Test-retest reliability of lower limb isokinetic endurance in COPD: a comparison of angular velocities
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Background: The purpose of this study was to determine and compare the test-retest reliability of quadriceps isokinetic endurance testing at two knee angular velocities in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Methods: After one familiarization session, 14 patients with moderate to severe COPD (mean age 65±4 years; forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$) 55±18% predicted) performed two quadriceps isokinetic endurance tests on two separate occasions within a 5–7-day interval. Quadriceps isokinetic endurance tests consisted of 30 maximal knee extensions at angular velocities of 90° and 180° per second, performed in random order. Test-retest reliability was assessed for peak torque, muscle endurance, work slope, work fatigue index, and changes in FEV$_1$ for dyspnea and leg fatigue from rest to the end of the test. The intraclass correlation coefficient, minimal detectable change, and limits of agreement were calculated.

Results: High test-retest reliability was identified for peak torque and muscle total work at both velocities. Work fatigue index was considered reliable at 90° per second but not at 180° per second. A lower reliability was identified for dyspnea and leg fatigue scores at both angular velocities.

Conclusion: Despite a limited sample size, our findings support the use of a 30-maximal repetition isokinetic muscle testing procedure at angular velocities of 90° and 180° per second in patients with moderate to severe COPD. Endurance measurement (total isokinetic work) at 90° per second was highly reliable, with a minimal detectable change at the 95% confidence level of 10%. Peak torque and fatigue index could also be assessed reliably at 90° per second. Evaluation of dyspnea and leg fatigue using the modified Borg scale of perceived exertion was poorly reliable and its clinical usefulness is questionable. These results should be useful in the design and interpretation of future interventions aimed at improving muscle endurance in COPD.
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Introduction

Limb muscle dysfunction has important clinical and prognostic consequences in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In fact, reduced mass and weakness of the quadriceps have been associated with reduced survival in this disease.1–3 Muscle strength is the most commonly assessed skeletal muscle function in COPD. However, muscle strength represents only one aspect of muscle function, mostly reflecting the action of fast-twitch muscle fibers. Muscle endurance is defined as the ability to maintain a given task over a certain period of time, and it is determined by muscle fiber oxidative capacity, and the oxygen supply.4 In COPD, muscle endurance is impaired to a greater extent than strength.5,6 Impaired muscle endurance may even be present in
patients with mild disease and preserved physical activity, and cannot be predicted from strength.\(^7\)

Despite its relevance to activities of daily living such as walking,\(^9,10\) muscle endurance is generally not an outcome in COPD clinical trials.\(^11\) One important methodological issue with the measurement of muscle endurance is the fact that there is no standardization or agreement on a testing protocol that could be used in clinical practice or research. It can be determined with various methodologies, amongst which isokinetic testing is one of the most reliable methods.\(^12\) The main advantage of this method is that it provides a dynamic measurement of muscle torque while controlling for angular velocities, amplitude, and duration of movement in such a way that the testing conditions could be easily reproduced in subsequent testing.\(^13\)

As with any other muscle function assessment, isokinetic endurance is required to be accurate and reliable. The reliability of isokinetic testing has been reported for strength and endurance in healthy subjects.\(^14,15\) In COPD patients, although the reliability of isokinetic strength testing has been reported,\(^16\) little is known about the reliability of isokinetic endurance measurements at different angular velocities.

Based on the model developed by Walter et al\(^17\) and the clinical decision-making process inherent in exercise testing protocols described by Charter et al,\(^18\) we hypothesize that, for both velocities, muscle endurance and work fatigue indices would achieve a minimally acceptable value, defined by an intraclass coefficient (ICC) >0.6.\(^17\)

The purpose of this study was to determine the test-retest reliability and minimal detectable change (MDC) of 30-maximum repetition isokinetic endurance testing of the quadriceps performed at 90° and 180° per second regarding peak torque, muscle endurance, and fatigue in patients with COPD.

**Materials and methods**

**Subject characteristics**

Patients with stable and moderate to severe stage COPD accordingly to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease\(^19\) were recruited. All subjects were former smokers, physically inactive, and naïve to the isokinetic procedure. Exclusion criteria were: other medical conditions that could affect exercise testing (ie, neuromuscular and/or orthopedic disorders, recent cancer, unstable cardiac disease, type 2 diabetes, asthma), recent COPD exacerbations (<6 weeks), body mass index >35 kg/m\(^2\), rest hypoxemia (SpO\(_2\) <90%) or oxygen therapy, regular practice of physical activity (Voorrips score >9),\(^20\) and involvement in a rehabilitation or structured exercise training program during the previous 6 months. The research protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Institut Universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie de Québec. All the subjects signed the informed consent before enrolment in the study.

