Critical evaluation of ramucirumab in the treatment of advanced gastric and gastroesophageal cancers
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Abstract: Gastric (GC) and gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancers are two global health problems with a relatively high mortality, particularly in the advanced stage. Inhibition of angiogenesis is now contemplated as a classic treatment preference for myriad tumor types encompassing renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, glioblastoma, and ovarian cancer, among others. Bevacizumab and ramucirumab have been widely investigated in GC and GEJ cancer, with some controversy about their therapeutic role. Ramucirumab is a monoclonal antibody for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2, with demonstrated activity both as a monotherapy and as a part of combination strategy in the management of advanced GC/GEJ cancer. In this review article, we present a critical evaluation of the preclinical and clinical data underlying the use of this drug in this indication. Moreover, we provide a spotlight on the future perspectives in systemic therapy for advanced GC/GEJ cancer.

Keywords: ramucirumab, gastric cancer, gastroesophageal cancer

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC), in spite of the markedly declining incidence in the past decades, is still regarded as a global health problem, ranking as the fifth most common type of cancer, after malignancies of the lung, breast, colon, and prostate, and the third leading cause of cancer mortality globally, with around 952,000 new cases and 723,000 estimated deaths in 2012.1 Noteworthy, the decline in the incidence of GC was met by an opposing relative rise in the incidence of cancers of the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) and gastric cardia.2

Given the heterogeneity of GC, several classifications and subclassifications of GC have been postulated based on tumor histology, degree of glandular differentiation, location, and molecular foundation. Histologically, the cardinal variants include three distinct subtypes, namely, proximal nondiffuse GC, distal nondiffuse GC, and diffuse GC.3

Proper staging of GC can be accomplished through an endoscopic ultrasound performed concomitantly with esophagoduodenoscopy. This confers high diagnostic accuracy for various GC stages. A preoperative laparoscopy can also be performed to improve staging diagnosis.4

An authentic preoperative staging of nodal involvement in GC is a must, given the fact that the extent of lymph node involvement is the most important prognostic factor according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) cancer staging manual.5 Nevertheless, nodal sampling is technically rather challenging and the need for modern sentinel lymph node mapping technologies has driven many tests in this terrain.6

Multidetector computer tomography is currently used as the standard procedure for detecting distant metastases.7 However, according to many consensus guidelines,
positional emission tomography may be used additionally in GC staging, principally for describing distant spread or lymphatic deposits.\(^9\)

**Current state-of-the-art treatment of GC**

Significant progress has been demonstrated in surgical and perioperative treatment modalities. Surgical resection carries the best odds for cure, with 5-year survival of 50%–70%, if paired with an early onset of diagnosis. Nonetheless, the prognosis of GC remains poor. The probability of tumor recurrence exceeds in 50% of patients with initially localized disease, especially in Western countries.\(^9,10\)

Moreover, most newly diagnosed patients present with advanced and unresectable disease, and around 50% of cases present with synchronous metastasis with a very unfortunate corresponding relative 5-year survival rate of 4%–10%.\(^11,12\)

Of note, reports from Eastern countries have constantly revealed superior survival in GC patients, perhaps expressing geographical differences as regard epidemiology, pharmacogenomics, and molecular profiling.\(^13,14\)

Cytotoxic chemotherapy represents the predominant treatment choice in the locally advanced and metastatic cohort of patients; notwithstanding, the median overall survival (OS) for advanced disease still continues to be below 1 year; while for best supportive care, it was 3–5 months.\(^15,16\) There is no universal consensus about the standard combination for first-line chemotherapy. However, it is well established that combination chemotherapy (cisplatin/fluoropyrimidine) improves outcomes compared to fluoropyrimidine monotherapy.\(^17\)

In recent years, the addition of trastuzumab to standard chemotherapy in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2)-positive tumors has dramatically changed the management algorithm in this subset of patients. This has been established after achieving statistically significant increase in OS in the Trastuzumab for Gastric Cancer (ToGA) study (hazard ratio [HR] 0.65, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.51–0.83), corresponding to median survival of 16 months versus 11.8 months. ToGA study was a randomized controlled trial that compared cisplatin and a fluoropyrimidine combination with the same combination in addition to trastuzumab.\(^18\)

