Clinical neurorestorative progress in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
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Abstract: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis is a progressive and fatal neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive paralysis and motor neuron death. In addition to symptomatic managements such as ventilation and nutritional support, neurorestorative therapies have demonstrated anti-neurodegenerative potential and may improve quality of life for patients. Currently, clinical neurorestorative strategies include pharmacological management (granulocyte colony stimulating factor), neuromodulatory intervention (repetitive transcranial magnetic and cortical stimulation), cell transplantation (bone marrow stromal cells, olfactory ensheathing cells, granulocyte colony stimulating factor-mobilized peripheral blood stromal cells, hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells, neural stem/progenitor cells, CD133+ cells and CD34+ cells), bioengineering and tissue engineering therapy, and combined neurorehabilitative treatment. In this review, we describe the latest progress in clinical neurorestorative management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and discuss the underlying evidence base.
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Introduction
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is characterized by degenerative changes in the upper and lower motor neurons, resulting in progressive muscle atrophy and weakness, increased fatigue, and problems with swallowing, that typically lead to respiratory failure and death within 3–5 years after diagnosis.1 The incidence of sporadic ALS was reported to be 1.5–2.7 per 100,000 population per year (average 1.89 per 100,000 per year) in Europe and the USA during the 1990’s.2 The mean age of onset for sporadic ALS varies between 55 and 65 years, with a median of 64 years.3

The exact cause and molecular pathway for ALS remain unknown. There is likely to be a complex interplay between multiple pathogenic cellular mechanisms that may not be mutually exclusive, including genetic factors,4 excitotoxicity,5 oxidative stress,6,7 mitochondrial dysfunction,8 axonal transport dysfunction,9 neurofilament aggregation,10–12 protein aggregation, inflammatory dysfunction, and a contribution of glial cells and deficits in neurotrophic factors.

Three effective treatments have been recommended for prolonging survival in ALS patients, ie, multidisciplinary team care, mechanical ventilation, and gastrostomy tubes.13,14 Riluzole is the only approved drug that has shown a modest effect on prolonging survival in ALS patients (about 3 months).15 There are plenty of undergoing clinical trials, and in this mini-review the authors highlight and summarize the progress
in clinical neurorestorative management of ALS through cell-based neurorestorative strategies.

**Clinical neurorestorative progress**

**Medicines**

Lithium and valproate cotreatment increases survival of ALS patients by neuroprotection, which can be observed from levels of biochemical markers, such as Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase activity, and reduced glutathione,\(^\text{16}\) although a randomized sequential Phase IIb trial showed that lithium alone lacked an effect on survival in ALS.\(^\text{17}\) As a potential therapy, vitamin D has been demonstrated to influence multiple aspects of ALS pathology and may provide a cumulative effect.\(^\text{18}\) Preliminary human exposure data showed that injection of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) was safe and feasible during a 3-year observation period.\(^\text{19}\)

**Neuromodulation**

**Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation**

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) of the brain can modulate cortical neurotransmission. A novel paradigm of repetitive stimulation termed continuous theta-burst stimulation can produce pronounced and prolonged suppression of motor cortex excitability. In 2004, Di Lazzaro et al reported the clinical results of rTMS of the motor cortex at low (1 Hz) or high (20 Hz) frequencies in 20 ALS patients. The treatment was well tolerated. The rate of progression during treatment was slightly slower than previous treatment in patients exposed to low-frequency rTMS.\(^\text{20}\) However, in a further double-blind, placebo-controlled trial by the same research group, there was no significant difference in deterioration on the revised Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale (ALS-FRS) between patients treated with real or sham stimulation.\(^\text{21}\) Another report indicated that 5 Hz rTMS may improve motor function and quality of life in ALS.\(^\text{22}\)

**Surgical stimulation of the cortex**

Four consecutive ALS patients were treated surgically with bilateral chronic cortical stimulation. Two years later, the first two patients demonstrated mild progression of their illness, and single-photon emission computerized tomography findings showed complete disappearance of cerebral ALS lesions, with apparent recovery of brain anatomic integrity. The third patient committed suicide, and the fourth showed transient improvement during the first 3 months after surgery.\(^\text{23}\)

