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Abstract: Notch signaling plays a pivotal role during embryogenesis. It regulates three fundamental processes: lateral inhibition, boundary formation, and lineage specification. During post-natal life, Notch receptors and ligands control critical cell fate decisions both in compartments that are undergoing differentiation and in pluripotent progenitor cells. First recognized as a potent oncogene in certain lymphoblastic leukemias and mesothelium-derived tissue, the role of Notch signaling in epithelial, solid tumors has been far more controversial. The overall consequence of Notch signaling and which form of the Notch receptor drives malignancy in humans is deeply debated. Most likely, this is due to the high degree of context-dependent effects of Notch signaling. More recently, it has been discovered that Notch (especially Notch-1) can exert different, even opposite effects in the same tissue under differing microenvironmental conditions. Further complicating the understanding of Notch receptors is the recently discovered role for non-canonical Notch signaling. Additionally, the most frequent Notch signaling antagonists used in biological systems have been inhibitors of the transmembrane protease complex γ-secretase, which itself processes a plethora of class one transmembrane proteins and thus cannot be considered a Notch-specific upstream regulator. Here we review the available empirical evidence gathered in recent years concerning Notch receptors and ligands in non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). Although an overview of the field reveals seemingly contradicting results, we propose that Notch signaling can be exploited as a therapeutic target in NSCLC and represents a promising complement to the current arsenal utilized to combat this malignancy, particularly in targeting NSCLC tissues under specific environmental conditions, such as hypoxia.
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Progenitor cells in NSCLC and embryonic development signaling pathways

Generally, it is assumed that different non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) tumor subtypes originate in different sections of the airways. Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is commonly located proximal to the trachea and bronchi whereas adenocarcinoma of the lung (ACL) occurs distally. Until recently, large cell carcinoma was a diagnosis of exclusion, but has since been reclassified into several different subclasses. Currently, our understanding of cancer development is that it most likely derives from a subset of cells in an organ with well-defined characteristics. Such cells should have lived long in order to acquire the large number of genetic insults that characterize any malignancy. Additionally, these cells should not be terminally differentiated in order...
to present the classic undifferentiated appearance of most malignancies, which often resemble the organization of embryonic tissue. Based on injury models, a minority of cells in the lung epithelia appear resistant to most injuries and repopulate the damaged areas through a production of transit-amplifying cells, which terminally differentiate. Given the histopathological differences of the major types of NSCLC, well-designed studies have identified different progenitor cells giving rise to NSCLC. The Jacks’ group has identified a small number of cells positioned at the bronchioalveolar junction (or BASCs, for bronchioalveolar stem cells) that, under genetic manipulation, can give rise to genuine ACL.3 The progenitor cells of SCC have yet to be clearly defined, but a population of naphthalene-resistant, basal cells of the pseudostratified bronchial epithelium may be necessary for bronchial regeneration.4 More recently, keratin 5/14 positive basal cells located at the submucosal gland junctions and within intracartilaginous sections of the upper airway and main bronchi have been strongly implicated as SCC progenitor cells.5 It appears that in these cells, ablation of the transcription factor Sox2 drastically reduces their capability to repair damage.6

Stem or progenitor cell identity appears to be mediated by a number of factors that are provided within cellular niches. Major developmental pathways (eg, Wnt, Hedgehog, and Notch) all provide critical cues regulating stem and progenitor cell identity and status.7,8 Which signaling pathway has a predominant role appears to be dependent on specialized niches. Solid tumors, due to their overgrowth of the tissue vasculature, have one unique feature in common: all solid tumors contain hypoxic regions. While the hypoxic region of a tumor is deprived of oxygen and nutrients, it appears rich in growth factors.9,10,11 Since the pioneering study by Gustafsson et al,12 a wealth of data has been gathered establishing the link between hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs), Notch signaling, the maintenance of the undifferentiated state and the survival of NSCLC under hypoxia.10,13,14 Under these principles, it is conceivable to hypothesize a role for Notch signaling in NSCLC pathobiology.