**Study design**

Study participation involved three visits. The first visit included a review of medical history, a Voorrips physical activity questionnaire, anthropometric measurements, pulmonary function testing, and a familiarization session for the quadriceps isokinetic endurance test. During familiarization, subjects were asked to perform warm-up and endurance exercise measurements on the isokinetic dynamometer in the same fashion as required for the testing days (described below). The procedures and series of exercises were repeated as needed until performance was considered compatible with acceptable comprehension of the test.\(^14,21\) After 5–7 days, subjects returned to the laboratory for the quadriceps isokinetic endurance testing protocol, which was repeated at a third visit performed 5–7 days later, as detailed in Figure 1.

The randomization plan was provided by software available online using the number of subjects to be included in the study (n=14) and the number of tests (n=2) performed.

**Physical activity**

In order to include subjects with a sedentary lifestyle, the level of physical activity in daily living was assessed with the
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**Figure 1** Experimental design.

**Note:** *Randomized order.

**Abbreviation:** PFT, pulmonary function tests.
activity questionnaire firstly devised by Baecke et al.²² adapted for elderly subjects by Voorrips et al.²⁰ and used for patients with COPD.⁶ Subjects were considered sedentary whenever the global Voorrips score, which includes household, sport, and other leisure time physical activity, was lower than 9. None of the patients were enrolled in rehabilitation, exercise training, or physical activity programs during the 6 months prior to inclusion.

Anthropometric data and body composition
Body composition was assessed by bioelectrical impedance analysis (TBF-300WA Tanita, Arlington Heights, IL, USA), which provides data on fat mass and fat-free mass. Fat-free mass index (FFMI) was calculated as FFMI = FFM/height².²¹

Pulmonary function testing
Standard pulmonary function tests, including post-bronchodilator spirometry, lung volumes, and carbon monoxide diffusion capacity, were obtained in all subjects during the first visit according to previously described guidelines²⁴,²⁶ and related to predicted normal values.²⁷

Assessment of quadriceps isokinetic endurance
All tests of the dominant knee extensors (quadriceps muscle action) were performed using an isokinetic dynamometer (System Pro 4, Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, New York, NY, USA) and were conducted and recorded by the same investigator (FR). Subjects were positioned and stabilized on the dynamometer chair, as previously described.¹⁴,²⁸ The knee joint center was aligned to the dynamometer axe center. The lever arm of the dynamometer was firmly attached 3 cm above the lateral malleolus. Total knee joint displacement was fixed between 90° and 10° of knee flexion for all tests. Reference points for chair and lever arm positioning were annotated in order to ensure the same positioning during subsequent visits. As a warm-up procedure, the subjects performed one series of ten submaximal knee extensions. They were instructed to avoid any muscle effort during return of the leg to the resting position. The subjects performed two trials in a randomized order, one at each angular velocity, ie, 90° and 180° per second, each separated by a 1-hour resting period.

Data for the first contraction were systematically excluded from all analyses, since the starting maneuver is often submaximal. Peak torque was determined from the highest torque measured in 30 contractions. Isokinetic muscle endurance was defined as total muscle work developed in all contractions. Muscle fatigue was assessed using both the fatigue index and the work slope. The fatigue index was determined from the ratio of the work performed during the last ten repetitions to the work performed during the first ten repetitions,¹⁴ and the work slope was quantified from the decline in muscle work over time throughout the 30 repetitions.²¹

Effort perception
Perception of dyspnea and leg fatigue was measured using the modified Borg scale (0–10) of perceived exertion²⁹ and is reported as the change in scores before and immediately after completion of each isokinetic endurance test (Δ dyspnea and Δ leg fatigue).

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics are reported for subject characteristics and for isokinetic total work, isokinetic work slope, muscle fatigue index, Δ dyspnea, and Δ leg fatigue at each visit. The data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. For each subject, comparisons between two isokinetic endurance tests at two angular velocities were performed using a mixed linear model with a hierarchical structure. Results were considered to be statistically significant when the P-value was ≤0.05. Test-retest reliability was assessed with ICC²⁰ for peak torque, muscle endurance (total work), rate of decline in muscle work (work slope), fatigue index, Δ dyspnea, and Δ leg fatigue, and for each velocity separately. In order to facilitate clinical interpretation of the reliability results, MDC values at the 95% confidence level, both in absolute (MDC₉⁵%) and relative (MDC₉⁵% [%]) terms, were calculated from the standard error of measurement (SEM) using the following formulae described by Beckerman et al:³¹

\[ MDC_{95\%} = SEM \times 1.96 \times \sqrt{2} \]

\[ MDC_{95\%} (\%) = \frac{MDC_{95\%}}{\text{Mean of 2 visits}} \times 100 \]

Finally, between-measurement limits of agreement were established using a Bland and Altman²⁵ representation for both angular velocities. The proportion of scores at two standard deviations of the mean difference between test-retest values was taken as a parameter of agreement.