**Neurorehabilitation**

Neurorehabilitation as a complementary or alternative neurorestorative strategy may play a role in improving patient survival rates and maintaining functional independence as well as quality of life.\(^\text{24}\) However, more research is needed to decisively determine the effect of exercise on the ALS patients.\(^\text{25,26}\) Recently, repetitive rhythmic exercise mediated by supported treadmill ambulation training has been shown to be feasible, well tolerated, and safe, and can improve work capacity and gait function in patients with ALS who are dependent on assistive devices for ambulation.\(^\text{27}\) Moderate evidence indicates that an occupational therapy-related home exercise program of daily stretching and resistance exercise results in improved function.\(^\text{28}\)

**Cell therapy**

Cell-based treatment represents a new approach offering a neuroprotective environment for degenerating motor neurons, cellular replacement of lost motor neurons, and/or trophic support.\(^\text{29}\) An increasing amount of data show a crucial therapeutic value in ALS. Combination therapy consisting of various cell types may provide a comprehensive treatment for ALS.\(^\text{30}\)

**Bone marrow stromal cells**

Mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) transplantation modulates the neuroinflammatory milieu and motor neuronal and glial response to apoptosis and inflammation in ALS.\(^\text{31,32}\) In 2003, Mazzini et al reported the feasibility and safety of a method of intraspinal cord implantation of autologous bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) in ALS patients with no major adverse events.\(^\text{33}\) In 2006, the same group showed a significant slowing down of the linear decline of forced vital capacity in four of seven patients 36 months after BMSC transplantation.\(^\text{34}\) Next, they reported no significant late side effects from intraspinal injections of autologous BMSCs at the thoracic level after monitoring for 4 years.\(^\text{35}\) Four patients showed significant slowing down of the linear decline in forced vital capacity and ALS-FRS score. Magnetic resonance images in ten cases revealed no structural changes (including tumor formation) in either the brain or spinal cord.\(^\text{36}\)

Intrathecal and intravenous transplantation of BMSCs are clinically feasible and relatively safe procedures, and can have immediate immunomodulatory effects.\(^\text{37}\) BMSCs at earlier passages are more suitable for cell therapy in ALS patients because of their stability and more potent anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective properties.\(^\text{38}\) But ALS...
BMSCs diminish their trophic mediator capacity and might reduce beneficial effects in cell therapy.39

Martinez et al recently described a young woman with rapidly progressive ALS in whom cell injection was performed by lumbar puncture without changes. After bilateral autologous cell transplantation into the frontal motor cortices, stabilization and improved quality of life were observed.40

Olfactory ensheathing cells
Considering the potential risks of cell transplantation following spinal cord surgery under general anesthesia in ALS patients, Huang et al and Chen et al proposed the key point (bilateral corona radiata in the frontallobes) for restoration of the neural network in the brain based on a set of successful cases in clinical practice.41,42 The evidence showed that neural network restoration via cell transplantation under local anesthesia is safer and better tolerated, especially in ALS patients with impaired breathing. In total, 327 patients (241 males and 86 females) with probable or definite ALS received olfactory ensheathing cell (OEC) transplantation. The rate of total improvement in neurological function was 77.1% (252/327) with spontaneous potential diminution and/or disappearance on electromyographic examination.43 In a series of OEC transplantation studies, seven ALS patients were studied by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and their improvement in ALS-FRS was confirmed by electromyography, i.e., the N-acetylaspartate/creatine ratio increased in the certain anatomic position of brain (cerebral peduncle, genu and posterior limb of the internal capsule, corona radiata and precentral gyrus).44 In a controlled pilot study involving 35 patients with probable or definite ALS by assessment of revised ALS-FRS, there was no significant difference in rate of progression during the first 2 months (P>0.05); however, the functional deterioration was significantly slower in the treated group than in the control group during the last 2 months (P<0.05).45 More excitingly, patients with multiple times of cell transplantation and long-term observation definitely showed that patients with multiple times of cell transplantation could have neurological functional and breathing ability recovery after each cell therapy, majority of which were confirmed by electromyography and pulmonary function tests.46

Peripheral blood stromal cells mobilized by G-CSF
Cashman et al conducted a small pilot trial of the collection and reinfusion of G-CSF-mobilized peripheral blood stromal cells in ALS patients and found no adverse effects.47

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells
Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation was performed in patients with sporadic ALS, and improved clinical outcomes were reported.48

Bone marrow-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells
Thirteen patients with sporadic ALS were treated with bone marrow-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells. A total laminectomy was performed at the C1–C2 level under general anesthesia, and cells were injected into the anterior part of the spinal cord. Nine patients improved, as confirmed by electromyography during a year of follow-up after cell implantation.49

