A meta-analysis for CXCR4 as a prognostic marker and potential drug target in non-small cell lung cancer

Changyuan Zhang1,*, Jie Li2,*, Yi Han3, Jian Jiang4

1Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region People’s Hospital, Inner Mongolia; 2Department of Oncology, Beijing Chest Hospital; 3Department of Thoracic Surgery, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, People’s Republic of China
4Department of Thoracic Surgery, Beijing T ongen Hospital, People’s Republic of China
*These authors contributed equally to this work

Background: Recent reports have shown that C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) is a candidate oncogene in several types of human tumors, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, the correlation between CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of NSCLC remains controversial and has not been emphasized. The aim of this study is to quantitatively evaluate the association of CXCR4 expression with the incidence of NSCLC and clinicopathological characteristics by performing a meta-analysis.

Methods: A detailed literature search was carried out for related research publications. Only articles in which CXCR4 expression was detected by immunohistochemical staining were included. Odds ratio (OR) and hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and summarized.

Results: Final analysis of 1,872 NSCLC patients from 19 eligible studies was performed. We observed that CXCR4 expression was significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissue, based on the pooled OR from ten studies, including 678 NSCLCs and 189 normal lung tissues (OR =16.66, 95% CI =6.94–40.02, P<0.00001). CXCR4 expression was also significantly associated with clinical stages, metastatic status, and overall survival (OS) in NSCLC patients. In addition, CXCR4 mRNA high expression was found to correlate with worse OS of all NSCLC patients followed for 20 years, HR =1.24, P=0.0047.

Conclusion: The present meta-analysis indicated that CXCR4 protein expression is associated with an increased risk and worse survival in NSCLC patients. The aberrant CXCR4 protein and mRNA expression play an important role in the carcinogenesis and metastasis of NSCLC.
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Introduction

Chemokines are a group of small proteins associated with cytoskeletal rearrangements, cell migration during development and physiology, and host immune response. Chemokines can interact with G-protein-coupled receptors, which play critical roles in the immune system and in the cellular proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, evasion of the immune response, and inflammatory microenvironment of tumors.1-3 C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), also known as fusin or CD184, is an alpha-chemokine receptor specific for stromal cell-derived factor 1 (SDF-1; also called CXCL12).4,5 CXCR4 belongs to the superfamily of seven-transmembrane domain, heterotrimeric G-protein-coupled receptors and is functionally expressed on the cell surface in a wide range of malignant tumors as well as implicated in the cell proliferation and migration of these cells.6-8 Recently, CXCR4 has been reported to be overexpressed and to play an important role in cell survival, proliferation, migration, and metastasis of...
several cancers, including breast, ovarian, cervical, colon or rectal, esophageal, stomach, liver, gall bladder, kidney, pancreatic, prostate, and uterine, as well as multiple myeloma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. A number of studies showed that CXCR4 is also overexpressed in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and may account for its progression, metastasis, and prognosis. However, controversy still exists. CXCR4 has been identified by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in both the nucleus and cytoplasm of NSCLC cells, and the cellular localization of CXCR4 has been reported to correlate with the different biological behaviors of the tumor. Wagner et al found that positive cytomembranous staining for CXCR4 is an independent indicator of poor prognosis, while nuclear staining confers a survival benefit to patients with adenocarcinoma of the lung. In addition, the roles of CXCR4 in NSCLC and clinical significance have not been thoroughly investigated. Meta-analysis has great advantages in confirming prognostic and pathological factors in cancer patients by avoiding disadvantages of small sample size. Therefore, it is necessary to perform a pooled analysis using the results of published articles. In this study, we have analyzed and updated the published clinical investigations regarding the effects of CXCR4 on patients with NSCLC.

Material and methods

Search strategy and selection criteria


We searched articles using following terms: “lung,” “cancer or tumor or neoplasm or carcinoma,” “expression,” “CXCR4 or C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4,” and “prognosis or prognostic or outcome.” Criteria that an eligible study has to meet were as follows: 1) CXCR4 expression evaluated in the primary NSCLC tissues; 2) researches revealing the relationship between CXCR4 expression and NSCLC clinicopathological parameters and prognosis; and 3) studies providing sufficient information to estimate hazard ratio (HR) about overall survival (OS) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The exclusion criteria included the following: 1) letters, reviews, case reports, conference abstracts, editorials, expert opinion and 2) all publications regarding in vitro/ ex vivo studies, cell lines, and human xenografts.

