Ganetespib: research and clinical development

Abstract: Under stressful conditions, the heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) molecular chaperone protects cellular proteins (client proteins) from degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. HSP90 expression is upregulated in cancers, and this contributes to the malignant phenotype of increased proliferation and decreased apoptosis and maintenance of metastatic potential via conservation of its client proteins, including human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, anaplastic lymphoma kinase, androgen receptor, estrogen receptor, Akt, Raf-1, cell cycle proteins, and B-cell lymphoma 2 among others. Hence, inhibition of HSP90 leads to the simultaneous degradation of its many clients, thereby disrupting multiple oncogenic signaling cascades. This has sparked tremendous interest in the development of HSP90 inhibitors as an innovative anticancer strategy. Based on the wealth of compelling data from preclinical studies, a number of HSP90 inhibitors have entered into clinical testing. However, despite enormous promise and anticancer activity reported to date, none of the HSP90 inhibitors in development has been approved for cancer therapy, and the full potential of this class of agents is yet to be realized. This article provides a review on ganetespib, a small molecule HSP90 inhibitor that is currently under evaluation in a broad range of cancer types in combination with other therapeutic agents with the hope of further enhancing its efficacy and overcoming drug resistance. Based on our current understanding of the complex HSP90 machinery combined with the emerging data from these key clinical trials, ganetespib has the potential to be the first-in-class HSP90 inhibitor to be approved as a new anticancer therapy.
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Introduction

Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90), a 90 kDa ATP-dependent molecular chaperone, is the most abundant intracellular protein in mammalian cells and is essential for protein folding, assembly, and degradation processes.1,2 It is overexpressed in response to a variety of physiological and environmental insults, allowing cells to survive potentially lethal conditions due to its cytoprotective functions.3–5 In this regard, HSP90 has recently received much attention due to its overexpression in certain types of cancer,6–9 where it is associated with a poor prognosis and contributes to resistance to chemotherapy and radiation.10 Unlike other molecular chaperones that are involved in the primary folding of nascent polypeptides, HSP90 uses repeated cycles of client protein binding, hydrolysis of ATP, and interaction with its co-chaperones such as HSP70, Cdc37, HOP, p23, and Aha1 to control the stability and activity of hundreds of client proteins.11,12 Importantly, the majority of these client proteins are involved in critical signaling pathways necessary for cellular proliferation, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis, including steroid hormone receptors, kinases, transcription factors, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), Akt, mutant BRAF, and mutant p53 among others.12–16 Consistent with this, HSP90 inhibition results in the simultaneous degradation of many of these clients (via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway), leading to decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis.
Drug-Targeting Cancer Cells via HSP90 Inhibition

HSP90 inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-generation HSP90 inhibitors</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Pharmaceutical company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tanespimycin (17-AAG, KOS-953)</td>
<td>Geldanamycin derivative</td>
<td>Kosan Biosciences/Bristol-Myers-Squibb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvespimycin (17-DMAG)</td>
<td>Geldanamycin derivative</td>
<td>Kosan Biosciences/Bristol-Myers-Squibb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaspimycin (IPI-504)</td>
<td>Geldanamycin derivative</td>
<td>Infinity Pharmaceuticals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI-493</td>
<td>Geldanamycin derivative</td>
<td>Infinity Pharmaceuticals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second-generation HSP90 inhibitors</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Pharmaceutical company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNF2024/BIIB 021</td>
<td>Purine</td>
<td>Biogen Idec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC-3100</td>
<td>Purine</td>
<td>Myriad Pharmaceuticals/Myrexis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debio 0932 (CUDC-305)</td>
<td>Purine-like</td>
<td>DebioPharm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17-H1</td>
<td>Purine</td>
<td>Synta Pharmaceuticals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganetespib (STA-9090)</td>
<td>Resorcinol-Triazole</td>
<td>Novartis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVP-AUY922 (VER-52269)</td>
<td>Resorcinol-Isoxazole</td>
<td>Kyowa Hakko Kirin Pharma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVP-HSP990</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Astex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KW-2478</td>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
<td>Serenex/Pfizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT13387</td>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
<td>Daiichi Sankyo Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNX-5422</td>
<td>Indazol-4-one</td>
<td>Exelixis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-2248</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL888</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: HSP90, heat shock protein 90.

This review will summarize the current clinical development of ganetespib, a novel small molecule, second-generation HSP90 inhibitor developed by Synta Pharmaceuticals.