According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), and other international consensus guidelines, treatment choices for HER-2-negative patients include two- and three-drug regimens in the first-line treatment setting, including 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin, in addition to irinotecan- or docetaxel-based regimens.\(^7,19\) By the same token, the evolving prescription of second-line therapies has led to an improved survival in selected patients.\(^20,21\)

The recent data on the driving mechanisms of tumor progression and the tumor profiling based on molecular basis have led to the recognition of several alternative therapeutic targets. Distinctive spotlight was shed on vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) as a new target. Remarkably enough, VEGFR-2 is overexpressed in GC tissues compared with normal mucosa. VEGFR overexpression was also prominently observed in the case of lymph nodal metastases.\(^22\)

Efficacy studies of recent trials disclosed progression-free survival (PFS) and OS benefit with the use of the monoclonal antibody (ramucirumab) or the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (apatinib) against the VEGFR-2, as second-line or even third-line therapies.\(^23,24\)

**VEGF pathway and cancer**

In normal cells, there is a state of harmony between pro- and anti-angiogenic factors. Sustained angiogenesis is a fundamental process for the tumor growth and development and engages the interaction between tumor cells and stromal endothelial cells through multiple growth factors and membranous receptors that finally potentiate pro-angiogenic intracellular signaling pathways, hence providing nutritional supply to dividing cells through the formation of new blood vessels (neovascularization), with subsequent cancer progression and metastasis (Figure 1).\(^25\)

Consequently, anti-angiogenesis has become a common therapeutic intervention for many clinical trials that proved improved survival by inhibiting angiogenesis, primarily the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) axis, either by ligand inhibition,\(^26\) receptor tyrosine kinase inhibition, or inhibition of intracellular signaling kinases\(^27\) or combinations of these strategies. Anti-VEGF therapies have been associated with a survival benefit when these drugs were tested across different malignancies, including renal cell, non-small cell lung cancer, hepatocellular, and colorectal carcinomas.\(^28\) Historically, angiogenesis has been studied as a fundamental contributor to diverse physiological processes, such as embryogenesis, normal tissue turnover, and wound healing.\(^29\)

The mechanism of tumor angiogenesis was first depicted as early as in 1977 as a highly complex multifaceted program. The course of this process starts from the degradation of the basement membrane of a peritumoral capillary, recruitment of stromal cells and endothelial progenitor cells, development of a solid cord, remodeling of the extracellular matrix into an empty capillary with subsequent pro-angiogenic cytokine signaling, and activation of oncogenic signaling cascades.\(^30\)
VEGF was isolated for the first time in 1989 as a diffusible heparin-binding polypeptide, which targets vascular endothelial cells. The VEGF group of molecules includes VEGFA, VEGFB, VEGFC, VEGFD, VEGFE, and placental growth factor. These cytokines were consequently proved to be correlated with the mediation of tumor angiogenesis by interacting with different transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptors, such as VEGFR-1 (fms-like tyrosine kinase 1/Flt-1), VEGFR-2 (Flk-1/KDR), VEGFR-3 (Flt-4), along with two nonenzymatic coreceptors neuropilin-1 and -2 (NRP-1/2). NRP-1 is principally expressed in arterial endothelial cells, whereas NRP-2 exists in venous and lymphatic endothelium.

VEGFR-1 shows high binding affinity for VEGFA, albeit it also shows weak phosphorylation activity, with a potentially negative modulator role on VEGF signaling. VEGFR-3 is primarily involved in lymphangiogenesis, while VEGFR-2 is by far nominated as the main receptor advocating angiogenesis.

Logically, various malignancies exhibit upregulation of VEGFR-2 expression in the tumor vasculature. The pro-angiogenic downstream effects of VEGFR-2 signaling ultimately endorse the evolvement and maintenance of vastly haphazard and highly permeable neovascular network that promotes tumor growth and metastases.

