Postcataract endophthalmitis prophylaxis using irrigation, incision hydration, and eye pressurization with vancomycin
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Purpose: This study aimed to determine whether switching from balanced salt solution (BSS) to vancomycin 20 g/mL BSS for incision hydration and eye pressurization reduces the rate of postcataract endophthalmitis.

Methods: This was a patient safety/quality improvement project, including all patients undergoing cataract surgery at the Kaiser Permanente Colorado Ophthalmology Department from January 2002 to December 2014. Throughout the study, patients received vancomycin 20 µg/mL in the irrigating solution. During the baseline period from 2002 to 2005, surgeons pressurized eyes and hydrated incisions with plain BSS. During the intervention period from 2006 through 2014, surgeons switched from BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution for eye pressurization and incision hydration.

Results: A total of 57,263 cataract operations were performed by 24 surgeons at seven surgical centers: 12,400 in the baseline period and 44,863 in the intervention period. The rate of postcataract endophthalmitis declined significantly from 5/12,400 (rate: 0.4/1,000) in the baseline period to 1/44,863 (rate: 0.022/1,000) during the intervention period (odds ratio [OR]: 18.1, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.11–154.9; χ²=13.5, P=0.00024). Accounting for an estimated 2.05-fold risk reduction due to confounding variables, the risk reduction attributed to the intervention remained significant: (adjusted OR: 8.78, 95% CI: 1.73–44.5; χ²=10.06, P=0.0015). Since 2009, we have not experienced any cases of postcataract endophthalmitis after 32,753 operations.

Conclusion: We experienced a significant reduction in postcataract endophthalmitis when we switched from BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution for incision hydration and eye pressurization. The pharmacokinetics profile indicates that this switch was important for effective prophylaxis.
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Introduction

Postcataract endophthalmitis is an uncommon, potentially devastating complication of cataract surgery. In the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study, 31% of patients had visual acuity 20/200 or worse at 3 months after diagnosis.1 The most recently reported rate of postcataract endophthalmitis among US Medicare members was 1.11/1,000 in 2004.2 Because US surgeons operate approximately 3.5 million cataract cases annually,3,4 there are approximately 3,885 endophthalmitis cases, causing severe, permanent iatrogenic vision loss in approximately 1,200 eyes each year. Internationally, the rate is as much as ten times higher.5

Risk factors for endophthalmitis include age >85 years,2 posterior capsule rupture,6 clear corneal incisions (particularly when they leak on postoperative day 1),5,7 the use of silicone (rather than acrylic or polymethyl methacrylate) intraocular lenses...
(IOLs), low surgeon caseload, the failure to use povidone-iodine on the ocular surface, in addition to the povidone-iodine skin preparation, and the failure to use intracameral antibiotics. This study addresses the questions of safety and efficacy of vancomycin irrigation for endophthalmitis prophylaxis, while accounting for the confounders of declining intraoperative complication rates, declining silicone IOL use, and increasing surgeon caseloads in Kaiser Permanente Colorado Ophthalmology Department.

Vancomycin is the most widely used intracameral antibiotic in the US. According to the 2012 Annual Survey of United States members of the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, approximately 50% of cataract cases were operated using intracameral antibiotics, evenly split between irrigation and injection. In these cases, 56% of surgeons used vancomycin (approximately 980,000 cases, assuming the survey results can be extrapolated nationwide), while 31% used moxifloxacin and 13% used cefuroxime. Although cefuroxime has been packaged and approved for intracameral use in Europe (Aprokam, Thea, Clermont-Ferrand, France), no intracameral antibiotic has been packaged or approved in the US.

Since 2002, every cataract patient in the Kaiser Permanente Colorado Ophthalmology Department has received vancomycin 20 µg/mL in the irrigation fluid. When we diagnosed four cases of postcataract endophthalmitis in 2005, we reevaluated our protocols, with particular attention to the pharmacokinetics of vancomycin prophylaxis.

