Optical imaging for breast cancer prescreening
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Abstract: Breast cancer prescreening is carried out prior to the gold standard screening using X-ray mammography and/or ultrasound. Prescreening is typically carried out using clinical breast examination (CBE) or self-breast examinations (SBEs). Since CBE and SBE have high false-positive rates, there is a need for a low-cost, noninvasive, non-radiative, and portable imaging modality that can be used as a prescreening tool to complement CBE/SBE. This review focuses on the various hand-held optical imaging devices that have been developed and applied toward early-stage breast cancer detection or as a prescreening tool via phantom, in vivo, and breast cancer imaging studies. Apart from the various optical devices developed by different research groups, a wide-field fiber-free near-infrared optical scanner has been developed for transillumination-based breast imaging in our Optical Imaging Laboratory. Preliminary in vivo studies on normal breast tissues, with absorption-contrasted targets placed in the intramammary fold, detected targets as deep as 8.8 cm. Future work involves in vivo imaging studies on breast cancer subjects and comparison with the gold standard X-ray mammography approach.
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Introduction: breast cancer statistics

One in eight women in the USA is at the risk of developing breast cancer.1 In 2013, approximately 232,340 new cases of invasive breast cancer developed.1 Annually, 1.3 million new cases of breast cancer are diagnosed worldwide,2 and 1.38 million new cases developed in 2011 worldwide.3 Half of the breast cancer cases occur in economically developing countries.3 Since 1990, death rates related to breast cancer have dropped by 34%.1 About 60% of breast cancer deaths occur in economically developing countries.3 Mortality has seen a decrease in developed countries due to earlier diagnosis and greater treatment options.2

American Cancer Society has set guidelines for detecting breast cancer in women aged 40 and older, which include an annual mammogram, an annual clinical breast examination (CBE), and an optional self-breast examination (SBE).1 Details of these various examinations are described in the “Different modalities used to image breast cancer” section. Prior to the description of the different examination or imaging tools used in breast cancer, the physiology and stages of breast cancer are described in the following section.

Breast cancer stages and imaging

The disease of breast cancer occurs when there are malignant cancer cells in the breast tissue.4 The causes of breast cancer are either external or internal. External causes
include tobacco, chemicals, radiation, and infectious organisms. Internal factors are mutations that are inherited as well as those that occur from metabolism, hormones, and immune conditions. Combinations of external and internal factors may lead to the initiation or promotion of breast cancer. It may take as long as 10 years of experiencing external factors before the cancer is detected.

Stages of breast cancer

The different stages of detecting breast cancer are screening, diagnosis, and prognosis. Screening is performing exams on individuals who do not show symptoms of breast cancer in order to determine whether there is cancer. Screening helps to detect the cancer early before symptoms manifest and prior to spreading to other tissues. Common modalities used in breast cancer screening are SBEs, CBE, and mammograms.

Having screened for breast cancer, further diagnostic tests are performed to confirm the disease and also to determine if the tumor(s) observed is benign or malignant. Being diagnosed with breast cancer, the patient then requires other tests to determine the prognosis and decide on treatment options. Some of these tests include estrogen and progesterone receptor test, human epidermal growth factor type 2 receptor test, and multigene tests. Some of the imaging techniques used in the diagnostic stage are ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). These tests give specific information to help identify the stage of the tumor and whether it is growing rapidly or slowly. Staging is then performed in order to classify the cancer into a stage ranging from zero to four, with four being the most advanced. Some imaging modalities used throughout this stage are chest X-rays, computed tomography (CT) scans, and bone scans.

After being diagnosed, the patient may undergo neoadjuvant therapy or primary therapy. Neoadjuvant therapy is a means to decrease tumor size if the tumor is too large to successfully remove. Primary therapy follows neoadjuvant therapy or may be the first step taken after diagnosis. It typically consists of surgery, more specifically mastectomies or lumpectomies, to remove the tumor. Following primary therapy, there is an adjuvant therapy which aims to prevent the recurrence of the cancer and promote longevity of the patient. Post-adjuvant therapy patients require periodic monitoring to ascertain that they are tumor free. The prognostic stage is monitoring using imaging modalities that include mammograms, breast MRI, ultrasound (US), positron emission tomography (PET), and/or CT scans.

Different modalities used to image breast cancer

The conventional prescreening/screening imaging modalities, along with the emerging imaging modalities for breast cancer, are given in Table 1.

SBE and CBE

Breast cancer prescreening is carried out prior to the gold standard screening using X-ray mammography and/or US. Prescreening is typically carried out using CBE or SBEs. CBE is carried out by the physician for early detection of skin irregularities or abnormalities in the breast tissue. Breast self-examination is carried out by women to increase public awareness and to become familiar with appearance and feel of their breasts. Approximately two thirds of women older than 40 years undergo periodic CBE. However, there exists minimal evidence to measure the effectiveness of CBE in detecting breast cancer. The advantage of SBE is that the patients can become familiar with their anatomy and perform the screening modality in a private setting at home. While CBE demonstrated a maximum sensitivity of 40%–69%, SBE had a low sensitivity of 12%–14%. Furthermore, it has been shown in randomized control trial that SBE has no positive effect compared to not performing it and that it actually leads to false positives. In general, both CBE and SBE have high false-positive rates and overdiagnose, that there is a need for an objective imaging modality at the prescreening stage.

