Partial hepatectomy induces delayed hepatocyte proliferation and normal liver regeneration in ovariectomized mice
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Abstract: Estrogens play central roles in sexual development, reproduction, and hepatocyte proliferation. The ovaries are one of the main organs for estradiol (E2) production. Ovariectomies (OVXs) were performed on the female mice, and hepatocyte proliferation was analyzed. The ovariectomized mice exhibited delayed hepatocyte proliferation after partial hepatectomy (PH) and also exhibited delayed and reduced E2 induction. Both E2 administration and PH induced the gene expression of estrogen receptor α (ERα). The transcripts of ERα were detected specifically in periportal hepatocytes after E2 administration and PH. Moreover, the E2 concentrations and hepatocyte proliferation rates were highest in the proestrus period of the estrous cycle. Taken together, these findings indicate that E2 accelerated ERα expression in periportal hepatocytes and hepatocyte proliferation in the female mice.
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Introduction

Estrogens have a number of functions in development, growth, and sex differentiation, and they play important roles in female reproduction and in nonreproductive tract tissues, including the gonads, brain, bone, adipose tissue, and cardiovascular system. Estrogens contain mainly three compounds; Estron (E1), Estradiol (E2), and Estriol (E3). E2 is most active hormone among these Estrogens. The target factors of estrogens are thought to consist of three proteins, the estrogen receptor α (ERα), estrogen receptor β (ERβ), and the G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30).

Several studies have implicated the liver as an estrogenic organ, and an ER activity (later called genomic reaction) has been detected in this organ before the first ER (later named ERα) was cloned from MCF7 (Michigan Cancer Foundation 7), human mammary cell line. The liver plays a pivotal role in mammalian homeostasis and has the ability to complement its original mass in response to several types of stress, including partial hepatectomy (PH). After PH, hepatocytes proliferate intensely for a few days, and the liver regenerates in 2 weeks.

Estrogens induce hepatocyte proliferation in vitro in neonate and in vivo after PH. These multiple effects could be mediated by ERα rather than ERβ and GPR30 because ERα has been shown to be expressed in the mouse liver. Moreover, estradiol (E2) signaling in the liver is a genomic reaction (see above), which indicates that ERα and/or ERβ are targets for E2 signaling in the liver. The expression of ERβ has not been detected in the liver, but ERα transcripts were detected. Taken together, the target factor of E2 in the liver may be ERα.
To elucidate estrogen signaling in the liver of the female mice, ovariectomies (OVXs) were first performed to decrease E2 production, because the ovary is the tissue that produces the most E2.\textsuperscript{21,22} Next, the female mice were treated with E2. We showed that circulating E2 is significantly increased after PH in both non-OVX and OVX female mice and demonstrated that ER\textsubscript{α}, the expression of which is enhanced by E2 administration, plays a crucial role in E2-induced hepatocyte proliferation and liver regeneration.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

\- Estradiol (052-04041; Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd, Osaka, Japan), 4-hydroxytamoxifen (H7904; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), and ICI182780 (ICI, I4409; Sigma-Aldrich) were purchased. The E2 enzyme immunoassa y kit (No 582251) was purchased from Funakoshi (Tokyo, Japan). EDTA (15111-45), NaCl (31320-34), and Tris (35406-91) were purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). ER\textsubscript{α}-specific agonist 4,4′-(4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-triyl)triphenol (PPT, CAS No: 263717-53-9) was purchased from Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA/ Funakoshi 10008842. The Hep G2 cell line from human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (HB-8065; ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

**Hepatocyte proliferation in the female mice**

The hepatocyte proliferation rates of the female mice were analyzed with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU, M0744; Dako Denmark A/S, Glostrup, Denmark) immunohistochemistry (IHC, SK-4105; Vector Marketing Corporation, Gibbsboro, NJ, USA). The females were injected intraperitoneally with 50 mg/kg of BrdU 2 hours before dissection, and the livers were removed, rinsed, and embedded in the tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Finetek Japan Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Ten-micrometer cryosections were fixed with paraformaldehyde, incubated with an antibody against BrdU (No 11170376001, Hoffman-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) that was diluted 50-fold in 0.1% bovine serum albumin/phosphate-buffered saline (BSA/PBS), revealed by CY3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody, and mounted with Vectashield medium (Vector Laboratories Ltd, Burlingame, CA, USA). The numbers of BrdU-positive hepatocyte nuclei in at least five low-magnification microscopic fields of each sample (~2000 hepatocytes) were counted.\textsuperscript{23-25}