Pharmacokinetics
Contamination of the anterior chamber is common after cataract surgery, although the colony counts are low (20–60 colony forming units [CFU]/mL). The most common postcataract endophthalmitis pathogen is coagulase-negative Staphylococcus. Vancomycin’s mean inhibitory concentration for 90% of Staphylococcus endophthalmitis pathogens (MIC90) was 3–4 µg/mL in two recent series. Vancomycin is a slow killer, requiring 4.6 hours to reduce Staphylococcus epidermidis colony counts by a factor of 100 under ideal growth conditions. Mutant, highly resistant, slime-forming Staphylococcus epidermidis has a 1-hour delay in killing, while vancomycin dislodges the bacteria from the lens implant, particularly from a silicone lens implant. This may partially explain the increased risk of endophthalmitis with silicone lens implants. It is not surprising that vancomycin failed to sterilize aqueous in experiments lasting up to 120 minutes; the contact time was shorter than vancomycin’s known kill time.

If surgeons hydrate the incisions and pressurize eyes with the irrigating solution, the vancomycin concentration at the end of surgery is 20 µg/mL. After surgery, the vancomycin concentration drops through two-step kinetics: the half-life is 1.80 hours to 1.87 hours in the first 2 hours, then dilution slows, with a half-life of 3.27 hours for the next 16 hours. On the basis of these measurements, it takes approximately 6.45 hours for the vancomycin concentration to drop from 20 µg/mL to the MIC90 of 4 µg/mL.

However, if surgeons hydrate incisions and pressurize eyes with plain balanced salt solution (BSS), some of the vancomycin is washed out of the eye. In a recent series of ten of our cataract cases, these steps required an added volume V/b of 0.6–1.5 mL (mean: 1.05 mL). The final concentration C is of a diluted solution is C/+/Vb, where V is the initial volume (0.54 mL for the anterior chamber of the pseudophakic eye) and C is the initial concentration.

The concentration of vancomycin drops from 20 µg/mL to 5.2–9.7 µg/mL immediately after hydration and pressurization with plain BSS. After surgery, the concentration drops further, falling below the MIC90 of 4 µg/mL in 52 minutes to 181 minutes, which is probably insufficient for complete sterilization of the aqueous.

Our analysis indicates that the choice of fluid used for incision hydration and eye pressurization is an important factor in the efficacy of endophthalmitis prophylaxis with vancomycin irrigation. If these steps are performed with the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution, the vancomycin concentration is predicted to exceed the MIC90 for a sufficient time for effective endophthalmitis prophylaxis.
Since 2002, all cataract surgeries in our department have been performed using vancomycin in the irrigating solution (BSS, Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Compounding is done at our in-house, licensed compounding pharmacies, which also compound our bevacizumab for intravitreal injection and systemic chemotherapy agents. In brief, vancomycin powder (Hospira, Inc, Lake Forest, IL, USA), is reconstituted per manufacturer instructions to 50 mg/mL with sterile water for injection in a laminar flow hood. It is then diluted 5:1 in normal saline and divided in a sterile manner into single-use syringes containing 10 mg vancomycin/1.0 mL normal saline. Each lot is tested for sterility by incubation for 14 days, using positive controls. Compounding costs for drug acquisition and technician salary and benefits are <$2.00 per dose. The vancomycin mixture is injected by the circulating nurse into the 500 mL BSS bottle immediately before each surgical case, giving a final concentration of 20 µg/mL.14 Most patients receive a preoperative cellulose pledget (Weck-Cel, Becton Dickin-son, East Rutherford, NJ, USA) soaked with equal parts current-generation fluoroquinolone antibiotics, cyclopen-tolate 1%, phenylephrine 10%, and diclofenac 1% in the conjunctival cul-de-sac 45 minutes before surgery.29 In the operating room, all patients receive povidone/iodine 5% (Betadine, Alcon) preparation on the eyelid skin, eyelashes, and ocular surface before surgery.8

Throughout the study, at the end of surgery, all eyes were pressurized to a physiologic intraocular pressure, and incisions were hydrated. Each incision was carefully checked for aqueous leakage, either with a cellulose surgical spear or Seidel tested with fluorescein or Betadine solution. Leaky incisions were rehydrated or sutured. During the baseline period from 2002 to 2005 (baseline period), surgeons pressurized eyes and hydrated incisions with plain BSS. Starting in early 2006 (the intervention period), surgeons switched from using plain BSS to using the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution for eye pressurization and incision hydration. We instructed the scrub technicians to draw up all syringes for these steps from the irrigating fluid. In our operating rooms, there are no syringes with plain BSS on the instrument table, eliminating the possibility of an incorrect syringe being passed to the surgeon.

