Adverse drug reactions and drug–drug interactions with over-the-counter NSAIDs
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Abstract: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen have a long history of safe and effective use as both prescription and over-the-counter (OTC) analgesics/antipyretics. The mechanism of action of all NSAIDs is through reversible inhibition of cyclooxygenase enzymes. Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) including gastrointestinal bleeding as well as cardiovascular and renal effects have been reported with NSAID use. In many cases, ADRs may occur because of drug–drug interactions (DDIs) between the NSAID and a concomitant medication. For example, DDIs have been reported when NSAIDs are coadministered with aspirin, alcohol, some antihypertensives, antidepressants, and other commonly used medications. Because of the pharmacologic nature of these interactions, there is a continuum of risk in that the potential for an ADR is dependent on total drug exposure. Therefore, consideration of dose and duration of NSAID use, as well as the type or class of comedication administered, is important when assessing potential risk for ADRs. Safety findings from clinical studies evaluating prescription-strength NSAIDs may not be directly applicable to OTC dosing. Health care providers can be instrumental in educating patients that using OTC NSAIDs at the lowest effective dose for the shortest required duration is vital to balancing efficacy and safety. This review discusses some of the most clinically relevant DDIs reported with NSAIDs based on major sites of ADRs and classes of medication, with a focus on OTC ibuprofen, for which the most data are available.
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Introduction

Cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors, commonly called nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as ibuprofen, diclofenac, and naproxen, have anti-inflammatory and analgesic/antipyretic properties across a wide range of dosing regimens. Prescription-strength NSAIDs are effective for relief of chronic musculoskeletal pain and inflammation in conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) or osteoarthritis (OA). Lower, over-the-counter (OTC) doses of NSAIDs are effective for short-term (eg, ≤10 days) relief of minor aches and pains due to headache, toothache, backache, menstrual cramps, common cold, muscular aches, and arthritis. NSAIDs taken at OTC doses can also be effective at relieving painful episodes in patients with chronic diseases such as OA. Ibuprofen is an NSAID with a long history of safe and effective use at both prescription (maximum 2,400–3,200 mg/d) and OTC (<1,200 mg/d) doses. Single-dose studies using OTC doses have confirmed that ibuprofen (400 mg) provides superior analgesic efficacy to acetaminophen (1,000 mg).

All NSAIDs inhibit COX, an enzyme that converts arachidonic acid to prostaglandins, thereby mediating pain, inflammation, and fever. In the process,
prostaglandins, including thromboxane A₂ (which stimulates platelet aggregation and blood clot formation) in platelets and prostacyclin (a vasodilator that inhibits platelet aggregation) in the endothelium.² Two COX isoenzymes (COX-1 and COX-2) are commonly recognized. In general, COX-1 is constitutively expressed and is involved in gastroprotection from stomach acid and in thromboxane formation by platelets. COX-2 is inducible by inflammatory mediators in a wide range of tissues and has been associated with inflammation; however, it may also be constitutively expressed, where it contributes to renal physiology, reproductive function, bone resorption, and neurotransmission.⁸

Drug–drug interactions (DDIs) have been reported when NSAIDs are coadministered with some common medications, and these DDIs can be classified in several ways. DDIs may result from pharmacokinetic interactions (ie, alterations in drug absorption, volume of distribution, metabolism, or excretion), pharmacodynamic interactions (ie, additive, synergistic, or antagonistic effects that occur despite unaltered plasma levels of the drugs), pharmaceutical incompatibility (eg, combinations of acids and bases), a combination of these mechanisms, or other unknown mechanisms.⁹ One type of pharmacokinetic DDI of relevance to NSAIDs occurs when one highly protein-bound drug (eg, NSAID) competes for binding sites with other protein-bound drugs in the plasma.⁹,¹⁰

When assessing the safety profile of NSAIDs, it is important to distinguish between prescription and OTC use, especially since many adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are dose related.¹¹ Prescription users typically use high-dose NSAIDs for prolonged periods and are monitored for ADRs on a regular basis by health care providers. In contrast, although risks are generally lower with occasional use of OTC NSAIDs, users of these products are not routinely monitored by a health care provider and may be at risk for unrecognized ADRs. In addition, although a majority of consumers use OTC NSAIDs as per labeled instructions, a small proportion may exceed recommended doses,¹² likely resulting in the intake of prescription-level doses, the safety profile of which has also been well characterized.

This review discusses some of the most clinically relevant DDIs reported with NSAIDs based on major sites of ADRs and classes of medication, with a focus on OTC ibuprofen, the most commonly used OTC NSAID.¹¹ Fewer studies are available regarding ADRs and DDIs with other OTC NSAIDs (eg, naproxen, ketoprofen, diclofenac); these data have been included where available. It is likely that the interactions described for ibuprofen apply to these other NSAIDs as well, since they share the same mechanism of action and many of the same pharmacologic properties (with the exception of their degree of affinity for COX-1 vs COX-2). Given the low doses and short-term use of all OTC NSAIDs, risks of DDIs are generally much lower than for the corresponding full prescription dosages of these agents.

**Major sites of ADRs**

ADRs associated with NSAIDs primarily manifest in gastrointestinal (GI), cardiovascular (CV), and renal sites.