Engagement of VEGFs to VEGFR-2 launches receptor dimerization and booms intracellular autophosphorylation of various tyrosine residues with consequently activated pathways. Two of the most significant activated pathways are the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and the phosphatidylinositol 3′ kinase (PI3K) pathway, ultimately resulting in enhanced cell survival through AKT/PKB, cell migration, and vascular permeability through the expression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase.

This compelling value of angiogenesis in the mechanisms of tumor growth, proliferation, and metastasis led to the rapid development and hence the widespread clinical use of angiogenic inhibitors. It is also noteworthy to say that credits given to the anti-angiogenic inhibitors are not only attributed to the direct antitumoral effects mediated by the direct blockade of the process of new vessel formation, but also to the indirect antiproliferative effects
acquired from the normalization of the haphazard tumor vasculature, which in turn encourages intra-tumor delivery of cytotoxic drugs.39

Pharmacology of ramucirumab

Ramucirumab is a fully humanized monoclonal antibody with a high binding affinity for the extracellular domain of VEGFR-2.40 Preclinical studies showed that targeting of this VEGF family receptor was associated with inhibition of VEGF-mediated signaling, proliferation and migration of human endothelial cells, and antitumor activity in animal models.41–44 Owing to species-specific differences in human VEGFR-2 and murine VEGFR-2 (Fk-1), the development of anti-VEGFR-2 antibodies required the production of immunoglobulins specific to both the human and murine forms of the receptor to specifically block ligand binding.

Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics

A number of Phase I studies have evaluated different pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic aspects of ramucirumab; accordingly, they informed the selection of appropriate doses for Phase II and III studies.

In the initial Phase I study of ramucirumab monotherapy in GC, a total of 37 patients were treated with doses ranging from 2 to 16 mg/kg infused on a weekly basis. After one patient developed dose-limiting hypertension and deep venous thrombosis at 16 mg/kg, the next lower dose (13 mg/kg) was considered the maximum tolerated dose. A nonlinear effect of the ramucirumab dose was seen on the clearance rate, suggesting saturation of the clearance mechanism, which was likely to be largely receptor-mediated. However, minimal serum drug accumulation was evident over the course of the study.40

Following the earlier experience of weekly dosing of ramucirumab monotherapy, another Phase I study evaluated biweekly versus triweekly infusion of ramucirumab. A total of 25 patients were treated with ramucirumab: 13 with 6, 8, or 10 mg/kg Q2W and 12 with 15 or 20 mg/kg Q3W. Ramucirumab was well tolerated with no observed dose-limiting toxicities. Pharmacokinetic analyses showed low clearance and half-life of approximately 110–160 hours. Analysis of serum biomarkers also showed significant inter-patient variability with trends toward increased VEGFA and a transient decrease in soluble VEGFR-2. This study was the proof behind the recommended doses of 8 mg/kg every 2 weeks and 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks in further studies.45

In another Phase Ib study of ramucirumab/paclitaxel combination (ramucirumab 8 mg/kg on days 1 and 15 and paclitaxel 80 mg/m² on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle), six patients were enrolled and all the patients experienced ≥1 treatment-emergent adverse event; five patients experienced grade ≥3 treatment-emergent adverse events. There were two deaths caused by disease progression. The best overall responses were stable disease (n=5) and partial response (n=1). Following a single dose of 8 mg/kg ramucirumab, infused intravenously (IV), clearance was −0.017 L/hour, half-life (t½) was 138–225 hours, and steady-state volume of distribution (Vss) was ~3 L.46

Thus, the available Phase I data for ramucirumab both in monotherapy and in combination with paclitaxel settings suggested tolerability and encouraged further advancement of the drug in Phase II and III settings.

Immunogenicity

An additional characteristic phenomenon that has been observed with ramucirumab treatment is its immunogenicity. Anti-ramucirumab antibodies were detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in 33 of 443 (7.4%) of patients treated with ramucirumab in clinical trials for whom post-baseline serum samples were available, with neutralizing antibodies detected in one patient only.47 Moreover, anti-ramucirumab antibodies were not associated with infusion-related reactions in the majority of patients who developed antibodies against ramucirumab.