X-ray mammography

Breast cancer screening involves screen-film and digital mammography, computer-aided detection, US, and MRI. Screen-film mammography involves using X-rays to obtain images that are captured on a film, while digital mammography captures the X-rays through digital means which can then be read on a printed film or electronically on a computer. Digital mammograms are convenient to the radiologist interpreting the X-ray by allowing him/her to change the values of brightness, contrast, and magnification; however, they are at a greater price to the patients. Studies have demonstrated screen-film mammography to have a higher sensitivity than that of digital film mammography. Although computer-aided detection is supported by a small amount of data, it can locate lesions, such as calcifications, on mammograms, thereby increasing the amount of cancer detected as well as increasing the accuracy of radiologists. In general, mammography reduces the risk of dying from breast cancer by 15%–20%, which can lead to a greater number of treatment options. The advanced applications of digital mammography include...
### Table 1 Conventional breast cancer prescreening/screening and emerging imaging modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Physics</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examinations SBE</td>
<td>Self-physical examination for detecting breast lesions</td>
<td>Prescreening</td>
<td>Increases public awareness</td>
<td>No effect on mortality of breast cancer</td>
<td>12%–14%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can be used on high-risk populations</td>
<td>High rates of false positives and overdiagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easy technique that can be performed at home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBE</td>
<td>Clinical breast examination for detecting breast lesions</td>
<td>Prescreening</td>
<td>Reduced breast cancer mortality</td>
<td>No randomized controlled trials have been conducted of CBE in women not receiving other forms of screening</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>97.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can detect breast cancer missed by mammography (sometimes)</td>
<td>Increased false-positive results</td>
<td>40%–69%</td>
<td>86%–99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May be effective in reducing mortality in women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional imaging</td>
<td>X-ray mammography (structural imaging)</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>High specificity and sensitivity to detecting cancers</td>
<td>10% of false-positive cases</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>91.4%–94.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>Portable device</td>
<td>Poor contrast compared to CT or MRI</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast imaging time (approximately &lt;1 minute)</td>
<td>Uses ionizing radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good resolution (~mm)</td>
<td>Less sensitive in radiographically dense breasts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More accuracy in dense breasts when using digital mammography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound (structural imaging)</td>
<td>Acoustic waves (mechanical) are introduced into the body and are reflected back toward a receiver</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>High diagnostic utility among women with dense breasts</td>
<td>High false-positive rates</td>
<td>Increases from 36% to 95% with Doppler</td>
<td>Decreases from 86% to 79% with Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Portable device</td>
<td>Poor contrast</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast imaging time (approximately &lt;1 minute)</td>
<td>Poor resolution (~cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonionizing (safe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT (structural)</td>
<td>3D arrays of X-rays travel in a straight path and are attenuated by interaction with tissue</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>Good resolution (~mm)</td>
<td>Non-portable device</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor contrast</td>
<td>Expensive device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast imaging time (approximately &lt;1 minute)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI (structural)</td>
<td>RF signal is used to align water molecules to a changing magnetic field where the resultant RF signal is collected</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>Sensitivity is nearly 100%</td>
<td>Specificity values vary and are poor</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                           |                                                                          |                                  | Can better detect intraductal spread of cancer                              | MRI-guided biopsies are difficult and require compatible equipment             |             |             | (Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Physics</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET (nuclear) – functional imaging</td>
<td>High-energy radioactive isotopes create two gamma rays that travel in opposite directions toward detectors</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>Good contrast</td>
<td>Only the lateral side of the breast is visible(^{12})</td>
<td>96(^{39})</td>
<td>77(^{39})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging modalities</td>
<td>Scintimammography – uses nonspecific radionuclides to identify malignant lesions</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Good contrast</td>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
<td>93(^{40})</td>
<td>87(^{40})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermography (functional imaging)</td>
<td>Identifies vascular and temperature changes</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic</td>
<td>Noninvasive</td>
<td>Easily affected by temperature</td>
<td>97(^{45})</td>
<td>14(^{45})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-radiative</td>
<td>Large breasts are poorly imaged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Less imaging time</td>
<td>High false positives and false negatives(^{41})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Promise for dense breasts(^{42})</td>
<td>High false-positive rates(^{49})</td>
<td>72.2(^{50})</td>
<td>67(^{50})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical impedance tomography (functional and structural)</td>
<td>Measures local dielectric properties of cancer cells, including electrical conductance and capacitance</td>
<td>Diagnostic, but works better for screening(^{46})</td>
<td>Noninvasive, non-radiative, and risk free</td>
<td>Poor spatial resolution than CT or MRI</td>
<td>38(^{46})</td>
<td>95(^{46})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave imaging (functional)</td>
<td>Employs microwave or millimeter waves to image dielectric bodies</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Noninvasive</td>
<td>Poor resolution at higher depth(^{48})</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical imaging (functional)</td>
<td>Employs near-infrared light (650–900 nm) to measure differences in absorption and scattering coefficients across different tissues</td>
<td>Screening, diagnostic, prognostic</td>
<td>Non-radiative</td>
<td>Low contrast in fibroglandular tissues</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SBE, self-breast examination; CBE, clinical breast examination; CT, computerized tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PET, positron emission tomography; RF, radio frequency.
tomosynthesis and contrast-enhanced digital mammography. In tomosynthesis, multiple digital X-ray images are obtained at multiple angles of the X-ray tube in order to yield 3D images or individual slices in dynamic cine. In contrast-enhanced digital mammography, a contrast agent (generally iodine based) is injected intravenously, and multiple X-ray images are obtained with a high contrast-to-noise ratio, which in turn reduce the visibility of microcalcifications.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