**GI effects**

GI complications are well-recognized risks of NSAIDs as a class and vary by the respective NSAID used as well as by dose (ie, higher doses = more GI risk).¹³⁻¹⁶ Aspirin increases bleeding risk, even at low cardioprotective doses (eg, 75–300 mg).¹⁷,¹⁸ In terms of nonselective NSAIDs, a meta-analysis of data from three retrospective case-control studies found that ibuprofen had the lowest odds ratio (OR) for development of GI bleeding versus diclofenac, naproxen, piroxicam, and indomethacin, but that the OR increases with dose level for each agent (Figure 1).¹⁹

The Paracetamol, Aspirin, and Ibuprofen New Tolerability (PAIN) study (N=8,677) assessed the frequency of significant adverse events (AEs) associated with OTC analgesic dosing in patients with acute pain.²⁰ In this study, a significant AE was defined as any event that was serious, severe, or moderate; necessitated a second physician consultation; led to treatment discontinuation; or was of missing intensity. The PAIN study demonstrated that OTC ibuprofen (≤1,200 mg/d) was similar to acetaminophen (≤3,000 mg/d) in terms of the incidence of significant AEs (13.7% vs 14.5%, respectively), but that statistically significantly fewer such events occurred with ibuprofen in comparison with aspirin (≤3,000 mg/d, 18.7%; P<0.001) during 1–7 days of treatment.²⁰ As expected, rates of GI AEs were significantly lower in patients receiving OTC doses of ibuprofen versus aspirin (4.0% vs 7.1%; P<0.001), and interestingly, ibuprofen was noted to produce significantly fewer overall GI AEs vs acetaminophen (5.3%; P=0.025).²⁰

The PAIN study also identified numerous factors associated with increased risk of AEs, including female sex, older age, height ≤160 cm, use of the analgesic for musculoskeletal pain (vs menstrual cramps, sore throat, toothache, or fever), and these DDIs can be classified in several ways. DDIs may result from pharmacokinetic interactions (ie, alterations in drug absorption, volume of distribution, metabolism, or excretion), pharmacodynamic interactions (ie, additive, synergistic, or antagonistic effects that occur despite unaltered plasma levels of the drugs), pharmaceutical incompatibility (eg, combinations of acids and bases), a combination of these mechanisms, or other unknown mechanisms.⁹ One type of pharmacokinetic DDI of relevance to NSAIDs occurs when one highly protein-bound drug (eg, NSAID) competes for binding sites with other protein-bound drugs in the plasma.⁹,¹⁰

When assessing the safety profile of NSAIDs, it is important to distinguish between prescription and OTC use, especially since many adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are dose related.¹¹ Prescription users typically use high-dose NSAIDs for prolonged periods and are monitored for ADRs on a regular basis by health care providers. In contrast, although risks are generally lower with occasional use of OTC NSAIDs, users of these products are not routinely monitored by a health care provider and may be at risk for unrecognized ADRs. In addition, although a majority of consumers use OTC NSAIDs as per labeled instructions, a small proportion may exceed recommended doses,¹² likely resulting in the intake of prescription-level doses, the safety profile of which has also been well characterized.

This review discusses some of the most clinically relevant DDIs reported with NSAIDs based on major sites of ADRs and classes of medication, with a focus on OTC ibuprofen, the most commonly used OTC NSAID.¹¹ Fewer studies are available regarding ADRs and DDIs with other OTC NSAIDs (eg, naproxen, ketoprofen, diclofenac); these data have been included where available. It is likely that the interactions described for ibuprofen apply to these other NSAIDs as well, since they share the same mechanism of action and many of the same pharmacologic properties (with the exception of their degree of affinity for COX-1 vs COX-2). Given the low doses and short-term use of all OTC NSAIDs, risks of DDIs are generally much lower than for the corresponding full prescription dosages of these agents.

**Major sites of ADRs**

ADRs associated with NSAIDs primarily manifest in gastrointestinal (GI), cardiovascular (CV), and renal sites.

**GI effects**

GI complications are well-recognized risks of NSAIDs as a class and vary by the respective NSAID used as well as by dose (ie, higher doses = more GI risk).¹³⁻¹⁶ Aspirin increases bleeding risk, even at low cardioprotective doses (eg, 75–300 mg).¹⁷,¹⁸ In terms of nonselective NSAIDs, a meta-analysis of data from three retrospective case-control studies found that ibuprofen had the lowest odds ratio (OR) for development of GI bleeding versus diclofenac, naproxen, piroxicam, and indomethacin, but that the OR increases with dose level for each agent (Figure 1).¹⁹

The Paracetamol, Aspirin, and Ibuprofen New Tolerability (PAIN) study (N=8,677) assessed the frequency of significant adverse events (AEs) associated with OTC analgesic dosing in patients with acute pain.²⁰ In this study, a significant AE was defined as any event that was serious, severe, or moderate; necessitated a second physician consultation; led to treatment discontinuation; or was of missing intensity. The PAIN study demonstrated that OTC ibuprofen (≤1,200 mg/d) was similar to acetaminophen (≤3,000 mg/d) in terms of the incidence of significant AEs (13.7% vs 14.5%, respectively), but that statistically significantly fewer such events occurred with ibuprofen in comparison with aspirin (≤3,000 mg/d, 18.7%; P<0.001) during 1–7 days of treatment.²⁰ As expected, rates of GI AEs were significantly lower in patients receiving OTC doses of ibuprofen versus aspirin (4.0% vs 7.1%; P<0.001), and interestingly, ibuprofen was noted to produce significantly fewer overall GI AEs vs acetaminophen (5.3%; P=0.025).²⁰

The PAIN study also identified numerous factors associated with increased risk of AEs, including female sex, older age, height ≤160 cm, use of the analgesic for musculoskeletal pain (vs menstrual cramps, sore throat, toothache, or fever),
concomitant use of prohibited medications, and increasing number of concomitant medications.\textsuperscript{21}

The prospective, observational Arthritis, Rheumatism, and Aging Medical Information System database study evaluated the GI toxicity of ibuprofen, aspirin, and acetaminophen at various doses in patients with RA (n=5,692) or OA (n=3,124) and found no GI toxicity rates of \textgreater 1\% when each respective agent was taken alone, especially when used occasionally or intermittently at lower doses.\textsuperscript{22} Interestingly, the investigators found that rates of GI events were significantly higher ($P<0.05$) in the acetaminophen group taking concurrent therapy plus corticosteroids compared with those taking either ibuprofen or aspirin with concurrent therapy plus corticosteroids.\textsuperscript{22}