Data extraction and methodological assessment

The following information was recorded for each study: the first author name; year of publication; the authors’ nationality; sample source; number of cases; clinicopathological parameters; tumor, node, metastasis stage; immunohistochemical staining method; antibody source; percentage rate of expression; and follow-up. Data for study characteristics and clinical responses were summarized in a table format. Heterogeneity of investigation was evaluated to determine whether the data of the various studies could be analyzed for a meta-analysis.

For the methodological evaluation of the studies, three investigators read through each publication independently and assessed and scored them according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOQAS), for cohort and case–control studies. Studies are rated from one to nine stars in the NOQAS, with nine stars indicating a high-quality study. Any discrepancies or disagreements were discussed, and if consensus could not be achieved, a third reviewer resolved the issue.

Patient survival analysis

An online database was used to assess the relevance of CXCR4 mRNA expression to relapse free survival. The database was established using gene expression data and survival information of 1,405 NSCLC patients downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Briefly, CXCR4 gene was entered into the database (http://kmplot.com/analysis/index.php?p=service&cancer=lung) to obtain Kaplan–Meier survival plots, where the number at risk is indicated below the main plot. HR (and 95% CIs) and logrank $P$ were calculated and displayed on the webpage.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was conducted using the STATA 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) and Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated with Cochran’s Q test and $I^2$ statistic. When heterogeneity was not an issue ($P$ values $<0.05$), a fixed-effect model was used to calculate parameters. If there was substantial heterogeneity ($P$ values $\geq 0.05$), a random-effects model was used to pool data and attempted to identify potential sources of heterogeneity based on subgroup analyses. The pooled odds ratio (OR) was estimated for the association between CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological features. $P$-values tailed $<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.
Publication bias was assessed by using a method reported by Egger et al.\textsuperscript{53} The analysis of meta-regression and publication bias was performed by using STATA version 10.

**Results**

Based on the above inclusion and exclusion criteria, 19 studies were finally identified for the final meta-analysis, as shown in Figure S1. A total of 1,872 NSCLC patients from People’s Republic of China, Japan, France, Germany, Canada, and USA were enrolled. Their basic characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Positive CXCR4 expression was defined by IHC. Most of the studies defined CXCR4 expression positive as a part of nucleus or cytoplasm was stained. There was difference in defining the cutoff value of positive CXCR4 expression. The quality of each study was assessed using NOQAS. Of the 19 studies, eleven scored 8 points, six scored 7 points, and two scored 6 points. Hence, the studies were of a relatively high quality (Table 2). In addition, among 19 studies, only two studies did not perform multivariate analysis.\textsuperscript{54,55}