Not commonly used for screening but more so for diagnosing, MRI uses a combination of a magnetic field, radio waves, and computer processing in order to create images. Occasionally, it is used for screening young women with known increased risk of breast cancer due to gene mutations when mammograms are not ideal. A study has also shown that using MRI in the screening stage leads to unnecessary biopsies and further examinations when compared to mammography screening. The disadvantages of using MRI is its high cost of about ten times the cost of a mammogram, its low specificity relative to mammograms, the need for contrast agents (in some cases), and the long amount of time required to image a patient, especially exacerbated by a patient with low tolerance to enclosed spaces. The advanced applications of MRI for breast cancer imaging include diffusion and perfusion imaging, proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), and MR elastography. While the diffusion perfusion imaging offers information related to tissue microstructure from focusing on water protons, perfusion imaging follows the flow of blood to show the microvasculature. Proton MRS measures the resonance of protons to provide a spectrum in order to identify choline, a biomarker for cancer. In MR elastography, electromechanical drivers vibrate the breast to generate acoustic shear waves that are further imaged by MRI.

**Ultrasounds**

USs have recently been approved by the Food and Drug Administration to aid in screening of breast cancer in patients with dense breast tissue, apart from its application as a diagnostic imaging tool. US employs sound waves to create images of the tissue features. The advantages of US are that it can differentiate benign and malignant masses as well as cysts and solid masses. The main drawback is that its effectiveness varies depending on the skill of the US technician. US also has a greater amount of false positives compared to mammography, up to 12.9% compared to 6%, respectively. In the clinic, the most common mode of US imaging is to obtain 2D images from B-mode scanning. In certain cases, compound imaging is carried out by combining multiple US images into one. Although compound imaging is better in quality than B-mode imaging, it suppresses the shadows that can be used to determine malignancy. On the other hand, Doppler US uses the Doppler effect to track blood flow in order to find malignant tumors through their neangiogenesis. The advanced applications of US imaging at preclinical stage include sonoelastography (SE), tissue harmonic imaging, and US spiral computed tomography. While in SE, US images of the breast are obtained during its displacement, frequencies are filtered appropriately in tissue harmonic imaging in order to improve the contrast. US spiral CT is a combination of US and CT imaging used to obtain volumetric 3D images of the breast, although it can miss tumors located proximal to the chest wall.

**Nuclear imaging**

Nuclear imaging yields functional images based on molecular properties, unlike the anatomical images as in X-ray, US, and MRI. Also, this technique involves radiation and is expensive, requires little or no breast compression, and shows promise in detecting cancer, especially in high-risk patients. In radio-immunoscintigraphy, tumor-associated antigens are targeted by an injected radiopharmaceutical agent, with antigen expression differing between normal and cancer cells. Scintimammography (SMM) is an imaging technique used in the diagnostic phase. It uses nonspecific radionuclides to identify malignant lesions. Usually, it follows a mammogram and identifies the lesions found in the mammogram. It is also a useful postprimary and post-adjuvant therapy because it identifies tumor changes and recurrence as well as necrosis in the specific area. SMM has higher specificity than MRI, which makes it clinically applicable to detect lesions larger than 1 cm in diameter. PET, generally using 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose, uses glucose metabolism to detect cancer, especially in detection of malignancies and metastatic disease in solid organ tumors. The advanced applications of nuclear breast imaging include positron emission mammography (PEM) and PET/CT. PEM focuses on imaging only the breast tissues unlike the entire body as in PET imaging, and serves as the best screening tool in high-risk patients. PET/CT is a multimodal imaging approach that combines PET (for functional information) and CT (for anatomical information).