In comparisons of GI AEs among NSAID users, results from several meta-analyses further support a lower risk of GI AEs with ibuprofen compared with other NSAIDs.\textsuperscript{15,16,19,23} For example, Richy et al reported a lower relative risk (RR) for GI complications with ibuprofen (1,200 mg/d median dose; RR: 1.19; 95\% confidence interval [CI]: 0.93–1.54 vs no NSAID use) than with other NSAIDs, including naproxen (875 mg/d median dose; RR: 1.83; 95\% CI: 1.25–2.68 vs no NSAID use).\textsuperscript{23} A case-control study (N=1,217; 627 cases with GI bleeding and 590 controls) found that GI bleeding risk increased dose dependently by current ibuprofen use (OR: 1.8; 95\% CI: 0.8–4.1 for \textless 600 mg/d; OR: 3.5; 95\% CI: 1.2–10.7 for 601–1,200 mg/d; and OR: 3.9; 95\% CI: 1.2–12.9 for \textgreater 1,200 mg/d).\textsuperscript{24}

In addition to strength of dose, length of treatment also contributes to GI risk. Lewis et al interviewed 359 persons admitted to hospitals in the Philadelphia, PA, USA, area, and 1,889 randomly telephoned controls for serious GI toxicity to evaluate the potential contribution of OTC NSAIDs to GI toxicity. They found no association of infrequent use (1–3 days in the index week) of OTC NSAIDs with serious upper GI toxicity (OR: 0.67; 95\% CI: 0.43–1.06), but the association was significant when OTC NSAIDs were used 4–7 days in the index week (OR: 1.83; 95\% CI: 1.14–2.95).\textsuperscript{25}

Concomitant medications also influence the risk of GI events among NSAID users. The risk of upper GI events is increased when non-aspirin NSAIDs are combined with aspirin, but this increase in risk may be ameliorated when
NSAIDs are used concurrently with ulcer-healing drugs (ie, proton pump inhibitors).26

CV risk
All non-aspirin NSAIDs may be associated with a potential increase in CV thrombotic risk.27 A US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Advisory Committee (February 10–11, 2014) concluded that there is insufficient evidence to support claims of difference among NSAIDs with regard to CV risk.35 Labeling for OTC NSAIDs currently states, “the risk of heart attack or stroke may increase if you use more than directed or for longer than directed.”39,40 However, the FDA Advisory Committee concluded that there is no period of latency for CV thrombotic risk, and therefore, patients should take the lowest dose of NSAIDs for the shortest period of time possible.28

A majority of the data on CV risk among NSAID users is from epidemiological studies of prescription NSAIDs. COX-2 inhibitors were developed as prescription NSAIDs with lower GI risks, but some have been posited to have increased CV risk.31,32 In studies evaluating potential risk for CV events (myocardial infarction [MI] or death), ibuprofen at prescription doses has been associated with a range from no risk (adjusted rate ratio: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.81–1.14)33 to a significantly increased risk (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.84; 95% CI: 1.62–2.08; P<0.01).34 Prescription doses of naproxen have been associated with a significantly decreased risk (adjusted rate ratio: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.62–0.92)34 to significantly increased risk (adjusted OR: 1.27; 95% CI: 1.01–1.60; P=0.04) for CV events when taken within the previous 3 months.35

There are few data on actual CV risk with NSAID use at OTC doses, but risk is likely to be small, especially in younger patients who have few CV risk factors.27 It has been hypothesized that the increase in CV risk among NSAID users stems from increased blood pressure (BP) due to COX-2 inhibition in the kidneys—an effect that has not been observed with OTC doses.27 The PAIN study (n=2,886 for OTC ibuprofen), although not specifically designed and/or powered to assess CV risk, reported a low rate of CV events (0.4%) with OTC ibuprofen (up to 1,200 mg/d) that was not significantly different from that of either aspirin (up to 3,000 mg/d; 0.7%; P=0.13) or acetaminophen (up to 3,000 mg/d; 0.6%; P=0.28).20 Although prescription NSAIDs (especially COX-2 inhibitors) are associated with increased risk of death in patients with chronic heart failure, risk does not appear to be increased with OTC ibuprofen (HR: 0.99 for doses ≥1,200 mg/d) or naproxen (HR: 0.88 for doses ≤500 mg/d) in these patients.36

As noted above, the labeling for OTC NSAIDs indicates a potential increase in stroke risk if taken at higher doses or for longer than recommended durations.29,30 A longitudinal cohort study in Denmark reported that risk of stroke is slightly increased (standardized mortality ratio of 1.10 [95% CI: 1.0–1.3] for hemorrhagic stroke and 1.18 [95% CI: 1.1–1.3] for other stroke) among users of prescription ibuprofen.37 However, a second longitudinal cohort study comparing new users of NSAIDs or COX-2 inhibitors with nonusers in a Pennsylvania Medicare drug benefit program found no increased risk of stroke with ibuprofen (rate ratio: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.78–1.16) or naproxen (rate ratio: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.67–1.04).33

Renal toxicity
All NSAIDs can alter renal function by inhibiting COX-1 (which regulates renal hemodynamics and glomerular filtration) and/or COX-2 (which mediates salt and water excretion) expressed in the kidneys.38 Uncommon, but concerning, renal syndromes caused by nonselective NSAIDs include sodium retention, peripheral edema, increased BP and weight, congestive heart failure (rare), hyperkalemia, and acute renal failure.39 Risk factors include preexisting severe hepatic or renal dysfunction, nephrotic syndrome with high-level proteinuria, older age, diabetes, hypertension, and congestive heart failure.39 Furthermore, individuals experiencing renal stress (eg, dehydration) from exercise in hot environments may be at a small increased risk for acute renal failure with ibuprofen.39 A nested case-control analysis of new prescription NSAID users over 65 years of age in Quebec, Canada, (n=4,228 cases hospitalized for acute renal failure; n=84,540 matched controls) found that risk of renal failure was increased with current new use of NSAIDs (rate ratio: 2.05; 95% CI: 1.61–2.60), including naproxen (rate ratio: 2.42; 95% CI: 1.52–3.85), conventional NSAIDs (rate ratio: 2.30; 95% CI: 1.60–3.32), and celecoxib (rate ratio: 1.54; 95% CI: 1.14–2.09).40

NSAIDs may lessen response to diuretics and worsen renal insufficiency associated with use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs);38 however, a study of OTC analogesics in elderly patients with diuretic-treated hypertension and mild renal insufficiency found no significant impact of ibuprofen on creatinine clearance nor on blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, sodium, or potassium levels.41

Indeed, OTC ibuprofen in general has been shown to have a low risk of renal AEs. In a case-control study of Tennessee
Medicaid recipients (N=11,698), OTC ibuprofen doses were not associated with increased risk for acute renal failure; however, the risk increased dose dependently (adjusted ORs: 0.94, 1.89, and 2.32 at ≤1,200, >1,200–<2,400, and ≥2,400 mg/d, respectively). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have found no increased risk for renal failure in children taking ibuprofen for fever. Despite evidence from large clinical trials, case studies have reported renal failure in children taking OTC ibuprofen; dehydration may have been a contributing factor. There have also been cases reported of transient renal failure among children with cystic fibrosis who were concurrently treated with ibuprofen and intravenous aminoglycosides.

