Relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in male patients

Objective: The nutritional status of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients is associated with their exercise capacity. In the present study, we have explored the relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity in severe male COPD patients.

Methods: A total of 58 severe COPD male patients were enrolled in this study. The patients were assigned to no nutritional risk group (n=33) and nutritional risk group (n=25) according to the Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS, 2002) criteria. Blood gas analysis, conventional pulmonary function testing, and cardiopulmonary exercise testing were performed on all the patients.

Results: Results showed that the weight and BMI of the patients in the nutritional risk group were significantly lower than those of the no nutritional risk group (P<0.05). The pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide of the no nutritional risk group was significantly higher than that of the nutritional risk group (P<0.05). Besides, the peak VO₂ (peak oxygen uptake), peak O₂ pulse (peak oxygen pulse), and peak load of the nutritional risk group were significantly lower than those of the no nutritional risk group (P<0.05) and there were significantly negative correlations between the NRS score and peak VO₂, peak O₂ pulse, or peak load (r<0, P<0.05).

Conclusion: The association between exercise capacity and nutritional risk based on NRS 2002 in severe COPD male patients is supported by these results of this study.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), as a common problem in the elderly, is a major cause of chronic morbidity and mortality throughout the world. It is characterized by chronic obstruction of expiratory flow affecting peripheral airways, and is often associated with chronic bronchitis and emphysema, thereby accelerating the decline in lung function.

Previous studies have found that nutritional status is the prognostic factor that is associated with the mortality in COPD. Weight loss is the major characteristic of malnutrition in COPD. In 2002, “nutritional risk” was defined by the European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN). Recently, nutritional risk was found to be associated with the exacerbation of COPD in the patients who were hospitalized because of COPD. Meanwhile, it has been reported that nutritional risk is related to the development of COPD in male smokers.

In addition, the exercise capacity is also another factor related to mortality in COPD. Limitation of the exercise capacity seriously affects the quality of life in COPD patients. Therefore, many studies have aimed towards strategies for improving the exercise capacity in COPD patients.
capacity of COPD patients. However, this effective and feasible strategy still needs further exploration. It has been reported that nutritional status could affect the exercise capacity in COPD patients. Moreover, nutritional supply seems to contribute to improving the exercise capacity. In view of these findings, we speculated that there might be some relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity. Therefore, we explored this relationship in severe COPD male patients in this study, which could provide evidence for the effect of nutritional supply on exercise capacity.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Between January 2012 and December 2013, a total of 58 severe COPD male patients (age range: 47–78 years) who were hospitalized in the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, affiliated to Tongji University, were enrolled in the present study. All the patients were diagnosed with severe COPD (forced expiratory volume in 1 second as percentage of predicted, FEV,$_{1}\text{pred} < 50)$ according to the new Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) classification criteria of 2012. The patients were excluded if they had diseases in other organs (such as the cardiovascular, renal, endocrine, digestive, and nervous systems). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji University. Patients provided written informed consent before inclusion.

**Nutritional risk assessment**

Before nutritional risk assessment, the height (m) and weight (kg) of patients were measured. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated according to formula BMI = weight/height squared (kg/m$^2$). Nutritional risk assessment was conducted using the continuous sampling method according to the Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS, 2002) criteria developed by ESPEN. The NRS score (0–7) was the sum of the scores in disease severity, nutritional status, and age. Disease severity of the patients was scored based on the diagnosis records at admission. The nutritional status of each patient was scored based on the changes in body weight and BMI in the recent 1–3 months and food intake in the recent 1 week. Besides, one score was assigned to the patients who were more than 70 years old. According to the NRS score, the patients were divided into two groups: nutritional risk group (NRS score $\geq 3$) and no nutritional risk group (NRS score $< 3$).

**Blood gas analysis**

Blood samples were collected from the right forearm radial artery before conventional pulmonary function testing (PFT) and cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) were performed. Blood gas analysis was performed to confirm whether partial pressure of oxygen in the artery ($\text{PaO}_2$) and partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the artery ($\text{PaCO}_2$) were in the normal range ($\text{PaO}_2$: 80–100 mmHg; $\text{PaCO}_2$: 35–45 mmHg) using an ABL 800 FLEX blood gas analyzer (Radiometer Medical A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). It was used to evaluate whether the patients had respiratory failure. Patients with respiratory failure were excluded from this study.

**PFT and CPET**

PFT and CPET were performed on the patients in stable condition.

