Nanoscale virus biosensors: state of the art

Ludmila Krejcova1
Petr Michalek2
Miguel Merlos Rodrigo2
Zbynek Heger2
Sona Krizkova1
Marketa Vaculovicova1
David Hynek1
Vojtech Adam1
Rene Kizek1

1Central European Institute of Technology, Brno University of Technology, 2Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Agronomy, Mendel University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

Abstract: Since the beginning of the new millennium, viruses have shown huge epidemiological and pandemic potential: severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2002, pandemic swine flu in 2009, and last but not least the West African Ebola outbreak in 2014. The occurrence and spread of the new virus in pandemic dimension poses a threat to the health and lives of 7 billion people worldwide. There is a growing urgency for highly sensitive and selective detection techniques, usable for a wide number of applications, including disease diagnosis, pharmaceutical research, agriculture, as well as preventive measures. Nanobiosensors represent a new promising tool for virus detection. This review gives a brief survey of the issue of viral detection, comprising diagnostics of target structure of viruses such as nucleic acids or proteins. This review covers different detection principles, methods of fabrication, and applications of virus biosensors.
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Introduction

The research field of biosensors began with the introduction of the glucose oxidase biosensor in 1962, introduced by Clark and Lyons. Since then many interesting sensor and biosensor applications have been described, and some of them have been commercialized. The most widely accepted definition of a biosensor is: “an analytical device which includes a biologically active element (or components) in close contact with an appropriate physicochemical transducer to generate a measurable signal directly proportional to the concentration of target substance(s) in the sample”.2-4

A typical biosensor consists of three parts: a biological recognition component (enzyme, antibody, DNA, etc), a sensor element for signal acquisition (electrical, optical, or thermal), and an element for amplification/signal processing.5 A scheme of a typical biosensor is shown in Figure 1. A way of signal conversion depends on the type of physicochemical change resulting from the initial and final signal.6 The most frequently used biological component of sensors are enzymes,7-10 antibodies,8,11 and oligonucleotides.12-14 Therefore, the biosensor differs from the sensor by the presence of biological (biorecognition) component, which usually exhibits a bioaffinity or biocatalytic role. The principle of biocatalytic role is the conversion of the analyte during the chemical reaction.15,16 In case of the bioaffinity role, the analyte is bound specifically and selectively to the biorecognition element.

Infectious diseases are the main cause of significant increase in pathogenesis and death throughout the world, surpassing even the cardiovascular diseases and cancer.17 In developed countries, a remarkable technological progress in sanitation to identify and
control most of the infectious diseases has been achieved.\textsuperscript{18} Biosensors combine the sensitivity of detection methodologies and constitutional selectivity of biomolecules.\textsuperscript{6,17} Efforts to develop highly sensitive, fast, stable, and low-cost biosensors have been enabled by extensive and arduous research.\textsuperscript{6}

Viral cultivation is considered as the gold standard for virus detection. Viral isolation techniques are accurate and sensitive, but getting results take as long as several days.\textsuperscript{19–21} Some viruses are hard or impossible to cultivate. In these cases, fertilized chicken embryos or experimental animals are used for virus cultivation.\textsuperscript{22–24} More recent techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), can directly detect pathogen-specific DNA/RNA or proteins. When we compared these techniques, PCR is more sensitive than ELISA. However, both of them have some disadvantages, which are hidden in strict laboratory conditions, requiring well-trained staff, expensive instruments, and time-consuming processes.\textsuperscript{19,25–27} Therefore, the development of rapid, accurate, dependable, and miniaturized devices for virus detection is still needed.\textsuperscript{28}

The glucose biosensor was the first and the most successful biosensor, based on technology which was developed by Clark and Lyons\textsuperscript{2} more than 40 years ago. If these biosensors play such an important role, could biosensors for virus detection acquire similar position? What is hidden in the future? Which type of biorecognition molecule and which technology is suitable for the rapid and sensitive detection of viral diseases? The purpose of a viral biosensor is to provide real-time diagnosis, far as possible in the early stage of infection. If therapy is initiated in the early stage of infection, it significantly increases the success rate of the selected treatment.\textsuperscript{29}

There is no doubt that the future seems promising for biosensing technology. This fact has been demonstrated by the increasing number of articles with the topics: “biosensor and virus” published on Web of Science (in 2000, 57 publications; in 2005, 117 publications; in 2010, 200 publications, and in the year 2014, 211 publications; overall 2,457 articles). The number of papers published/patents issued per year is an important indicator of research activity, and the present growth rate of over 16% suggests that the future looks bright indeed.\textsuperscript{30} But on the other hand, there is only one truly commercially successful biosensor, and it is suggested that between 80% and 90% of research activity in this area rarely results in a commercial product.\textsuperscript{31} However, the growth in biosensor research indicates the higher likelihood of another successful biosensor in the future, which looks positive despite very little progress over the past several years.

\section*{Biorecognition molecules for virus biosensors}

Biosensors should offer rapid, highly specific and sensitive, fast detection of viral diseases.\textsuperscript{32} Crucial in design and biosensor function is improvement of the affinity, selectivity, and specificity, which could determine the success or failure of the whole detection technology. So, it is difficult to estimate which biorecognition element to use for a given target pathogen.\textsuperscript{19,32} There are two main biorecognition strategies: detection of viral nucleic acid (NA) sequence\textsuperscript{32–34} and detection of specific viral biomolecules such as surface proteins/antigens.\textsuperscript{35–37} Nanotechnology-based biosensors show high specificity and sensitivity after labeling with NA probe, antibody, or other specific molecule with affinity to the target structure.\textsuperscript{38}