Outpatient topical antibiotics were prescribed by every surgeon throughout the study. From 2002 to 2012, the majority of surgeons prescribed current-generation fluoroquinolones, while others prescribed tobramycin or neomycin/polymyxin/dexamethasone. In late 2012, we noted reports of increasing fluoroquinolone resistance by endophthalmitis isolates,18,30 but good coverage of Gram-positive eye infection isolates with trimethoprim.31 In early 2013, we uniformly switched outpatient antibiotics to polymyxin B/trimethoprim drops four times daily, starting the day before surgery and continuing for a week after surgery.

Our retinal surgeons reported endophthalmitis cases (pain, erythema, corneal edema, decreased vision, and panuveitis) to our Infection Control Department. Suspected endophthalmitis patients received vitreous and aqueous taps for cultures, as well as intravitreal antibiotic injections (vancomycin 1 mg/0.1 mL and ceftazidime 2.25 mg/0.1 mL or amikacin 400 µg/0.1 mL). Patients with light perception or worse visual acuity typically underwent pars plana vitrectomy, per the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study protocol.1

Starting in 2005, we queried our electronic health record (HealthConnect, Epic, Madison, WI, USA) to ascertain every case of endophthalmitis (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition, ICD-9, 360.0x), regardless of cause. Both authors reviewed each case of endophthalmitis, and those occurring within 6 weeks of surgery, in the operated eye, without other intraocular procedures, trauma, or pathology, were classified as acute postcataract endophthalmitis. The presence or absence of intraoperative complications, including posterior capsule tear and vitreous loss, were recorded by surgeons in the electronic record for every case, as was the lens implant manufacturer, model, and power. Each chart was electronically audited for completeness, and surgeons were contacted to complete any missing data.

Odds ratios (ORs), confidence intervals (CIs), and χ² tests for statistical significance (P<0.05) were computed from standard formulas,32 using Microsoft Excel 2013 (Redmond, WA, USA). We estimated the confounding effects of our changing incidence of three risk factors for endophthalmitis: communication with the vitreous (posterior capsule tear and/or vitreous loss),4 surgeon caseload,2 and the use of silicone IOLs.6 We multiplied the published ORs for endophthalmitis (approximately equal to relative risk [RR] for rare events in large samples),32 for each risk factor by the change in incidence of the risk factor, to develop weighted ORs. We multiplied the three weighted ORs to estimate the reduction in endophthalmitis due to risk factor modification. Then we estimated the effect of the intervention, without the confounding risk factor modifications, by dividing the raw ORs by the OR estimated for risk factor modification.

**Results**

From 2002 to 2014, 24 surgeons operated on 57,263 cataracts at seven surgical venues. The rate of posterior capsule
rupture declined from 1.9% to 0.9%, surgeons’ cataract caseload increased by a factor of 2.67, and the use of silicone IOLs decreased from approximately 60% to 2%. Six cases of postcataract endophthalmitis occurred (Figure 1). Table 1 gives the details of the cases. One case of postcataract endophthalmitis was reported in 2003, with limited details. One case of chronic uveitis, operated in 2003, which resolved after pars plana vitrectomy, lensectomy, and removal of capsule plaques, and which grew Propionibacterium acnes in 2005, was classified as chronic postcataract endophthalmitis. Three of six cases occurred after operations complicated by posterior capsule tear and/or vitreous loss.