Pharmacology and preclinical data

The HSP90 chaperone consists of an amino (N) terminal, a carboxy (C) terminal, and a middle (M) domain. The N-terminal contains the ATP-binding pocket, and both the N and C termini have a drug-binding site. The M domain mostly participates in forming active ATPase and acts as a docking site for clients and co-chaperones. Similar to many other HSP90 inhibitors, ganetespib also acts by binding to the N-domain ATP-binding pocket of HSP90.

The first-generation inhibitors were a semi-synthetic derivative of the natural product, geldanamycin, and included 17-AAG (17-Allyl-17-Demethoxygeldanamycin [Tanespimycin]), 17-DMAG (17-desmethoxy-17-N,N-dimethylaminoethylaminogeldanamycin [alvespimycin]), IPI-504/17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin hydroquinone hydrochloride (Retaspimycin), and IPI-493/17-desmethoxy-17-amino geldanamycin (Table 1). While the first-generation inhibitors provided proof-of-concept, they failed to advance further clinically due to various issues including poor pharmacological properties, adverse toxicity profiles, or suboptimal efficacy. The most clinically significant off-target toxicity with the geldanamycin derivatives was hepatotoxicity, which has largely been attributed to the presence of a benzoquinone moiety. Although 17-DMAG lacked the solubility issues observed with 17-AAG, the toxicities reported with 17-DMAG, including liver, ocular, and cardiac toxicities, ultimately led to the cessation of its further clinical development. IPI-504 was designed to be more water soluble than both 17-AAG and 17-DMAG. Although the clinical activity of IPI-504 was promising in both non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), there were treatment-related deaths due to hepatotoxicity in the Phase III RING (Retaspimycin in GIST) trial of this HSP90 inhibitor. This prompted mandatory dose reductions of IPI-504 in the ongoing HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer trial, which ultimately may have led to the lack of efficacy seen with this inhibitor in that trial.

In contrast, the second-generation HSP90 inhibitors generally encompass the resorcinol moiety of radicicol or are purine derivatives; the exception to this classification is the SNX-522 agent, which does not fit among these...
designations (Table 1).\textsuperscript{12,23} Notably, this group of inhibitors lack the hepatotoxicity noted with the first-generation inhibitors due to the absence of the benzoquinone moiety. Ganetespib ([5-[2,4-Dihydroxy-5-(1-methylethyl)phenyl]-4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-5-yl)-2,4-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazol-3-one]) is a resorcinol-based, non-geldanamycin, synthetic small molecule second-generation HSP90 inhibitor (molecular weight =364.4), similar to NYP-AUY922, AT-13387, and KW-2478. In differentiation to the other HSP90 inhibitors within this subgroup, ganetespib contains a triazolone moiety (Figure 1, Table 1).\textsuperscript{28}

In vitro, ganetespib exhibits potent cytotoxicity in a wide variety of hematological and solid tumor cell lines, including those that express mutant kinases (including BCR-ABL, FLT3, c-KIT, EGFR, and B-RAF) that confer resistance to small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors. The half maximum inhibitory concentration values calculated for ganetespib are in the low nanomolar range, and ganetespib is at least 20-fold more potent than 17-AAG.\textsuperscript{28} Interestingly, ganetespib not only induces rapid degradation of known HSP90 client proteins but also exhibits sustained activity even with short exposure times.\textsuperscript{29} The cytotoxicity of ganetespib in these cell lines is predominantly mediated via an irreversible commitment to apoptosis, likely following growth arrest and effects on the cell cycle.\textsuperscript{29}

Similarly, ganetespib has potent antitumor activity in vivo as demonstrated by significant growth inhibition and/or regression in solid tumor and hematological xenograft models.\textsuperscript{29} Evaluation of the microregional activity of ganetespib demonstrates efficient distribution throughout the tumor tissue, including the hypoxic regions >150 μm from the microvasculature, resulting in sustained inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis throughout the tumors.\textsuperscript{28} Ganetespib also exhibits preferential tumor retention compared with normal tissues with a half-life of over 58 hours in NSCLC xenograft models vs 3 hours in the plasma and 5–6 hours in normal liver and lung tissues.\textsuperscript{30}

With regards to its pharmacological profile, as discussed earlier, ganetespib lacks the dose-limiting hepatotoxicity reported with the geldanamycin analogs. Additionally, ganetespib lacks the ocular toxicity that has been reported with NVP-AUY922 and SNX-522. The mechanism of this ocular toxicity is hypothesized to be related to photoreceptor degeneration due to retinal drug distribution and retention, which has been seen with NVP-AUY922 exposure in rodent models. In contrast, ganetespib does not accumulate in the rat eye and is rapidly eliminated from the retinal tissues.\textsuperscript{31,32}

Taken together, these promising results suggest that ganetespib may be more potent in its antitumor activity compared with first-generation inhibitors and has an optimal safety profile that predicts for a superior therapeutic index. This has provided a compelling rationale to further develop this agent clinically.