Efficacy, safety, and tolerability studies

Efficacy

The efficacy of ramucirumab in the second-line treatment of advanced gastric/gastroesophageal carcinomas has been proved by two randomized controlled studies (Table 1). The first one is the ramucirumab monotherapy for previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (REGARD) study, which was conducted to evaluate whether ramucirumab may improve survival in patients with advanced GC.24 Patients with advanced gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma after progressing on first-line chemotherapy (n=355) were randomized (2:1) to receive either ramucirumab 8 mg/kg (n=238) or a placebo (n=117), IV once every 2 weeks. In patients in the ramucirumab group, median OS was 5.2 months, while in patients in the placebo group it was 3.8 months (P=0.047).

The second study is the ramucirumab plus paclitaxel versus placebo plus paclitaxel in patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW) trial in which patients...
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Assessment tools</th>
<th>Number of patients (safety population)</th>
<th>Study end points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuks et al</td>
<td>Phase III RCT</td>
<td>Arm A: ramucirumab 8 mg/kg plus best supportive care (238 pts)</td>
<td>Primary: PFS, secondary: OS, RR, DCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilke et al</td>
<td>Phase III RCT</td>
<td>Arm A: ramucirumab 8 mg/kg intravenously on days 1, 8, and 15 plus paclitaxel 80 mg/m² on days 1 and 15 of a 28-day cycle (335 pts)</td>
<td>Primary: PFS, secondary: OS, RR, DCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon et al</td>
<td>Phase II RCT</td>
<td>Arm A: ramucirumab plus mFOLFOX6 (82 pts)</td>
<td>Primary: PFS, secondary: OS, RR, DCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AEs, adverse events; DCR, disease control rate; GC, gastric cancer; GeJ, gastroesophageal junction; HR, hazard ratio; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; pts, patients; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, response rate.

In accordance with the two studies mentioned earlier, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved ramucirumab both as a monotherapy and in combination with paclitaxel as a second-line treatment of advanced gastric/gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma. However, in view of the very high cost of the drug, cost-effectiveness analyses versus other cheaper second-line alternatives such as irinotecan or docetaxel should be conducted.

Safety and tolerability
Generally, the reported side effect profile in ramucirumab-controlled clinical trials in solid tumors follows the traditional class effects of other anti-angiogenic agents including hypertension, proteinuria, stomatitis, gastrointestinal perforation, and fatigue.50–54 The largest experience with safety and tolerability of ramucirumab monotherapy in gastric/gastroesophageal carcinomas was described by the REGARD trial.24 In this study, approximately 10% of patients discontinued ramucirumab treatment due to adverse events compared with 6.0% receiving placebo. Grade 3 or higher adverse events with rates that were higher in the ramucirumab versus placebo arm included hypertension, abdominal pain, fatigue, and hyponatremia. VEGF class risks of special interest to ramucirumab were modestly increased compared to the control group and were generally in line with those seen with other VEGF inhibitors.55–57

Combination chemotherapy with ramucirumab from Phase II trials showed generally no significant unexpected toxicity. While dosing regimens varied between studies with weekly or every 2- to 3-week infusions, toxicity rates seemed independent of dose or frequency of administration. Further Phase III data with combination paclitaxel, docetaxel, or fluoropyrimidine containing chemotherapy (in gastric, lung, or colon cancer, respectively) have not revealed any unexpected toxicities.58–60 In the RAINBOW study, grade ≥3 toxicities were higher in the combination (n=665) with advanced gastric/GeJ adenocarcinoma in the second-line setting were randomized to paclitaxel alone (80 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 15) or ramucirumab plus paclitaxel (8 mg/kg IV every 2 weeks) in 4-week cycles indefinitely. For patients receiving ramucirumab plus paclitaxel, median OS was 9.6 months, while it was 7.4 months for those receiving paclitaxel monotherapy (P=0.0169). Median PFS was 4.4 months in the combined treatment group and 2.9 months in the monotherapy group (P<0.0001).61 Thus, the REGARD and the RAINBOW studies have shown that ramucirumab (both alone and in combination with paclitaxel) is an effective new standard for second-line treatment of advanced gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma.
Second, the impact of chemotherapeutic backbone, to which anti-angiogenic therapies in GC. As it is quite remarkable to note that the majority of studies involving anti-angiogenic therapies in GC (except ramucirumab) were negative. This may be explained in the contest that GC is a heterogeneous disease, both biology-wise and genetics-wise. The inadequacy of the AJCC TNM staging algorithm in the prognostic assessment of GC necessitated the application of comprehensive genomic approaches to deepen the understanding of crucial cellular and molecular mechanisms of GC.