**Canonical Notch Signaling**

Notch receptors and ligands are a group of single pass, transmembrane proteins conserved from *Caenorhabditis elegans* to humans. The nomenclature of the molecular players, some structural features and the mechanism of activation of the receptor(s) differ among *C. elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster* and mammalian cells. For this reason, we will refer to the Notch signaling pathway in human cells. Notch receptors (Notch-1 to Notch-4) are synthesized as long precursors. Throughout their maturation, Notch receptors undergo a number of post-translational modifications, including extensive glycosylation in the endoplasmic reticulum and in the Golgi apparatus, where they first undergo a proteolytic cleavage at site S1 operated by a Furin-like pro-protein convertase15 (Figure 1). Because of this cleavage, Notch receptors are presented on the plasma membrane as heterodimers of a large, modular, N-terminal portion (or NotchIC), which is exposed to the extracellular environment. NotchIC is non-covalently bound to a C-terminal portion (NotchTM) that comprises a short extracellular “stump”, the transmembrane domain and a large, multidomain intracellular portion (or NotchIC). Generally, NotchIC is recognized as inhibitory and the Notch heterodimerization region, which includes the three NotchIC C-terminal negative regulatory regions (NRRs) and the “stump” of NotchTM, plays a vital role in preventing improper Notch activation.15-17 To date, preferential binding of any ligands to specific Notch receptors has not been demonstrated. Several publications have indicated that post-translational modifications, operated by Manic and Lunatic Fringe in the Golgi, of both the receptors and the ligands can “slant” the binding toward certain pairs of receptor–ligand complexes.18,19 However, these modifications can temporally and spatially produce differing effects. Humans have five canonical Notch ligands: three Delta-like proteins (Delta-1, -3, and -4) and two Serrate-like polypeptides (Jagged-1 and -2). Following the canonical route of Notch activation, a receiving cell (expressing Notch receptor) makes contact with a signaling cell (expressing Notch ligands). The ligand establishes specific interactions with the modular portion of NotchIC and initiates a transendocytosis process in the signaling cell, which includes the ligand and NotchIC. This event destabilizes the heterodimerization region, rendering the extracellular portion of NotchTM susceptible to cleavage, operated by A-disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM) 10 or 17 (or both) at site S2. In turn, this makes the transmembrane portion of NotchTM available to γ-secretase digestion at site S3. The exact site of γ-secretase cleavage of Notch is not defined; γ-secretases cleave Notch receptors at multiple sites within the transmembrane domain, and the stability of the resulting cleavage products will be determined by the N-terminal rule. Most likely, this event occurs both at the plasma membrane and in the endocytic vesicles. The final product of γ-secretase cleavage is NotchIC, which translocates into the nucleus, and interacts with the transcription factor recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless, known as CBF-1 in humans. This interaction radically changes the
composition of CBF-1 binding partners. In the absence of Notch, CBF-1 associates with transcriptional co-repressors like histone de-acetylases and demethylases, Ski interacting protein, CBF-1 interacting co-repressor, silencing mediator for retinoid or thyroid-hormone receptors, the helix-loop-helix transcriptional repressor SHARP and C-terminal-binding protein 1. This complex is a potent repressor of Notch target genes. In the presence of Notch IC, Ski interacting protein facilitates the dissociation of the entire repressor complex while Notch recruits CBF-1 transcriptional co-activators such as Mastermind-like (MamL) and histone acetyl-transferases. The net result is transcriptional activation of a family of genes known as Enhancer of split (HES, HEY, and HERP genes in humans), which act as suppressors of tissue-specific differentiation activators.21 Other Notch targets include (in a tissue-dependent fashion) Myc, cyclin D3, and p21 WAF/CIP1.22

Non-canonical Notch signaling

The recognition that non-canonical Notch signaling triggers a multitude of cellular effects independently of interaction of Notch receptors with ligand has increased among the Notch research community. These non-canonical events partially overlap with other well-known pathways with drive cellular transformation. The following is a summary of distinctive features of non-canonical Notch signaling; a more detailed description of this pathway can be found elsewhere.23

Figure 1 Simplified schematic of Notch signaling.

Notes: In mammalian cells, Notch receptors are synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). During their transit from the ER to the trans-Golgi apparatus, Notch receptors are glycosylated and, in the trans-Golgi, cleaved by a furin convertase at site S1. This results in the generation of heterodimeric receptors, which are presented on the plasma membrane, with NotchEc fully exposed to the extracellular space. A neighboring, signaling cell expresses Notch ligands. Upon receptor–ligand interaction, NotchEc is removed along with the ligand via a trans-endocytosis event in the signaling cell. The removal of the inhibitory NotchEc renders NotchTm (composed by a short extracellular stump, a transmembrane domain and a C-terminal, intracellular portion known as NotchIC) susceptible to cleavage operated by ADAM 10 or 17 (or both) at site S2 within the “extracellular stump” of NotchTm. S2-cleaved Notch is rapidly cleaved within its transmembrane domain by a γ-secretase complex, either on the plasma membrane or within endocytic vesicles at S3. This latter event releases NotchIC, which translocates into the nucleus, associates with CBF-1, and transforms the composition of CBF-1-associated co-factors to yield a transcriptional activator complex in place of a transcriptional repressor complex on a number of promoters. Only a subset of Notch-regulated genes is presented here. This is the so-called canonical Notch signaling pathway. Recently, Notch has been described to activate a number of cellular signaling pathway independently from its association to CBF-1 and transcription regulation with only a subset presented here. This has been dubbed “non-canonical” Notch signaling, which can take place even independently of γ-secretase cleavage of NotchTm. Both canonical and non-canonical Notch signaling have been linked to carcinogenesis.