**Single-agent Phase I/II trials**

An open-label dose-escalation study of single-agent ganetespib given intravenously in advanced solid tumors established the maximum tolerated dose at 216 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} given weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks. The recommended Phase 2 dose was hence established as 200 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}. Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) included one grade 3 amylase elevation at 150 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}, one grade 3 diarrhea, and two cases of asthenia (grade 3 and grade 4) at 259 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}. Overall, the most common adverse events (AEs) were gastrointestinal in nature and fatigue, predominantly of grade 1 and 2 severity, and easily manageable.\textsuperscript{33} Partial response (PR) was noted in a patient with metastatic colorectal cancer, and disease stabilization (SD) was noted in 23 of the 44 evaluable patients, including 1 patient each with NSCLC and GIST, whose tumors harbored the BRAF G469A and PDGFRA\textsuperscript{D314V} exon 18 mutations, respectively. The most common AEs in another Phase I trial of ganetespib in advanced solid tumors, administered twice weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks, were also diarrhea and fatigue. Two DLTs of elevated transaminases were noted at 10 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} and 144 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}, respectively.\textsuperscript{34} In this trial, a durable PR was noted in a patient with metastatic melanoma, and durable SD was reported in two patients with NSCLC.

Two Phase I trials have also been conducted with single-agent ganetespib in patients with hematologic malignancies.

![Figure 1 Chemical structure of ganetespib.](https://www.dovepress.com/graphics/f1_chemical_structure_of_ganetespib.png)
These studies determined the recommended Phase 2 dose to be 200 mg/m² for the once weekly and 90 mg/m² for the twice weekly regimens, respectively. Again, the most common AEs in these studies were diarrhea and fatigue. With regards to DLTs, there were elevated liver enzymes in one patient in the once weekly trial, and hyperbilirubinemia, hyponatremia, QTC prolongation, and transaminitis for the twice weekly trial.\textsuperscript{23,35,36} Notably, there were no reports of severe hepatotoxicity, ocular toxicity, or cardiac toxicity in these patients.

Since many HSP90 client proteins are mutated, overexpressed and/or chimeric kinases important for tumor growth and survival, including HER2 in breast cancer, mutant EGFR or anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) in lung cancer, mutant KIT in GIST, and mutated BRAF in melanoma, a number of Phase II trials of single-agent ganetespib in selected tumor types that express such oncoproteins have been undertaken to exploit the concept of “oncogene addiction”.

Supported by preclinical evidence that ganetespib has potent antitumor activity in different breast cancer subtypes, a Phase II trial of single-agent ganetespib at 200 mg/m² intravenously (IV) weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks was conducted in patients with unselected metastatic breast cancer.\textsuperscript{32} The most common toxicities in this trial were of grade 1 and 2 severity and included diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, and hypersensitivity reactions. While the study did not meet its prespecified criteria for overall response rate in this heavily pretreated group of patients, clinical activity was notable in patients with trastuzumab-refractory HER2+ and triple negative breast cancer (TNBC). Specifically, two patients achieved a PR, and four of the seven patients who achieved SD had estrogen receptor positive (ER+)/HER2+ disease.\textsuperscript{32}

Unlike HER2+ breast cancer or hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, TNBC lacks a unique molecular alteration that can be therapeutically targeted. Yet, clinical activity has been noted with ganetespib in this subset of patients with evidence of tumor shrinkage, specifically in patients with lung metastases.\textsuperscript{32,37} This latter observation is in line with findings from syngeneic mouse models of spontaneous and experimental metastases, where ganetespib suppressed lung colonization and tumor growth.\textsuperscript{37} There are many molecular alterations for TNBC that have been identified but are not yet validated as therapeutic targets. Several of these abnormal proteins are known HSP90 client proteins, such as EGFR, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1α), and KIT among others, thus underscoring the therapeutic potential for ganetespib even in this aggressive subtype of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{38}