**In situ hybridization**

The RNA probes were prepared. The ER\textsubscript{α} and ER\textsubscript{β} cDNAs were cloned into pSG5 vector,\textsuperscript{26} and the \textsuperscript{35}S-labeled antisense probes were synthesized by T7 in vitro transcription and translation system (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI, USA). The specimens were prehybridized for 2 hours at 50°C in prehybridization buffer (50% formamide, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl at pH 6.8, 10 mM NaPO\textsubscript{4} at pH 6.8, 5 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1× Denhardt’s, 10 mM DTT, 500 mg/mL yeast RNA, 100 mg/mL salmon sperm DNA, and 500 nmol/mL nonlabeled α-thio-UTP [DuPont, Wilmington, DE, USA]). After the RNase A treatment, the slides were washed for 1 hour in the washing buffer (50% formamide, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl at pH 6.8, 10 mM NaPO\textsubscript{4} at pH 6.8, 5 mM EDTA, 1× Denhardt’s, and 10 mM DTT). The slides were subsequently washed in 2× Saline-Sodium Citrate buffer (SSC) for 15 minutes at room temperature, in 0.1× SSC for 15 minutes at 50°C, and then in 0.1× SSC for 30 minutes at room temperature. After dehydration of the sections, they were coated with Kodak NTB-2 emulsion, dried, and stored at 4°C. The exposure time ranged from 12 days to 15 days. Kodak D19 developer was used for 2 minutes at room temperature. The sections were then stained in toluidine blue, dehydrated in ethanol, and mounted under coverslips in Eukitt mounting medium.\textsuperscript{26-29}

**Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction**

Total liver RNA was extracted by the guanidium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform method. cDNA was synthesized for 20 minutes at 50°C from 1 µg of RNA with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase. The transcribed cDNA was amplified by 30 cycles of PCR for ER\textsubscript{α} (5′-CGG CTG CCA CCT ACC TGG GAG CTC TCA GAT-3′ and 5′-GGG GAG CCT GGG AGC TCT CAG AT-3′), ER\textsubscript{β} (5′-TCT CTG AGA GCA TCG TAT GTG CAG AT-3′ and 5′-CAG CCT GGC GTG CAC TGT GA-3′), and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (5′-GTA ATG ATC AGT CAA CGG GGG AC-3′ and 5′-CCA GCA AGC TTG CAA CCT TAA CCA-3′).\textsuperscript{24,30,31}

**Surgeries (PHs, orchiectomies, and OVXs)**

Liver resection of the left and median lobes was performed following midventral laparotomy between 8 am and 11 am under isoflurane anesthesia as previously described.\textsuperscript{25,32} The
bilateral OVX procedure was performed as follows: the mice were anesthetized with peritoneal injections of pentobarbital, one central lateral incision was made in the skin, and two lateral incisions were made in the muscle layer, and the ovaries were extracted through the incision and excised after ligation.

Animal study compliance
All experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines for animal care of the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (NCGG). The experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the NCGG. All the surgeries were performed under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia, and all efforts were made to minimize the suffering of the animals. E2 injections were decreased from five repetitions to a single injection to decrease the suffering of the sacrificed and operated mice.

Hep G2 cell culture
Hep G2 cells from a human liver carcinoma were maintained in α-minimal essential medium (11900-073; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, CC3008-504, Cell Culture Technology, Tokyo, Japan). The cells were plated at a density of 1.5–3 × 10⁶ cells/60-mm dish, and after 48 hours, the culture medium was exchanged for α-minimal essential medium without phenol red supplemented with 0.5% charcoal-treated FCS. After 24 hours, the cells were treated with β-estradiol or an equal volume (0.01 [v/v]%) of vehicle (EtOH, 14712-34; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). Phenol red is a known phytoestrogen. The FCS contains higher E2 concentrations, and the charcoal treatment reduces this concentration in the FCS.

Statistical analysis
The values are reported as the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance (ANOVA and Student’s t-test) is indicated as follows: \( *P < 0.05; **P < 0.005; ***P < 0.0001 \). Nonsignificant differences \((P > 0.05)\) are indicated as NS. ²³⁻²⁵⁻²⁸

Results

PH induces E2 concentration in orchiectomized and OVX mice

Previous studies indicate that PH induces E2 concentration in human beings, rats, and male mice (Figure 1). ⁹⁻¹¹⁻¹²⁻²⁰ E2 is converted from testosterone by aromatase, and the highest expression of aromatase is observed in the ovary and the testis; however, other tissues (eg, the gonads, placenta, adipose tissue, etc) also weakly produce aromatase. ²¹⁻²² These observations suggested that no PH-induced E2 production was observed in orchiectomized (ORC) mice. So, the male mice were operated with ORC, and then, these ORC mice were operated with PH. After PH, plasmas were collected, and circulating E2 concentrations were measured (Figure 1A). Plasma E2 was strongly elevated after 6–48 hours in the control mice after PH (open squares) and was also induced after 24–48 hours in the ORC mice (filled triangles with dotted lines), which indicates that the E2 induction following PH was delayed and reduced in the ORC mice. PH-induced E2 concentrations were mainly from testes (of control mice) and majorly from nontestes organs (of ORC mice, eg, gonads, adipose tissue; Figure 1C).

