A meta-analysis for C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 as a prognostic marker and potential drug target in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract: Chemokines (CKs), small proinflammatory chemoattractant cytokines that bind to specific G-protein coupled seven-span transmembrane receptors, are major regulators of cell trafficking and adhesion. C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) has gained tremendous attention over the last decade, since it was found to be upregulated in a wide variety of cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The clinical relevance of expression of CXCR4 in HCC remains controversial; our aim was to identify the precise relationship of CXCR4 to prognosis and clinicopathological features. We searched the database from MEDLINE, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus and Embase and then conducted a meta-analysis from publications met the inclusion criteria for the qualitative study. Our data showed that 1) CXCR4 is overexpressed in HCC tissues but not in normal hepatic tissue, OR =84.26, 95% confidence interval (CI) =11.86–598.98, P<0.0001. CXCR4 expression is higher in HCC than those in cirrhosis as well, OR =20.71, 95% CI =7.61–56.34, P<0.00001. 2) The expression levels of CXCR4 does not increase during local progression, however, CXCR4 expression increases the risk of distant metastases in HCC, OR =5.84, 95% CI =2.84–12.00, P<0.00001. 3) High levels of CXCR4 gene expression are associated with worse survival in HCC, HR =0.18, 95% CI =0.10–0.32, Z=5.77, P<0.00001. These data indicate that CXCR4 expression correlates with an increased risk and worse survival in HCC patients. The aberrant CXCR4 expression plays an important role in the carcinogenesis and metastasis of HCC. Our conclusion also supports that the promise of CXCR4 signaling pathway blockade as a potential strategy for HCC patients.
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Introduction

Primary liver cancer is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in males and ninth in females. It is estimated that 28,720 people in US have suffered from liver cancer, and deaths from the year of 2012 are 20,550 people.1 The majority of primary liver cancers belong to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In developing countries, hepatitis B virus is the major cause of HCC, while in developed countries, hepatitis C virus and alcohol consumption are the primary causative factors.2 In most of HCC, cirrhosis is present prior to the malignant transformation. C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) is one of the receptors to the stromal cell-derived factor-1α (SDF-1α or CXCL12). However, some other ligands3,4 such as MIF can bind and activate CXCR4, while CXCL12 activates not only CXCR4 but also CXCR7.4 CXCL12–CXCR4 signaling has been found to be involved in migration of tumor cells to sites of metastasis in various malignancies, such as breast, ovarian, prostate, lung, pancreatic, colorectal,
thyroid, renal cancers, neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, as well as leukemia and lymphoma. The CXCR4 has also aroused interest in HCC carcinogenesis. CXCL12–CXCR4 is involved in cell growth, migration, and invasion of HCC cells. The majority of studies demonstrated CXCR4 expression in HCC tissue but not in normal hepatic tissue and correlations between high CXCR4 expression and aggressive tumor behavior, metastasis development, and poor prognosis. However, the clinical relevance of expression of CXCR4 in HCC remains controversial, and the association between CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological features is inconclusive due to the relatively small number of tested samples in each study. In the current study, we will perform a meta-analysis from the qualified publications for the qualitative study.

Methods

Search strategy
We searched the databases of MEDLINE, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Embase in April 2015 using the search terms: “CXCR4”, “expression”, “hepatocellular carcinoma”, “liver cancer”, and “clinical studies”. Investigations identified through the search methods aforementioned were sheltered by titles and abstracts. Nonrelevant and duplicate publications from the different databases were excluded, and the remaining papers were evaluated in the full text version for inclusion and exclusion criteria. All clinical studies except case reports were chosen, for instance, randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, case-controls studies, and case series. Publications in English and Chinese were chosen. We screened references of selected investigations and selected the most complete study to avoid duplicate reports.