In summary, risk of GI bleeding varies by NSAID and is related to both dose and duration of treatment. Short-term, infrequent use of ibuprofen at OTC doses has a low risk similar to that of acetaminophen and less than that of aspirin. Bleeding risk is increased if NSAIDs are used concomitantly with aspirin and decreased if used with ulcer-healing drugs. All non-aspirin NSAIDs may increase CV thrombotic risk, based largely on epidemiological studies of prescription NSAID use. Limited data are available to allow for assessment of the magnitude of this risk in users of OTC NSAIDs, but risk is likely to be low, especially in young patients with few CV risk factors. Long-term use of high-dose NSAIDs may increase stroke risk, but the corollary among short-term users of low-dose NSAIDs is not well defined. Renal toxicity is an uncommon AE of all NSAIDs, and risk is elevated in those who have preexisting severe hepatic or renal dysfunction, nephrotic syndrome with high-level proteinuria, older age, diabetes, hypertension, congestive heart failure, or dehydration. NSAIDs may lessen response to diuretics and worsen renal insufficiency associated with use of ACEIs and ARBs. Risk of these interactions and renal AEs is low among users of OTC ibuprofen.

### Overdose

Unlike acetaminophen and aspirin, the margin of safety (ie, difference between minimal effective dose and minimal toxic dose) with ibuprofen is high, and ibuprofen is not associated with a substantial incidence of serious ADRs in cases of overdose. A comparative study found that among 10,134 reported cases of overdose with acetaminophen (48.0%), aspirin (36.6%), and ibuprofen (15.4%) reported to US poison control centers, life-threatening or fatal events were reported in 5.6%, 5.9%, and 1.6% of adults and in 0.3%, 0.4%, and 0.4% of children, respectively.

### Potential drug interactions

#### Antihypertensives

Hypertension and chronic pain can be frequent comorbidities in the elderly and those with chronic disease; therefore, concomitant use of NSAIDs and antihypertensives is common. Efficacy of medications that act on renal prostaglandins (eg, furosemide) or modify their effects (eg, other diuretics or ACEIs) may be reduced, resulting in increases in BP with NSAID coadministration because of inhibition of renal prostaglandins and increased fluid retention.

Some trials found increased risk of DDIs when prescription-strength NSAIDs and antihypertensives were coadministered over a period of multiple weeks. Other trials found no significant effect on BP when OTC doses of ibuprofen or naproxen were combined with antihypertensives. A recent post hoc analysis of an RCT comparing the effects of lumiracoxib (a prescription COX-2 inhibitor; 100 mg/d) with prescription ibuprofen (1,800 mg/d) in OA patients with hypertension controlled on stable doses of antihypertensive medication(s) observed small but consistent increases of 2.2 mmHg overall versus baseline in 24-hour mean systolic BP (SBP) in the ibuprofen-treated group. The greatest increases occurred when ibuprofen was combined with ARBs or ACEIs (Figure 2).

In addition to effects on BP, there is concern that an interaction between NSAIDs and antihypertensive agents may increase the risk of acute kidney injury, since each of these classes of drugs affects kidney function via differing mechanisms.
mechanisms. A large case-control analysis consisting of 2,215 cases of acute kidney injury and 21,993 controls without kidney injury, all of whom were taking antihypertensive agents, found that risk of acute kidney injury was not significantly increased when NSAIDs were combined with diuretics, ACEIs, or ARBs; however, a significant increase was observed in those taking triple therapy consisting of an NSAID, a diuretic, and either an ACEI or an ARB (adjusted rate ratio: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.12–1.53). In another study, four of 17 African American women who received fosinopril 10–40 mg/d and hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) 25 mg/d for hypertension and ibuprofen 2,400 mg/d over 1 month for OA developed acute renal failure (ie, glomerular filtration rate decrease ≥25%).

Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors
Inhibitors of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system include antihypertensives such as ACEIs, ARBs, and direct renin inhibitors. A 4-week RCT of prescription ibuprofen (2,400 mg/d), nabumetone (2,000 mg/d), celecoxib (400 mg/d), or placebo in hypertensive patients (N=385) receiving an ACEI found that ibuprofen coadministration, but not nabumetone or celecoxib coadministration, significantly increased SBP by a mean ± standard error of 6.5±1.4 mmHg (P=0.0001) and diastolic BP by 3.5±0.9 mmHg (P=0.0069) from baseline. Prescription-strength ibuprofen was also associated with the greatest percentage of patients who experienced SBP changes of clinical concern, defined as a 20 mmHg increase from baseline and measuring >140 mmHg: 16.7% versus 5.5% in the nabumetone group, 4.6% in the celecoxib group, and 1.1% in the placebo group (P<0.001 for ibuprofen vs placebo). A Croatian prospective trial (N=110) evaluated the effects of prescription NSAIDs (piroxicam or ibuprofen; n=60) taken for antihypertensive activity of a calcium channel blocker (amlodipine) or an ACEI/thiazide diuretic (lisinopril/HCTZ) combination. Compared with baseline, mean arterial pressure increased by 9.5 mmHg (8.9%) with ibuprofen and by 10.2 mmHg (9.5%) with piroxicam (both P<0.001) in patients taking lisinopril/HCTZ; there was a nonsignificant 0.5 mmHg (0.5%) increase in mean arterial BP with either NSAID among patients taking amlodipine.