The statistical analyses were performed using the statistical packages R version 3.0.2. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), SAS version 9.4 (SAS
Table 1 Subject characteristics (n=14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65±4</td>
<td>65±4</td>
<td>73±8</td>
<td>73±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/female</td>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>14/4</td>
<td>14/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.6±3.9</td>
<td>26.6±3.9</td>
<td>27.1±3.8</td>
<td>27.1±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>18.6±1.7</td>
<td>18.6±1.7</td>
<td>19.1±2.1</td>
<td>19.1±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>3.6±1.1</td>
<td>3.6±1.1</td>
<td>3.3±1.2</td>
<td>3.3±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (% predicted)</td>
<td>97±25</td>
<td>97±25</td>
<td>95±24</td>
<td>95±24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L)</td>
<td>1.5±0.59</td>
<td>1.5±0.59</td>
<td>1.4±0.58</td>
<td>1.4±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/VVC (%)</td>
<td>55±18</td>
<td>55±18</td>
<td>54±17</td>
<td>54±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (L)</td>
<td>7.1±1.8</td>
<td>7.1±1.8</td>
<td>7.0±1.8</td>
<td>7.0±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (%)</td>
<td>117±10</td>
<td>117±10</td>
<td>116±11</td>
<td>116±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (%)</td>
<td>64±16</td>
<td>64±16</td>
<td>63±17</td>
<td>63±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO₂ (%)</td>
<td>96±1</td>
<td>96±1</td>
<td>95±1</td>
<td>95±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (Voorrips score)</td>
<td>5±5</td>
<td>5±5</td>
<td>5±5</td>
<td>5±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values are shown as the mean ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; TLC, total lung capacity; DLCO, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; SpO₂, pulse oximetry oxygen saturation.

Table 2 Comparison between variables of the lower limb isokinetic endurance test at different angular velocities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>90° per second</th>
<th>180° per second*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit 1</td>
<td>Visit 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque (Nm)</td>
<td>115±30</td>
<td>118±33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total isokinetic work (Nm)</td>
<td>2,164±553</td>
<td>2,124±535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work slope (%/rep)</td>
<td>-2.4±0.8</td>
<td>-2.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue index (%)</td>
<td>49.3±8.2</td>
<td>49.5±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta dyspnea (Borg scale)</td>
<td>3±2</td>
<td>3±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta leg fatigue (Borg scale)</td>
<td>5±3</td>
<td>6±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05 180° per second vs 90° per second.

Discussion

The major finding of this study was that a 30-maximum repetition isokinetic muscle endurance test can be performed in a reliable fashion in sedentary patients with moderate to severe COPD and similar body composition, particularly at 90° per second. While isokinetic peak torque and total muscle work...
were highly reliable at angular velocities of 90° and 180° per second, test-retest measurements of work slope and fatigue index were only reproducible at 90° per second.

Changes in dyspnea and leg fatigue perception scores failed to achieve acceptable test-retest reproducibility, irrespective of the velocity applied. Therefore, their assessment in this isokinetic endurance test is of uncertain significance. Overall, our results have practical implications for development of endurance muscle testing in patients with COPD, an area for which no standardization is available.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide a MDC and to report on comparison of the reliability of quadriceps muscle endurance indices at two angular velocities commonly used to assess muscle endurance and fatigue in isokinetic protocols in COPD. Typically, in comparison with healthy controls, patients with COPD are found to present lower isometric torque and total work.\(^{33,34}\)

Results for the ICC analyses, MDC, and Bland-Altman plots all show that a velocity of 90° per second provides more robust data than a faster velocity of 180° per second. These findings are consistent with a previous study involving healthy subjects that reported a much lower reliability for muscle fatigue indices in a similar 30 repetitions trial at 180° per second than at 60° per second.\(^{14}\) The higher reliability for endurance (total isokinetic work) compared with the fatigue index observed in our study is also in line with previous studies in healthy subjects that consistently found the strongest and most reliable measures of isokinetic endurance were total isokinetic work and average power, irrespective of angular velocity.\(^{12,14,35,36}\) In those studies, low reliability was found for work slope or fatigue index.