\section*{Nucleic acids}

NA-based biosensors have actually been a hot topic and hold huge promise for clinical diagnosis.\textsuperscript{39} The past two decades have evidenced the development of various NA biosensors based on different detection methods, including optical,\textsuperscript{40,41} electrochemical,\textsuperscript{3,32,42} electrochemiluminescence (ECL),\textsuperscript{43,44} quartz crystal microbalance (QCM),\textsuperscript{15,46} and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) techniques.\textsuperscript{47,48} In general, NA-based detection is more specific and sensitive than immunological-based detection, while the second one is faster and more robust.\textsuperscript{25} For signal generation or amplification, the probe can be labeled with a variety of labeling molecules such as electroactive substances, fluorophores, radioisotopes, enzymes, or, more recently, haptens (to which antibodies are available).\textsuperscript{32,49} Hybridization biosensors have potential to obtain higher
sensitivity and selectivity than conventional methods. The optimal hybridization efficiency can be achieved by control of the distribution and orientation of probes on the transducer surface.59 Nowadays, application of nanomaterials for fabrication of transducers has become more and more popular and widespread. Quantum dots, nanotubes, nanowires, nanoparticles, magnetic particles, and, more recently, nanopillars are the most attractive signal transducers.50,51 The applications of nanotechnology, with unique properties to construct novel biosensors, are constantly being expanded upon by researchers.4,38

Antigens/specific proteins/receptors
Viral infections are often associated with the presence of generic, not specific, symptoms, and thus their origin is hardly diagnosed.52,53 The presence of the specific antibodies or antigens enables the detection of specific viral pathogen and enables to start the appropriate treatment. Antibodies are one of the most frequently used biorecognition elements for biosensor fabrication44-57 and are produced by the host in response to the presence of foreign molecules and organisms.52 The antibody-based diagnostics market is still growing, and therefore a new, rapid, and accurate immuno-diagnostic method is required. To date, several strategies for “reagentless biosensors” based on antibodies and natural or engineered binding proteins have been described.54 In the past, polyclonal antibodies were used first; recently, they were pushed out by high-affinity monoclonal antibodies. For research purposes, monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies can be raised specifically against a protein, another antibody, or even a whole virus and can bind with high affinity (\(K_d = 10^{-6} - 10^{-9}\) M).58 Peptides (polymeric amino acids) can specifically bind viral proteins or antibodies, too. These short peptides can be designed and synthesized by phage display.59-61 Phages with strong cognitive abilities are used for fabrication of biosensors. Another way of virus detection is based on glycan–protein interactions, which are of high importance in several biological processes. A variety of carbohydrate residues, associated as the “glycol-codes”, provide the fundamental keys for specific and high affinity “lock-in” recognition events associated with a wide range of pathologies.62 A very new approach offers the design and synthesis of artificial receptors (molecularly imprinted receptors). They are able to recognize and bind different target molecules with high affinity and specificity comparable to their biological counterparts, provide a number of advantages such as greater long-term storage stability, potential reusability, resistance to microbial spoilage, and custom synthesis without the need to inoculate laboratory animals, as well as facile integration with transducers.63 Karimian et al41 suggested that synthetic receptors are able to successfully rebind the template with exceptional rebinding properties.

Detection methods of virus biosensors
Recent advances in virus biosensing have been made, especially in fluorescence,65 light scattering,66,67 surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS),68,69 electrochemical,70-72 QCM,71,72 microcantilevers (MCLs),73,74 and SPR75,76 sensors. The breakdown structure of “nanobiosensor for viral detection” segmentation is described in Figure 2. The objective of the search for a new biosensor is to invent an alternative tool for effective viral disease diagnosis via a compact format that is not time consuming and does not require highly trained personnel or enhanced laboratory facilities.

Electrochemical biosensors
Electrochemical biosensors (EBs) have shown a great success in recent years because of the unique properties and easy-to-use platform for a wide range of practical applications in the fields of medical diagnostics, clinical genetic analysis, forensic analysis, and environmental monitoring.75-77 Electrode transducers are often used for virus detection due to their easily modifiable surface and compatibility with microfabricated technology.78 The sensitive layer is represented by an interface between the working electrode surface and the analyzed environment. The cornerstone of EB is the immobilization of the biorecognition element (receptor) on the electrode surface. As a receptor, a probe (hybridization EB) or a targeting molecule (affinity EB) is commonly used. These two basic strategies common for electrochemical biosensing are illustrated in Figure 3. The electrode (sensor) can be modified by probe or antibody (recognition element) (Figure 3B), followed by target isolation (Figure 3C), and terminated by simple signal detection or detection after signal amplification (Figure 3D). The complex formed from the receptor and the target molecule at the electrode surface results in a detectable change of the signal and is converted into a quantitative amperometric,79 potentiometric,80,81 or impedimetric signal.38 A wide range of electrochemical methods can be used for detection include differential pulse voltammetry (DPV),35,80,82 square wave voltammetry (SWV),83,84 cyclic voltammetry (CV),85-87 and conductometry.88,89 Also, impedance is widely used as a detection method in biosensors.11,30,90,91 To enhance the signal intensity, labels could be utilized. In general, two methods in the design of EB assays have been explored,
including label and label-free approaches.\textsuperscript{32} Electrical techniques do not strictly require the attachment of labels, but these are usually less sensitive.\textsuperscript{19}

**Nucleic acid electrochemical biosensors**

Nucleic acid electrochemical biosensors (NAEB) have been pushed to the forefront due to high sensitivity, specificity, portability, and integrated compatibilities with microelectronics.\textsuperscript{92} The potential application of the NAEB in gene analysis, diagnosis, environmental and food safety monitoring were reported by different authors.\textsuperscript{92} The transduction is more direct than for other techniques because the biochemical process is directly transduced into an electrical response.\textsuperscript{34}

The most severe limitation of NAEB is the detection limit. A number of scientists consider fluorometric assays much more sensitive.\textsuperscript{19,34} On the other hand, some recent studies focusing on the detection limit of NAEB reported analysis of NA at femtomolar\textsuperscript{93,94} and attomolar levels.\textsuperscript{95}

The immobilization of the oligonucleotide probes on the surface of the electrode is a key step to fabricate the electrochemical oligonucleotide biosensor. It is not surprising that various electrodes [carbon, mercury, and gold] have been modified and tested for NA biosensing.\textsuperscript{4,96,97} DNA, peptide nucleic acid (PNA), or locked nucleic acid (LNA) probes can be grafted onto a solid substrate where direct hybridization with an unlabeled DNA target occurs. Electrochemical transduction during hybridization was matched with or without a redox indicator.\textsuperscript{34,95,98} Numerous strategies have been developed to increase their sensitivity, selectivity, and speed.

The NAEB could be classified into three groups, based on the strategies of the bioelectrochemical transduction, as follows:

a) direct change of electroactivity after hybridization,

b) change of signal after solid-surface immobilization,

c) amplification of signal change using active labels.