Abbreviations: ADAM, A-disintegrin and metalloproteinase; IGF-1R, insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; MamL, Mastermind-like.
Early anecdotal evidence suggested Notch had oncogenic potential independent of its interaction with CBF-1. In baby rat kidney cells, Notch seemed to synergize with the adenoviral E1A oncogene. However, some experiments indicated that a truncation of Notch-1, unable to bind CBF-1 or transcriptional co-activators (missing the RAM and OPA domains) was still active in E1A/Notch cotransformation. Studies of conditional CBF-1 knockout mice have revealed that canonical Notch-4 signaling is required for mammary gland development, while non-canonical Notch-4 signaling may be involved in mammary carcinogenesis. Recent studies have demonstrated that prevention of nutrient-deprivation induced apoptosis in HeLa cells by non-nuclear, membrane-tethered Notch-4 mutants is mediated by mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 2. Non-canonical Notch-1 signaling can enhance HIF-1α expression, thereby hypoxic response, by sequestering the HIF-1α negative regulator factor-inhibiting HIF-1α (FIH-1). This complications establishing a hierarchical order between HIF-1α and Notch-1IC under hypoxia. For instance, binding of HIF-1α to Notch-1IC stabilizes and enhances the transcriptional activity of Notch-1IC. Alternatively, in hypoxic conditions FIH-1 has a higher affinity to Notch-1IC compared with HIF-1α. FIH-1 then promotes hydroxylation of Notch-1IC at the expense of HIF-1α. Due to these conflicting data, further clarification is needed.

Other key feature of non-canonical Notch signaling includes the ability of Notch-1 to directly activate the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway in T-cells. In this study, the effects of Notch-1IC on Akt seemed to occur too rapidly to be solely ascribed to transcriptional activation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) and its ligands. It would be interesting to clarify whether Notch-1 can activate Akt both in a rapid, transient manner and in a more prolonged, transcriptionally dependent fashion. Furthermore, the tissue dependency of these processes must be assessed.

Notch signaling, both canonical and non-canonical, has far-reaching cellular implications in its variety of facets. As the tissue specificity of Notch signaling is well recognized, understanding the prevalence of either canonical or non-canonical signaling in diseases, including cancer, will be important in the development of effective therapeutic strategies.

**Notch signaling in NSCLC**

Little is known about the role of Notch-2 and Notch-4 in NSCLC. Of the handful of available reports, a very recent study supports a tumor suppressive role for Notch-2 in a Kras(G12D)-driven mouse NSCLC in vivo model. Here we will focus on those Notch receptors extensively studied in NSCLC (Notch-3 and Notch-1) due to the large amount of information available.

**Notch-3 signaling and NSCLC**

First reported in 2000, a t(15;19) somatic translocation was discovered in a poorly differentiated aggressive lung carcinoma implicating that Notch signaling may play a role in lung cancer. A detailed analysis of the tumor-derived cell line (HCC2429) exhibited a high expression of Notch-3. Observing no other genetic abnormalities, Dang et al assayed a number of cell lines and found elevated expression of the Notch-3 mRNA in 7 of 44 NSCLC cell lines by Northern blot analysis. Peculiarly, all positive cell lines were either ACL or mesotheliomas, and most harbored chromosomal abnormalities involving 19p translocations with different chromosomes. This situation was very similar to the t(7,9) translocation found in about 10% of T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) cases. The latter translocation generated a truncated version of Notch-1 (TAN-1) characterized by the expression of a mutated Notch-1 receptor lacking most of the N-terminal domain. Since truncated, constitutively active forms of Notch-1 are highly oncogenic in T-cells. This suggested that abnormal Notch-3 expression, which is virtually absent in non-neoplastic lung epithelia, could be a main oncogenic driver in at least some ACLs. In T-cells, constitutively active Notch-1 is a potent oncogene due to its ability to generate an exacerbated positive feedback loop with Myc. A similar situation could be hypothesized for Notch-3 in NSCLC.