Few data on potential DDIs between direct renin inhibitors and NSAIDs have been reported; however, a single report from three open-label studies of aliskiren found that concurrent administration with celecoxib (n=22) resulted in minor changes to the pharmacokinetic profile of aliskiren but not that of celecoxib. It should also be noted that aldosterone antagonists (eg, spironolactone) are associated with an increased risk of GI bleeding and possibly impaired healing of gastric or duodenal erosions. Thus, risk of GI bleeding in patients taking these agents may be further increased when NSAIDs are used concomitantly. Patients treated long term with aldosterone antagonists should be informed of the risk of upper GI bleeding and perhaps warned of the risk of using OTC NSAIDs long term.

Diuretics
Thiazide diuretics (eg, HCTZ) are also associated with potential DDIs when combined with NSAIDs. A randomized, double-blind, two-period crossover trial evaluating the effects of 4 weeks of prescription ibuprofen (1,800 mg/d) or placebo (4 weeks’ treatment; 2-week washout period between treatments) in combination with HCTZ in hypertensive patients (N=25) found that ibuprofen significantly increased SBP by 4.2 mmHg (supine) and 4.7 mmHg (standing) compared with placebo (P=0.004). An RCT examining the effect of prescription ibuprofen (2,400 mg/d) and naproxen (750 mg/d) on BP in patients (N=97) with mild essential hypertension treated with HCTZ 50 mg/d found a <3 mmHg mean increase in diastolic BP from baseline during the 4-week study for either treatment group, the clinical effects of which were likely minor. A single-blind, factorial, parallel-group study (N=88) found that patients taking lisinopril/HCTZ (n=29) who were randomly assigned to concurrent prescription ibuprofen (1,200–1,800 mg/d) or piroxicam (10–20 mg/d) experienced SBP elevations of up to 7.7% (P=0.004) and 9.5% (P=0.001), respectively, compared with baseline. An open-label, randomized, five-way crossover study comparing placebo, furosemide alone, furosemide with oral diclofenac, furosemide with diclofenac epolamine patch, or furosemide with ibuprofen 2,400 mg/d (N=40) found that although prescription ibuprofen administration decreased clearance of furosemide and increased urine sodium excretion, no clinically relevant effect on BP was observed in healthy volunteers. Topical diclofenac had no effect on furosemide pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics, and oral diclofenac compared with furosemide alone decreased urine output, but neither formulation was associated with alterations in BP.

β-adrenergic and calcium channel blockers
Some NSAIDs, including indomethacin and flurbiprofen (but not naproxen or aspirin), have been found to interact with β-blockers (eg, propranolol, oxprenolol, atenolol),
attenuating their antihypertensive effects. In an RCT of prescription-strength ibuprofen (1,600 mg/d) plus propranolol, no significant increase in BP was found. As noted earlier, a post hoc analysis of an RCT demonstrated that prescription ibuprofen (1,800 mg/d) produced a small increase in SBP when combined with β-blocker (2.8 mmHg) or calcium channel blocker (1.8 mmHg). Calcium channel blockers such as verapamil and amiodarone do not appear to be associated with clinically significant interactions with NSAIDs. These results are expected from the mechanisms of action of both drug families.

In summary, these data suggest that prescription-strength NSAIDs, including ibuprofen, may be associated with small but statistically significant increases in BP that are greatest when coadministered with ARBs and ACEIs and lowest with calcium channel blockers and loop diuretics. The clinical relevance of these findings remains unclear, although one analysis that modeled the effects of hypertension in patients taking COX-2 inhibitors predicted that NSAID-driven hypertension may contribute to CV events and deaths. Given the clear dose- and duration-response relationship between ibuprofen use and ADRs in general and the very small increase in BP observed with prescription-strength NSAIDs, any DDI occurring with short-term OTC ibuprofen and antihypertensives would most likely have only a minor effect on BP. However, of most concern is potential inhibition of antihypertensive efficacy with chronic use of higher doses. Thus, hypertensive patients who require ARBs, ACEIs, or thiazide diuretics such as HCTZ should avoid chronic prescription NSAID use.

Antithrombotics

Interactions between NSAIDs and several classes of antithrombotic drugs, including aspirin and warfarin, have been reported. This section will discuss DDIs resulting in CV changes as well as DDIs with aspirin and other NSAIDs that may contribute to asthma exacerbations (by a nonallergic mechanism).

Aspirin

Coadministration of aspirin and most NSAIDs (other than diclofenac and ketorolac) can lead to pharmacodynamic DDIs resulting from competition for access to the acetylation site of platelet-expressed COX-1. NSAID-driven reversible, transient inhibition of platelet aggregation blocks aspirin’s irreversible inhibition, thereby potentially allowing clot formation. This NSAID-driven effect on aspirin is of particular concern in individuals at high CV risk who take low-dose aspirin daily to reduce the risk of a thrombotic event. A case-control study (N=5,208, including 1,055 cases of first nonfatal MI and 4,153 community controls) found that patients taking daily prophylactic aspirin plus ibuprofen four or more times/week (doses not reported) had double the risk of an MI compared with aspirin-only users, although the difference was not statistically significant (OR: 2.03; 95% CI: 0.60–6.84), whereas the OR for MI with infrequent use (less than four times/week) of ibuprofen plus daily aspirin was 0.60 (95% CI: 0.21–1.66). Other studies also indicate that concomitant aspirin and ibuprofen could increase the possibility of experiencing a CV event, but one of these found no increase in risk of MI associated with intermittent use of NSAIDs (<60 days/year) in healthy persons without CV disease.

These data and interpretation of risk are somewhat confounded by other studies suggesting that risk for a CV event may actually be reduced with concomitant aspirin and ibuprofen. In a single-center, retrospective analysis, patients prescribed aspirin (typically 325 mg/d) and ibuprofen (mean 1,947 mg/d) (n=3,859) experienced ~40% fewer MIs than those prescribed aspirin alone (n=10,239; rate ratio: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.50–0.73; P<0.001). A retrospective study (N=42,611, including 8,688 cases with MI and 33,923 controls) found that patients prescribed aspirin and any NSAID (doses not assessed for either treatment) had a lower risk for MI than those who did not take aspirin and/or NSAIDs (OR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.57–0.97). In addition, individuals taking ibuprofen plus aspirin in this study had a lower OR for MI (OR: 0.69; 95% CI: 0.42–1.15) than did those taking aspirin with an NSAID other than ibuprofen (OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.56–1.04).