Female mice have endogenous higher E2 concentration than male mice; so, OVXs were performed (Figure 1B) to analyze the effects of E2 concentration after PH. E2 concentrations...
were significantly decreased in the OVX mice (Figure 1B). After PH, E2 concentrations were induced in both OVX and control females. The E2 peaks in control females were ~80 pg/mL after 6–48 hours, and those of OVX mice were ~30 pg/mL after 24–48 hours, indicating delayed and small E2 induction by OVX. Taken together, PH induced E2 concentration mainly from testes and ovaries, and partly and delayed from other organs (unknown) in Figure 1C. Taken together, this is the first observation where PH triggered E2 concentration in both ORC and OVX animals.

**E2 administration and the estrous cycle induced E2 concentration and hepatocyte proliferation**

PH induces E2 concentration<sup>9,11,12,20</sup> (Figure 1) as well as hepatocyte proliferation (Figure 2).<sup>34,15</sup> E2 was administered to the OVX mice, and hepatocyte proliferation was analyzed (Figure 2A). E2 administration induced hepatocyte proliferation in the OVX mice in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A and B).<sup>33</sup> The target factors of E2 are ERα, ERβ, and GPR30<sup>4,5</sup> and the ICI182780 (ICI) is one of the selective ER modulators (SERMs). ICI is an antagonist for ERα and ERβ, but an agonist for GPR30.<sup>3,6,33,34</sup> ICI reduced hepatocyte proliferation (Figure 3B), which indicates that the target(s) of E2 action is related to hepatocyte proliferation that was not GPR30 but could have been ERα and/or ERβ. Moreover, no ERβ expression was observed in the male liver.<sup>19,20</sup>

E2 is primarily produced by the ovaries in female mice.<sup>21,22</sup> Generally, E2 production is dependent on the estrous cycle of nonpregnant mice, and E2 production is elevated during the proestrus period and reduced in the estrous cycle.<sup>35,36</sup>

The estrous cycles, E2 concentrations, and hepatocyte proliferations of nonpregnant female mice were analyzed (Figure 2B). The peak E2 concentrations and hepatocyte proliferations occurred during the proestrus phase/period (Figure 2B). E2 was administered to the OVX mice, and E2 concentrations and hepatocyte proliferations were analyzed (Figure 2C). Both the E2 concentrations and hepatocyte proliferations were similarly elevated in an E2-dependent manner (Figure 2A). Taken together, both E2 administration and the estrous cycle induced E2 concentration and induced E2 concentration lead hepatocyte proliferation (Figure 2C).

**E2 and SERM administration regulated hepatocyte proliferation**

To access the target factor for hepatocyte proliferation, several SERMs were administered to hepatocytes (Figure 3). At first, E2 (filled bar in Figure 3A) and ICI (ERα and β antagonist, GPR30 agonist, gray bar in Figure 3A) were administrated to B6 WT mice (Figure 3A). E2-stimulated hepatocyte proliferation and ICI-inhibited hepatocyte proliferation indicated that hepatocyte proliferation was due to ERα and/or ERβ, not due to GPR30 (Figure 3C). Other SERM, such as PPT (ERα-specific agonist, triangles, CAS No: 263717-53-9)<sup>37</sup> induced HepG2 cell proliferation significantly, but no induction was observed with ERβ-specific agonist DPN (circles, CAS No: 1428-67-7), indicating that ERα is the E2 target factor for E2-induced hepatocyte proliferation (Figure 3C). Using ERα and ERβ knock out (KO) (and their control) mice,<sup>33</sup> we confirmed that E2-induced hepatocyte proliferation was via ERα, not ERβ (data not shown and manuscript in preparation). Moreover, hepatocyte-specific ERα KO mice<sup>23,27</sup> were
established and analyzed, resulting in similar results (data not shown and manuscript in preparation). Taken together, E2-induced hepatocyte proliferation might be via hepatocyte-ERα with ligand and receptor analyses.

E2 administration and PH induced ERα expression in periportal hepatocytes

The mRNA expressions of ERα and ERβ in the liver were analyzed using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Figure 4A) and in situ hybridization (Figure 4B). First, ERβ mRNA was detected in the positive control of ovary, and not amplified from liver samples (Figure 4A).19 PH and E2 administration induced the transcripts of ERα (Figure 4A). Note that both E2 injection and PH induced ERα expression synergetically. Delayed induced expression of ERα was observed in OVX mice at 24 hours after PH, similar to delayed E2 induction in OVX mice (Figure 1B). These observations suggested that PH induced delayed E2 concentration and ERα expression.