Subsequent investigations have supported a role for Notch-3 in NSCLC, both in ACL and especially in SCC. In one study, a Notch-3 receptor with a truncated C-terminal domain was generated which acted as a dominant-negative Notch-3. Expression of this mutant in two cell lines (HCC2429 and H460) reduced their growth rate, increased apoptosis and caused increased growth factor dependence. Immunohistochemical analysis of Notch-3 in a large number of lung cancers of different histopathology revealed Notch-3 expression in more than 38% of specimens. Notch-3 expression appeared to be correlated with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression. The highest frequency of Notch-3 positive lung cancer was found in SCC (45%) and ACL (37%). Interestingly, dominant-negative Notch-3 expression caused increased sensitivity to the EGFR inhibitor AG1478, indicating the first evidence of synergism between Notch signaling and receptors tyrosine kinase.
kinase in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{32} The interaction between Notch-3 and EGFR in NSCLC seems to converge on the expression of the pro-apoptotic protein Bel-like protein 11 (or Bim); both EGFR inhibition and siRNA to Notch-3 can elevate Bim expression. However, maximal Bim expression is achieved when both treatments are implemented. According to this model, EGFR and Notch-3 would support NSCLC resistance to apoptosis through a dual mechanism: Akt activation of the transcription factor Forkhead box O3 (Foxo3a), which promotes transcription of Bim, and an Akt-independent, Notch-3 driven Bim activation.\textsuperscript{33} It is possible that Foxo3a mostly mediates this cross talk. In myosatellite cells, Foxo3a deficiency mimics Notch-1 and Notch-3 attenuated expression. Ultimately, Foxo3a may be necessary for the proper expression of these two Notch receptors to maintain quiescence and prevent differentiation.\textsuperscript{34}

A recent report has indicated that Notch-3 is a driver for the maintenance of the cancer initiating cell phenotype in a subpopulation of sphere-derived, NSCLC cells characterized by high expression of the cell adhesion molecule CD24 and integrin β4 markers. These cells appear to have a very high metastatic potential. Sorting this subpopulation resulted in a drastic reduction of tumor formation upon syngeneic transplantation of cells harboring oncogenic Kras and p53\textsuperscript{33} by Notch-4 through elevation of Notch-1 expression, hence the controversial role of Notch-1 in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{32} The controversial role of Notch-1 in hematopoietic cells caused T-cells leukemias in about 50% of bone marrow transplanted mice. Notch-3 can be a driver oncogene in about 40% of NSCLC cells by activating Notch signaling. In this specific model, most of the anti-oncogenic functions were mediated by Notch-4 through elevation of Notch-1 expression, hence appears to have tumor suppressive roles.\textsuperscript{40} Importantly, ectopic expression of a constitutively active protein in the context of malignant transformation, where Notch signaling can be already overactivated, may lead to confusing results. Clearer data could be obtained by stimulating Notch-1 in a physiologic fashion in cells withdrawn of Notch-1 signaling. Nonetheless, data have been reported supporting a tumor suppressive role for Notch-1 in NSCLC. For example, a recent report presented a high percentage (75%) of Notch (Notch-1 or Notch-2) loss-of-function mutation in head and neck SCC and, to a lesser extent, in lung SCC. These mutations where heterozygous and yielded truncated, N-terminal fragments of Notch-1 and Notch-2. Alternatively, these mutations affected critical domains, potentially compromising the functionality of the receptors. By utilizing cell-based assays, it was confirmed that these mutations represented Notch loss-of-function mutations.\textsuperscript{41} It should also be noted that while Notch-1 or Notch-2 knock out mice die at mid gestation in utero, heterozygous knock out mice for either Notch-1 or Notch-2 are viable, fertile, of normal size and do not display noticeable phenotypes. Hence, at least in mice, haplinsufficiency for Notch-1 and Notch-2 do not appear to generate cancer susceptibility. Furthermore, Notch-1 and Notch-2 signaling are not redundant and opposite effects deriving from activation of the two receptors have been described. In cancer, Notch-1 and Notch-2 activation seems to have opposite effects in certain brain tumors, breast cancer, multiple myeloma, and mesothelioma (reviewed in Galluzzo and Bocchetta\textsuperscript{42}). These non-overlapping sometimes opposite effects on the same promotor (phosphatase and tensin homolog [PTEN], or p21\textsuperscript{WAF/Cip1}), may be a consequence of differential post-translational modifications of the intracellular portion of the two receptors\textsuperscript{20} or may simply be inherent to the structure of the receptors. The fact that heterozygous, inactivating mutations of either Notch-1 or Notch-2 found in a portion of SCCs of the lung may reflect some adaptive responses to certain microenvironmental conditions rather than being the driver of this malignancy as a whole. Imbalances in Notch receptors and ligands may also be related to Notch cis-inhibition. Without delving too deeply into the details of this phenomenon, the ligands of cells that express both Notch receptors and ligands, can still bind Notch receptors but this association prevents activation. Recently, a study demonstrated that endothelial cells, through augmented expression of Delta-4, prevent the proliferation of NSCLC cells by activating Notch signaling. In this specific model, of the anti-oncogenic functions were mediated by Notch-4 through elevation of Notch-1 expression, hence

The controversial role of Notch-1 in NSCLC

The expression of Notch-1 and Jagged-1 during lung development in mice steadily increases from embryonic day E12 until birth. However, Notch-1 and Jagged-1 expression co-localize with PECAM-1, and is found mostly in endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{37} Notch-1 is also expressed in non-endothelial, mesenchymal cells and lung epithelial cells. This suggests that Notch-1, besides its well-recognized role in angiogenesis, participates in the terminal differentiation of lung epithelia.