There are several potential explanations for the higher reliability at lower contraction velocity observed in our study. Muscle can produce greater dynamic torque during an isokinetic maneuver when contracting slowly rather than at faster velocities.\(^{37,38}\) This could be explained by difficulties in fully activating the available motor units by voluntary effort over the whole range of motion or in the brief time required for full knee extension at faster speeds of contraction.\(^{37,38}\) In addition, elderly individuals show significant deficiencies in functional performance\(^{39}\) and typically do not produce fast contractions in daily life. This could contribute to a reduction in their torque production and total work using a high velocity protocol. Lastly, despite our effort to minimize the impact of any learning effects with a rigorous familiarization visit, it is still possible that patients may not have become fully accustomed to the high velocity protocol.

From perceptual and symptomatic perspectives, our data show that the variations in dyspnea and leg fatigue scores induced by the isokinetic endurance protocol were not reliable between the two visits at either velocity. Values obtained from the MDC\(_{95}\) regarding variation in dyspnea showed ±3 points in Borg scale variability, which represents more than half the range of the scale. Similar results were observed for leg fatigue. The reliability of the Borg ratings of perceived exertion during exercise has already been challenged in numerous publications involving healthy subjects\(^{40,41}\) and patients with COPD.\(^{42}\) In a study by Mador et al\(^{42}\) evaluating six patients with moderate to severe COPD during weekly symptom-limited maximal incremental exercise on a cycle ergometer, it was estimated that an individual subject’s maximum Borg score would need to change by 36% or more before a significant change from baseline could be inferred. On the contrary, in multicenter trials involving 463 COPD patients, O’Donnell et al\(^{43}\) demonstrated an ICC of 0.79 (0.76–0.82) for Borg dyspnea ratings at isotime exercise and of 0.81 (0.77–0.84) at peak exercise during two repeated cycling exercises. To our knowledge, the reliability of the modified Borg dyspnea scale has not been assessed in any endurance isokinetic protocol involving patients with COPD. The reasons for this apparent discrepancy in the reliability of dyspnea rating between isokinetic muscle testing and cycling exercise are unclear. It may well be that the type of exercise, with cycling exercise inducing a larger degree of dyspnea

### Table 3 Test-retest reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>90° per second</th>
<th>180° per second</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICC (95% CI)</td>
<td>MDC(_{95})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque</td>
<td>0.97 (0.92–0.99)</td>
<td>14 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total isokinetic work</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–0.99)</td>
<td>220 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work slope</td>
<td>0.94 (0.83–0.98)</td>
<td>0.58%/rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work fatigue index</td>
<td>0.92 (0.77–0.97)</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Dyspnea</td>
<td>0.51 (−0.03–0.81)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Leg fatigue</td>
<td>0.51 (−0.02–0.81)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence level; ICC, intraclass correlation; MDC, minimal detectable change; MDC\(_{95}\), absolute value of MDC at the 95% CI; MDC\(_{95}\) (%), relative value of MDC at the 95% CI; rep, repetition.
Figure 2 (Continued)
Figure 2 Bland-Altman plots.

Notes: Illustration by Bland-Altman plots of means differences (solid lines) and 95% confidence intervals (dotted lines) of a 30-maximal repetition isokinetic muscle endurance procedure performed on two separate occasions for peak torque, total work, work slope, work fatigue, dyspnea perception, and leg fatigue perception. Left panels illustrate means differences and 95% confidence intervals for peak torque (A), total work (C), work slope (E), work fatigue (G), dyspnea perception (I), and leg fatigue perception (K) during isokinetic leg extension performed at 90°/s. The right panels illustrate means differences and 95% confidence intervals for peak torque (B), total work (D), work slope (F), work fatigue (H), dyspnea perception (J), and leg fatigue perception (L) during isokinetic leg extension performed at 180°/s.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

(typically 5–6 points on a 10-point Borg scale) than isokinetic muscle testing (2–3 points) in the present study, exerts much influence on the reliability of this dyspnea scale.

