose, mg/dl
lVeF, %
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg
systolic blood pressure, mmHg
smoking, n (%)
BMi, kg/m²
Male sex, n (%)
age (years)
Variables
Table 2 Eosinophil counts in SCF subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Eosinophil count, ×10^3/μL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One vessel</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.22±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two vessels</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.25±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessels</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.24±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SCF, slow coronary flow.

Figure 1 The correlation between eosinophil count and TiMi frame count. Abbreviation: TiMi thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.
In our study, we demonstrated an increased eosinophil count in SCF patients when compared to the control subjects with NCAs. However, we did not observe a significant association between eosinophil count and the number of affected vessels, which have SCF. In addition, compatible with previous studies, we found a significantly higher level of hemoglobin in the SCF group. But it has not reached a statistical power in regression analysis. It has been well known that hematocrit value has been shown to be a significant determinant of blood viscosity in many studies. In our study, we demonstrated an increased eosinophil count in SCF patients when compared to the control subjects with NCAs. However, we did not observe a significant association between eosinophil count and the number of affected vessels, which have SCF. In addition, compatible with previous studies, we found a significantly higher level of hemoglobin in the SCF group. But it has not reached a statistical power in regression analysis. It has been well known that hematocrit value has been shown to be a significant determinant of blood viscosity in many studies.

Conclusion
In conclusion, our findings may contribute to the etiopathogenesis of SCF. Further randomized studies are needed to confirm our results.
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