CD133+ and CD34+ cells
Five men and five women with confirmed ALS were treated by autologous peripheral blood CD133+ cells and showed a statistically significant increase in survival when compared with untreated control patients for 1 year.50 Cordes et al reported a 63-year-old male ALS patient who underwent intramedullary thoracic spinal cord implantation of human CD34+ umbilical cord-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells with a 3-year follow-up and showed a positive outcome.29

Neural stem/progenitor cells
Riley et al reported that neural stem/progenitor cell transplantation into the cervical or thoracolumbar spinal cord was well tolerated.51,52 Feldman et al completed an open-label, first-in-human, Phase I feasibility and safety study of human spinal cord-derived neural stem cell transplantation in 12 ALS patients. The results showed that the approach of cervical and dual-targeted intraspinal transplantation was feasible and well tolerated.53

Bioengineering and tissue engineering
Nerve growth factor holds promise for providing therapeutic benefits in ALS. The initial trials focusing on systemic delivery of ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) for ALS have been frustrating because of major peripheral side effects, the molecule’s short half-life, and its inability to cross the blood–brain barrier, thus preventing determination of the potential efficacy of the molecule. In order to deliver CNTF directly into the nervous system, Aeberscher et al conducted a Phase I study in which six ALS patients were implanted with polymer capsules containing approximately 10⁶ genetically engineered baby hamster kidney cells, which provided slow continuous release of human CNTF at a rate of 0.25 to 1.0
Discussion

Possible mechanisms of neurorestoration by cell therapy

Olfactory ensheathing cells

These are a specific type of glial cell residing in the olfactory system and are reported to help promote regeneration and remyelination in the brain and spinal cord. Neonatal OECs transplanted into the dorsal funiculus of the spinal cord in mutant SOD1 (G93A) transgenic rats can increase survival of spinal motor neurons and remyelinate impaired axons of the pyramidal tract. When OECs were injected stereotactically into the corona radiata of SOD1 mutant adult rats, more motor neurons at both the motor cortices and ventral horns of the spinal cord survived in grafted ALS rats than in control rats. Prolonged survival and results for behavioral tests, including a screen test, hind limb extension, the RotaRod test, and gait control demonstrated that the treated animals fared better than the control group. Further research is needed to determine the optimal dose and delivery route, and standardize cell cultures.

Mesenchymal stromal cells

MSCs might be suitable for ALS because of their immuno-modulatory and protective properties and their ability to differentiate into several specific cell types, including neural cells. Because of their unique properties, MSCs are playing a key role in treatment strategies for ALS. Intravenous injection of human MSCs into presymptomatic, irradiated G93A mice could delay onset of the disease and slow its progression in recipient mice, and also increase their lifespan. Intraparenchymal delivery of MSCs is safe, and can delay loss of motor neurons and prolong motor performance. More detailed mechanism research including trophic factor delivery, anti-inflammatory and angiogenesis is on the way, and combination of cells is an important direction in the treatment of ALS for a better outcome.

Possible mechanisms of neuromodulation in ALS

It has been proposed that glutamate-related excitotoxicity may promote death of motor neurons in ALS. Glutamatergic circuits in the human motor cortex can be activated noninvasively using transcranial magnetic stimulation of the brain, and rTMS can produce changes in neurotransmission that outlast the period of stimulation. Studies have shown that rTMS of the motor cortex at frequencies that decrease cortical excitability cause a slight slowing in the progression of ALS, suggesting that these effects might be related to a diminution of glutamate-driven excitotoxicity. rTMS might also interfere with death of motor neurons through different mechanisms, ie, rTMS could modulate the production of brain-derived neurotrophic factor, which in turn might represent a promoter of motor neuron sparing in ALS.

In summary, ALS continues to be a fatal neurodegenerative disorder, and the challenge is still to develop effective targeted therapeutic interventions, among which cell therapy represents an important initial step, being able to stabilize or even improve neurological functioning and quality of life in ALS patients for a period of time. With improved recognition of the mechanisms of neurorestoration and the complex interplay of several factors that may contribute to the pathogenesis of ALS, in addition to improved patient selection criteria, outcome measures, biomarkers for therapy development, clinical translation of cell-based comprehensive neurorestorative strategies combined with symptomatic treatment, which should cover the whole period from the moment the disease is diagnosed to its terminal phase, patients’ independence will be prolonged and their quality of life improved.
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