There have been case reports in which ibuprofen may have precipitated an asthma exacerbation in adults and children with aspirin-sensitive asthma, possibly as a result of increased leukotriene synthesis in response to COX inhibition and related depletion of protective prostaglandins. The risk of ibuprofen-sensitive asthma was low (~2%) in a small RCT (N=100) of children with asthma and no known aspirin or ibuprofen sensitivity, suggesting that the prevalence of ibuprofen-sensitive asthma in the general population of asthmatics is also low. In a large RCT in asthmatic children with febrile illness and no known sensitivity or bronchospastic reactivity to aspirin or other NSAIDs (N=1,879), Lesko et al found no more hospital admissions (RR: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.25–1.6) and actually fewer outpatient consultations for asthma symptoms or exacerbation.
As a class, NSAIDs are not prone to a direct pharmacodynamic interaction with anticoagulants such as warfarin; however, concurrent use of NSAIDs and antithrombotics may further increase the likelihood of GI bleeding. A Danish cohort study (N=4,204) found that anticoagulants alone increased the risk of GI bleeding (standardized incidence ratio [SIR]: 4.0; 95% CI: 2.8–5.6), and risk was further increased by concomitant use of acetylsalicylic acid (SIR: 4.3; 95% CI: 1.9–10.7) or non-aspirin NSAIDs (SIR: 8.0; 95% CI: 2.1–20.4; no specific NSAIDs or doses reported). Metabolism of S-warfarin, the most clinically relevant warfarin isomer, occurs via CYP2C9. Ibuprofen and other NSAIDs are also substrates of CYP2C9 and may thus increase anticoagulant activity by delaying S-warfarin metabolism. Therefore, it may be prudent to avoid prescription-strength NSAIDs in patients receiving warfarin. In contrast, one of the metabolites of acetaminophen (N-acetyl-para-benzoquinone-imine) interferes with enzymes involved in the vitamin K cycle, which ultimately can lead to reduction in synthesis of clotting factors and excessive anticoagulation.

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) increase bleeding risk by inhibiting platelet adhesion and function. Coadministration of NSAIDs in patients taking SSRIs can substantially increase the risk of bleeding. A Dutch population-based cohort study (N=1,961) found that patients prescribed SSRIs plus NSAIDs were prescribed ten times more peptic ulcer drugs (proxy for GI events) than patients receiving an SSRI alone (incidence rate ratios: 12.4; 95% CI: 3.2–48.0 vs 1.2; 95% CI: 0.5–2.8, respectively). In a case-control study utilizing a UK general practice research database to identify cases of upper GI bleeding (n=1,651) or ulcer perforation (n=248) and age/sex/time-matched controls (n=10,000), concurrent use of NSAIDs and SSRIs had a more than multiplicative effect on risk of bleeding. Compared with nonuse of both drug classes, RR (95% CI) for bleeding was 2.6 (1.7–3.8) with SSRIs, 3.7 (3.2–4.4) with NSAIDs, and 15.6 (6.6–36.6) with concurrent use of both. NSAID dosages and durations were not evaluated individually in these studies.

In conclusion, although the clinical effect of DDIs between SSRIs and NSAIDs is unclear, clinicians may wish to counsel patients taking a daily aspirin to avoid chronic use of ibuprofen, which may reduce aspirin’s ability to prevent CV events. The FDA recommends taking ibuprofen ≥8 hours before or ≥30 minutes after immediate-release (not enteric-coated) of aspirin to reduce the likelihood of a potential interaction on platelet function. In addition, ibuprofen should be avoided in patients with known or suspected aspirin-sensitive asthma. Patients should be counseled to limit their use of OTC NSAIDs and to avoid acetaminophen while on anticoagulant therapy, the former because of the increased risk of GI bleeding with NSAIDs, however small, and the latter because of the direct interaction that would increase the risk of all-site bleeding.

Antidepressants/mood stabilizers
Antidepressants are psychiatric medications used to alleviate mood and anxiety disorders. Some antidepressants may be associated with an increased risk for bleeding, which may be additively enhanced by coadministration of NSAIDs.
Increased lithium concentrations were associated with clinical AEs including tremor, nausea/vomiting, upset stomach, renal insufficiency, weakness, ataxia, increased muscle tone, dysarthria, slurred speech, lethargy, disorientation, nystagmus, and flu-like symptoms. The DDI mechanism is unclear; however, NSAID-mediated inhibition of renal prostaglandins may decrease renal lithium excretion. In two small studies, prescription ibuprofen (1,800 mg/d in nine patients) and naproxen (750 mg/d in six patients) increased lithium levels.

In summary, although it is clear that prescription-strength NSAIDs should not be routinely taken with SSRIs, it is unclear whether there is sufficient increase in risk with OTC NSAIDs to warrant avoiding their occasional use with SSRIs. Evidence is currently lacking to determine whether ibuprofen or other nonselective NSAIDs administered at OTC doses and durations increase the likelihood of a DDI with lithium. Caution may be advised for older patients and those with altered renal function for whom individual dose adjustments may be necessary.

### Alcohol

Alcohol intake is an independent risk factor for GI bleeding that is exacerbated in a dose-dependent manner in individuals taking NSAIDs. These additive effects may be related to a direct toxicity on the gastric mucosa, the development of esophageal varices, and decreased synthesis of coagulation factors in chronic alcoholic liver disease and cirrhosis. Kaufman et al conducted an interview-based case-control survey (N=4,169) of 1,224 inpatients in the US and Sweden with GI bleeding and 2,945 matched neighborhood controls. They found a 2.7-fold higher risk for GI bleeding in individuals who regularly took ibuprofen (at least every other day) at any dose in the week prior to the onset of hematemesis/melena and who drank any amount of alcohol (95% CI: 1.6–4.4). Occasional ibuprofen use was not associated with an increased risk of acute GI bleeding (multivariate RR estimate: 1.2; 95% CI: 0.8–1.7). Risk for ADRs in individuals who consume three or more alcoholic drinks every day is also high with non-NSAID analgesics such as aspirin (GI bleeding) and acetaminophen (hepatic dysfunction or failure).