Consequently, various prognostic and predictive biomarkers were explored in many studies, yet their utilization remains uncertain. Independent validation of possible prognostic and predictive biomarkers is a must before they can be routinely employed in clinical practice. It is also important to undertake retrospective studies evaluating the status of the most promising markers in GC samples integrated in specific clinical trials and correlating them with patient outcomes to test this postulation. Detailed discussion of the candidate biomarkers may be outside the scope of this manuscript.

**Future perspectives**

**Personalized anti-angiogenic treatment of GC**

Despite the encouraging results for ramucirumab in second-line treatment of GC, questions still exist on how to improve and better select ramucirumab treatment as well as other anti-angiogenic therapies in GC. In the case of bevacizumab, this may be explained in the context that GC is a heterogeneous disease, both biology-wise and genetics-wise. The inadequacy of the AJCC TNM staging algorithm in the prognostic assessment of GC necessitated the application of comprehensive genomic approaches to deepen the understanding of crucial cellular and molecular mechanisms of GC.

Consequently, various prognostic and predictive biomarkers were explored in many studies, yet their utilization remains uncertain. Independent validation of possible prognostic and predictive biomarkers is a must before they can be routinely employed in clinical practice. It is also important to undertake retrospective studies evaluating the status of the most promising markers in GC samples integrated in specific clinical trials and correlating them with patient outcomes to test this postulation. Detailed discussion of the candidate biomarkers may be outside the scope of this manuscript.

**Ongoing studies of ramucirumab in gastric/gastroesophageal carcinoma**

A number of ongoing Phase II and III studies are evaluating different ramucirumab-based combinations in gastric/gastroesophageal carcinoma. The results of these studies are expected within the next 3 years (Table 2).