Recently, Notch-1 gain-of-function has been often studied by overexpressing Notch-1\textsuperscript{1C}. Overexpression of this portion of Notch-1 in hematopoietic cells caused T-cells leukemias in about 50% of bone marrow transplanted mice.\textsuperscript{38} Conversely, Notch-1\textsuperscript{1C} overexpression in NSCLC cell lines causes cell growth arrest (or apoptosis) and inhibited tumor formation in vivo.\textsuperscript{39} This situation is comparable to what has been observed in small cell lung cancer cell lines, where Notch-1 appears to have tumor suppressive roles.\textsuperscript{40} Importantly, ectopic expression of a constitutively active protein in the context of malignant transformation, where Notch signaling can be already overactivated, may lead to confusing results. Clearer data could be obtained by stimulating Notch-1 in a physiologic fashion in cells withdrawn of Notch-1 signaling. Nonetheless, data have been reported supporting a tumor suppressive role for Notch-1 in NSCLC. For example, a recent report presented a high percentage (75%) of Notch (Notch-1 or Notch-2) loss-of-function mutation in head and neck SCC and, to a lesser extent, in lung SCC. These mutations where heterozygous and yielded truncated, N-terminal fragments of Notch-1 and Notch-2. Alternatively, these mutations affected critical domains, potentially compromising the functionality of the receptors. By utilizing cell-based assays, it was confirmed that these mutations represented Notch loss-of-function mutations.\textsuperscript{41} It should also be noted that while Notch-1 or Notch-2 knock out mice die at mid gestation in utero, heterozygous knock out mice for either Notch-1 or Notch-2 are viable, fertile, of normal size and do not display noticeable phenotypes. Hence, at least in mice, haplinsufficiency for Notch-1 and Notch-2 do not appear to generate cancer susceptibility. Furthermore, Notch-1 and Notch-2 signaling are not redundant and opposite effects deriving from activation of the two receptors have been described. In cancer, Notch-1 and Notch-2 activation seems to have opposite effects in certain brain tumors, breast cancer, multiple myeloma, and mesothelioma (reviewed in Galluzzo and Bocchetta\textsuperscript{42}). These non-overlapping sometimes opposite effects on the same promotor (phosphatase and tensin homolog [PTEN], or p21\textsuperscript{WAF/Cip1}), may be a consequence of differential post-translational modifications of the intracellular portion of the two receptors\textsuperscript{20} or may simply be inherent to the structure of the receptors. The fact that heterozygous, inactivating mutations of either Notch-1 or Notch-2 found in a portion of SCCs of the lung may reflect some adaptive responses to certain microenvironmental conditions rather than being the driver of this malignancy as a whole. Imbalances in Notch receptors and ligands may also be related to Notch cis-inhibition. Without delving too deeply into the details of this phenomenon, the ligands of cells that express both Notch receptors and ligands, can still bind Notch receptors but this association prevents activation. Recently, a study demonstrated that endothelial cells, through augmented expression of Delta-4, prevent the proliferation of NSCLC cells by activating Notch signaling. In this specific model, of the anti-oncogenic functions were mediated by Notch-4 through elevation of Notch-1 expression, hence
enhancing PTEN expression.\textsuperscript{41} In conclusion, there are data supporting anti-oncogenic role of Notch signaling in the lung epithelia that may contradict the previous summary of Notch-3 involvement in lung carcinogenesis.

There is, however, ample experimental evidence supporting a pro-oncogenic role for Notch-1 in NSCLC, which we can only briefly summarize here. In some reports, Notch-1 is presented as a pure oncogene: downregulation of Numb (a Notch-1 negative regulator, which promotes Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC} polyubiquitination and proteosomal degradation\textsuperscript{42}) is found in about one-third of NSCLC specimens.\textsuperscript{45}

Additionally, about one-tenth of NSCLC studied harbored Notch-1 mutations that were confirmed to represent Notch-1 gain-of-function mutations in vitro. These mutations conferred enhanced sensitivity of the cell lines derived from such tumors to the \(\gamma\)-secretase inhibitors (GSIs) DAPT and MRK-003. Notch-1 activation correlated with poor prognosis and seemed present in association with wild-type p53. Also, mutations often occurred in domains considered as “hot spots” for Notch-1 mutations in T-ALL.\textsuperscript{43} More recently, a transgenic mouse with activated Notch-1 expressed in lung epithelia developed alveolar hyperplasia and adenomas. Notch-1 activation seemed to positively regulate Myc expression, similar to previous observations in some leukemias.\textsuperscript{46}