We first determined whether CXCR4 expression is significantly higher in NSCLC than in normal lung tissue. The pooled OR from ten studies, including 678 NSCLCs and 189 normal lung tissues, is shown in Figure 1A. There was significant heterogeneity \((F=79\%, \ P<0.0001)\), and the pooled OR was performed using a random model (OR =16.66, 95% CI =6.94–40.02, \(P<0.00001\)), which indicated that CXCR4 expression is significantly higher in NSCLC than in the normal lung tissue. We then analyzed 942 NSCLC patients pooled from ten studies to assess whether CXCR4 expression in NSCLC was associated with advanced stage. As shown in Figure 1B, aberrant CXCR4 expression was significantly higher in advanced stage NSCLC (III and IV) than that in early stage NSCLC (I and II), OR =2.75, 95% CI =1.52–4.99, \(P=0.0008\). However, as shown in Figure S2, aberrant CXCR4 expression was not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that in moderately and highly differentiated NSCLC, OR =1.63, 95% CI =0.87–3.05, \(P=0.13\). These results suggest that CXCR4 expression may not associate with tumor’s differentiated status, but it may play an important role in NSCLC progression and metastasis. We further analyzed 1,395 NSCLC patients pooled from 14 studies to assess whether CXCR4 expression in NSCLC was associated with metastatic status. As shown in Figure 2A, aberrant CXCR4 expression was significantly higher in metastatic NSCLC than in nonmetastatic NSCLC, OR =4.31, 95% CI =2.27–8.20, \(P<0.00001\). These results suggest that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Antibody source/catalog number</th>
<th>CXCR4 distribution</th>
<th>Definition of CXCR4 positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al\textsuperscript{70}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>IHC/RT-PCR</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./ab2074</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and/or nucleus</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Zobair et al\textsuperscript{82}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./ab2074</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and nucleus</td>
<td>&gt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al\textsuperscript{83}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Beijing Boao Sen/NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al\textsuperscript{82}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/NA</td>
<td>Membrane and cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al\textsuperscript{84}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsuka et al\textsuperscript{86}</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Biotrend/UMB2</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al\textsuperscript{88}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al\textsuperscript{84}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./NA</td>
<td>Membrane and/or cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minamiya et al\textsuperscript{89}</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>IHC/ Western blot Technologies/c1123</td>
<td>Leinco</td>
<td>Nucleus or cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al\textsuperscript{97}</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/12GS</td>
<td>Membrane and cytomembrane</td>
<td>Over positive (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hao et al\textsuperscript{94}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Boster/NA</td>
<td>Membrane and cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na et al\textsuperscript{95}</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and nucleus</td>
<td>&gt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li and Wen\textsuperscript{94}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and/or nucleus</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al\textsuperscript{97}</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/sc-6279</td>
<td>Cytoplasm and/or nucleus</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai et al\textsuperscript{77}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Wuhan Boster Biological Engineering Co., Ltd./NA</td>
<td>Membrane and/or cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al\textsuperscript{98}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./NA</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al\textsuperscript{99}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/NA</td>
<td>Membrane and/or cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al\textsuperscript{80}</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>R&amp;D/MAB173</td>
<td>Membrane and/or cytoplasm</td>
<td>The staining intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spano et al\textsuperscript{81}</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Abcam Ltd./c2074</td>
<td>Nucleus and/or cytoplasm</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; IHC, immunohistochemistry; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; NA, not available.
Table 2 Quality assessment according to the Newcastle–Ottawa scale of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Comparability</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al&lt;sup&gt;36&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Zobair et al&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsuka et al&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minamiya et al&lt;sup&gt;49&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al&lt;sup&gt;57&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hao et al&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na et al&lt;sup&gt;53&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li and Wen&lt;sup&gt;76&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al&lt;sup&gt;70&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai et al&lt;sup&gt;71&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spano et al&lt;sup&gt;81&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Forest plot for the estimate of CXCR4 expression associated with NSCLC in the meta-analysis.

**Notes:** The pooled OR from ten studies, including 678 NSCLC and 189 normal lung tissues, OR = 16.66, 95% CI = 6.94–40.02, P < 0.00001 (A). A total of 942 NSCLC patients were pooled from ten studies to assess whether CXCR4 expression in NSCLC was associated with advanced stage. CXCR4 expression was significantly higher in advanced staged NSCLC (III and IV) than that from early staged NSCLC (I and II). OR = 2.75, 95% CI = 1.52–4.99, P = 0.0008 (B).

**Abbreviations:** CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.
CXCR4 expression is strongly correlated with metastatic status in NSCLC patients. For studies evaluating OS, we identified five studies that estimated the relationship between CXCR4 expression and OS in NSCLC. Since the heterogeneity between studies for CXCR4 (F=22%, P=0.27) was not significant, a fixed model was applied to calculate a pooled HR and its 95% CI. The pooled HR for OS showed that CXCR4 expression was significantly associated with worse survival in NSCLC patients as shown in Figure 2B (HR =3.63, 95% CI =2.57–5.13, P<0.00001).