It could be argued that a limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size. However, several factors favor its external validity for a precise population of patients with COPD. Firstly, the sample size was determined to test the hypothesis that, for both velocities, muscle endurance and work fatigue indices would achieve minimally acceptable reliability, such as defined by an ICC >0.6.17 Moreover, increasing the sample size does not necessarily change reliability. A study involving a healthy larger population demonstrated that reliability, measured by Pearson correlation coefficients, may be more affected by similarities between subjects than by the sample size.44 This study, including 176 subjects, led to an ICC >0.7, which was clinically acceptable. Taking into account the complexity of phenotypes commonly found in COPD, we restricted our study population to a very homogenous sample in terms of severity of disease, body composition, and level of physical activity. Additionally, a separate familiarization session was provided to minimize within-session learning effects. Accordingly to Walter et al17 with 14 subjects performing two observations for each velocity, this study is adequately powered to demonstrate the minimally acceptable reliability in a homogenous population.

It would have been of interest to test the reliability of the muscle endurance protocols separately in men and women. Although this could not be done in our study, we found that excluding the three women from the analysis did not alter the conclusions about the reliability of the measurements. Additionally, subjects’ baseline muscular condition might have been different between visits, potentially influencing...
our conclusions concerning the reliability of the measurements. However, the close similarity in maximal peak torque measurements on subsequent testing days suggests similar baseline conditions between visits and no impact of the fatigue induced by the 30 maximal contractions in the first set on performance during the second test in the same visit.

Several studies have reported on quadriceps endurance in COPD using a variety of methodologies, including measurement of time to sustain submaximal voluntary contractions at a preset proportion of submaximal voluntary contractions using weights, a strain gauge, or a dynamometer, quantification of the number of contractions or fatigue index using an isokinetic protocol, and time taken for quadriceps strength to fall to a certain threshold following repeated magnetic stimulation of the femoral nerve. Collectively, these studies clearly show that lower limb muscle endurance is reduced in COPD in comparison with healthy controls of similar age and that muscle endurance is compromised to a larger extent than strength. These studies also illustrate the relationship between endurance and oxidative capacity. Altogether, these studies provide a strong rationale for the relevance of measuring muscle endurance in COPD.

Despite the interest in quantifying muscle endurance, this parameter has not been incorporated into clinical practice. In this regard, the diversity of protocols is a reflection of the absence of standardization and highlights a need to develop standardized, simple, but valid and sensitive endurance knee extensor procedures to be used in usual clinical settings in patients with COPD. Also, the lack of consensus with regard to designation of the best testing protocol to evaluate muscle endurance and the absence of predictive values are other impediments to the widespread application of this measurement. The concise protocol that we report here has the advantage of feasibility in patients with COPD in regard to their cardiorespiratory limitation, relative simplicity, and reproducibility, allowing measurement of maximal muscle torque, total work, and work slope using only one procedure. The good reproducibility of isokinetic protocols relates to the control of speed of contraction, an important variable in transforming muscle contractions into work. Potential disadvantages of an isokinetic protocol are related to cost, availability of the testing apparatus, and the need for a technician specifically training in use of the isokinetic device. The external validity of this measurement has also been questioned, since constant angular velocity is not a physiological movement. Standardized position, time of day and rest intervals are recognized to affect the test-retest reliability of an isokinetic procedure. Thus, familiarization and strict standardization of testing procedures are crucial to providing accurate assessments of limb muscle function. Notwithstanding these considerations, an endurance isokinetic protocol using 30 maximal contractions provides reliable data, an essential feature for accurate assessment of the effects of therapeutic interventions designed to improve muscle performance.

From a clinical perspective, a minimally detectable change of 10% for total work measurements at an angular velocity of 90° per second compares favorably with a corresponding value of 6%–12.2% for quadriceps strength measurements. Therefore, our isokinetic protocol at 90° per second appears as reliable as a more widely used and accepted parameter of muscle function such as strength. The isokinetic protocol also provides reliable assessment of peak torque and fatigue index. Based on the present results, a 10%–15% or greater change in peak torque, total isokinetic work, and fatigue index at 90° per second following a given therapeutic intervention should be considered confidently as a true treatment effect.

Conclusion

Despite a small sample size, our findings support the use of a 30-maximum repetitions isokinetic muscle testing procedure at an angular velocity of 90° and 180° per second in sedentary patients with moderate to severe COPD and similar body composition. Measurement of total isokinetic work using an angular velocity of 90° per second was highly reliable, with a MDC(95%) value of 10%, making it a procedure of choice for evaluation of quadriceps endurance in this population. Furthermore, peak torque and fatigue index could also be assessed reliably at 90° per second. Evaluation of dyspnea and leg fatigue using the modified Borg scale of perceived exertion was poorly reliable and its clinical usefulness is questionable. These results should be useful in the design and interpretation of future interventions aimed at improving muscle endurance in COPD.
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