### Herbal/nutraceutical ingredients

Herbal/nutraceutical ingredients are a potential concern primarily because patients do not routinely discuss their use with health care providers, while, in some instances, they may carry a risk of DDIs similar to pharmaceutical products.

### St John’s wort

St John’s wort, *Hypericum perforatum,* is an herbal supplement commonly used for treatment of depression. Its active ingredient, hyperforin, inhibits uptake of serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine. St John’s wort is a known inducer of multiple CYP enzymes, including CYP3A4, CYP2E1, and CYP2C19, and, as such, it has considerable potential for DDIs; however, it does not affect CYP2C9, an enzyme that metabolizes some NSAIDs. A small study in healthy male volunteers (N=8) found no effect of coadministration of St John’s wort and ibuprofen on either maximum concentration or area under the plasma concentration–time curve of ibuprofen but did identify a significant 31% decrease in S-ibuprofen residence time (P=0.02). No other reports describe a clinically relevant effect of St John’s wort on ibuprofen kinetics; therefore, occasional coadministration of OTC ibuprofen with St John’s wort should not be problematic apart from a possible interaction with platelet activity similar to that observed with SSRIs.

### Ginkgo biloba

*Ginkgo* is an herbal therapy taken to improve memory and concentration, reduce tinnitus, and potentially treat peripheral vascular disease. The putative effects of NSAID–*Ginkgo* interaction are primarily anecdotal, for example, one case was reported of fatal intracerebral mass bleeding in a 71-year-old man taking *Ginkgo* and ibuprofen. A systematic review of eight RCTs concluded that ginkgo, in and of itself, does not appear to cause clinically important changes in blood coagulation. Although some researchers warn against coadministration of ibuprofen with *Ginkgo,* others find there is insufficient evidence to support a serious health risk from combining *Ginkgo* and NSAIDs.

### Antirheumatics/chemotherapy

**Methotrexate and probenecid**

Methotrexate is an antimetabolite used at high doses as a chemotherapeutic and at low doses for treatment of psoriasis and RA. Several NSAIDs, including prescription ibuprofen and naproxen, have been found to reduce renal clearance of methotrexate, which could lead to toxicity (eg, renal failure or pancytopenia), at least when methotrexate is used at high doses. A single-case report speculated that daily use of an OTC ibuprofen product for 4 weeks reduced methotrexate excretion. Resulting methotrexate accumulation caused bone marrow depletion, which may have contributed to *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in a patient with Crohn’s disease. Given that renal effects have also
been reported with prescription ibuprofen monotherapy.\textsuperscript{119} Patients taking high-dose methotrexate should avoid NSAID use, even at OTC doses. Additionally, caution should be exercised when NSAIDs are used in patients receiving low-dose methotrexate.\textsuperscript{115} No other reports of clinically relevant DDIs resulting in ADRs in individuals receiving concomitant NSAIDs and chemotherapeutics or rheumatologic therapies were identified.

In a study of eight healthy volunteers, aspirin antagonized the uricosuric effect of probenecid, a treatment for gout; however, ibuprofen administration had no effect on probenecid activity.\textsuperscript{120}

### Female health effects

Reports in the literature have suggested that NSAIDs may reduce the (now-contested) cardioprotective effect of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) and may be associated with increased risk for miscarriage.

#### HRT

The hypothetical interaction of NSAIDs and HRT was proposed based on results from a case-control study of women aged 50–84 years (N=8,678) who had (n=1,673) or had not (n=7,005) experienced an MI.\textsuperscript{121} Women currently taking HRT (but no NSAIDs) had a reduced risk of MI (OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.48–0.85), whereas there was a trend toward increased risk of MI among women who took both HRT and NSAIDs (any dose; OR: 1.71; 95% CI: 1.05–2.78). The authors concluded that there was a putative cardioprotective effect of HRT alone that may be undermined by NSAIDs.\textsuperscript{121} However, the benefit of HRT on long-term CV health in postmenopausal women has largely been disproven by the Women’s Health Initiative study.\textsuperscript{122-124} Interestingly, a recent randomized study (N=1,006) reexamining the effect of HRT on perimenopausal or recently postmenopausal women aged 45–58 years found that HRT significantly reduced CV events;\textsuperscript{125} however, no association with NSAIDs was examined.

#### Fertility effects

The hypothesis that NSAIDs may interfere with conception was proposed to explain why some women with RA treated with long-term diclofenac were unable to conceive until after stopping diclofenac.\textsuperscript{126} A Danish case-control study that included 4,268 women who had miscarried and 29,750 controls who had had live births reported an increased risk for miscarriage associated with prescription NSAID use, especially soon after NSAIDs were taken.\textsuperscript{127} When study data were reassessed with a consideration for gestational age, this association was diminished in strength, but still positive.\textsuperscript{128} The authors acknowledged that they could not rule out the possibility that NSAIDs may have been taken to relieve pain caused by the impending miscarriage\textsuperscript{127,128} (ie, a protopathic bias). A population-based cohort study of pregnant women in the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program in San Francisco, CA, USA (N=1,055), found that NSAIDs (doses not reported) were associated with an 80% increased risk for miscarriage (HR: 1.8; 95% CI: 1.0–3.2), with even higher risks when NSAIDs were taken close to the time of conception or for longer than 1 week.\textsuperscript{130} There was a trend toward increased risk with aspirin use (HR: 1.6; 95% CI: 0.6–4.1) but no increase in risk associated with acetaminophen (HR: 1.2; 95% CI: 0.8–1.8).