A sensitivity analysis, in which one study was removed at a time, was conducted to assess the result stability. The pooled ORs and HRs were not significantly changed, indicating the stability of our analyses. In the case of relationship between CXCR4 expression in NSCLC and in the normal lung tissue, the overall OR is in the range from 14.39 (95% CI =12.21–18.71) to 23.5 (95% CI =10.9–50.71). The funnel plots were largely symmetric (Figure 3) suggesting that there were no publication biases in the meta-analysis.

The clinical relevance of CXCR4 was further corroborated in a patient survival analysis using an online database containing the expression of 22,277 genes and 20-year survival information of 1,809 patients. The database has recently been updated to include survival information of 1,432 NSCLC patients (http://www.kmplot.com/analysis/). CXCR4 mRNA high expression was found to correlate with better OS of all NSCLC patients followed for 20 years (Figure 4; HR =1.24, P=0.0047).

### Discussion

NSCLC accounts for approximately 85% of all lung cancers and is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths...
Despite the advances in early detection, radical cure operation, and multimodal therapeutic modalities, at diagnosis, there are about 80% of NSCLC cases in advanced stage, and systemic chemotherapy that remains in the standard care provides marginal improvement in survival. More effective and well-tolerated treatment options are needed for patients with advanced staged NSCLC. Therefore, investigation on the mechanism of initiation and progression, as well as the identification of novel drug target and prognostic marker, is still needed and will help to select the patients with high survival benefit.

**Figure 3** The funnel plots were largely symmetric suggesting that there were no publication biases in the meta-analysis of CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological features.

**Notes:** The funnel plot from ten studies compared NSCLC and the normal lung tissue (A). The funnel plot from ten studies determined CXCR4 expression different from staged NSCLC (B). The funnel plot from seven studies determined CXCR4 expression different from differentiated NSCLC (C). The funnel plot from 14 studies determined the relationship between CXCR4 expression and metastatic status in NSCLC (D). The funnel plot from five studies determined the relationship between CXCR4 expression and OS in NSCLC (E). X axis: value of OR; Y axis: SEs multiplied by log scale of OR.

**Abbreviations:** CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.
Drug Design, Development and Therapy downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 54.70.40.11 on 24-Nov-2018
For personal use only.

NSCLC progression and development. In addition, CXCR4 differentiated status, but it may play an important role in NSCLC. CXCR4 expression may not associate with tumor’s tissue, indicating that CXCR4 expression was common in NSCLC was significantly higher than that in the normal lung patients with CXCR4 expression had a low survival rate than NSCLC than in nonmetastatic NSCLC; and 4) NSCLC (I and II); however, aberrant CXCR4 expression was not significantly higher in advanced staged NSCLC (III and IV) than that in early staged NSCLC (I and II); however, aberrant CXCR4 expression was not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than in moderately and highly differentiated NSCLC; 3) aberrant CXCR4 expression was significantly higher in metastatic NSCLC than in nonmetastatic NSCLC; and 4) NSCLC patients with CXCR4 expression had a low survival rate than those without CXCR4 expression. The results from the current study demonstrated that the expression rate of CXCR4 in NSCLC was significantly higher than that in the normal lung tissue, indicating that CXCR4 expression was common in NSCLC. CXCR4 expression may not associate with tumor’s differentiated status, but it may play an important role in NSCLC progression and development. In addition, CXCR4 expression is strongly correlated with metastatic status and prognostic outcome in NSCLC patients. Information about the prognostic and predictive values of CXCR4 in NSCLC is limited. To our knowledge, the present meta-analysis is the first study to systematically evaluate the association between CXCR4 expression and clinicopathological features and prognostic factors in NSCLC.