Moreover, E2 and PH induced ERα expression mainly in the hepatocytes located in the periportal area (Figure 4B), and these hepatocytes are known to actively proliferate after PH.38

Delayed hepatocyte proliferation after PH in OVX mice

No significant differences in liver weight were observed between the OVX and control mice before or after PH (Figure 5A and B). Hepatocytes are the main parenchymal cells of the liver, and DNA from the recovered livers was extracted and analyzed. The liver DNA quantities were restored by 4 days after PH in the OVX and control mice, and no significant differences were observed between the OVX and control mice (Figure 5C); these findings indicate that OVX had no significant effect on the liver regeneration. Moreover, hepatocyte proliferation following PH was

---

**Figure 3** SERM administration regulated hepatocyte proliferation.

**Notes:** (A) E2 administration induced hepatocyte proliferation. Vehicle (column 1), Veh, EtOH, open bar), E2 (column 2, black bar), or ICI (column 3, light gray bar) were injected at doses of 100 mg/30 g body weight. BrdU was injected, and the labeled hepatocytes were counted. The values are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=7). *P<0.05. (B) DPN administration induced hepatocyte proliferation. Vehicle (open squares with dotted lines, Veh, EtOH), I Rick E2 (filled diamonds), 1 µM PPT (dark gray triangles), and 1 µM DPN (light gray circles with dotted lines) were administrated to Hep G2 cells, and the cell numbers were counted at Day 0 (D0), 4 (D4), 7 (D7), and 10 (D10). (C) Summary of results of drugs in Figure 3A and 3B. The values are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n=5). *P<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator; E2, estradiol; ICI, ICI 182780; BrdU, bromodeoxyuridine; GPR, G protein-coupled receptor; ERα, estrogen receptor α; ERβ1, estrogen receptor β1; SEM, standard error of the mean; DPN, 2,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)propionitrile; PPT, 4,4′,4′-4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-trimethoxyphenol.

---

**Figure 4** PH induced ERα expression in the hepatocyte of periportal area.

**Notes:** PH-stimulated ERα expression in the periportal hepatocytes. After the PH operations, the livers were removed from these mice. ERα expression levels were analyzed with RT-PCR (A) and ISH (B). (A) The liver RNAs of the control (lanes 2, 7, and 10), PH (2-h lane 4, 8-h lanes 5, 9, and 11, 24-h lanes 6 and 12), the E2-injected mice (lanes 7, 8, and 9), and OVX (lanes 10, 11, and 12) were extracted, and RT-PCR was performed. (B) The livers were removed from the control (a), the PH (b), and the OVX-PH (c) mice. ISH was performed using ERα probes. Scale bars = 1 mm. The white arrows indicated portal veins.

**Abbreviations:** PH, partial hepatectomy; ERα, estrogen receptor α; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; ISH, in situ hybridization; OVX, ovariectomy; E2, estradiol; HPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase.
PH-induced increases in E2 concentrations in ORC and OVX living organisms

This is the first study that PH induced E2 production in ORC and OVX animals. In the papers in the 1970s and 1980s, and in our latest studies, no observation of E2 induction in ORC/OVX rodents or human beings was reported.

E2 administration and PH induced ERα expression in the livers of the female mice

The first ERα was cloned by Professor Pierre Chambon in 1986. The first Francavilla’s study was published in 1984; they did not know the ERα itself. Recently ERα expression is induced in male mice, indicating that this is the first study to report ERα expression in females.

Hepatocyte proliferation was also observed in the proestrus period of the estrous cycle

There are no studies about hepatocyte proliferation in the estrous cycle, and this is the first case of hepatocyte proliferation that was observed in sex cycles of females.

Conclusion

The possible mechanisms of PH- and E2-induced hepatocyte proliferation were identified (Figure 6). PH (step 1a), the estrous cycle (step 1b), and E2 injection (step 1c) stimulated increases in E2 concentrations (step 2), ERα expression in the perportal hepatocytes (step 3), and hepatocyte proliferation.

Future perspective

Genetical study

Our previous and latest studies showed that PH induced ERα expression in rodents of both sexes. Using genetically modified mice disrupting ER genes, the hepatic ER function in liver regeneration was contributed (manuscript in preparation).
During pregnancy
We demonstrated that induced E2 production in the estrus period of the estrous cycle triggered hepatocyte proliferation.
In general, when are the females exposed highest E2 concentration? It is during pregnancy. The livers during pregnancy were analyzed, and hepatocyte proliferation was observed as expected (manuscript in preparation).
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