**Emerging modalities (experimental stage)**

Some of the emerging technologies for breast cancer imaging include thermography, microwave imaging, electrical...
impedance imaging spectroscopy (EIS), Raman spectroscopy, and diffuse optical imaging (DOI).

Thermography is another imaging technique used in the diagnostic phase. It identifies vascular and temperature changes noninvasively and without radiation. A study performed by Sterns et al has proven this modality to result in a large amount of false positives and false negatives. The study also reported inconsistent interpretation of the thermograph. Another study states thermograph findings to be more evident of the metabolic rate and expansion state as opposed to its actual size and depth. The advantage of demonstrating metabolic and growth rate is that a prediction can be made that determines risk of the patient. For instance, one study reports that 38% of false-positive patients develop breast cancer within 4 years.

EIS is also used in the diagnostic phase of breast cancer. This modality measures local dielectric properties of cancer cells, including electrical conductance and capacitance. This is performed by applying current to the patient with varying frequency, current, flow, and voltage. The values gained from cancer cells are different than those of normal cells. A study performed by Malich et al shows a sensitivity of 80.5% and a specificity of 64.7%. The features in the patient’s anatomy, such as scars, hairs, and bone, can result in false positives. A high amount of false positives along with an effectiveness that varies with the conductor of the EIS results in limited clinical use. In a review article by Vreugdenburg et al, it was found that only one study used EIS for screening, whereas the other studies focused on women with already diagnosed breast cancer. The study focusing on screening did not use a valid reference test to ascertain if the patients were healthy or had cancer. The study did not recommend the use of EIS for screening due to lack of data regarding the ability of EIS to detect cancer in asymptomatic women.

Microwave imaging employs microwave or millimeter waves to image dielectric bodies. This modality offers low contrast between healthy fibroglandular tissues, where the majority of breast tumors occur, and malignant tumors pose as a challenge for microwave imaging of the breast tissue. The challenges of high tissue attenuation resulting in limited depth of wave penetration, and loss of resolution with higher penetration depth limit its immediate clinical translation for breast cancer.

The various other emerging modalities (not described here) include DOI, Raman spectroscopy, diffraction techniques (eg, diffraction-enhanced imaging and small angle X-ray scattering), compression and palpation method (via piezoelectric sensing), X-ray diffraction of hair, breath detection using gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy, and canine scent detection.

### DOI: physics and breast cancer application

In the past three decades, DOI is emerging as a breast cancer imaging device with applicability at both diagnostic and prognostic stages of breast cancer imaging. DOI uses near-infrared (NIR) light between 650 nm and 900 nm to image the differences in the blood oxygenations between the tumor and normal breast tissues. Based on the nature of the optical imaging instrument, its applicability for early-stage breast cancer detection is also attempted by various research groups, as described in the following sections.

Wavelengths of light from 650 nm to 900 nm are minimally absorbed and preferentially scattered upon interaction with tissue allowing for deeper light penetration than possible at other optical wavelengths (Figure 1). The technology that uses light in this NIR wavelength region to noninvasively image deep tissues is called as NIR optical imaging (or DOI). The low absorption occurs due to the main absorbers in physiological tissue, that is, water and oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin, which absorb less light than at other optical wavelengths. The difference in optical properties (ie, absorption and scattering of the light) between normal and diseased tissues is used to characterize tissues and detect abnormalities.

Deeper tissue penetration allows optical imaging to be implemented as an imaging technology for breast cancer detection, diagnosis, and/or prognosis. Optical imaging provides a functional imaging approach with decent spatial resolution and contrast. Optical imaging also requires less imaging time compared to MRI, and is also less expensive. The combination of benefits offered by optical imaging in terms of less imaging time (<1 minute), good spatial and excellent temporal resolution, good contrast (from functional imaging), and relatively inexpensive instrumentation suggests that optical imaging is potentially an emerging technology for future clinical applications in the areas of breast cancer imaging, brain mapping, and any noninvasive body tissue imaging. Additionally, the source powers employed during optical imaging are within the safe limits (typically <50 mW), and NIR light is non-radiative in nature.

### Optical imaging and breast cancer

Optical imaging has been utilized toward various aspects of clinical breast imaging: i) measurement of optical and physiological properties of healthy breast tissue; ii) detecting the presence of abnormal tissue (ie, tumors); iii) distinguishing
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between benign and malignant tumors; iv) monitoring response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; v) sentinel lymph node mapping; and vi) tomographic imaging of the entire breast. These breast imaging applications in some cases involved the use of external fluorescent contrast agents to improve the optical contrast of the diseased regions from the normal breast tissue.