Another Phase II trial evaluated the activity and tolerability of ganetespib administered at 200 mg/m² IV for 3 out of 4 weeks in previously treated patients with NSCLC enrolled in three cohorts: cohort A (mutant EGFR), cohort B (mutant KRAS), and cohort C (no EGFR or KRAS mutations). Of the 66 patients enrolled to cohort C, four had PR with all four cases being crizotinib-naive and harboring the ALK gene rearrangement. As expected, the most common AEs were diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, and anorexia.\textsuperscript{39}

A Phase II trial in patients with metastatic ocular melanoma is also evaluating progression-free survival with single-agent ganetespib administered at the same dosing schedule (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01200238). Another pilot window-of-opportunity study is evaluating changes in the expression of biomarkers due to ganetespib, which is administered twice weekly for 2 weeks prior to surgery in patients with head and neck cancers (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02334319).

The biology behind combination trials
Contrary to expectations based on the presence of mutant KIT, an HSP90 client, and therefore amenable to HSP90 inhibitor therapy, a Phase II trial of single-agent weekly ganetespib reported limited efficacy in patients with GIST (12/23 evaluable patients had SD: 4 SD ≥16 weeks, 8 SD ≥8 weeks).\textsuperscript{40} This negative result was considered to be related to a possible lack of a sufficient duration of inhibition of this client or its downstream pathways, which was observed both in preclinical models and in patient biopsies done as a part of this Phase II trial.\textsuperscript{40} The limited success of HSP90 inhibitors in GIST and other client-protein-driven patient populations remains poorly understood but has certainly led to the emergence of the concept of rationally designed combination studies to enhance efficacy. These strategies include combinations with cytotoxics, other targeted therapies, and radiation and are briefly discussed in the following.

HSP90 protects cells under conditions of stress, and, therefore, HSP90 inhibitors have the ability to sensitize cells to the toxic effects of chemotherapy and radiation therapy.\textsuperscript{22} In support of this, preclinical data from various cell lines and xenograft models suggest additive or synergistic antitumor activity when HSP90 inhibitors are combined with various systemic cytotoxics including anthracyclines and taxanes.\textsuperscript{22,41,42} The synergistic benefit observed by the addition of HSP90 inhibitors to taxanes is likely multifactorial, resulting from increased cytotoxicity and apoptosis, Akt inactivation and sensitization of the tumor cells to induction
of apoptosis by a taxane, loss of pro-survival signaling, and exacerbation of mitotic catastrophe.\textsuperscript{12,41,43,44} Indeed, the combination of ganetespib with paclitaxel or docetaxel enhances antitumor growth and is synergistic in TNBC, NSCLC, and ovarian cancer models.\textsuperscript{37,45,46} Most importantly, this combination has a nonoverlapping toxicity profile.

Ganetespib can also potentiate the cytotoxic activity of doxorubicin via enhanced DNA damage and mitotic arrest and thus can confer superior efficacy to doxorubicin-containing regimens.\textsuperscript{37}

Approximately 15\%–25\% of lung adenocarcinomas have tumor-associated KRAS mutations.\textsuperscript{47} These mutations are negative predictors of response to currently available EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and these patients have unfavorable clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{48} Currently, there are no approved anti-KRAS-directed therapies. While KRAS by itself is not a known client protein, its downstream effector pathways, namely, the PI3K/AKT/mTOR and the RAF/MEK/ERK pathways, are shown to be sensitive to HSP90 inhibition. In fact, the combination of ganetespib with a dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor demonstrated superior antitumor efficacy in a xenograft model, supporting further investigation of this dual-targeted approach.\textsuperscript{49} On the other hand, ALK is a sensitive client of HSP90 inhibition, and ganetespib can overcome acquired resistance to the ALK inhibitor, crizotinib in ALK + NSCLC, both in xenograft models and in patients.\textsuperscript{50} Furthermore, the L1196M mutant form of EML4-ALK, considered to be an acquired mutation conferring resistance to crizotinib, continues to be sensitive to other structurally different ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors and HSP90 inhibitors like 17AAG and ganetespib in both cell lines and the clinical setting.\textsuperscript{50,51} Similarly, mutant BRAF is a very sensitive HSP90 client, and ganetespib was more potent than single-agent vemurafenib in BRAF-driven melanoma cell lines.\textsuperscript{52} Since BRAF mutations lead to dysregulation of the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling axis, a number of trials are evaluating the combination of BRAF inhibitors and MEK inhibitors not only to improve efficacy but also to overcome acquired resistance. Along the same lines, the combination of ganetespib with vemurafenib or selective MEK inhibitors has shown synergistic activity in xenograft models. In a preclinical study, ganetespib in combination with the MEK inhibitor TAK-733 also caused significant tumor regressions in vemurafenib-resistant xenograft tumors, thus providing a rationale for this combination to treat tumors that have developed acquired resistance to vemurafenib.\textsuperscript{52}