**Figure 2** Structure of “nanobiosensor for virus detection” segmentation.

**Figure 3** Strategies for electrochemical biosensing of viral pathogens consist of four steps.

**Notes:** Four strategies for electrochemical biosensing of viral pathogens are as follows: (A) target parts of virion, (B) modification of electrode (sensor) by biorecognition element, (C) isolation of targets, (D) signal detection or detection of signal after amplification.
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Change of direct electroactivity after hybridization
The first strategy is based on direct change of electroactivity caused by the oxidation/reduction of guanine, cytosine, and/or adenine after hybridization reaction. In this case, a signal change could be detected directly without necessity of electroactive labels. In the text, we describe only pioneering, interesting, or outstanding articles.

Zari et al. described human papilloma virus (HPV) biosensor, where unlabeled DNA probes have been immobilized by coadsorption of thiolated oligonucleotides onto the sensing surface of a screen-printed gold electrode. After hybridization, DNA is treated with an acid, and the acid-released purine bases are directly determined by SWV with HPV sequence detection limit of 2 pg mL⁻¹ (S/N = 3). The specific sequence for hepatitis C virus 1 (HCV-1) was detected by Pournaghi-Azar et al., using a label-free DNA hybridization biosensor. The sensor relied on the immobilization of a 20-mer oligonucleotide containing 2 guanines and 11 cytosines as a probe on the pencil graphite electrode. The hybridization event was monitored by DPV of the guanine signal. Huang et al. described a label-free EB with dual amplification strategy based on isothermal exponential amplification coupled with hybridization chain reaction of DNAzymes nanowires. Electrochemical signals were obtained by measuring the increase in reduction current of oxidized tetramethylbenzidine sulfate, which was generated by DNAzyme in the presence of H₂O₂. This method exhibited ultrahigh sensitivity toward avian influenza A (H7N9) virus DNA sequence, with detection limits of 9.4 fM. Another EB was fabricated by conjugation of a biotinylated probe DNA and an avidin-modified glassy carbon electrode to detect the influenza virus. The current value of the biosensor was evaluated after hybridization of the probe and target DNA using CV, and hybridization was reflected by decrease in the current value.

Change of signal intensity after solid-surface immobilization
The second strategy included EB, where electroactivity of nucleotides immobilized on the solid support is amplified. Different authors employed a variety of nanomaterials such as graphene, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), magnetic and nonmagnetic particles, and carbon nanotubes for modification of the base of the transducers.

Chitosan/Fe₃O₄ nanobiocomposite-based platform for electrochemical detection of human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) was described by Lam Dai et al. The sensitivity of nanoparticles was enhanced using methylene blue (MB), and SWV method and SPE were used for measurement. Diamond is another extremely attractive material, used as a biosensing interface. Synthetically prepared diamonds have outstanding electrochemical properties such as inertness and biocompatibility. Qureshi et al. described a novel biosensing platform based on geometrically controlled DNA bonding using diamond nanowires, followed by the electrochemical sensing. A biosensor based on an anion exchange nanoporous membrane under direct current bias was described by Senapati et al. The ionic diode feature is associated with external surface-charge inversion on the positively charged nanomembrane upon hybridization of negatively charged target on the probes on the membrane. The resulting bipolar membrane can be used to accurately quantify the hybridization reaction between the probe and the target sequences of dengue virus (DV). The limit of detection was 1 pM for 27 base sequence in a 15 minute assay.

Gao et al. described label-free detection by applying rolling-circle amplification (RCA) based on silicon nanowire field-effect transistor. The probe was immobilized on the silicon nanowire transistor surface, followed by sandwich hybridization of the target DNA and RCA primer that acted as a primer to hybridize the RCA template, which created a long ssDNA product and enhanced the electronic responses.

Shi et al. described a hybrid microarray, realized by a facile template-free method on gold substrates. The formation mechanism was based on self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) between gold substrates and hybrid crystals. A highly oriented hybrid microarray was formed on the vertical SAMs. The previously described label-free EB was used for the detection of the avian influenza virus (AIV) H5N1. A nanoporous alumina membrane-based EB, where DNA probes were immobilized onto the alumina channel walls, was proposed by Rai et al. Alumina nanoporous membrane-like structure was carved over a platinum wire electrode by electrochemical anodization, and the subsequent probe–target hybridization inside the pores influenced the pore size and ionic conductivity.

Amplification of signal change using active labels
The third strategy is based on electroactive labels or intercalators for amplification of target/probe NA signal.

An ECL hybridization biosensing system for the detection of HIV-1 gene, based on a super-sandwich ds DNA probe and ruthenium complex as an intercalated signal-producing compound, was described by Ruan et al. First, the probe was self-assembled on the gold electrode, then target HIV-1 gene was hybridized, and thereafter two auxiliary probes were hybridized to form the supersandwich. Finally,
the ECL indicator (ruthenium complex) was intercalated into the supersandwich. Lin et al described EB based on the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)- and exonuclease III-assisted target recycling amplification strategy. Another strategy was described by Lin et al, a molecular beacon probe with hairpin structure was assembled on the electrode and labeled by HRP to give a strong initial signal. Upon target DNA sensing, the probe was removed by the exonuclease III accompanied by the release of the target for the hybridization and cleavage. Biotin-labeled mononucleotides were liberated, biotin and HRP binding on the electrode decreased as did the HRP-amplified electrochemical current. This dual enzyme strategy provided an ultrasensitive approach with detection limit at 10 fM. Malecka et al described a genosensor based on the ion-channel mimetic mechanism, where the signal generated upon hybridization was recorded by a redox-active marker $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$ using voltammetric techniques. An EB based on immobilized anthraquinone (AQ)-labeled pyrrolidinyl PNA probe was developed for the selective detection of HPV by Jampasa et al. The redox-active label AQ was covalently attached to the probe, which was immobilized onto carbon SPE. Target DNA hybridization (AQ signal response) was measured using SWV. Ahour et al described an assay for detection of double-stranded plasmid without denaturation, using PNA as a probe. The gold electrode was modified with 6-mercaptop-1-hexanol, following self-assembly of the monolayer of cysteine conjugated with PNA probe, which binds dsP of HCV. The signal response of PNA/double-stranded plasmid triplex formation was mediated by MB and measured by DPV with detection limit of 9.5 pg·μL$^{-1}$. 