Selection criteria
We collected all relevant articles regarding CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological features in HCC patients in this study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) CXCR4 expression that was detected in the circulation and/or hepatic tissues, 2) researches that revealed the relationship between CXCR4 expression and HCC clinicopathological parameters and prognosis, 3) CXCR4 expression that was examined by ELISA, mRNA expression, and protein expression, 4) articles that were written in English and Chinese, 5) articles that include data of hazard ratio (HR) about overall survival (OS) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and probabilities for OS where applicable. For this meta-analysis, we excluded in vitro/ex vivo studies, nonresearch articles, studies missing HR and OS information, and studies written in non-English language.

Data extraction
The eligible publications were chosen by two investigators independently based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The authors’ name, time of publication, sample source, number of cases, clinicopathological stage, CXCR4 expression, and patient survival were recorded. Data for clinical characteristics were summarized in a table format. We also calculated heterogeneity of studies to determine whether the investigations are eligible for a meta-analysis.

Statistical analysis
Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) was used to analyze the data. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs were pooled and compared. P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. A chi-square examination was used to determine heterogeneity with significance being set at P < 0.10. The I² value is an estimate of the amount of variance due to between-study heterogeneity rather than chance (the Cochran’s Q statistics). Substantial heterogeneity exists when I² exceeds 50%. A random effect model will be selected to pool the ORs if there heterogeneity exists; otherwise, a fixed effect model will be chosen.

In total, 166 publications from MEDLINE, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Embase were selected. One hundred and thirty-six of noneligible publications were excluded, while 30 full-text studies were chosen for more detailed assessment. Ten publications were eventually included in this study, which met the inclusion criteria for qualitative study and for meta-analysis. The article search and study selection are depicted in Figure 1.

Results

Identification of eligible studies
One hundred and sixty-six publications were identified by the search method as described earlier. One hundred and fifty-six of those were excluded due to in vitro/in vivo studies, reviews, lacking of matched controls, or studies irrelevant to the current analysis. Eventually, there were ten studies included in final meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Study characteristics
Ten studies published from 2006 to 2014 were eligible for meta-analysis. A total of 843 HCC and 54 liver cirrhosis patients from People’s Republic of China, Germany, Italy, and USA were enrolled. One hundred and one normal hepatic tissues served as controls. Their basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.
Figure 1 Flow chart of study selection.

Table 1 Basic characteristic of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/country</th>
<th>Patients/samples</th>
<th>Methods/anti-CXCR4 Ab</th>
<th>Primary aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schimanski et al(^1)/Germany</td>
<td>39/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/Rabbit anti-CXCR4; Capralogics, USA</td>
<td>Evaluate if the expression of CXCR4 is linked to tumor dissemination and poor prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al(^2)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>39/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Investigate the expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in HCC patients and liver cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16/cirrhosis tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al(^3)/USA</td>
<td>28/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/R&amp;D, Clone 44717.111</td>
<td>Investigate the expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in HCC patients and liver cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26/cirrhosis tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al(^4)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>78/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Investigate the role of CXCR4 in the metastasis of HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang et al(^5)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>181/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/R&amp;D, #MAB172, Clone 44716</td>
<td>Analyze the association of CXCR4 expression with HCC bone metastasis and patient survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang et al(^5)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>123/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/R&amp;D, #MAB172, Clone 44716</td>
<td>Investigate the relationship between the expression of CXCR4 and the clinicopathological features of HCC with LNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al(^6)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>68/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Examine the clinical significance of CXCR4 expression in HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang and Xu(^7)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>41/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Detest the clinical significance of CXCR4 expression in HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al(^8)/People's Republic of China</td>
<td>60/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Evaluate the clinical significance of CXCR4 and CXCL12 in HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/normal hepatic tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neve Polimeno et al(^9)/Italy</td>
<td>86/HCC tissues</td>
<td>IHC/NA</td>
<td>Evaluate the clinical significance of CXCR4 and CXCR7 in HCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor 4; Ab, antibody; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemical staining; NA, not available; SDF-1, stromal cell-derived factor-1; LNM, lymph node metastasis.
The difference in CXCR4 expression in patients with HCC and cirrhosis as well as normal individuals