In this experimental system, Notch-1 overactivation alone was unable to generate adenocarcinomas, while ectopic addition of Myc led to generation of adenocarcinomas. Other Notch-1 regulators have been implicated in the maintenance or the severity of the transformed phenotype in NSCLC. Overexpression of ADAM-10 (one of the proteases required for proteolytical Notch cleavage at S2, rendering Notch receptors susceptible to \(\gamma\)-secretase cleavage) was observed in NSCLC samples when compared to normal lung using immunohistochemistry. Although ADAM-10 staining intensity differed from specimen to specimen, a clear association between the intensity of the signal and the stage of the disease was found, with ADAM-10 maximally expressed in distal metastases.\textsuperscript{47} ADAM-10 depletion in A549 cells using RNA interference (RNAi) greatly diminished Notch-1 activation. Contrary to other reports, active Notch-1 did not co-operate with \(\beta\)-catenin, but interfered with activation of ERKs and motility (measured as Matrigel invasion). RNAi depletion of both ADAM-10 and \(\gamma\)-secretase exhibited similar effects of halting cell migration.\textsuperscript{47} This study also revealed a high association of ADAM-10 and Notch-1 overexpression, which was particularly evident in late-stage NSCLC, once again raising the issue that Notch-1 activation is related to tumor progression and metastasis.

Furthermore, Notch-1 has also been implicated in NSCLC cell survival. Artificial downregulation of the protein kinase Tribbles homolog 3 (TRB3), which is induced in response to NFκB activation, led to decreased Notch-1 expression in ACL. Often found in several solid tumors, TRB3 overexpression was correlated with poor prognosis. RNAi-mediated TRB3 depletion in A549 cells caused depressed expression of Notch-1, leading to a 50% reduced viability of A549 cells and a significant reduction in cell motility.\textsuperscript{48} In summary, there are numerous reports concerning Notch-1 expression, upstream or downstream regulators, the interaction of tumor cells with stroma (or immediately surrounding tissues), prognosis variables, and therapy susceptibility, which support both a tumor suppressive and a pro-oncogenic role for Notch-1 in NSCLC.

While reconciling these apparently conflicting data is difficult, we submit that the most likely explanation is that Notch-1 biological output is highly dependent upon microenvironmental conditions. We found that Notch-1 genetic depletion caused no evident phenotype in ACL cells grown in standard conditions. Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC} overexpression in these cells resulted in significant cell death.\textsuperscript{13} When performing immunohistochemistry using a highly specific antibody against Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC} in ACL specimens, we found that Notch-1 staining was restricted to pockets within some tumor specimens. When analyzing consecutive slides, we encountered a paradoxical scenario in which a slide would essentially test negative for Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC}, while sections deeper in the tumor specimens had very evident and intense staining. Using orthotopic xenograft models, we determined that Notch-1 expression (and that of its downstream targets) was confined to sections of the tumors expressing the hypoxia markers HIF-1\(\alpha\) and glucose transporter 1. However, in non-hypoxic tumor areas, Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC} was undetectable.\textsuperscript{10} In ACL cells grown under hypoxia, Notch-1 depletion was incompatible with cell survival, and Notch-1\textsuperscript{IC} expression at physiologic levels could rescue GSI-induced apoptosis.\textsuperscript{13} Notch-1 signaling appears indispensable for ACL survival under hypoxia as it promotes transcription of the IGF-1R and its major ligands IGF-1 and IGF-2.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, under hypoxia, Notch-1 promotes an autocrine feedback loop that ultimately results in overactivation of the phosphoinosidade 3-kinase/Akt axis (Figure 2). In vivo, where hypoxic tumor tissue is not only deprived of oxygen but also of nutrients, this activation is limited to the anti-apoptotic signals of Akt. In this hypoxic NSCLC environment, mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 appears to be under a dominant metabolic checkpoint, resulting in NSCLC cells quiescence.\textsuperscript{49}
Hence, Notch-1 expression and signaling output seem to be determined by microenvironmental conditions (in this case hypoxia) and Notch-1 interplay with HIF-1α.

Hypoxia also modulates the expression and the activity of critical Notch-1 activators. For examples, the γ-secretase complex component anterior pharynx-defective 1 transcription is promoted by HIF-1α in a context-dependent fashion. Additionally, the γ-secretase component Presenilin-1 (which harbors the proteolytic catalytic site within the γ-secretase complex) can associate with HIF-1α and prevents its proteosomal degradation. ADAM 10 expression and activity is also increased under hypoxia, a phenomenon most likely mediated by nitric oxide, which is a key molecule needed to stabilize HIF-1α.