An oligonucleotide-incorporated, nonfouling surface was constructed to resist nonspecific absorption by Liu et al. Fully matched target DNA templated the ligation between a probe and a tandem signal of HRP and generated amperometric signal. Qi et al described EB, where carbon ionic liquid electrode (CILE) was used as the basal electrode. The $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ nanorods (nano-$\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$), graphene, and chitosan were mixed together to form a nanocomposite material and casted on the CILE surface. Using MB as the electrochemical indicator, the hybridization reactions were monitored with the reduction peak current.

Chen et al described EB for detection of HIV based on a cascade hybridization of the capture probe, target, and two auxiliary probes and formation of micrometer-long one-dimensional DNA nanostructures. To target nanostructure formation and signal amplification, redox indicator $[\text{Ru(NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ was used. Immuno-electrochemical biosensors and protein affinity EB

Electrochemical immunosensors could potentially replace routinely used ELISA for diagnosis of viral diseases. The advantage of an electrochemical immunosensor is the direct detection of the antigen–antibody complex formed on a surface layer. Recent trends in development of electrochemical immunosensors are focused on the new transducers, which are able to improve immobilization of antibodies, sensitivity, dynamic range of detection, and attempts to regenerate the sensor surface.

Different nanostructures and electroactive labels have been used to improve the sensitivity of methods. Alipour et al described a capacitive-based immunobiosensor for detection of the hepatitis B surface antigen, where AuNPs were attached to a secondary antibody in order to improve the sensitivity of the method. Due to the relatively large size of the particles and the thickness of the dielectric layer, the capacitance changed remarkably. Therefore, the detection limit was improved to about 10 ng·mL$^{-1}$. Miodek et al described the electrochemical immunosensor for P1B1-F2 influenza protein detection based on an integrated ferrocenyl group involved as a redox marker for signal detection. The proposed biosensor and specific anti-PB1-F2 monoclonal antibody could be applied for studying PB1-F2 during influenza infection. Miodek et al also described PB1-F2 EB based on immunodetection of the PB1-F2 oligomerization. The immunosensor was based on conductive polypyrrole modified with ferrocenyl groups as a redox marker for enhancing signal detection. Antibodies specific for monomeric or oligomeric PB1-F2 forms were immobilized on polypyrrole matrix via biotin/streptavidin layer.

In the work of Hong et al, concanavalin A was placed on a nanostructured gold electrode, which selectively captured noroviruses. Secondary antibodies conjugated with alkaline phosphatase were used to improve the signal. CV revealed a linear relationship ($R^2=0.998$) between current and concentration of noroviruses, with a relatively short assay time (1 hour) and a good detection limit (35 copies·mL$^{-1}$).

An electrochemical immunosensor based on gold-film electrode, obtained from a recordable compact disk (CD-electrode), was developed by Cavalcanti et al for nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) of DV detection. Anti-NS1 monoclonal antibodies were immobilized on the CD-electrode via protein A. The NS1 interaction with anti-NS1 immobilized on CD-electrode was detected by DPV. The immunosensor showed the detection limit of 0.33 ng·mL$^{-1}$. Silva et al constructed thiophene-modified SPE also for detection of the DV NS1, an important marker for acute-
phase diagnosis. The thiophene SPE was coated with AuNPs conjugated to Protein A, the anti-NS1 antibodies were immobilized via their Fc portions via Protein A. Amperometric responses to the NS1 of DV were obtained by CV in the presence of ferrocyanide/ferricyanide as redox probe. Xie et al. reported EB for AIV H5 subtype detection using graphene oxide-H5-polycclonal antibodies-bovine serum albumin (GO-Pab-BSA) nanocomposite. The graphene oxide (GO) carrying H5-polycclonal antibody was used for signal amplification. This immunosensor showed a 256-fold increase in detection sensitivity compared to the immunosensor without GO-Pab-BSA.

Braustein and Braustein reported a novel EB for detection of the bacteriophage virus MS2, using nanoporous oxirane-derivatized beads. These beads are commercially evaluated for bioconjugation of antibodies, enabling detection of a viral concentration as low as 10 PFU mL⁻¹, where PFU stands for plaque-forming units. Immunoamperometric techniques, using a commercial kit, were used to validate the accuracy of novel technology for virus concentration determination. Huang et al. reported a polysilicon nanowire-based biosensor system-on-chip, fabricated by complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) process. In addition, an on-off key wireless transceiver was also integrated to form a wireless biosensor system-on-chip technology. This was a pioneering work to harness the momentum of CMOS-integrated technology into emerging biodiagnosis technologies, and examined to have label-free and low-concentration biomolecular detection, as a consequence. Such developed technology can be a promising candidate for on-field and personalized applications in biomedical diagnosis. Fabrication of biocompatible nanofibrous materials by electrospinning was described by Luo et al. The nanostructure improves the biochemical binding effect and sensor signal-to-noise ratio. Luo et al. presented the electrospinning method of nitrocellulose nanofibrous membrane and its antibody functionalization for bovine viral diarrhea virus detection. The antibody attachment and pathogen-binding effect were verified using the confocal laser scanning microscope and scanning electronic microscope. With the advantage of efficient antibody functionalization, excellent capillary capability, and relatively low cost, the electrospinning process and surface functionalization can be implemented to produce nanofibrous membranes for different immunodetection.

Electrochemical impedance biosensors

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was exploited in the detection of a number of viruses such as HIV, DV, influenza virus, herpes virus, hepatitis C and B viruses. Also EIS can be involved in biosensing of viral pathogens as hybridization immunobiosensors. The conventional EIS is mainly based on the Faradaic process where charge is transferred across the interface. Different redox-systems, most frequently \( \text{[Fe(CN)}_4^{3-}\text{]} \), are added to the electrolyte. Rickert et al. observed that the long-term presence of the redox-system (\( \text{[Fe(CN)}_4^{3-}\text{]} \)) reduces the activity of the protein layer. An immunosensor based on non-Faradaic process, a nonlabeling methodology, has also been researched and has proved to be somewhat more accessible to point-of-care applications.