Table 2 summarizes the rates and statistics. From 2002 to 2005 (baseline), there were five cases of postcataract endophthalmitis after 12,400 cataract operations. From 2006 through 2014 (intervention), we experienced one case of endophthalmitis after 44,863 cataract operations. The rate of postcataract endophthalmitis was significantly higher in the baseline period (0.40/1,000) than in the intervention period (0.022/1,000) (raw OR: 18.1, 95% CI: 2.11–154.9; \( \chi^2 = 13.5, P = 0.00024 \)). Since 2009, we have experienced no cases of endophthalmitis after 32,753 cataract operations. After switching from majority use of fourth-generation fluoroquinolone outpatient antibiotics to uniform use of polymyxin B/trimethoprim in early 2013, we continued to have no postcataract endophthalmitis for the following 13,205 cases.

Table 3 summarizes the estimated effects of the following known confounders: decreasing use of silicone IOLs, decreasing communication with the vitreous, and increasing surgeon caseload. The confounders are estimated to reduce the endophthalmitis rate by a factor of 2.05, in total. Accounting for the confounders, the estimated effect of the intervention remained significant (adjusted OR: 8.78, 95% CI: 1.73–44.5; \( \chi^2 = 10.06, P = 0.0015 \)).

### Discussion

We experienced a significant reduction in postcataract endophthalmitis after we switched from plain BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigation fluid for eye pressurization and incision hydration, while maintaining our standard infection control practices. This may be the first study to show a statistically significant decrease in postcataract endophthalmitis with vancomycin irrigation.\(^\text{14,27,33}\) Our experience of absence of endophthalmitis after 32,753 cataract operations from 2009 through 2014 is, to our knowledge, the longest, largest, multisurgeon, multiveneue series of its kind.

The pharmacokinetic analysis indicates that switching from plain BSS to vancomycin/BSS for irrigation, pressurization, and incision hydration maintains the vancomycin concentration greater than the MIC\(_90\) for >6 hours. This switch may be necessary for effective endophthalmitis prophylaxis using vancomycin irrigation.\(^\text{20,21,25}\) Other authors draw opposite conclusions about the sufficiency of the vancomycin dwell time, based on the rate of aqueous turnover.\(^\text{34}\) But our calculations are based on published measurements of vancomycin concentrations versus time in postoperative cataract patients,\(^\text{15,22,26}\) as well as published kill times for Staphylococci,\(^\text{20,21,26}\) enhancing their validity. Experimental studies failing to show sterilization of aqueous after exposure to vancomycin for 30 minutes to 120 minutes,\(^\text{15,22–24}\) probably suffered from inadequate time for vancomycin to kill pathogens.\(^\text{20,21,25}\) Other studies failing to show a significant decrease in postcataract endophthalmitis with vancomycin irrigation\(^\text{27,33}\) probably suffered from flaws in technique: hydrating incisions and pressurizing eyes with plain BSS instead of the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution.\(^\text{27}\)

Our study has additional strengths, which enhance the accuracy of discernment of endophthalmitis cases. Using an electronic health record probably reduced recall bias, because all cases of endophthalmitis were reviewed, regardless of cause. Mandatory surgeon reporting of the presence or absence of complications on every case also probably decreased recall bias. Our health system is a prepaid, closed

---

**Figure 1** Endophthalmitis cases during the study period.

**Notes:** Starting in early 2006, surgeons switched from BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigation fluid for incision hydration and eye pressurization, while maintaining the standard infection control practices of fluoroquinolone-soaked topical pledgets, povidone–iodine antisepsis of the eyelids and ocular surface, and vancomycin irrigation. **Abbreviation:** BSS, balanced salt solution.
Table 1 Details of endophthalmitis cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Intraoperative complications</th>
<th>Culture results</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Propionibacterium acnes</td>
<td>20/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>PC tear, vitreous prolapse</td>
<td>α-Hemolytic Streptococcus</td>
<td>20/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>PC tear</td>
<td>Staphylococcus non-aureus</td>
<td>20/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>α-Hemolytic Streptococcus viridans</td>
<td>20/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>PC tear, anterior vitrectomy, ECCE, ACIOL, wound leak</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>20/40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Case 2, operated in 2003, developed chronic uveitis, for which pars plana vitrectomy and capsulectomy were performed in 2005, with culture results as shown. *Final visual acuity not available.