While data are limited, it has been hypothesized that NSAID use may reduce fertility and increase the risk of miscarriage. It may be prudent for women who are trying to become pregnant to avoid NSAID use around the time of conception.\textsuperscript{130} Additionally, prostaglandins play an important role in maintaining the patency of the fetal ductus arteriosus during the third trimester of pregnancy.\textsuperscript{131} As a result, OTC ibuprofen product labeling advises consumers that:

> It is especially important not to use ibuprofen during the last 3 months of pregnancy unless definitely directed to do so by a doctor because it may cause problems in the unborn child or complications during delivery.\textsuperscript{29}

### Corticosteroids

Combined use of oral corticosteroids and NSAIDs may increase the potential for serious GI toxicity. A study of Tennessee Medicaid beneficiaries, including 1,415 patients ≥65 years of age hospitalized for peptic ulcer or upper GI bleeding and 7,063 matched controls, found that current use of oral corticosteroids was associated with a twofold higher RR of peptic ulcer disease compared with nonuse.\textsuperscript{132} On further analysis, this increased risk was attributed to a 4.4-fold increased risk for peptic ulcer disease in individuals who had also taken NSAIDs (any type or dosage) compared with no elevated risk when NSAID users were excluded (RR: 1.1).\textsuperscript{132} As discussed above, the Arthritis, Rheumatism, and Aging Medical Information System study found that for patients who received corticosteroids plus other concurrent therapy, the risk of serious GI events was significantly greater with acetaminophen versus ibuprofen (any dose) in patients with RA (15.0 vs 6.1 events/1,000 patient-years, respectively) or OA (12.0 vs 5.4 events/1,000 patient-years, respectively) across all comparable doses.\textsuperscript{22}
A limited nonclinical study suggests that an ulcerogenic potential of NSAIDs in combination with corticosteroids was seen only with a COX-1, but not a COX-2, inhibitor. This effect may occur as a result of corticosteroid-induced reductions in arachidonic acid, reducing the substrate for COX enzymes and thus potentially increasing the gastrotoxic effect of NSAIDs.

In summary, although no specific studies have identified a clear risk for increased GI bleeding when OTC NSAIDs are coadministered with oral corticosteroids, it may be prudent for health care providers to prescribe COX-2-specific NSAIDs or counsel patients to avoid OTC NSAIDs to reduce the potential risk for GI bleeding.

Discussion

Prescription-strength ibuprofen and other NSAIDs are associated with an increased risk for GI bleeding that can be exacerbated when combined with alcohol, SSRIs, corticosteroids, and of course anticoagulants. Increased CV risk seen with some COX-2-specific inhibitors has not been observed to the same degree with prescription ibuprofen; therefore, any potential CV risk from OTC doses is likely very low. Likewise, the potential risk for renal effects with OTC ibuprofen or other NSAIDs is low. Unlike acetaminophen and aspirin, ibuprofen has a high margin of safety and is not associated with a substantial incidence of serious ADRs in cases of overdose.

Reports of ADRs resulting from DDIs between NSAIDs and various drug classes should be assessed based on a continuum of risk and consideration given to both dose and duration. DDIs reported with ibuprofen are pharmacologically driven and related to inhibition of COX enzymes. Because pharmacologic reactions are dose and duration dependent, safety data from clinical studies of prescription-strength ibuprofen or other NSAIDs used long term (as in the treatment of OA or RA) may not be directly applicable to ibuprofen for OTC dosing and duration of use.

Consideration of the continuum of risk based on dose and duration of NSAID use is especially important for patients taking several concomitant medications. Risk of ADRs correlates with number of concurrent prescriptions. A study of Utah Medicaid recipients (N=391,890) revealed that 62% received one or more medications and 4.3% received more than seven medications in 1 month. The study authors found an 80% rate of drug-related problems among those prescribed more than seven medications; treatments for pain or inflammation were implicated in 29.1% of these problems. Another study found that elderly, largely mobility-restricted

German patients receiving home general practitioner visits (N=779) took, on average, 6.8 medications on a regular basis and that ~80% experienced a DDI, of which ~44% were considered moderately or seriously relevant. The combination of NSAIDs with thiazide or loop diuretics was the most frequently implicated in moderately relevant DDIs, accounting for 8.5% of such reactions.

This review was written to educate clinicians on potential DDI risks when OTC NSAIDs are coadministered with common medications. In many instances, studies in the medical literature report only on DDIs occurring with prescription NSAIDs and do not assess risk at OTC doses and durations. Likewise, many of the studies summarized herein are retrospective case-control studies that do not report on specific dosages or durations of use for the NSAIDs discussed. In those cases, the authors have attempted to evaluate whether these risks are clinically relevant at OTC doses and durations. In these DDIs, the concern is not so much that there might be an increase in the very low risk of ADRs associated with the OTC-dose NSAIDs, but that there could be an increase in the risk associated with very active or potentially dangerous drugs, such as oral anticoagulants or cytostatics. In most or all cases, the drugs potentially involved in a DDI are prescription drugs that are known to have a wide range of ADRs and potentially narrow therapeutic ranges. Because all of these drugs are prescribed, patients will see a health care provider upon the occasion of the prescription. It is the health care provider’s responsibility to inform the patient about the potential risks associated with the concurrent use of OTC NSAIDs for pain or pyrexia and to warn them against prolonged use or use at higher than recommended OTC doses.

Conclusion

NSAIDs such as ibuprofen are generally safe and effective for individuals seeking an OTC analgesic/antipyretic. Reports of ADRs arising from DDIs with NSAIDs and common medications occurred primarily in studies of prescription-strength NSAIDs. The pharmacologic basis of these interactions strongly suggests that low-dose, short-term use of OTC NSAIDs such as ibuprofen should be safe for relief of occasional pain and fever, especially in young, healthy individuals. More caution is warranted in elderly patients with OA or RA, especially those already treated with prescription doses of NSAIDs and those taking an ACEI or diuretic (including spironolactone for heart failure) or low-dose aspirin for prevention of CV events; it may be prudent to advise such patients to avoid use of even short-term OTC NSAIDs unless they are under close medical supervision.
Health care providers can be instrumental in educating all patients that using the lowest effective dose of OTC NSAIDs for the shortest required duration is vital to balancing efficacy and safety.
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