Electrochemical impedance biosensors (EIBs) are typically constructed on a SAM layer or on a conductive polymer layer. The process of hybridization or antibody–antigen interaction is measurable by response in conductivity across the immunosensor surface, which is translated into a change in the resistance and/or double-layer capacitance following analyte capture. Detection of the change in capacitance is easier to measure as no reference electrode is required and therefore it is more durable “in-field”. EIS method can exhibit much higher sensitivity than other conventional counterparts such as amperometric, voltammetric, and potentiometric measurements.

Hybridization impedance biosensor

Mashhadizadeh and Talemi described a hepatitis B virus (HBV) EB based on covalent immobilization of the probe on the AuNPs, which were functionalized onto a gold electrode. The DNA biosensor fabrication was characterized by CV and EIS using \( \text{[Fe(CN)}_4^{3-}\text{]} \), and the probe–target hybridization process was observed by DPV. An EIB for the detection of AIV H5N1 specific sequence has been proposed by Malecka et al. The \( \text{NH}_2\text{-ssDNA} \) probe was deposited onto a gold electrode surface. The genosensor was capable of determining complementary sequences, with the detection limit in the fM range. Aydinlik et al. introduced EIS hybridization biosensor for detection of influenza B virus. The detection method utilized AuNPs and Meldola’s Blue as an intercalator label on a pencil graphite electrode. The hybridization process was confirmed with EIS and CV. A novel and integrated membrane sensing platform for detection of specific sequence of DV, based on an anodic aluminum oxide membrane was described by Deng and Toh. Platinum electrodes (50–100 nm thick) were coated directly by the alumina membrane to eliminate the solution resistance outside the nanopores. The EIS was employed to monitor the impedance changes within the nanopores upon the DNA binding and showed
the pore resistance increases linearly in response to the increasing concentration of the target DNA in the range of $1 \times 10^{-12} - 1 \times 10^{-6}$ M.\textsuperscript{48}

Connection between hybridization- and immuno-EIB was reported by John et al\textsuperscript{138} as a detection of specific sequence and glycoprotein (gp120) of HIV virus, based on the novel generation 4 poly(propylene imine) dendrimer/streptavidin platform. The biosensor was prepared by the immobilization of probe and aptamer on the modified electrode to detect complementary DNA and gp120, respectively. Responses were evaluated with EIS and SWV in the presence of $\text{[Fe(CN)}_6\text{]}^{3-/4-}$.\textsuperscript{138}

**EIS immunobiosensor**

The interdigitated electrodes and electrical measurements for the diagnosis of dengue infection using antigen–antibody conjugation method were reported by Fang et al.\textsuperscript{134} Preinactivated DV was immobilized indirectly onto the immunosensor surface, precoated with sol–gel thin film modified with organic SAM and a cross-linker over the interdigitated electrodes.\textsuperscript{134} The modified sensor surface served as a selective sensing probe to capture/conjugate the dengue antibody molecules.\textsuperscript{134} Hnaien et al\textsuperscript{135} described an immunological sensor based on functionalized gold electrode allowing for the detection of rabies antigen. This biosensor is based on the immobilization of antirabies antibodies onto functionalized gold microelectrode and the antibody–antigen interaction.\textsuperscript{135} Tung et al\textsuperscript{134} described the detection of weak molecular binding between the DV and its receptor C-type lectin domain family 5, member A (CLEC 5A), which is critical for DV-induced hemorrhagic fever and DV pathogenesis. Through a highly sensitive nanostructured sensing electrode of AuNPs deposited on a nanohemisphere array, a label-free detection of the ultraweak binding between CLEC 5A and the DV can be performed with EIS. Jiang and Spencer\textsuperscript{132} described cell biosensor based on EIS for the precise counting of human CD4$^+$ helper lymphocytes. In this biosensor, the sensing area was composed of densely packed working electrode pixels, each of which was comparable to a single CD4$^+$ cell in size, thus enabling diagnose HIV.\textsuperscript{132} CD4$^+$ helper cells were captured on the chemically modified electrode pixels and detected individually by monitoring the interfacial impedance changes on each independent pixel.\textsuperscript{132} Mishra et al\textsuperscript{139} fabricated a miniaturized microelectrode on-chip detection method to quantify human CD4$^+$ cells through impedance measurements made with simple and battery operated electronics in a handheld device. The microelectrode was modified with protein G, human albumin, monoclonal mouse anti-human CD4, and mouse immunoglobulin.\textsuperscript{149} The CD4$^+$ cells present in human blood were verified by impedance and CV.\textsuperscript{149}

Many EIS detection systems for various viruses have been described, but most of them were dedicated to influenza detection, based on utilization of anti-influenza antibody or aptamers. Kiilerich-Pedersen et al\textsuperscript{150} reported a study where influenza A (H1N1) virus aptamers were linked covalently to the conductive polymer microelectrodes. H1N1 virions were captured by immobilized probes, and detected as changes in the impedance. Nidzworski et al\textsuperscript{15} described an assay based on the direct attachment of antibodies to the gold electrode, which allows detection of the influenza virus with sensitivity similar to molecular methods. Jarkocka et al\textsuperscript{151} described an immunosensor for the avian influenza hemagglutinin (HA) H5, where gold electrode was modified by gold colloidal nanoparticles functionalized by antibody-binding fragments of anti-H5 monoclonal antibodies. The antigen–antibody interaction was explored with EIS in the presence of $\text{[Fe(CN)}_6\text{]}^{3-/4-}$.\textsuperscript{151} The immunosensor was able to recognize three different His-tagged variants of recombinant HA (H5N1) with detection limit of 2.2 pg mL$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{151} Wang et al\textsuperscript{130} described the impedance biosensor for detection of H5N2 influenza virus-infected chickens, based on a combination of magnetic nanobeads coated with the subtype-specific antibody for the capture of the target virus, and the microfluidic chip with an interdigitated array microelectrode for impedance detection of virus complexes. Lin et al\textsuperscript{131} described an immunobiosensor for H5N1 and H5N2 which could work stand-alone or be connected with a laptop via USB (universal serial bus) interface. Results showed that this impedance biosensor could identify H5N1 virus with a detection limit of 10$^3$ EID$\text{}_{50}$ mL$^{-1}$ in 30 minutes, where EID$\text{}_{50}$ stands for 50% egg infectious dose.\textsuperscript{92} Wang et al\textsuperscript{152} described an EIB for subtype H5N1 detection based on an interdigitated array, and it is described in detail in Figure 4. Polyclonal antibodies against H5N1 influenza surface antigen HA were functionalized on the gold microelectrode (Figure 4A); thereafter, target H5N1 viruses were captured (Figure 4B), resulting in a change in the impedance of the interdigitated array microelectrode surface.\textsuperscript{152} Red blood cells were used for amplification (Figure 4C) of the antibody–antigen reaction.\textsuperscript{152} The binding of target H5N1 onto the antibody-modified microelectrode was confirmed by atomic force microscopy.\textsuperscript{152}