Hypoxia also upregulates Notch ligands expression. Breast cancer cells in which Notch-1 seems to induce epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) by stimulating Snail expression, demonstrated an increased expression of Delta-1. Taking all of this information into consideration, examining the role of Notch-1 in NSCLC cannot be accomplished without accounting for the effects of tumor hypoxia, or the mutational status of central regulatory mediators of the hypoxic response, such as the Von Hippel–Lindau tumor suppressor gene. Furthermore, Notch-1 signaling outcome is highly context-dependent. For this reason, the status of growth factor tyrosine kinase receptors signaling, Ras activation, and other unknown parameters should be taken into consideration when interpreting data concerning Notch-1, its activators, and downstream mediators in NSCLC. Overall, the study of Notch-1 signaling in NSCLC can lead to apparently contrasting results when studied in isolation. In our opinion, the amount of evidence supporting an essential role of Notch-1 signaling for survival under hypoxia, the promotion of EMT and metastasis in NSCLC cells is paramount.

**Notch-1 signaling consequences for the treatment of NSCLC**

Cisplatin and carboplatin are essential drugs used in combination with taxanes in the standard of care therapy for NSCLC. Although often effective, treatment with platin-based compounds regularly leads to the appearance of cisplatin or carboplatin resistant recurrent disease. The development of this phenotype has been ascribed to upregulation of Notch-1 in a population of prominin-1 (or CD133) positive NSCLC cells. Cisplatin resistance (and the arise of CD133 positive cells) could be reversed using either RNAi to deplete Notch-1 in these cells, or treating them with the GSI DAPT. The effects of GSI treatment could be reversed with Notch-1IC forced expression. Additionally, a number of groups have reported enhanced cisplatin cytotoxicity when administered alongside GSI, both in vitro and in vivo, in a number of cells derived from a variety of different solid tumors, including NSCLC. Furthermore, several reports have indicated NSCLC cases with overactivated Notch-1 signaling were resistant to several types of radio/chemotherapy regimens. For instance, Notch-1 overactivation has been linked with poor prognosis and decreased radiation sensitivity.

Doxorubicin is an anthracycline antitumor antibiotic that is often used in combination therapies for NSCLC. Recent studies have linked the expression of the microRNA-1 (miR-1) with sensitivity to doxorubicin. It appears that miR-1 and Delta-1 expression are negatively correlated in NSCLC. Additionally, miR-1 targets the Delta-1 mRNA directly, hence suggesting that doxorubicin resistance arising from reduced miR-1 expression is ultimately due to Notch-1 enhanced activity.

Others have shown that Notch-1 participates in the process of acquired resistance to receptor tyrosine kinase inhibition in NSCLC. Similarly, in NSCLC, gefitinib-resistant PC9 and H1650 cells upregulated Notch-1 expression exclusively (eg, the expression of other Notch receptors was found unchanged), alongside increased HES-1 expression and expression of the markers of EMT vimentin and Snail. Notch-1IC ectopic expression exclusively conferred the previously mentioned properties to gefitinib-sensitive PC9 and H1650 cells, while genetic depletion of Notch-1 using RNAi caused overexpression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin and suppressed vimentin expression.
Taken together, these data derived from studies of intrinsic or acquired NSCLC resistance to different therapeutic agents seem to support a pro-oncogenic role for Notch-1 in this malignancy, at least at the level of susceptibility to therapy and emergence of radio/chemotherapy resistance.

**Notch receptors as therapeutic targets: strategies to achieve selective inhibition**

A summary of various strategies to inhibit Notch signaling is reported in Figure 3.

The traditional, and possibly over-used, Notch inhibitors are GSIs. Principally, this is due to the ample availability of small molecules inhibiting the γ-secretase complex, which were originally developed in order to inhibit amyloid precursor protein cleavage as a potential treatment for Alzheimer’s disease. The problem with GSIs is twofold. First, γ-secretase cleaves more than 90 cellular substrates, including Notch ligands. Therefore, they cannot be considered Notch-specific inhibitors. Second, pan-Notch inhibition interferes with enterocyte differentiation, rapidly leading to accumulation in the intestinal epithelia of goblet cells, which cause profuse diarrhea and impaired nutrient uptake. This first problem could be bypassed through the development of novel substrate specific GSIs, possibly through the modulation of Nicstrin function as this protein appears to be the main discriminant in the process of γ-secretase substrate selection. Currently, GSIs that can discriminate between amyloid precursor protein and Notch cleavage have emerged and a more thorough understanding of the γ-secretase complex function(s) may lead to the development of GSI that are mostly Notch-specific. Several notable GSIs, including RO-4929097, MK0752, PF-03084014, and BMS-708163, have been tested in clinical trials for use in a variety of solid tumors, including NSCLC. Nonetheless, even Notch-specific GSIs could only be pan-Notch inhibitors. ADAM inhibitors are currently under development, but these molecules do not promise increased Notch receptor selectivity or decreased undesired off-target effects as compared to GSIs. Moreover, ADAM inhibitors appear to be pan-Notch inhibitors as well.