**Conclusion**

GC is a global health problem with a relatively high mortality, particularly in the advanced stage. Standard approach to the management of GC incorporates initial staging workup with appropriate laboratory, imaging, and endoscopic investigations. After assigning the patient to the appropriate anatomical stage, treatment recommendations will rely upon whether the disease is localized, locally advanced, or metastatic. For nonmetastatic disease, radical surgery is the cornerstone of treatment. This may be accompanied by perioperative chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy according to the extent of the disease. For metastatic disease, systemic chemotherapy is the backbone of treatment. According to the biological characteristics of the tumor (HER-2-positive or HER-2-negative), this may be accompanied by anti-HER-2 therapies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ClinicalTrials.gov identifier</th>
<th>Study status</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Estimated enrollment</th>
<th>Estimated completion date</th>
<th>Treatment regimen</th>
<th>Current primary outcome measures</th>
<th>Current secondary outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT01983878</td>
<td>Ongoing but not recruiting participants</td>
<td>A study of ramucirumab in treating Japanese participants with metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer: following disease progression on first-line platinum-containing or fluoropyrimidine-containing combination therapy in Japanese patients</td>
<td>Phase II interventional, single-arm, open-label trial</td>
<td>33 Japanese participants</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>Ramucirumab 8 mg/kg administered IV once every 2 weeks</td>
<td>PFS rate at 12 weeks</td>
<td>PFS (estimated up to 12 months) ORR DCR OS Number of participants with anti-ramucirumab antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02314117</td>
<td>Currently recruiting participants</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of ramucirumab in combination with capecitabine and cisplatin compared to capecitabine and cisplatin alone as first-line therapy in patients with metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINFALL)</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III study</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>April 2018</td>
<td>8 mg/kg ramucirumab given IV on days 1 and 8 in combination with 80 mg/m² cisplatin given IV on day 1 of each 21-day cycle (for up to 6 cycles) and 1,000 mg/m² capecitabine given orally twice a day on days 1 through 14.</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>OS ORR DCR TTP DoR Time to deterioration in ECOG PS QoL Number of participants with anti-ramucirumab antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02082210</td>
<td>Currently recruiting participants</td>
<td>Part A: dose-ranging study Part B: safety/efficacy study to find a recommended schedule and dose range for LY2875358 when given with ramucirumab that may be safely given to patients with advanced and/or metastatic cancer of any of the following types: gastric adenocarcinoma, gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma, hepatocellular cancer, renal cell carcinoma, or non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Phase Ib/II dose-escalating and safety open-label study</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>Experimental: LY2875358 + ramucirumab (Part A) Part A: dose escalation of LY2875358 administered IV on days 1 and 15 every 28-day cycle in combination with a fixed dose of ramucirumab administered IV on days 1 and 15 of every 28-day cycle Experimental: LY2875358 + ramucirumab (Part B) Part B: recommended LY2875358 dose from Part A to be administered IV on days 1 and 15 of every 28-day cycle in combination with a fixed dose of ramucirumab administered IV on days 1 and 15 of every 28-day cycle</td>
<td>Part A: number of participants who experienced DLTs Part B: ORR</td>
<td>DCR PFS Number of participants with anti-ramucirumab and anti-LY2875358 antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02317991</td>
<td>Not yet open for participant recruitment</td>
<td>To determine whether nab-paclitaxel and ramucirumab are effective when used in combination for treating patients with metastatic gastroesophageal cancer who have either progressed or not responded to prior therapy</td>
<td>Phase II, single-arm, open-label trial</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
<td>All patients will receive 125 mg/m² of nab-paclitaxel V on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle (weekly for 3 weeks, with 1 week of rest) Patients will receive ramucirumab 8 mg/kg IV in combination with nab-paclitaxel on days 1 and 15 of the 28-day cycle</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>ORR OS TTP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 5-FU, fluorouracil; 5-FU, 5-FU; DCR, disease control rate; DLTs, dose-limiting toxicities; DoR, duration of response; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; IV, intravenously; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PS, performance status; QoL, quality of life; TTP, time to progression.
Pathological angiogenesis has been considered one of the hallmarks of cancer and one of the fundamental pathological processes of almost all solid tumors. VEGF pathway has long been considered one of the principal pathways of both physiological and pathological angiogenesis. Accordingly, targeting VEGF pathway components has been considered an attractive therapeutic intervention for most solid tumors. This may be conducted either by targeting the VEGF itself or its receptors. VEGFR-2 has been found to be overexpressed by many solid tumors, and thus an enthusiastic research program has been launched to establish anti-VEGFR 2 treatments in these tumors. Ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody against VEGFR-2, has been shown to have a significant antitumor activity against GC in preclinical and clinical studies. These efforts have been culminated in the publication of two randomized controlled trials of ramucirumab monotherapy and ramucirumab/paclitaxel combination in second-line treatment of advanced GC ( REGARD and RAINBOW studies, respectively). These two studies have shown a statistically significant benefit for ramucirumab-based treatment versus control in the second-line treatment of advanced gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma. Accordingly, both ramucirumab monotherapy and ramucirumab/paclitaxel combination have been approved by FDA in the second-line setting of advanced gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma.

The toxicity profile of ramucirumab in these studies has been consistent with class effects from other VEGF pathway-targeted agents. This includes risks of hypertension, gastrointestinal perforation, proteinuria, and neutropenia.

There is a considerable room for improving and personalizing ramucirumab treatment in advanced GC. This includes advancing the use of ramucirumab into first-line setting, utilizing newer ramucirumab-based combinations that may have extra advantages in terms of efficacy or toxicity, and using molecular biomarkers for improved selection of patients for ramucirumab treatment.

Finally, fine-tuning of the management of advanced gastric/gastroesophageal carcinoma is a challenging job. Appropriate incorporation of preclinical and clinical models into ongoing clinical trials may lead to improved results and impressive outcomes.
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