HSP90 inhibitors can also enhance tumor cell sensitivity to radiation. HSP90 clients such as Akt and ErbB2 are thought to be associated with radioresponse, which in turn can protect against radiation-induced cell death. Degradation of these proteins by HSP90 inhibition therefore enhances tumor cell death in many cell lines and xenograft models.\textsuperscript{53} This combination can also increase apoptosis and enhance G2 arrest and hence is being evaluated in tumors such as rectal cancer.\textsuperscript{54}

HSP90 inhibitors may also have a significant role to play in hormone-receptor resistant breast cancer based on the role of HSP90 in regulating the post-translational folding of the estrogen and progesterone receptors.\textsuperscript{55} In preclinical hormone receptor positive breast cancer models, ganetespib reverses endocrine resistance and reduces heterogeneity in the disease control achieved by hormonal therapies. This work has formed the basis for evaluating the combination of ganetespib with endocrine therapies such as fulvestrant, a complete estrogen receptor antagonist (Table 2).

Bortezemib was the first therapeutic proteasome inhibitor that was approved in the United States by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma. Preclinical findings suggest that the combination of HSP90 inhibition with bortezemib can enhance bortezemib-triggered apoptosis and induce a prolonged intracellular accumulation of ubiquinated proteins credited to the synergistic suppression of chymotryptic activity of the 20S proteasome.\textsuperscript{56,57} This has been the basis for combination trials of various HSP90 inhibitors, (including the ongoing ganetespib studies) with bortezemib in patients with multiple myeloma.\textsuperscript{58,59}

Together, these compelling preclinical studies have formed the rationale for many Phase I/II clinical trials in combinations with ganetespib as a way to optimize efficacy and delay or limit acquired resistance.

**Combination Phase I/II trials**

Table 2 lists several ongoing combination trials of ganetespib with cytotoxic agents such as taxanes and doxorubicin, radiation, fulvestrant, and other targeted agents such as sirolimus, crizotinib, Ziv-Aflibercept, and bortezemib for several tumor types. Some of these combination studies have reported results and are discussed in detail here.