**Quartz crystal microbalance**

The basic part is the AT-cut quartz crystal (where the quartz blank is in the form of thin plate cut at an angle of about 35°15’ to the optic axis of the crystal) that exhibits
piezoelectric behavior. The quartz crystal’s frequency depends on the speed of the shear wave and the thickness of the crystal. Maximum sensitivity to the difference of the mass is in the center of the crystal. In 1959, Sauerbrey described the relationship between frequency decrease ($\Delta f$) and deposition of mass ($m$) on the crystal surface in the air (vacuum).

The QCM frequency change is also dependent on the density and viscosity of the solution, where a liquid is passed over the quartz crystal. An electrical field applied to the QCM produces mechanical stress that induces an acoustic wave to travel in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the crystal.

QCM is a rapid, easy to use, and relatively simple method that can be applied in real-time detection of mass changes on the crystal surface, such as hybridization and/or antigen–antibody reactions. Receptors for viral target substances (probes, antibodies) are capable of binding to the terminal active functional groups of SAMs and capturing targets. The QCM can consequently detect mass changes due to these molecular interactions on the surface of the QCM.

During the last decade, a new approach in the form of functionalized nanoparticles has been exploited to increase the specificity and sensitivity of QCM biosensors. QCM biosensing can be applied in various fields of biotechnology, such as clinical diagnosis and detection of the occurrence of epidemiologically important diseases.

**Hybridization QCM biosensor**

Skladal et al. reported the use of QCM to detect HCV DNA in serum using biotinylated DNA probes, immobilized onto a biotin-tagged SAM surface via streptavidin coupling. Zhou et al. developed a piezoelectric HBV DNA biosensor, where HBV probe was immobilized onto the gold electrode with polyethyleneimine adhesion, glutaraldehyde cross-linking method, and physical adsorption method. This sensor represents a rapid, sensitive, and reliable alternative to the conventional HBV DNA determination. Dell’ Atti et al. used QCM in combination with PCR for HPV detection. Target was detected using DNA probes immobilized onto a SAM layer. The study of Hong et al. described a rapid and sensitive QCM biosensor for diagnosis of viral hemorrhagic septicemia infection in fish. The main viral RNA-encoding G protein was detected by the specific probe. Three different probes and three different immobilization methods were employed. The most efficient (avidin–biotin probe immobilization) was more sensitive and much faster than a conventional reverse transcription-PCR, with detection limit of 0.0016 µM.

Yao et al. described the application of RCA-based QCM for the detection of HBV sequence. After amplification, the RCA product is maintained during the assay through the covalent bonding between the capture probes and the gold electrode surface. Using high amplification efficiency of Phi29 DNA polymerase, results show that RCA has significantly enhanced sensitivity for the target.

**QCM immunobiosensor and affinity QCM biosensor**

Wang and Li reported QCM aptasensor based on ssDNA cross-linked polymeric hydrogel for detection of AIV H5N1. The aptamer and H5N1 virus binding results in abrupt swelling of the hydrogel, and this was monitored by frequency decrease. In comparison with the anti-H5 antibody immunosensor, the hydrogel QCM aptasensor lowered the detection limit and reduced the detection time. Owen et al. developed and characterized QCM system for the direct detection of
aerosolized influenza A virions. SAM of mercaptoundecanoic acid was formed on QCM gold electrodes for the immobilization of anti-influenza A antibodies for capture of influenza virions. The proposed biosensor can play an important role in the public health by offering a new analytical tool for identification of biocontaminated areas.165,166 Hewa et al166 described a QCM biosensor for the detection of both influenza A and B viruses in clinical samples. Conjugation of AuNPs to the detecting antibody improved the mass sensitivity of the immunosensor and showed that QCM techniques were comparable in sensitivity and specificity to cell culture methods.165 Wangchareansak et al167 reported a study where N-acetylglucosamine was immobilized as the ligand on the gold surface of a QCM via the S–H bond, after which wheat germ agglutinin was used to mimic the real target (influenza HA). Lu et al168 developed a biomimetic sensor for the detection of HIV type 1 related protein (glycoprotein 41, gp41) based on an epitope imprinting technique. Dopamine was used as the functional monomer and polymerized on the surface of quartz crystal in the presence of template, a synthetic peptide – analogous to residues 579–613 of the gp41 for the specific target binding.169 Chen et al170 proposed the formation of molecularly imprinted membrane for the epitope (NS1) of DV on the gold QCM electrode and developed an immunosensor for the virus detection. The response of QCM to NSI showed a comparable frequency shift to those chips immobilized with monoclonal antibodies, and authors also demonstrated a method for antibody detection by forming a sandwich.171 Liu et al172 described an immunosensor employing conducting polymer entrapment method to immobilize immunoprotein on the QCM for clinical flow injection analysis. An immunoassay of anti-pseudorabies virus antibody in mouse sera further exemplified its practical potential in diagnostic implication.173 Lee and Chang165 reported flow type of QCM for the real-time determination of bovine ephemeral fever virus, SAM was used for the immobilization of the bovine ephemeral fever virus monoclonal antibody on the gold surface of QCM; thereafter, positive correlation was found between the virus concentration and frequency changes ($R^2=0.9962$) on this QCM system.160

**Optical biosensors**

Recent advances in biosensor technologies have potential to deliver point-of-care diagnostics of diverse sensing strategies including optical biosensor.173 Detection of viruses is essential for pharmaceutical industry, disease prognosis, and surveillance. Optical techniques are very sensitive, and can detect even single molecule, but require the attachment of a fluorophore molecule to the target.174,175