Optical imaging uses NIR light as a continuous-wave (CW), frequency (frequency domain, FD), or pulse (time domain) signals. In CW imaging, the source light intensity remains constant in time and attenuates as it propagates through the tissue due to absorption and (indirectly) to scattering. FD imaging uses time-dependent intensity-modulated light that becomes attenuated and phase shifted as it propagates through the tissue. Time-domain imaging uses time-dependent (pico- or nanosecond) pulses of light that broaden and attenuate as it propagates through the tissue. Different types of optical devices developed for breast cancer detection employing one or more of these measurement techniques have been developed toward DOI of breast tissue. The most commonly employed sources in these devices include laser diodes and light-emitting diodes (LEDs), and the detectors being silicon photodiodes, photon multiplier tubes, avalanche photo diodes, or CCD cameras. The optical devices using one of these sources/detectors can be grouped into three major kinds of devices: bed-based imagers, parallel plate imagers, and hand-held imagers. The hand-held imagers are capable of only reflectance imaging, while parallel and bed-based imagers are capable of transillumination and reflectance imaging. Hand-held imagers are generally smaller, less expensive, and portable devices which have the benefit of being placed easily by a technician. The small device ensures that all breast shapes and sizes can be imaged with minimal patient discomfort and lack of tissue compression. Bed-based imagers are similar to bed-based imagers, but instead of relying on circular bins, they implement compressive plates similar to X-ray mammography systems. This reduces the exclusion of subjects by reducing their tissue thickness via compression. While the focus of the bed-based and parallel plate imagers has been predominantly for 3D tomographic imaging of breast cancer, the hand-held optical devices have focused on spectroscopic information of the breast at both the diagnostic and prognostic stages.

In general, optical breast imaging in the clinic has not been systematic, and various research groups have focused on technological developments of their devices. When focusing only on clinically oriented optical mammography studies with approximately 2,000 women, it was observed...
that ~85% of breast lesions are detectable. Additional dimensionality to the optical mammography is provided by integrating and co-registering the functional information of diffuse optical spectroscopy and imaging with X-ray mammography and MRI, which provide structural information or vascular flow information, respectively. One of the goals of the optical breast imaging research community is to develop DOI platforms that can be used as stand-alone devices or in conjunction with MRI, mammography, or US. These platforms would potentially assist in detecting the breast cancer disease in mammographically dense tissues, distinguishing between malignant and benign lesions, and understanding the impact of neoadjuvant chemotherapies. More recently, hand-held optical devices are developed with a focus on early-stage detection of breast cancer as a first-response device.

Optical imaging for breast prescreening
Role of optical imaging in breast prescreening
Prescreening of the breast typically involves: i) breast self-examination – a recommendation for all women to become familiar with both appearance and feel of their breasts and report any changes to their physician; and ii) CBE – an examination performed by a clinician in which he/she utilizes the pads of the fingers to feel the breasts, assessing the shape, texture, and the presence of any bumps. Conventionally and clinically used screening approaches include mammography, US, and MRI, as described in the Introduction section. Optical imaging is an emerging prescreening or screening tool in the area of breast cancer.

Various research groups have developed hand-held optical devices (or scanners) for breast cancer imaging, for detection, diagnosis, and/or prognosis. The optical devices that focus on early detection (for potential prescreening) of breast cancer are tabulated in Table 2 and shown in Figure 2. The concept of developing portable, low-cost, hand-held optical devices for early-stage detection of breast cancer began in the late 1990s by Tromberg’s research group. Tromberg’s device (Device #1) employed laser diodes (of multiple wavelengths) and avalanche photodiodes (as detectors) to obtain FD-based optical spectroscopic information from breast tissues and compare the optical properties of a normal breast with that of the benign lesions. Device #1 (Table 1) was also used in several studies (for a total of ~100 subjects) to compare healthy and tumor-containing breast tissue. The results showed differences in the optical properties of the tissue based on subject age, tumor size, and tumor pathology. The second device (Device #2) developed by researchers at University of California Irvine was also based on FD diffuse optical spectroscopic imaging using laser diodes (at multiple wavelengths) and avalanche photodiodes. Based on the measured differences in the absorption coefficients between the diseased (ie, tumor) and normal breast tissue, the device detected the presence of a lesion in in vivo human breast tissue. The only other FD-based optical device (Device #6) was that developed by Zhu and her group at University of Connecticut. Unlike other hand-held optical devices, Zhu’s device performed multimodal optical and US imaging to obtain 3D diffuse optical tomographic imaging of the breast. This device imaged the benign and malignant breast lesions in human subjects.

