Clinical experience with fixed bimonthly aflibercept dosing in treatment-experienced patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration
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Purpose: To evaluate the durability of fixed bimonthly dosing of intravitreal aflibercept for neovascular age-related macular degeneration.

Methods: Records of 16 patients were retrospectively reviewed. Patients received three initial 2.0 mg monthly doses of aflibercept then 8-weekly doses according to the product label. Best-corrected visual acuity (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study [ETDRS] letters), central macular thickness, fluid on optical coherence tomography, and pigment epithelial detachment (PED) were measured.

Results: Prior to starting aflibercept, 13 patients had subretinal fluid (SRF), five had intraretinal fluid (IRF), four had PED, and baseline visual acuity (VA) was 62 approximate ETDRS letters. Following the monthly dosing, seven patients had no improvement or decreased VA, ten patients still had SRF/IRF, and PED had worsened in one patient. At Visit 4, an average of 6.8 weeks after Visit 3, VA had decreased in seven patients, SRF/IRF had increased in 12 patients, and PED had returned in all patients who initially responded. Based on the presence of fluid after the initial monthly injections, 12 patients could not be extended to fixed bimonthly dosing.

Conclusion: This study adds to the growing body of evidence on the need for flexible dosing schedules for the personalized treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration.
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Introduction
Therapies that target vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have revolutionized the treatment of neovascular (or wet) age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) and have become the standard of care for this condition.1

Ranibizumab (Lucentis®; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland, and Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA), a recombinant, humanized anti-VEGF Fab fragment that neutralizes all forms of VEGF-A,2 was approved for intravitreal use to treat nAMD by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2006.3 According to the European summary of product characteristics, patients can be given monthly injections until maximal visual acuity (VA) is achieved, followed by flexible dosing, where treatment and monitoring intervals are based on disease activity as assessed by VA and/or anatomical parameters visualized using optical coherence tomography (OCT) or other appropriate imaging modalities.3
Bevacizumab (Avastin®; Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA, a member of the Roche group) is also used to treat nAMD; however, this drug is not licensed for nAMD, and its use is off-label. Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal anti-VEGF antibody that was initially designed as an anti-angiogenesis inhibitor to treat metastatic colorectal cancer.  

Aflibercept (Eylea®; Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA, and Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany) is a soluble recombinant fusion protein, which is made up of portions of the human VEGF receptor fused to the Fc portion of human IgG1, and binds to VEGF-A, VEGF-B, and placental growth factor. In 2011, aflibercept was approved by the FDA for nAMD, with the current approved treatment regimen for aflibercept consisting of three initial 2 mg monthly injections followed by 8-weekly dosing.

When faced with a patient with nAMD, the ophthalmologist therefore has three potential anti-VEGF therapies to choose from: ranibizumab, bevacizumab, and aflibercept. The decision on which anti-VEGF to treat patients with is multifactorial and factors include availability, dosing schedule, recent trial data on efficacy and safety, and cost. Based on the efficacy data from the VIEW trial, we decided to start fixed bimonthly aflibercept treatment in some of our patients in accordance with the product label. This study reports our early experience with these patients and was conducted to assess whether the response to fixed 8-weekly aflibercept treatment was beneficial in all treatment-experienced patients with nAMD.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design**

This was a consecutive, retrospective, observational study to assess visual outcomes in treatment-experienced patients with nAMD who were treated with aflibercept in accordance with the drug label. The study was conducted in a single center in the USA between December 2011 and November 2013. To be included in the study, patients must have been diagnosed with nAMD and received three consecutive monthly loading doses of aflibercept 2.0 mg (Visits 1–3), in accordance with the product label, and a follow-up visit with an average of 6.8 weeks after the monthly dosing (Visit 4). In addition, all the patients must have received one or more previous treatments of ranibizumab 0.5 mg or bevacizumab 1.25 mg. Patients who did not receive the three loading doses of aflibercept were excluded from the study.

**Diagnosis**

Neovascular AMD was diagnosed by fundoscopy, OCT, and fluorescein angiography.