The combination of docetaxel and ganetespib is in advanced clinical testing in NSCLC based on the results from the Phase IIb GALAXY-I (Study of Ganetespib + Docetaxel in Advanced NSCLC) trial.\textsuperscript{60} This Phase II trial randomized 252 stage III/IV NSCLC patients to docetaxel alone (75 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} on day 1 of a 3 week cycle; n=127) or the same dose of docetaxel and ganetespib (150 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} on days 1...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Dose and schedule</th>
<th>Primary endpoint</th>
<th>ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Ganetespib +/- bortezemib</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV days 1, 4, 8, 11 every 3 weeks Bortezomib IV or subcutaneous days 1, 4, 8, 11 every 3 weeks</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>NCT01485835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Phase 1 includes multiple sarcoma subtypes and Phase 2 MPNST</td>
<td>Ganetespib plus sirolimus</td>
<td>Ganetespib 150 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8, and 15 IV over 1 hour Sirolimus 4 mg taken orally once daily on a continuous dosing schedule</td>
<td>Toxicity/clinical benefit</td>
<td>NCT02008877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Rectal cancer</td>
<td>Ganetespib, capecitabine, and radiation</td>
<td>Ganetespib 60 mg/m² once weekly × 2 weeks prior to starting XRT and then on days 1, 8, 15 for cycle 1 and then on days 29 and 36 for cycle 2 Capecitabine at 825 mg/m² twice daily for the entire duration of radiation therapy</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>NCT01554969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>HER2+ metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Ganetespib, paclitaxel, and trastuzumab</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV over 1 hour on days 1, 8, and 15 Trastuzumab IV over 30 minutes, and paclitaxel IV over 1 hour on days 1, 8, 15, and 22</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>NCT02060253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Neoadjuvant breast cancer (i-SPY 2)</td>
<td>Ganetespib + paclitaxel</td>
<td>Ganetespib 150 mg/m² once weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks Paclitaxel: 80 mg/m² IV weekly × 12 weeks</td>
<td>pCR</td>
<td>NCT01042379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized Phase II</td>
<td>HR+ breast cancer</td>
<td>Arm A: Fulvestrant Arm B: Fulvestrant + ganetespib Arm C: Crossover from Arm A to Arm B at progression</td>
<td>Fulvestrant 1 M on day 1 and 15 of cycle 1, day 1 of cycle 2 and each subsequent cycle Ganetespib IV over 1 hour on days 1, 8, and 15 of each cycle</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>NCT01560416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Disease / Subtypes</td>
<td>Treatment Regimen</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Study Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>ALK positive lung cancers</td>
<td>Crizotinib and ganetespib</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV over 1 hour on days 1 and 8 of a 21-day cycle Crizotinib 250 mg orally twice daily continuously</td>
<td>MTD and PFS</td>
<td>NCT01579994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Solid tumors/refractory small-cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Ganetespib + doxorubicin</td>
<td>Ganetespib 100 mg/m² or 150 mg/m² IV on days 1 and 8 of a 21-day cycle Doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV on day 1 of a 21-day cycle</td>
<td>MTD/ ORR</td>
<td>NCT02261805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase VII</td>
<td>Malignant pleural mesothelioma (Meso-02)</td>
<td>For Phase II: Ganetespib + cisplatin/pemetrexed Or Ganetespib + carboplatin/pemetrexed</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV on day 1 and day 15 of each cycle Cisplatin 75 mg/m², day 1 every 21 days Pemetrexed 500 mg/m², day 1 every 21 days Carboplatin AUC5, day 1 every 21 days Pemetrexed 500 mg/m², day 1 every 21 days</td>
<td>DLT, MTD/PFS</td>
<td>NCT01590160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/Randomized II</td>
<td>Metastatic, p53-mutant, platinum-resistant ovarian cancer (GANNET3)</td>
<td>For Phase II: Arm A: ganetespib plus paclitaxel Arm B: paclitaxel</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV once weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks Paclitaxel 80 mg/m², given IV once weekly for 3 out of 4 weeks</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>NCT02012192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</td>
<td>Paclitaxel and ganetespib</td>
<td>Paclitaxel and ganetespib IV over 1 hour on days 1, 8, and 15</td>
<td>DLT, RP2D, PFS at 6 months, RR</td>
<td>NCT01962948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Refractory gastrointestinal carcinomas, non-squamous non-small-cell lung carcinomas, urothelial carcinomas, and sarcomas</td>
<td>Ganetespib and Ziv-Aflibercept</td>
<td>Ganetespib IV weekly on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle Ziv-Aflibercept IV every 2 weeks, on days 1 and 15, of a 28-day cycle</td>
<td>MTD, safety</td>
<td>NCT02192541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** MTD, maximum tolerated dose; IV, intravenous; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors; HER2+, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive; DLT, dose limiting toxicity; RP2D, recommended Phase 2 dose; PFS, progression-free survival; RR, response rate; HR+, hormone-receptor positive; IM, intramuscular; ORR, objective response rate; pCR, pathologic complete response; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase.
benefit from ganetespib therapy. Due to the prolonged tumor markers to better select patients who might derive the most need to develop companion diagnostics or predictive biomarkers in other key studies have the potential role in other disease types. Data from the ongoing Phase III GALAXY-2 trial in NSCLC are collecting tumor tissues for biomarker analyses that may also yield valuable information and guide further development of this agent.

Conclusion

Altogether, our knowledge of the HSP90-complex machinery continues to grow. While as a class, HSP90 inhibitors have certainly emerged as an exciting multifaceted anticancer strategy, there are no currently approved HSP90 inhibitors for any cancer indication. The improved safety profile and superior efficacy of ganetespib compared with the other HSP90 inhibitors is attributed to its small molecular weight, increased lipophilicity, and absence of the benzoquinone moiety, allowing for a greater therapeutic index. Clinically, ganetespib has been shown to be very well tolerated with a notable lack of significant cardiac, liver, and ocular toxicity, which have been the limiting factors for further development of many of the other HSP90 inhibitors. While efficacy has been promising in NSCLC and HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer, many Phase I/II clinical trials are utilizing combinatorial approaches with other therapeutic agents to further improve the outcomes with ganetespib and also to expand its role in other disease types. Data from the ongoing Phase III trial in NSCLC along with the concurrent development of predictive biomarkers in other key studies have the potential to make a significant impact on the subsequent applications of ganetespib therapy and perhaps may lead to a path of regulatory approval for this promising HSP90 inhibitor.
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