Other strategies aimed at targeting Notch receptors non-selectively include utilizing soluble Notch ligands or receptors binding domain decoy peptides. A Notch soluble ligand is inhibitory (in vivo, ligand shedding is routinely used as a mechanism to modulate Notch activity) because mammalian Notch receptors, unlike their C. elegans homologs, require trans-endocytosis and physical removal of Notch away from NotchTM in order to promote ADAM and γ-secretases sequential cleavage. The binding of a soluble ligand would prevent a membrane-tethered ligand, which is presented on a signaling cell, from associating with Notch receptors on the receiving cell, thus preventing Notch activation. Although these strategies have been attempted in experimental settings with differing degrees of success, we are unaware of any drug based on soluble or decoy Notch ligands or receptors that has yet to enter the clinic.

Due to a lack of a Notch receptor “catalytic site”, the development of small inhibitory molecules appears limited.

---

**Figure 3** Experimental strategies to inhibit Notch receptors.

**Notes:** The most commonly used pan-Notch inhibitors have been γ-secretase inhibitors (GSIs). Most GSIs target the γ-secretase protease component presenilin (1 or 2), which has aspartic-type endopeptidase activity and it is believed to represent the γ-secretase subunit that catalyzes the intramembrane cleavage of integral membrane proteins. Several GSIs have been experimented in Phase I-III clinical trials for Alzheimer’s disease and cancers of different origin. GSIs cannot be considered specific Notch inhibitors, since γ-secretases cleave a large number of cellular proteins. Fully humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting the domain of interaction between NotchIC and Notch™ (mAb anti NRR in the figure) promise a great level of specificity, but they are yet to proceed into clinical trials since their last publication of Notch-specific inhibitory antibodies in 2010. Antibodies blocking the interaction domain of specific Notch receptor isoforms with Notch ligands (mAb A6 targets Notch-1), various forms of Notch soluble ligands or decoy peptides have been used in experimental settings only.

**Abbreviations:** MamL, Mastermind-like; NRR, negative regulatory region.
to the enzymes that cause Notch receptor activation, or their interaction with CBF-1. Interestingly, a recent report described the generation of soluble, cell permeable alphahelical peptides that were able to disrupt the association between Notch-1 and MamL.66 Utilizing coimmunoprecipitation techniques, the authors indicated that one such peptide, named SAHM1, disrupted the in vivo association between MamL and Notch-1. This event was paralleled by decreased expression of a reporter gene under a Notch-1-regulated promoter. SAHM1 administered to T-ALL cells caused decreased expression of multiple Notch-1 downstream genes and cell growth arrest in vitro, and reduced leukemic potential in experimental animals. Although conceptually very interesting, SAHM1 is currently sold by a few companies for use only as an investigative compound, though it does appear that SAHM1 and its derivatives are slowly moving into experimentation in human subjects.

Recently, humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) which specifically target individual Notch receptors have been described and tested in vitro and in vivo.67 These antibodies were able to inhibit either Notch-1 or Notch-2 without cross-reactivity. Using phage display techniques, these antibodies were raised against the association domain between NotchEC and NotchTM, producing a “clip” which maintains the binding of the Notch NRRs of NotchEC to the extracellular stump of NotchTM. The unique property of these antibodies is in the identity of the epitope they recognize; all known point mutations that destabilize NotchEC association to NotchTM in T-ALL are outside of the regions recognized by the paratopes. This feature makes these antibodies particularly useful, because they have the potential of not only interfering with ligand-facilitated activation of Notch, but also interfering with improper activation of Notch due to mutational destabilization of the Notch NRR. Additionally, these antibodies did not display any major detrimental phenotype concerning intestinal cells differentiation. A variety of targets are recognized by other humanized antibodies, trastuzumab, which targets Her2; MK-0646 and several others that target IGF-1R; and bevacizumab that targets vascular-endothelial growth factor A. These humanized antibodies are increasingly utilized in clinical trials with a number of other inhibitory molecules. Quite surprisingly, these Notch-specific antibodies have yet to be deployed in the clinic. Recently, a Phase I using enoticumab (REGN421), a fully humanized anti Delta-4 mAb, has been initiated for the treatment of solid tumors. While the extent of the side effects has made the determination of maximal tolerated dose uncertain, positive therapeutic effects have been identified in patients with ovarian cancer.68

Conclusion
Currently, there is a plethora of evidence linking Notch signaling with NSCLC. For malignancies that appear Notch-3 driven, GSI treatment may be highly beneficial along with standard of care drugs like platinum-based compounds. For the eradication of minimal residual disease and micrometastases in lymph nodes, with much lower oxygen concentration than the bloodstream or well-vascularized tissues, Notch-1 inhibition may be of principal importance. Yet, Notch-1 inhibition should be restricted to this receptor only, and not to other Notch isoforms. The current status of compounds development indicates that specific and sustained Notch-1 inhibition remains a future, exciting perspective.
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