Abbreviations: PC, posterior capsule; ACIOL, anterior chamber intraocular lens; ECCE, unplanned manual extracapsular cataract extraction.

Table 2 Cataract cases and endophthalmitis rates for the periods 2002–2005 and 2006–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Cataract cases</th>
<th>PCE cases</th>
<th>Rate/1,000</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002–2005</td>
<td>12,400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>2.11–154.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.00024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2014</td>
<td>44,863</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Baseline, 2002–2005: preoperative pledget soaked with current-generation fluoroquinolone drops, povidone–iodine skin and ocular surface preparation, vancomycin 20 µg/mL irrigation, incision hydration, and eye pressurization with BSS; and intervention, 2006–2014: switch from BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigation fluid for incision hydration and eye pressurization, while maintaining the baseline prophylactic measures. Statistics compare the baseline period to the intervention period.

Abbreviations: BSS, balanced salt solution; CI, confidence interval; PCE, postcataract endophthalmitis.
we have not noted an increase in cystoid macular edema with vancomycin irrigation, although it was noted in a teaching hospital with prolonged cases.49

Three percent to 8% of endophthalmitis cases are caused by Gram-negative bacteria,16,17 but we have never experienced a case of Gram-negative postcataract endophthalmitis. We hypothesize that povidone–iodine antiseptics, the fluoroquinolone-soaked topical pledgets, and topical antibiotic drops have been sufficient to eliminate Gram-negative bacteria in our center. Gram-negative endophthalmitis isolates have not developed resistance to fluoroquinolones or trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole.17

The American Academy of Ophthalmology and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have urged caution in using vancomycin irrigation in the hospital setting, citing the potential for induction of vancomycin resistance.50 However, fears of inducing vancomycin resistance in the population from use during outpatient surgery may be unfounded.25,51 With irrigation, if the 10 µg dose of vancomycin in the anterior chamber were absorbed systemically, the serum concentration would be 10 µg/3,500 mL or 2.9 ng/mL. With an intracameral injection of 1 mg, the serum vancomycin concentration would be 290 ng/mL. These concentrations are almost certainly insufficient to induce systemic resistance.52 Measurements of antimicrobial susceptibilities of viridans group Streptococci isolated from the conjunctiva, nasopharynx, and oropharynx of patients did not show resistance to vancomycin after cataract surgery with vancomycin irrigation.53 Finally, some argue that prophylaxis should use a drug with a different mechanism of action than the drug used for treatment, to avoid treatment failures if endophthalmitis cases occur.34 However, if vancomycin prophylaxis essentially eradicates postcataract endophthalmitis (one case after 44,863 operations in our intervention period), the effect of a hypothetical treatment failure with vancomycin in a case of endophthalmitis becomes statistically small.

Some question the financial value of intracameral antibiotics.34,41 However, a detailed cost–benefit study showed an 18-fold greater value of intracameral cefuroxime prophylaxis versus that with topical fourth-generation fluoroquinolone antibiotics.54 Our internal compounding pharmacy’s cost of <$2.00 per dose for vancomycin drug acquisition and pharmacy technician salary and benefits, as well as the retail price of compounded vancomycin (Leiter’s Pharmacy, San Jose, CA, USA), compare favorably with the average acquisition price of $102.81–$124.84 for topical fourth-generation fluoroquinolones.35

A randomized clinical trial with thousands of patients, such as the European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons endophthalmitis trial,9 would be the most definitive tool to determine whether irrigation, pressurization, and hydration with the vancomycin/BSS irrigating fluid significantly reduces postcataract endophthalmitis. However, such a study was far beyond the scope of this quality improvement project. Compared to a randomized clinical trial, any patient safety/quality improvement project such as this interventional case series is inherently limited by the lack of a control group and the effects of confounding variables. Our decreasing posterior capsule rupture and vitreous loss rates,6,10 our increasing surgical volume,2,5 and our switch from silicone to acrylic lens implants6,9,21 probably contributed to our drop in the endophthalmitis rate.