Many hand-held optical devices (Devices #3–5, #7–10) were based on CW imaging mode. Chance and his group developed two portable devices (Devices #3 and #4) of different source–detector configurations and each using LEDs as sources and silicon photodiodes as detectors. Device #3 was used in an extensive study to show the differences in the biochemical and physiological properties of breast tissue containing growing cancers, compared to corresponding normal tissue in the contralateral breast. In a 6-year study on 116 patients with 44 confirmed malignancies, they reported the ability to distinguish cancerous from noncancerous breast tissue with a sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 93%. The second device from Chance’s group (Device #4) employed two LEDs that were out of phase in order to generate destructive interference signals. The detected signal at the detector placed mid-way between the two sources provided a null signal, which shifts in the presence of any abnormality. This principle was used in detection and 2D localization of any breast lesions/abnormalities. Another spectroscopic-based hand-held device (Device #5 in Table 2), which used laser diodes as sources, was used to detect breast cancer in 50 females who have been indicated of suspected breast cancer from X-ray mammography. The device used in these studies (Device #5, P-Scan Imager) was developed by Vioptix Inc. as a CW-based NIR spectroscopic device that provides real-time 2D mapping of blood oxygen saturation and total hemoglobin. From their preliminary studies, the device provided 92% diagnostic sensitivity and 67% diagnostic specificity in detecting ductal carcinoma. Another research group from Ohio State University, Xu et al, also used the same P-Scan imager (Device #8 in Table 2) for phantom and in vivo human subject studies to dynamically characterize...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Measurement technique</th>
<th>Source type</th>
<th>Detector type</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Clinical application(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tromberg et al&lt;sup&gt;64&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>FD (300 kHz–1 GHz)</td>
<td>Laser diodes (10–30 mW) (674 nm, 811 nm, 849 nm, 956 nm)</td>
<td>APD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Compare optical properties of normal and benign lesion-containing breast tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No et al&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>FD (10 MHz–1 GHz)</td>
<td>Eight laser diodes (50 mW) (783 nm)</td>
<td>APD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Noninvasive breast cancer detection based on frequency-domain photon migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nioka and Chance&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Light-emitting diodes (10–15 mA) (760 nm, 805 nm, 850 nm)</td>
<td>Eight silicon diode detectors</td>
<td>~9 cm diameter</td>
<td>Determine sensitivity and specificity of detecting breast cancer in 116 human subjects. Obtained 93% specificity and 96% sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chance et al&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Two light-emitting diodes (20 mA) (800 nm) — out of phase sources</td>
<td>One silicon diode detector</td>
<td>9.3×6.5×3 cm³ (similar in size to glucose meter)</td>
<td>Detection and 2D localization of breast cancer lesion in a human subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cheng et al&lt;sup&gt;83&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Laser diodes (0.15 W/cm²) (690 nm, 830 nm)</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>5.5×5.5×10.2 cm³</td>
<td>Determine sensitivity and specificity of detecting ductal carcinoma in 50 human subjects. Obtained 92% diagnosed sensitivity and 67% diagnosed specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Zhu et al&lt;sup&gt;114&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOT and US</td>
<td>FD (200 MHz)</td>
<td>Eight dual-wavelength laser diodes (690 nm, 780 nm, 830 nm)</td>
<td>APD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Image benign and malignant lesions at early stage in breast tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flexman et al&lt;sup&gt;115&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOS (wireless)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Laser diodes (10 mW) (780 nm, 808 nm, 850 nm, 904 nm)</td>
<td>Two silicon photodiodes</td>
<td>11.5×16×2.5 cm³</td>
<td>Liquid phantom studies to demonstrate measurement of HbO, HbR, and scattering in tissues. In vivo data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Xu et al&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOI (P-Scan Imager, Vioptix Inc.)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Eight dual-wavelength laser diodes (690 nm, 830 nm)</td>
<td>Eight silicon photodiodes</td>
<td>5.5×5.5×10.2 cm³</td>
<td>In vivo human tissue studies for dynamic characterization by reconstructing absorption coefficients. In vivo breast imaging studies are not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Labib et al&lt;sup&gt;116&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Breast illumination</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>617 nm visible red light</td>
<td>Naked eye</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Imaged 310 women (43.6±12.4 years) for breast screening. Obtained 73.7% specificity, 93% sensitivity, 91.4% PPV, 77.8% NPV, and 88.2% accuracy. Detects lesions 15 mm and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rodriguez et al&lt;sup&gt;117&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DOI (NIROS)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Light-emitting diode (710 nm)</td>
<td>CMOS camera</td>
<td>5×7×15 cm³</td>
<td>In vivo breast imaging studies using tumor-like targets. No data from breast cancer subjects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** APD, avalanche photodiode; CMOS, complementary metal oxide semiconductor; CW, continuous wave; DOI, diffuse optical imaging; DOS, diffuse optical spectroscopy; DOT, diffuse optical tomography; FD, frequency domain; NIROS, near-infrared optical scanner; PMT, photon multiplier tube; PPV, Positive predictive value; NPV, Negative predictive value; US, ultrasound.
the tissue in response to dynamic compression stimuli.\textsuperscript{60} The device demonstrated that it can detect tissue optical, mechanical, and physiological changes under the dynamic loading condition, although requiring further evaluation for breast cancer studies. One of the latest devices employing laser diodes as sources was made wireless.\textsuperscript{117} However, to date, only liquid phantom studies using Device #7 were performed to demonstrate the measurements of HbO, HbR, and scattering properties of the tissue. On the contrary to the NIR-based hand-held devices, a breast illuminator employed visible red light (617 nm wavelength) to transmit the breast tissues and visualize the optical contrast using the naked eye.\textsuperscript{116} In a study at the National Cancer Institute at Cairo University, BreastLight (Device #9) was employed on 310 women, and the results were compared to X-ray mammography and histopathology (when suspicious cases were found in mammography). Breast illumination using BreastLight device and X-ray mammography were concordant in 277/310 cases (89.4%). The breast illumination device provided a sensitivity of 93%, specificity of 73.7%, a positive predictive value of 91.4%, and a negative predictive value of 77.8%, demonstrating the device as a potential breast cancer prescreening tool.