The staining for CXCR4 was predominately a cytoplasmic staining and, in a few cases, an additional weak membranous or nuclear staining.\textsuperscript{11,12} We found that expression levels of CXCR4 are higher in HCC than those in normal hepatic tissues that serve as controls and cirrhosis patients. The pooled OR from six studies\textsuperscript{10,12,14,15,18–20} including 249 HCC patients and 101 normal controls is shown in Figure 2 (OR = 84.26, 95% CI = 11.86–598.98, \( P < 0.0001 \)). The “Events” in the second column means the number of HCC patients (described as “Total” in the third column) with CXCL4 positive expression. The “Events” in the fourth column means the number of normal individuals (described as “Total” in the fifth column) with CXCL4 positive expression. The OR is shown numerically in the seventh column, and the CI of the summarized OR does not include 1.0 (ie, 11.86–598.98) suggesting that the association is statistically significant. These findings that CXCR4 overexpresses in HCC tumor tissues but negatively expresses in normal tissues indicate that CXCR4 could be a diagnostic biomarker for HCC. The pooled OR from three studies\textsuperscript{14,17,19} including 127 HCC patients and 52 cirrhosis patients is shown in Figure 3A (OR = 20.71, 95% CI = 7.61–56.34, \( P = 0.00001 \)). The “Events” in the second column means the number of HCC patients (described as “Total” in the third column) with CXCL4 positive expression. The “Events” in the fourth column means the number of patients with cirrhosis (described as “Total” in the fifth column) with CXCL4 positive expression. The OR is shown numerically in the seventh column, and the CI of the summarized OR does not include 1.0 (ie, 7.61–56.34) suggesting that patients with hepatic cirrhosis expressed CXCR4 protein as high as those normal individuals. These findings indicate that CXCR4 expression in cirrhosis tissues is not significantly higher than that in normal tissues.

### CXCR4 expression in the progression and metastasis of liver cancers

We observed that expression levels of CXCR4 did not increase during local progression as grouped as T3 + T4 vs T1+T2 in HCC. The pooled OR from three studies\textsuperscript{11,12,17} including 259 HCC patients is shown in Figure 4A (OR = 1.9, 95% CI = 0.48–7.57, \( P = 0.36 \)). The “Events” in the second column means the number of HCC patients categorized as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>HCC</th>
<th>Normal hepatic tissue</th>
<th>Odds ratio M-H, random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schimanski et al\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang and Xu\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total events 198 5
Heterogeneity: \( \chi^2 = 3.89; \; \chi^2 = 16.35, df = 5 (P = 0.006); \; I^2 = 69\% \)
Test for overall effect: \( Z = 4.43 (P < 0.00001) \)

Figure 2 The studies included to investigate CXCR4 expression between HCC and normal hepatic tissues.

Notes: Two hundred and forty-nine HCC patients and 101 normal liver specimen with the combined OR being 84.29 (95% CI = 11.86–598.98, \( P < 0.0001 \)) are shown.

Abbreviations: CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor 4; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; df, degree of freedom.
Figures 3 and 4: 

**Figure 3** The difference in cXcr4 expression in patients with HCC and cirrhosis as well as normal individuals. 

**Notes:** (A) The studies included to investigate cXcr4 expression between 127 patients with HCC and 52 cirrhosis individuals. The combined OR was 20.71, 95% CI = 7.61–56.34, P = 0.00001. (B) The pooled OR from two studies including 36 cirrhosis patients and 26 normal controls, OR = 3.82 (95% CI = 0.62–23.55, P = 0.15). 

**Abbreviations:** C-X-C chemokine receptor 4; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; df, degree of freedom.

**Table A** Study or subgroup  | HCC Events Total | Hepatic cirrhosis Events Total | Weight M–H, fixed, 95% CI | Odds ratio M–H, fixed, 95% CI |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>13.80 (3.30, 57.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>58.03 (3.24, 1,040.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>17.67 (3.69, 84.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20.71 (7.61, 56.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total events</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity: χ²=0.84, df=2 (P=0.66); I²=0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for overall effect: Z=5.93 (P=0.00001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4** cXcr4 expression in the progression and metastasis of liver cancers. 