**Outcome measures**

**Patient demographics**

Demographic data were collected and included age, sex, ethnicity, treated eye, number of previous ranibizumab and/or bevacizumab injections, time between injections, and response to treatment as determined by OCT and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA).

**OCT outcomes**

Central macular thickness (CMT) was recorded at baseline and at each visit. The presence of pigment epithelial detachment (PED) or intra- or subretinal fluid (IRF and SRF, respectively) on OCT was also recorded at baseline and at each visit.

**VA**

BCVA was assessed using the Snellen scale at each visit. VA data were converted to approximate Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) letters using the method reported by Gregori et al.

**Ethics statement**

Due to the retrospective nature of this study, it received exempt approval from the Western Institutional Review Board. Accordingly, patient information was anonymized and de-identified before analysis. Research was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Results**

A total of 16 eyes from 16 patients met the inclusion criteria. Baseline patient demographics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 75 years (range: 63–88 years). All of the patients were Caucasian, and the majority (12; 75%) was women. Equal numbers of right and left eyes were treated. The patients received a mean of 9.4 injections (range: 2–19) of either bevacizumab, ranibizumab, or a combination of both before aflibercept treatment. Following the initial three monthly aflibercept injections, the patients received a follow-up assessment with an average of 6.8 weeks after the third injection.

**BCVA**

The mean BCVA at baseline (Visit 1) was 62 approximate ETDRS letters (range: 0–85 approximate ETDRS letters) (Table 2). At the end of the monthly dosing period, nine patients (56%) had improved BCVA and seven patients (44%) had stable or decreased BCVA. Mean BCVA at month 3 was 67 approximate ETDRS letters. However, between Visit 3 and Visit 4, seven patients (44%) had...
decreased VA, and the mean BCVA at Visit 4 was 63 approximate ETDRS letters. This meant that there was an overall mean loss of four approximate ETDRS letters between Visit 3 and Visit 4.

CMT

Table 3 summarizes the CMT measurements across the study period. CMT at baseline ranged from 244 to 571 μm, with a mean of 376 μm. Following the three initial monthly doses, there were improvements in CMT in 13 patients (87%). The mean reduction in CMT from baseline to Visit 3 was 76 μm. Between Visit 3 and Visit 4, there was a mean increase in CMT of 54.4 μm (range: −32–383 μm).

PED

PED was observed in four patients at baseline (Visit 1). Following the initial monthly dosing, PED improved in three patients and enlarged in one patient. However, by Visit 4, PED was apparent in all the four patients (Table 4).

IRF and SRF

All 16 patients presented with fluid at baseline (Visit 1) on OCT (Table 3 and Figure 1). IRF, including intraretinal cysts, was present in five patients (31%), and SRF was present in 13 patients (81%). Following the initial three monthly aflibercept injections, ten patients (77%) had improved SRF and two out of five patients (40%) had improved IRF. At the fourth visit, SRF had increased in nine out of 13 patients (69%), existing IRF was increased in three out of five patients (60%), and new IRF had developed in one out of 16 patients (6.3%). Fluid remained in 12 out of 16 patients (75%).

Based on the presence of IRF and SRF described earlier, the majority of patients (75%) had recurrent fluid and could not be extended to dosing every 8 weeks (Table 3).

Discussion

This study reports our clinical experience using fixed bimonthly aflibercept in treatment-experienced patients with nAMD. Overall, there were anatomical (SRF and/or IRF) and visual improvements following the initial three monthly aflibercept injections in 12 patients (75%) and nine patients (56%), respectively; however, when the dosing interval was extended, BCVA decreased in seven patients (44%) and fluid increased in 12 patients (75%). Only four patients (25%) could be extended to dosing every 8 weeks based on fluid on OCT. These findings suggest that the fixed 8-weekly dosing schedule may not be optimal for all treatment-experienced patients in clinical practice. This is an important consideration for ophthalmologists as the aflibercept product label mandates 8-weekly dosing in the first year of treatment for nAMD.8