At our Optical Imaging Laboratory at Florida International University, a portable hand-held wide-field NIR optical scanner (NIROS) (Device #10) was developed for DOI of breast tissues via transillumination mode of imaging.\textsuperscript{117} The details of this device and the preliminary in vivo studies are described in the following section.

**Our ongoing efforts in breast prescreening using optical imaging**

Most of the hand-held optical imagers available to date, whether for prescreening/screening or diagnostic/prognostic applications, employ optical fibers to illuminate/detect NIR signals. The use of optical fibers allows precise launching and collection of NIR optical signals at point locations on the tissue surface. The intensity and location of these source and detector points using optical fibers when measured allow 3D tomographic imaging. However, the use of optical fibers can also be disadvantageous. Many optical fibers or fiber bundles are required to enhance the spatial resolution of
the imaging system, but at the cost of increased bulkiness, reduced flexibility (especially in hand-held devices), and instrumentation expense. In recent years, a few research groups have developed fiber-free NIR imaging systems using wide-field detectors (eg, CCD or complementary metal oxide semiconductor [CMOS] camera) for noncontact imaging. These imagers employ either reflectance or transmittance mode to image tissue phantoms, blood vessels, and/or veins of the skin surface. Unlike the fiber-based devices (with contact) that can image deep tissues as in breast imaging, the fiber-free noncontact optical devices developed to date have been used for sub-surface imaging (≤1 cm deep).

In our Optical Imaging Laboratory, a fiber-free hand-held NIROS has been developed toward deep tissue imaging (>1 cm target depth) in both reflectance and transmittance mode. Preliminary in vivo breast imaging studies using the scanner as a noncontact device were performed to determine its deep target detectability and its potential applicability as a breast cancer prescreening tool.

### Instrumentation

The fiber-free noncontact hand-held NIROS comprises a hand-held probe (Figure 2, Device #10), a controller box, and a computer with custom-developed image acquisition/processing toolbox. The hand-held probe (weighing <1 lb) consists of a 710 nm LED source light for area illumination and an NIR-sensitive CMOS camera for area detection. The LED source encased in a heat sink is driven by an LED driver (placed in the controller box) that controls the radiating power of the source. The LED driver and the CMOS camera are synchronized in the data acquisition timing via a microcontroller unit, and both the source and detector are powered by the computer’s USB port. The diffuse reflected/transilluminated NIR signals from the tissue surface are detected by the camera after the signals have been filtered using a 645-nm long-pass optical filter and focused using an 8.5 mm focusing lens. A MATLAB-based imaging software was developed in order to automate the data acquisition, data storage, and data processing. For the breast imaging studies, two transparent parallel acrylic plates were used to hold the breast tissue during transillumination-based imaging using NIROS (Figure 3).

### In vivo breast imaging studies using NIROS

The Florida International University IRB-approved studies imaged ten healthy female subjects over the age of 21 years with no known history of breast cancer. The breast imaging studies were performed with the breast tissue placed in between the two transparent plates to provide for transmission imaging mode. As seen in Figure 3, the top plate is adjustable in order to perform studies with or without applied pressure and to accommodate different breast tissue sizes. The detector is placed above the top plate, and the LED source is placed beneath the bottom fixed plate. A 0.46 cm² target was filled with a solution of 0.8% India ink in Liposyn in order to create a tumor-like agent with high-absorption properties. The target was positioned beneath the breast tissue and above the bottom plate and moved to different locations (noted by clock positions) throughout the study.

The goal of the studies was to qualitatively determine the ability of NIROS to detect the targets when both the applied pressure and target locations were varied. Images were acquired with and without pressure by adjusting the top moveable plate and also by moving the target to various clock positions in order to assess NIROS’s capacity to determine where the targets were placed. The studies were performed in transmission mode with the source below the tissue and the detector above the breast tissue. The images were acquired without external light; only the laptop was on with the screen brightness at the minimum setting, in order to minimize noise. The power of the LED was measured several times throughout the study, and the CMOS exposure time was adjusted for each subject as well as the focus.