**Notes:** (A) Pooled results of expression of cXcr4 gene in the samples of different stages in HCC cancer. The pooled OR for local progression is shown (OR = 1.9, 95% CI = 0.48–7.57, P = 0.36). (B) Pooled results of expression of CXCR4 gene in distant metastasis in HCC. The pooled OR from two included studies is shown (OR = 5.84, 95% CI = 2.84–12.00, Z = 4.81, P = 0.00001). 

**Abbreviations:** CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor 4; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; df, degree of freedom.
the primary tumor as indicated by the T-status. In two studies involved in the distant metastasis of HCC, CXCR4 expressions were increased in the specimens of distant metastasis. The pooled OR from two studies\textsuperscript{11,12} including 219 HCC patients is shown in Figure 4B (OR =5.84, 95% CI =2.84–12.00, \(P<0.00001\)). The “Events” in the second column means the number of HCC patients with distant metastasis (described as “Total” in the third column) expressing CXCL4 protein. The “Events” in the fourth column means the number of HCC patients without distant dissemination (described as “Total” in the fifth column) expressing CXCL4 protein. The OR is shown numerically in the seventh column, and the CI of the summarized OR does not include 1.0 (ie, 2.84–12.0) suggesting that HCC patients having distant metastasis expressed significantly much more CXCR4 protein than those just having limited local lesions. These data suggest that CXCR4 expression increases the risk of distant metastases in HCC.

**CXCR4 expression and survival**

Four included studies\textsuperscript{11–13,16} estimated the relationship between OS in HCC and CXCR4 expression; the pooled results (Figure 5) showed the presence of prognostic impact of CXCR4 gene in HCC patients (HR =0.18, 95% CI =0.10–0.32, \(Z=5.77\), \(P<0.00001\)). High expressions of CXCR4 are the prognostic factor for reduced OS.

**Sensitivity analyses and publication bias**

We removed one study at a time to examine the result stability, which was called a sensitivity analysis. The pooled ORs stayed unchanged, suggesting the stability of our analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>log(hazard ratio)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Hazard ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Hazard ratio IV, fixed, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neve Polimeno et al\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td>−1.9515</td>
<td>0.6245</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>0.14 (0.04, 0.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schimansky et al\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>−1.0296</td>
<td>0.5379</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>0.36 (0.12, 1.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang et al\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>−2.8733</td>
<td>0.7117</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>0.06 (0.01, 0.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang et al\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>−1.531</td>
<td>0.5369</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>0.22 (0.08, 0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.18 (0.10, 0.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: \(\chi^2=8.45, df=3 (P=0.21); I^2=34\%

Test for overall effect: \(Z=5.77\) (\(P<0.00001\)).

**Discussion**

Chemokines contribute to cancer progression, which are secreted by different cell types and acting on endothelial, epithelial, leukocytes, and tumor cells.\textsuperscript{21} They sustain cancer cell growth and proliferation, induce angiogenesis, and assist in cancer cells escaping from immune surveillance mechanisms.\textsuperscript{22–24} CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway has attracted much attention in HCC pathogenesis and progression. Our data showed that 1) CXCR4 expression is overexpressed in HCC tissues but not in normal hepatic tissues. CXCR4 expression is higher in HCC patients than those in cirrhosis as well, 2) the expression levels of CXCR4 does not increase during local progression; however, CXCR4 expression increases the risk of distant metastases in HCC, 3) High levels of CXCR4 gene expression are associated with worse survival in HCC.