We performed a sensitivity analysis to quantify the effects of these confounders. Table 3 summarizes these effects. A recent meta-analysis of over six million cataract cases quantified the RR and ORs of several risk factors.6 Posterior capsule tear/vitreous loss is associated with a 6.5-fold increase in endophthalmitis.6 Our 1.0% reduction in capsule tears and/or vitreous loss, from 1.9% to 0.9% of cases, would be expected to reduce our endophthalmitis rate by a factor of 1+ (6.5×0.01) =1.065. Silicone IOLs are associated with a 3.0-fold increased risk for endophthalmitis versus polymethyl methacrylate or acrylic lenses.6 Our 58% reduction in the use of silicone lenses, from 60% of cases to 2% of cases, would be expected to reduce our endophthalmitis rate by
a factor of 3.0×0.58=1.74. Low annual surgical caseload has been associated with an increasing endophthalmitis rate, with an RR of 1.27 per 225 cases.2 Our mean surgical volume increased by 88 cases, from 221 in the baseline period to 309 in the intervention period. This would be expected to reduce our endophthalmitis rate by a factor of 1-(0.27×[88/225]) =1.106. Some confounders are correlated: compared to surgeons with low annual caseloads, surgeons with high caseloads have lower capsule tear rates,56 and they use intracameral antibiotics more frequently.15 Nonetheless, we multiplied the effects of the three expected confounders, to give their maximum, total estimated effect on our endophthalmitis rate: 1.74×1.065×1.106=2.05. We might expect as much as a 2.05-fold reduction in endophthalmitis due to these confounders. Clearly, these confounders cannot explain the 18-fold decrease in the endophthalmitis rate we observed. After accounting for the estimated effect of the three confounders, the estimated adjusted effect of the intervention remained statistically significant.

Future research could further enhance our understanding of postcataract endophthalmitis. National endophthalmitis registries, as in Sweden10 and Taiwan,5 indicate trends in rates, with large enough sample sizes to ensure statistical and clinical significance. Annual reporting of the US Medicare endophthalmitis rate would help American surgeons compare their rates to international rates and trends. Large health care systems, such as Kaiser Permanente11 and the United States Veterans Administration,57 could establish uniform standards for prophylaxis and monitor known risk factors such as posterior capsule rupture and IOL type. Then, they could report endophthalmitis rates as interventions are made, providing prospective evidence for their efficacy. Studies showing resistance rates of endophthalmitis pathogens should give the MIC90 values,18,19 together with percentage resistance,16,17,30 because topical and intracameral antibiotics attain much higher concentrations than the break points for systemic antibiotics.31 When intracameral antibiotics are used, the added risk reduction due to topical antibiotics is probably small.9–11 Because US surgeons commonly use fourth-generation fluoroquinolones for topical endophthalmitis prophylaxis,13 emerging resistance to these agents should continue to be monitored.18,30 Surgeons concerned about emerging fluoroquinolone resistance may choose to switch to more effective, older-generation antibiotics, such as trimethoprim–polymyxin B,32 as we did.

In summary, we experienced a significant reduction in postcataract endophthalmitis when we switched from plain BSS to the vancomycin/BSS irrigating solution for hydrating incisions and pressurizing eyes, while maintaining our standard prophylactic measures of betadine antisepsis of the eyelids and ocular surface, antibiotic-soaked pledgets, topical outpatient antibiotics, and vancomycin irrigation. Diluting compounded vancomycin in the irrigating bottle may offer significant safety advantages relative to direct intracameral injection of compounded drugs. Switching from majority use of fourth-generation fluoroquinolone outpatient topical antibiotics to uniform use of polymyxin B/trimethoprim was not associated with any cases of endophthalmitis. Cataract surgeons who irrigate with vancomycin should consider hydrating incisions and pressurizing eyes with the irrigating solution, instead of plain BSS.
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