A Master Screen Diffusion system (Jaeger Corp., Hoechberg, Germany) was used for PFT. Strict calibration was required before PFT. Prediction equations for normal lung function, which were developed in 1988, were used in this assessment. The following parameters were detected in this testing: forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$), FEV$_1$/FVC ratio, residual volume (RV), total lung capacity (TLC), RV/TLC, and pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DL$_{CO}$). PFT was performed three times for each patient and the best result was chosen for analysis.

CPET was performed using a cardiopulmonary exercise testing system (Mastercreen-CPX; Jaeger Corp.). Before CPET was performed, the flow sensors and gas analyzers were strictly calibrated. In this testing, a symptom-limited incremental exercise test was performed with different loads (10–25 W/min) based on the conditions of the patients. During testing, the indicators, consisting of 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG), blood pressure, pulse oximetry, pulmonary ventilation, gas exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide (real-time breath-by-breath analysis), and anaerobic threshold (AT, V-slope analysis), were monitored. First, a 3-minute rest was used to stabilize the data of each indicator. Afterward, exercise without load was performed for 3 minutes, and then the load was gradually increased until some symptoms (such as leg pain, exhaustion, or difficulty in breathing) occurred. In addition, the testing would be stopped when one of the following conditions occurred: 1) 2 mm of ST-segment depression with chest pain or 3 mm of ST segment without chest pain; 2) frequent ventricular premature beat; 3) second- or third-degree atrioventricular block; 4) systolic blood pressure $\geq 250$ mmHg or/and diastolic blood pressure $\geq 120$ mmHg; 5) decreased blood pressure $\geq 30$ mmHg compared to the baseline level; or 6) pulse oxygen saturation $\leq 80\%$. The prediction value for CEPT was calculated according to the American Thoracic
Society/American College of Chest Physicians statement on CPET in 2003.22,23 After testing, the following data were recorded: peak load, peak VO$_2$ (peak oxygen uptake), peak VO$_2$%pred (peak oxygen uptake as percentage of predicted), peak VO$_2$/kg (peak oxygen uptake per kilogram of body mass), peak O$_2$ pulse (peak oxygen pulse).

Statistical analyses

Data are shown as mean ± SD. A two-sample *t*-test was used for comparison between the groups. The correlations between NRS score and peak VO$_2$, peak O$_2$ pulse, or peak load were determined using Pearson correlation analysis. For all the analysis, *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Characteristics of patients**

Among the total 58 severe COPD male patients, 25 were assessed as having nutritional risk and assigned to the nutritional risk group. The other 33 patients without nutritional risk were enrolled into the no nutritional risk group. The age (no nutritional risk group, 63.73±9.03 years; nutritional risk group, 61.52±7.13 years; *P*=0.319) and the height (no nutritional risk group, 166.52±6.10 cm; nutritional risk group, 167.12±5.83 cm; *P*=0.707) of the patients in the nutritional risk group were similar to those in the no nutritional risk group. However, the weight (no nutritional risk group, 64.58±6.31 kg; nutritional risk group, 51.24±4.68 kg; *P*<0.0001) and BMI (no nutritional risk group, 23.30±2.02 m/kg; nutritional risk group, 18.34±1.33 m/kg; *P*<0.0001) of the patients in the nutritional risk group were significantly lower than those in the no nutritional risk group (Table 1).

**Results for blood gas analysis**

Before exercise, there was no statistically significant difference in PaO$_2$ (no nutritional risk group, 73.30±10.71; nutritional risk group, 73.68±13.00; *P*=0.903) and PaCO$_2$ (no nutritional risk group, 42.85±6.82; no nutritional risk group, 41.95±7.84; *P*=0.643) between severe COPD male patients with and without nutritional risk (Table 2). All the patients had normal PaCO$_2$ and PaO$_2$. No one was excluded due to respiratory failure.

**Pulmonary function comparison between groups**

The results for PFT are shown in Table 3. There was no significant difference between severe COPD male patients with and without nutritional risk in FVC (no nutritional risk group, 2.26±0.53; nutritional risk group, 2.29±0.67; *P*=0.850), FEV$_1$ (no nutritional risk group, 0.86±0.25; nutritional risk group, 0.80±0.23; *P*=0.353), FEV$_1$%pred (no nutritional risk group, 32.40±8.47; nutritional risk group, 29.00±8.97; *P*=0.146), FEV$_1$/FVC (no nutritional risk group, 37.92±5.34; nutritional risk group, 36.39±8.47; *P*=0.433), TLC (no nutritional risk group, 7.89±1.39; nutritional risk group, 7.80±1.93; *P*=0.844), RV (no nutritional risk group, 5.66±1.31; nutritional risk group, 5.66±2.12; *P*=1.000), and RV/TLC (no nutritional risk group, 71.28±6.53; nutritional risk group, 71.40±11.01; *P*=0.962). Nevertheless, the DL$_{CO}$ (no nutritional risk group, 11.98±4.02; nutritional risk group, 8.97±4.37; *P*=0.009) of the patients in the no nutritional risk group was significantly higher than that of the patients in the nutritional risk group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No nutritional risk group</th>
<th>Nutritional risk group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PaO$_2$ (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.30±10.71</td>
<td>73.68±13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO$_2$ (mmHg)</td>
<td>42.85±6.82</td>
<td>41.95±7.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Results of blood gas analysis in each group (mean ± SD)