**Optical coherence tomography**

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a recently developed imaging technique for studying the rheological properties of tissues in vivo.176 This technique offers noninvasive imaging in real time with high resolution and is potentially suitable for monitoring of tissues as well as biosensor fabrication.176,177 The OCT has helped to usher in a new era of in vivo diagnostic imaging.178 Trantum et al179 reported a biosensor that used secondary flows arising from surface Marangoni stresses that are necessary to produce signal in the proposed design. These evaporation-driven flows generate signal in the assay based on a polydimethylsiloxane substrate but not substrates with greater thermal conductivity like indium tin oxide-coated glass. In this proof-of-concept design, the M13K07 bacteriophage was used as a model target.179

Lee et al180 described a unique system integrating several optoelectronic-based biological diagnostic tools such as an ellipsometer, a laser Doppler vibrometer/interferometer, SPR analyzer, an interference microscope, a photon tunneling microscope, an optical coherence tomography unit, and a confocal scanning microscope. This OBMorph system, useful as a powerful optical metrology diagnostic tool, can be used at the beginning of sensor chip fabrication, during the signal detection/monitoring, and in the final biological analysis.189

**Optical fluorescence**

The availability of highly sensitive and selective fluorescent labeling techniques makes fluorescence a widely used optical method in microfluidic systems for detection of pathogenic organisms, hormones, or other medically relevant analytes.181

Kim et al182 developed a double-stranded and dual-anchored aptamer on reduced GO nanosheets for speedy and specific detection of the target protein in biological and clinical patient samples. As a model target protein, interferon-gamma was used. This approach allowed a rapid quantification of the target protein in HIV-positive serum samples.182 Another option for virus identification by optical fluorescence detection is the application of the retroviruses tagged with a genetically encoded pH-sensor and a fluorescent content marker enabled simultaneous measurements of the pH drop within virus-carrying vesicles and the resulting virus–endosome fusion.183 Label-free chemiresistive sensors based on a polypryrrole nanoribbon were batch-fabricated by a lithographically patterned nanowire electrodeposition technique. A plant-pathogen-specific antibody was covalently conjugated on the surface of the structure via
Surface-enhanced Raman scattering

The SERS method has a great potential for the detection of Raman-active species. The application of the SERS method for the detection of single molecules, biomolecules, and even cells has increased dramatically over the past few years.

A SERS using silver nanorod array substrates had developed, allowing for rapid detection of trace levels of viruses with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity. Researchers have investigated the formation of hot spots, which are small regions of a highly enhanced electromagnetic field that indicate high SERS intensity. In addition to its high sensitivity, the SERS method has several other advantages, including the ability to fingerprint individual molecules, narrower spectral peaks compared to fluorescence peaks, a single excitation source, minimal photobleaching, and low background from aqueous environments. These features make SERS a perfect tool for the development of diagnostic assays.

For antigen-specific protein detection, SERS assay can detect spectral differences between viruses, viral strains, and viruses with gene deletions in biological media. The method provides rapid diagnostics for detection and characterization of viruses generating reproducible spectra without viral manipulation. Lin et al described the focused ion beam technique, which was employed to precisely fabricate hexagon-like Au nanorods arrays as a surface enhanced Raman scattering active substrate. A “ring diameter” was created by the convergence of three hexagon-like Au nanorods with respect to the dimension of the target viruses, such as adenovirus, encephalomyocarditis virus, and influenza virus (H1N1) with different sizes. This scattering biosensor provided good discrimination ability for distinguishing viruses of various sizes or virus strains.

Also, inverted triangular Au nanocavities with various indentation depths and tip-to-tip displacements were well arrayed as a substrate for qualitative virus detection. Through the induction of the electromagnetic effect by the substrate, the virus can be distinguished from the amino acids on its surface. Researchers have investigated the formation of hot spots, which are small regions of a highly enhanced electromagnetic field that indicate high SERS intensity. A highly sensitive immunoassay utilizing SERS has been developed with a new Raman reporter and a unique SERS-active substrate incorporated into a microfluidic device for detection of HBV antigen from human blood. Figure 5 shows a SERS biosensor for antigen or specific protein detection, consisting of three steps: SERS substrate modification by antitarget antibody (Figure 5A), target isolation, followed by SERS tag (Figure 5B) binding, and SERS tag detection (Figure 5C).
For NA detection, Lim et al. showed that DNA on AuNPs facilitates the formation of well-defined gold nanobridged gap particles that generate a highly stable and reproducible SERS signal. Label-free optical detection of viral nucleic-acid binding to a polyvalent anti-influenza aptamer by monitoring the SERS spectra of the aptamer–nucleic-acid complex was a novel method for identification of influenza viruses. Pang et al. developed a simple and sensitive assay for the detection of the RNA genetic marker associated with highly pathogenic influenza virus by SERS.

**SPR biosensors**

The SPR-based biosensing is one of the most advanced label-free, real-time detection technologies. SPR is a collective oscillation of free charges present at the interface of two media (metal–dielectric), with permittivities of opposite sign. This method is based on measuring the refractive index of very thin layers of material adsorbed on a metal surface. At certain conditions, surface plasmons on a metallic film can be excited by photons, transforming a photon into a surface plasmon depending on the refractive index of the adsorbate. A wide range of reaction rates and binding affinities can be measured using dynamic or steady-state analysis. Determination of affinity parameters for biomolecular interactions is the most common application of SPR sensors, where antibody–antigen, ligand–receptor, and protein–NA interactions are most often used. But also DNA–DNA or enzyme–substrate interactions can be studied. This method can be used for a wide range of applications in microbiology and virology.

**Hybridization SPR biosensor**

The NA probe is attached to the surface of the sensor via a functional group attached to one of oligonucleotide ends. Three most widely used approaches are the adsorption using streptavidin–biotin interactions, thiolate–gold bond, and covalent bond via terminal amine or carboxyl groups and maleimide-ethylene glycol bond. For the proper functioning of the biosensor, it is crucial to avoid probe hybridization on regions that may affect the binding site of interest and form the secondary structures such as hairpins or loops.