CXCR4 is a marker of hematopoietic cells and has been involved in hematopoiesis and leukemias.\textsuperscript{25} In solid tumors, CXCR4 has been found dramatically overexpressed compared to normal tissues and is present predominantly on cancer cells.\textsuperscript{26–32} Our conclusion that CXCR4 expressions are overexpressed in HCC tissues but not in normal hepatic tissue is consistent with the previous reports. Most HCC develops as a result of persistent inflammation and fibrosis, a cirrhosis of liver. The risk of HCC development is strongly associated with the stage and extent of the liver fibrosis.\textsuperscript{33} Our analysis showed that CXCR4 expressions are significantly higher in HCC than those in cirrhosis that are in agreement with previous reports.
with previous reports. These findings indicate that CXCR4 could be an early marker for diagnosis of HCC.

CXCL12–CXCR4 activation can increase cell proliferation, survival, migration, and invasion in HCC cells. CXCR4 exerts its aforementioned functions through various target cells and a variety of signaling pathways. 1) T lymphocytes: CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells migrated and accumulated in HCC tissues through activation of CXCL12–CXCR4, then increased IL-10/TGF-β and decreased IFN-γ promoting HCC formation.34–40 2) Endothelial cells: CXCL12–CXCR4 axis is involved in tumor neovascularization through VEGF-dependent and independent mechanisms.41 3) HCC cells: upon binding of CXCL12 ligand with CXCR4 receptor expressed on the surface of HCC cells, the CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway is activated and subsequently activated downstream pathways such as JNK/SAPK, ERK2, and MMP2/MMP9, finally cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion are increased.2 SDF-1/CXCR4 axis can also induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition in HCC and facilitate cell invasion.42

CXCR4 expression correlates with more aggressive behavior of many cancers,9,43,44 predicting worse outcome. However, CXCR4 expression is also reported to predict better outcome45–47 in cancer patients. The discrepancy between different studies could be explained by variations in methods, interpretation of the histoimmuno-staining, heterogeneous patient population,45 limited number of patients of studies but most probably different role of CXCR4 between metastatic and primary sites as well as CXCR4 cellular localization. CXCR4 has been demonstrated to be present in cytoplasm, nucleus and cell membrane in a variety of human cancers. In secondary cancer in liver (for instance, liver metastases of colorectal cancer), expression of nuclear CXCR4 is associated with better survival, whereas the expression of cytoplasmic CXCR4 with worse prognosis. Contrary to the observation from secondary cancer in liver, patients with nuclear CXCR4 expression have a worse OS in primary colon tumor.45,49 Since the relationship between CXCR4/CXCL12 expression and prognosis has not been examined in a large number of HCC patients, we included four studies with large number of patients in this meta-analysis. In these studies, both detectable expression of CXCR4 at cytoplasm and nucleus were taken into consideration as positive staining. Our data systemically and quantitatively analyzed the eligible studies and showed that nuclear and cytoplasmic CXCR4
gene expression was associated with worse survival in metastatic HCC, HR =0.18, 95% CI =0.10–0.32, Z=5.77, P<0.00001.

CXCL12 (SDF-1α)-CXCR4 pathway inhibition is an emerging sensitizer for anticancer therapies, and multiple reagents are currently being developed and tested to target the CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway in cancer. The anti-CXCR4 drug AMD3100, also known as plerixafor (Mozobil), is a US Food and Drug Administration-approved drug with a relatively mild toxicity. However, some questions about the drug still remain unanswered, for instance, is inhibition/blockade of the CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway alone efficacious in solid tumor? Can blockade the CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway in combination with other therapies synchronize the each monotherapy? Is tumor sensitized to other therapies once blocking the CXCL12–CXCR4 pathway? In addition, we should keep in mind that CXCL12 binds and initiates signaling pathway through its cognate receptors CXCR4 and CXCR7. In recent years, upregulation of CXCR7 has been discovered in numerous malignancies. Therefore, CXCR4 blockade with AMD3100 may not be sufficient to block the effects of CXCL12, which may also bind to CXCR7 on cancer or stromal cells. More basic research and clinical work will be needed to overcome these challenges and to increase the chances of CXCR4 pathway inhibition as a potential adjunctive therapy for solid tumor.
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