Image processing was carried out using a custom-developed MATLAB-based software. The first step was to...
co-register the raw NIR images, so that the two images are aligned on the same coordinate system. This was followed by subtraction of the NIR image of the breast tissue obtained without the target from the NIR image obtained with the target present. The regions outside the breast tissue were masked (or cropped) in order to improve the image contrast by removing the noise. Finally, minimum and maximum pixel values were set by the user in order to distinguish areas of increased or decreased absorption in the image, allowing for the recognition of the target with improved contrast. The targets were seen as areas with increased absorption.

Experimental results from two different subjects are shown below in Figures 4 and 5. Figure 4 shows a set of images from subject #1’s left breast at a constant pressure. Figure 4B has the target, and Figure 4A does not have a target. Figure 4C is the final image after data processing including co-registration, subtraction, and masking. The region of increased absorption in the final image reveals where the target was placed.

Figure 5 also shows a set of images from subject #2 with applied pressure and with the target in the 6 o’clock location. The target can be distinguished in the last picture (Figure 5C) as the region in green in the bottom portion of the breast. It was also noticed that when the target was placed furthest away from the source, as in Figure 5, the target was still detectable due to its higher absorption. The greatest distance between the target and the top plate at which a target was able to be detected was at 8.8 cm. Hence, while the raw images do not reveal information about the location of the target, the target’s location can indeed be seen in the final image once image processing has been performed.

The preliminary in vivo studies on the normal breast tissues with a superficially placed target in the intramammary fold have shown that an increased absorption was clearly observed from the target site (up to 8.8 cm deep) when (maximum comfortable) pressure was applied. To date, an area illumination and area detection-based NIR device have demonstrated only sub-surface imaging (1 cm or less). NIROS has demonstrated detection of deep targets using area illumination/detection approach, along with post-processing techniques to improve the target detection greater than 8 cm deep. Although the images cannot precisely locate the target in 2D, their detected location was close to the true clock location as seen in Figures 4 and 5.

In an actual breast cancer case, NIROS may translate to imaging the contralateral breast and comparing the differences in the absorption across the two tissues, via symmetric clock-wise imaging of the breast (as in an US approach). Currently, work is carried out to image both the left and right breast tissues and compare the two, as there are structural differences between the two. These structural differences can lead to differences in NIR images (from physiological differences). Hence, understanding the hemodynamic differences between normal left and right breasts can help differentiate between the breast abnormalities with respect to the contralateral breast (acting as a reference) in the future. There are ongoing efforts to perform extensive in vivo studies under various experimental conditions to determine the capabilities of the noncontact hand-held NIROS in detecting smaller and deeper targets.

Studies in the past have revealed that only half of the insured women over the age of 40 are claiming annual mammogram screenings, despite medical recommendations endorsed across the USA and the world. Reasons for noncompliance suggested from various studies include discomfort during the exam, concern over radiation exposure, access to facilities, inconvenience/lack of time, embarrassment/cultural barriers, and denial. Thus, to improve breast cancer screening compliance, a need exists for a safe, comfortable, accessible, and convenient breast cancer prescreening tool to better triage the subjects for early detections/treatment options. There is a potential for a functional imaging tool

Figure 4 Transmitted NIR optical images of the left breast from subject #1 that were captured at a constant pressure applied on the breast (in all images). Notes: (A) NIR image was captured without pressure and without target. (B) NIR image was captured without pressure and with the target placed at the location indicated by the black hollow circle at 12 o’clock. (C) Post-processed NIR image after co-registering, subtracting, and masking. The black hollow circle in (B and C) depicts the 2D location of the target at 12 o’clock position in the intramammary fold of the left breast. Abbreviation: NIR, near-infrared.
to provide additional information to the CBE or SBEs at the prescreening stage that may provide more information to the clinicians. Although the hand-held noncontact NIROS cannot determine the accurate location of a target, as other 3D tomography-based optical imagers, it may have the potential to detect the presence of any abnormalities (or target(s)) as an initial assessment to breast prescreening. The ability to perform deep tissue imaging using a portable hand-held NIROS allows for in vivo breast studies. Following the extensive in vivo studies on normal subjects, studies will be performed on breast cancer subjects to validate the above-stated hypothesis and determine the capabilities of the device for breast cancer prescreening. In this effort, NIROS is currently modified to allow hemodynamic imaging (using a dual-wavelength source system) such that the changes in oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin from breast tissues can be determined apart from changes in absorption alone.

**Conclusion**

In this review, the existing and clinically applied breast cancer prescreening tools and screening imaging modalities are described. With a need for a systematic prescreening or early detection of breast cancer, the application of optical imaging modality is described. Although various research groups have developed many optical imaging devices for detection, diagnosis, and prognosis of breast cancer, a few of these devices have focused on early detection or prescreening. While a few of these hand-held optical devices have performed in vivo clinical studies on breast cancer subjects, there still remains extensive work to be performed to assess optical devices as potential future breast prescreening devices.
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