CXCR4 exerts pleiotropic effects during tumor progression. CXCR4 mediates actin polymerization and pseudopodia formation, as well as induces chemotactic and invasive responses. Hence, CXCR4 plays an important role within tumor cells and the tumor microenvironment, in which the interaction influences the adhesion, migration, and invasion of tumor cells, reflecting the strong association of CXCR4 with cancer metastasis. CXCR4 expression on tumor cells is upregulated by hypoxia and angiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1); thus, CXCR4 could be a novel target of VEGF, HIF-1, and hypoxia-mediated angiogenesis. In addition, CXCR4 also appears to play a critical role in the self-renewal of cancer stem cells in NSCLC. Therefore, CXCR4 can be considered as an oncogene, and its activation could contribute to tumor progression and poor prognosis. There were five studies that evaluated the relationship between CXCR4 expression and OS in NSCLC and showed very similar results. Based on this meta-analysis, we may consider that CXCR4 expression in NSCLC tends to indicate a poor prognosis. We further determined the clinical relevance of CXCR4 mRNA expression in a patient survival analysis using an online database containing the expression of 22,277 genes and 20-year survival information of 1,405 patients. As expected, CXCR4 mRNA high expression was found to correlate with OS of all NSCLC patients followed for 20 years.

This meta-analysis also met heterogeneity issues. We found notable heterogeneity among the 19 studies. The heterogeneity among the studies may be due to the differences in the baseline characteristics of patients, source of samples, technical platforms, different sources of antibodies, normalization controls, cutoff values, the time of follow-up, and other technical issues. Considerable degree of heterogeneity was noticed, especially in the comparisons of metastatic vs nonmetastatic NSCLC ($I^2$=79%). There are two studies from Japan; both of them showed that CXCR4 expression rate in metastatic NSCLC was less than nonmetastatic NSCLC (26.3% vs 53.3%; 16.5% vs 18.4%). When these two studies were removed from the meta-analysis, $I^2$ was reduced to 60% from 79%. In the comparisons of stages III and IV
vs I and II (F=66%), F was significantly reduced to 47%, when one study was removed from meta-analysis. These results indicate that the heterogeneity may come from the different region selection biases. We also checked the heterogeneity from the comparisons of NSCLC and normal lung tissue. There is one study from People’s Republic of China, which showed that CXCR4 expression rate in the normal lung tissue is 24.6% (24/66) significantly higher than others. When this study was removed from the meta-analysis, F was dramatically reduced to 17% from 56%. This result indicates that the heterogeneity may come from the individual study. Consistent results were shown in sensitivity analyses, and no evidence of publication bias was found. This study has several potential limitations. First, the possibility of information and selection biases and unidentified confounders could not be completely excluded because all of the included studies were observational. Second, the searching strategy was restricted to articles published in English and Chinese. Articles with potentially high-quality data that were published in other languages were not included because of anticipated difficulties in obtaining accurate medical translation. Third, the samples and studies were limited by the presence of heterogeneity between the studies. In addition, in our selected 19 studies, immunohistochemical staining of CXCR4 is mixed and the definition of CXCR4 positive by IHC, as well as the cutoff value of positive CXCR4 expression, has not reached a consensus yet. This situation will make more difficult to interpret the data, and the readers should take precautions of data interpretation and hopefully get the attentions from the future clinical trail designers to consider more about the protein localization and the cutoff value. Hence, caution should be taken when our findings are interpreted among the general population.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis showed that high CXCR4 expression was associated with an increased risk and worse survival in NSCLC patients. The aberrant CXCR4 expression plays an important role in the carcinogenesis and metastasis of NSCLC. Thus, it is safe to say that the remarkable potential of CXCR4 could serve as a prognostic biomarker for patients with NSCLC. Further large-scale studies, especially multicenter, could serve well-matched cohort. Research will provide more insight into the role of CXCR4 in the prognosis and clinical implementation of NSCLC patients.
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Supplementary materials

Figure S1 Flow chart of the literature search strategy and assessment of studies identified for meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>Poorly differentiated</th>
<th>Moderately and highly</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minamiya et al&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na et al&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.63 (0.87, 3.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total events</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.34; \chi^2=12.61, df=6 (P=0.05); I^2=52\%

Test for overall effect: $Z=1.51 (P=0.13)$

Figure S2 Forest plot for the estimate of CXCR4 expression associated with NSCLC in the meta-analysis.

Notes: CXCR4 expression was not significantly higher in poorly differentiated NSCLC than that from moderately and highly differentiated NSCLC, OR =1.63, 95% CI =0.87–3.05, P=0.13.

Abbreviations: CXCR4, C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel test.
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