**Table 3** Results for PFT in each group (mean ± SD)

**Notes:** **t**-test was used for comparison.

**Abbreviation:** BMI, body mass index.

**Abbreviations:** PaO$_2$, partial pressure of oxygen in the artery; PaCO$_2$, partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the artery.
Table 4 Results for CPET in each group (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No nutritional risk group</th>
<th>Nutritional risk group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak load (W)</td>
<td>61.21±30.04</td>
<td>49.48±20.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO₂ (mL·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>1,068.33±327.38</td>
<td>871.24±219.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO₂%pred (%)</td>
<td>60.27±17.13</td>
<td>52.04±12.64*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO₂/kg (mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>16.57±4.61</td>
<td>16.92±3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak O₂ pulse (mL/beat)</td>
<td>8.80±2.37</td>
<td>7.32±1.47**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.05, which represents significant difference between groups. **P<0.01, which represents significant difference between groups; two-sample t-test was used for comparison.

Abbreviations: CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; peak VO₂, peak oxygen uptake; peak VO₂%pred, peak oxygen uptake as percentage of predicted; peak VO₂/kg, peak oxygen uptake per kilogram of body mass; peak O₂ pulse, peak oxygen pulse.

Results for CPET

As shown in Table 4, the severe COPD male patients in the no nutritional risk group had significantly higher peak VO₂ (no nutritional risk group, 1,068.33±327.38; nutritional risk group, 871.24±219.37; P=0.008), peak VO₂%pred (no nutritional risk group, 60.27±17.13; nutritional risk group, 52.04±12.64; P=0.048), and peak O₂ pulse (no nutritional risk group, 8.80±2.37; nutritional risk group, 7.32±1.47; P=0.008) than those in the nutritional risk group. But there was no significant difference between the groups in peak load (no nutritional risk group, 61.21±30.04; nutritional risk group, 49.48±20.82; P=0.100) and peak VO₂/kg (no nutritional risk group, 16.57±4.61; nutritional risk group, 16.92±3.50; P=0.753).

Correlation between NRS score and peak VO₂, peak O₂ pulse, or peak load

Figure 1 shows the correlation between the NRS score and peak VO₂, peak O₂ pulse, or peak load. The results show that there are significant negative correlations between the NRS score and peak VO₂ (r=-0.353, P<0.01), peak O₂ pulse (r=-0.322, P<0.05), or peak load (r=-0.272, P<0.05) in severe COPD male patients.

Discussion

Lack of exercise capacity limits the quality of life of COPD patients. Some previous studies have found that there was an association between the nutritional status and exercise capacity in COPD patients. However, it is still unclear whether a correlation exists between nutritional risk and exercise capacity in COPD patients. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity in severe COPD male patients.

In this study, we found significantly lower weight and BMI in severe COPD male patients with nutritional risk compared to those of severe COPD male patients without nutritional risk, which are the characteristics of COPD patients with nutritional risk. Besides, PFT showed that DLco in severe COPD male patients without nutritional risk was significantly higher than that in severe COPD male patients with nutritional risk. It has been reported that reduced
nutritional risk could affect the changes induced by exercise in oxygen and CO$_2$ pressures in arterial blood.

Besides the above results, there are some other limitations of this study. First, we enrolled only male patients, and the sample size was small. Second, we assessed only the total weight but not the fat-free mass, so further studies are required. In addition, not all of the indicators in PFT and CEPT were found to be associated with the nutritional risk. Thus, the relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity should be further investigated in female patients and also studies with large sample sizes for verifying the result of this study.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study supported the association between exercise capacity and nutritional risk according to NRS 2002 in severe COPD male patients. The impairment of oxygen transport may be the main mechanism of this relationship between nutritional risk and exercise capacity. More studies are needed to confirm this relationship in COPD.
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