While 8-weekly injections have several potential benefits over monthly injections and monitoring, including fewer visits for patients and fewer ocular injections, a careful balance must be struck to maintain any gains achieved with monthly dosing. Data from the pivotal VIEW trials showed that 8-weekly aflibercept dosing following three initial monthly
Table 3 Changes in CMT (μm) and fluid observations in 16 patients treated with fixed aflibercept dosing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye no</th>
<th>Fluid Visits</th>
<th>Fluid Visit 3</th>
<th>Fluid Visit 4</th>
<th>Responders/ nonresponders</th>
<th>CMT Visit 1 (μm)</th>
<th>CMT Visits 1–3 (μm)</th>
<th>CMT Visits 1–4 (μm)</th>
<th>CMT Visits 3–4 (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+SRF, +SR scar</td>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+SRF, +PED</td>
<td>No SRF, minimal PED</td>
<td>+SRF, +IRF, +PED</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>Increased SRF</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+SRF, +PED</td>
<td>No fluid, stable PED</td>
<td>+SRF, +PED</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diffuse IRF</td>
<td>Persistent IR cysts</td>
<td>+IR cysts</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>-123</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+SRF, +PED</td>
<td>No SRF, minimal PED</td>
<td>+SRF, large PED</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>-345</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+SRF, +SR scar</td>
<td>SRF, SR scar</td>
<td>+SRF, +SR scar</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+IR cysts</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>+IR cysts</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+SRF, +PED, +IRF</td>
<td>Enlarged PED, increased IRF</td>
<td>Enlarged PED, increased IRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>-201</td>
<td>-119</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>Persistent SRF</td>
<td>Increased SRF</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>-170</td>
<td>-164</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>+SRF</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>IRF</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>+IRF, +SRF</td>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>No fluid</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>367</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Patients received three initial monthly injections of aflibercept at Visits 1–3 and were followed up 4–10 weeks after the third injection. *According to the presence of fluid on OCT. *Mean change reported.

Abbreviations: CMT, central macular thickness; no, number; SRF, subretinal fluid; PED, pigment epithelial detachment; IRF, intraretinal fluid; R, responder; NR, nonresponder; NA, data not available; OCT, optical coherence tomography.

Table 4 PED measurements (μm) at Visits 1–4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye no</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 3</th>
<th>Visit 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>436.3 (35)</td>
<td>525 (39)</td>
<td>763 (40)</td>
<td>183 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3841 (80)</td>
<td>5091 (94)</td>
<td>726 (80)</td>
<td>702 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1311 (76)</td>
<td>1351 (77)</td>
<td>721 (80)</td>
<td>272 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1721 (72)</td>
<td>222 (74)</td>
<td>429 (80)</td>
<td>269 (36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PED, pigment epithelial detachment; no, number; H, height; W, width.
as well as potential implications for the comparative cost-effectiveness of aflibercept and other anti-VEGF agents. Our findings should therefore be further investigated in studies of aflibercept use in clinical practice and randomized controlled trials of different aflibercept dosing schedules. Existing data from recent studies with anti-VEGF agents suggest the benefits of flexible dosing regimens. The efficacy of a pro re nata (PRN; as needed) regimen with monthly monitoring has been confirmed in the HARBOR trial, where patients required a mean of 7.7 injections during the first year of treatment, including the initial three injections, while the CATT study illustrated that equivalent improvements in VA were observed with both monthly and PRN ranibizumab dosing after 2 years of treatment. Furthermore, treat-and-extend regimens that the potential to reduce clinic burden are increasingly being investigated, with a recent prospective study achieving visual outcomes after 12 and 24 months that were comparable with the pivotal ranibizumab trials (ANCHOR and MARINA), but with fewer injections and clinic visits.

Overall, our data add to the growing body of evidence indicating that fixed-dose regimens are not suitable for all patients with nAMD and highlight a need for flexible dosing schedules with treatment and monitoring intervals based on disease activity.
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