NA probes can be used for a wide range of biomolecules, including various types of NAs itself. Jin et al. used SPR for detection of 20 bp oligonucleotides and 405 bp PCR products with concentration ranging from 50 to 400 ng mL⁻¹ and 5 to 60 ng mL⁻¹, respectively. p53 cDNA was determined in a study by Yao et al. using a carboxylated dextran film immobilized onto the SPR sensor surface. MicroRNA study by Sipova et al. presented thiol-derivatized DNA probes immobilized onto the SPR sensor surface. Between target DNA probes and probes immobilized on a gold surface using thiol-modified oligonucleotides were monitored quantitatively by measuring the resonance wavelength in the visible waveband. Teng et al. designed a biotinylated DNA probe immobilized on the SPR chip modified with streptavidin. The prepared biosensor exhibited good sensitivity with a detection limit of 0.5 pM and was able to discriminate perfect complementary and possible mismatches in sequence. Not only NAs, but also proteins, transcription factors, and drugs were determined using SPR via their interaction with NA. Fisher et al. tested recombinant HIV-1 nucleocapsid protein as a target to very short biotinylated oligonucleotides. Results showed that even sequences shorter than 10 bases are sufficient for stable binding of molecules. NA binding properties of the core protein of HCV were investigated by Baltzinger et al. using labeled biotin-NA immobilized on streptavidin-coated CM4 sensor chips. A method of RNA

---

**Figure 5** Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) biosensor for antigen/specific protein detection.

**Notes:** (A) SERS substrate modification by antitarget antibody, (B) target isolation, followed by binding of nanoparticles (NPs), labeled by SERS tag, and SERS-tag detection (C).
hybridization on the surface of the streptavidin-coated chip to study RNA–protein interactions was presented in a paper by Yang et al.\textsuperscript{223} Nucleocapsid protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus showed a high binding affinity for the leader sequence of viral genome.\textsuperscript{223}

**SPR immunobiosensor**

Antibodies represent the most common standard for functionalization of the surface of SPR biosensors. In the study of Xu et al.\textsuperscript{224} an SPR biochip linked with antirabies virus antibodies was developed for the prompt monitoring of the antigens of rabies. N protein-specific antibody and N protein-specific antibody with G protein-specific antibody of rabies virus (RABV) were linked on two different flow cells on one CM5 chip. This chip was tested for the detection of RABV antigens using the crude extract of RABV from infected BHK cells.\textsuperscript{224} Kumbhat et al.\textsuperscript{225} studied the presence of DV-specific IgM antibodies in dengue-positive sera using covalently immobilized DV antigen on a gold sensor chip. For HBV sensing, gold-binding polypeptide fused with single-chain antibody against HBV surface antigen was developed by Zheng et al.\textsuperscript{226} An article by Vaisocherova et al.\textsuperscript{227} described the direct, label-free detection of antibodies against the Epstein–Barr virus, with detecting limit of 0.2 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}.

**Affinity and aptamer SPR biosensor**

Biotin-labeled aptamers attached to SPR chip coated with streptavidin were used for specific binding of influenza HA in studies by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{228} and Bai et al.\textsuperscript{229} when aptamer was applied for the detection of AIV H5N1. The specificity was confirmed by comparison of AIV H5N1 with other nontarget AIV subtypes, which showed no interference. Mandenius et al.\textsuperscript{230} evaluated sialic acid-based structures and lectins as ligands for human influenza HA detection. These ligands can be used for the development of a rapid bioanalytical sensor. Su et al.\textsuperscript{231} developed an SPR-based method for analyzing the glycan–HA interactions, using chemically synthesized biotinylated multivalent glycans.

**Microcantilevers**

MCL-based systems play a significant role in the field of biosensors for the detection of ultrasmall masses such as proteins and other biomolecules because of their small size, light weight, high surface-to-volume ratio, and possible multiplex application.\textsuperscript{232,233} Cantilever-based sensing involves the transduction of a biomolecular interaction to a measurable mechanical change.\textsuperscript{233} While the cantilevers do not possess their inherent selectivity for chemical and biological agents, moieties for specific binding features have to be used for coating according to the final application.\textsuperscript{234} The optimum transducer response is created when the target reacts specifically with only one side of the cantilever, the sensing surface. Immobilization of the moieties to the opposite side of the cantilever has to be minimal as should nonspecific binding of the target on the surface.\textsuperscript{235}

**Hybridization MCL biosensors**

Method developed by Fritz et al.\textsuperscript{236} proved that a single base mismatch between two 12-mer oligonucleotides is clearly detectable using hybridization of complementary oligonucleotides. Su et al.\textsuperscript{237} applied gold–thiol covalent bonding for DNA strand linkage. Such a modified cantilever was dipped into the target DNA solution for hybridization. Then, AuNP-labeled DNA strands were hybridized on the other end of target DNA.\textsuperscript{237} Nanoparticles then acted as a nucleating agent for the growth of silver after exposure to photographic developing solution.\textsuperscript{237} Increased silver mass led to frequency shift, which could be measured. Detectable DNA concentration using this method was lower than 0.05 nM.\textsuperscript{237}

**MCL immunobiosensors**

Antibodies are useful tools for surface functionalization of cantilevers. For such sensors, the antibody layer represents the sensing element, while the microcantilever acts as a mechanical transducer.\textsuperscript{235} H1N1 HA peptide was detected using this approach by Bajwa et al.\textsuperscript{238} Similarly, AIV H9 detection used covalently immobilized monoclonal antibodies, with wide linear response in the 7.6 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1} to 76 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1} concentration range, and the detection limit was 1.9 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{239} Fritz et al.\textsuperscript{236} also studied the specific binding of the constant region of immunoglobulins to protein A. Distinct differential signals from immunoglobulins, coming from various animals, reflected the known specific binding properties of protein A to immunoglobulins of different mammals.

**Conclusion**

Viral diseases are one of major threats to health and life of the world population. Therefore, development of rapid and high-sensitivity assays for viral disease detection has tremendous importance for medical healthcare. Current diagnostics methods are pushed out by nanobiosensors at the research stage, and they begin to penetrate medical praxis. Upgraded, more sensitive, more accurate, rapid, and user-friendly viral disease biosensors are still required. The attention of scientists also points to nanoparticles and nanomaterials as a new alternative.
to biosensor fabrication. Recent advances in biosensors have been focused on the development of miniaturized biosensors with high sensitivity, specificity, and stability. The possibility for commercialization is crucial for the development of biosensors and their transfer into reality.
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