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Abstract: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive, debilitating disorder that results in frequent exacerbations and impacts quality of life. It represents a growing burden of health care cost, both from societal and economic perspectives. Short- and long-acting bronchodilators remain the mainstay of therapy in COPD patients. New fixed-dose combination inhalers with novel pharmacological combinations of long-acting β2-agonists and muscarinic antagonists and delivered once-daily through a variety of devices are currently being developed and licensed for the treatment of COPD. There is mounting research suggesting that combining a fixed dose of a β2-agonist and a muscarinic antagonist achieves better bronchodilation and clinical outcomes compared with either agent alone. These once-daily dosing inhalers are anticipated to impact favorably on patient preference and compliance. This review examines the fixed-dose combination of tiotropium bromide and olodaterol delivered by a Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler at doses of 2.5/5 μg and 5/5 μg in moderate-to-very-severe COPD, and its potential role in COPD compared with other long-acting β2-agonist with long-acting muscarinic antagonist combinations and delivery devices.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is broadly defined, and encompasses several clinical and pathologic entities, primarily emphysema and chronic bronchitis. Patients with COPD have evidence of airflow obstruction that is chronic, progressive, and for the most part fixed. Based on World Health Organization estimates as of 2004, 65 million people worldwide are affected by at least moderate-to-severe COPD.1 It is projected to move from the sixth to the fourth most common cause of death worldwide by 2030.2 COPD has a significant impact on patients’ quality of life. In general, the more severe the airflow limitation is, the greater the magnitude of symptoms and the frequency of exacerbations as well as hospital admissions.

Maintenance therapies in COPD patients are multidimensional and include pharmacological and nonpharmacological options, which are used in tandem with the aim of reducing exacerbations, improving quality of life, and increasing exercise tolerance. Long-acting β2-agonist (LABA) bronchodilators and long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) bronchodilators are the mainstay of therapy in COPD patients. Bronchodilators exert their effect by smooth-muscle relaxation, resulting in improved lung emptying, reduced thoracic gas volume and residual volume, as well as lessened dynamic hyperinflation and improved inspiratory capacity.3
Over the last decade, LABA formoterol, salmeterol, which requires twice-daily dosing, and more recently once-daily indacaterol, and the once-daily LAMA tiotropium have dominated therapeutic options in moderate-to-severe COPD. While comparative trials have established tiotropium to be equivalent or superior to LABAs in COPD, studies have demonstrated that when these drugs are used concurrently, there is further significant improvement in lung function and health-related quality of life measures, symptoms scores, rescue medication use, and exercise capacity. These data justify further research in this area, and recent studies have focused on the development of novel once-daily combinations of LABAs and LAMAs in one inhaler. These LAMAs and LABAs potentially offer greater convenience and compliance compared with the use of two separate inhalers.

The four new LABA/LAMA combinations available or nearing completion of Phase 3 trials include glycopyrronium bromide/indacaterol maleate (QVA149 [Ultibro®]; Novartis International AG, Basel, Switzerland) (available in Australia, Japan, and Europe) and delivered via the Breezhaler®; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany) device, as well as the Soft Mist™ inhaler, and aclidinium bromide/formoterol (LAS40464; Almirall, Barcelona, Spain) delivered via the Genuair® inhaler (available in Europe). Each combination is delivered through a unique dry powder delivery device, making comparative assessments difficult.

When to use combination LABA/LAMA therapy and fixed-dose combination (FDC) LABA/LAMA therapy?
Given the importance of bronchodilation in COPD, national and international guidelines reinforce inhaled bronchodilators as the cornerstone of treatment in all stages of disease severity. FDC inhalers are able to provide extra bronchodilation compared with either monotherapy alone, and are recommended for the use of persistent symptoms despite LABA or LAMA monotherapy (with or without inhaled corticosteroids [ICS]) (Level B or Level 2 evidence for improved forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV₁] and symptoms). The Global Initiative of Obstructive Lung Diseases (GOLD) strategy has been used in major COPD trials to categorize the severity of disease and to guide commencement of LABA or LAMA therapy.

In the GOLD strategy document, patients are divided into four groups (A, B, C, and D) based on FEV₁, Medical Research Council (MRC) symptoms score, and the frequency of exacerbations in 1 year as follows: A) GOLD 1–2 (ie, FEV₁ ≥50% predicted), few symptoms MRC ≤1, low exacerbation frequency (ie, ≤1 exacerbation/year and no hospitalization); B) GOLD 1–2, frequent symptoms MRC ≥2, low exacerbation frequency; C) GOLD 3–4 (ie, FEV₁ <50% predicted), few symptoms MRC <1, high exacerbation frequency (≥2 exacerbation/year or ≥1 hospitalization/year); D) GOLD 3–4, frequent symptoms MRC ≥2, high exacerbation frequency. LABA/LAMA combination therapy is recommended for patients in groups B–D. Group B patients may use either a LABA or LAMA monotherapy, but the combination of a LABA plus a LAMA is presented as an alternative option. Patients in group C are recommended to use either LABA with an ICS or LAMA monotherapy, with alternative options of a LABA plus a LAMA, or a LABA or a LAMA plus a phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE-4) inhibitor. The same drug combinations are recommended for patients in group D.

In the Australian COPD guidelines, the rationale to use a FDC bronchodilator in an inhaler-naïve patient or to change a patient’s current inhaler is based on similar factors to GOLD in conjunction with attention to the complexity of device, patient’s preference, and occurrence of adverse events (AEs). The British Thoracic Society guidelines currently recommend the use of combination bronchodilator therapy in cases where the addition of ICS to a bronchodilator is declined or not tolerated. It is also suggested that LAMA plus a LABA should be offered to patients with stable COPD and an FEV₁ >50% who remain breathless or have exacerbations despite maintenance therapy with a LABA. The American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society (ERS) suggest that clinicians may administer combination inhaled therapies (LABA, LAMA, or ICS) for symptomatic patients with stable COPD and FEV₁ <60% predicted (graded as a weak recommendation with moderate quality evidence to support its use). There is still little evidence to determine the efficacy of FDC LABA/LAMA inhalers on exacerbation rates.

This review will focus on the combination of the novel LABA and LAMA, olodaterol with tiotropium bromide and aims to provide an insight into the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, the Respimat® device, as well as the clinical evidence thus far.

**Pharmacology**

**Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics**

**Olodaterol**

Olodaterol (Striverdi®) is a long-acting, inhaled, β₂-adrenergic receptor agonist with a 24-hour bronchodilator profile.
Olodaterol received its first global approval in 2013 for the long-term, once-daily; maintenance bronchodilator treatment of airflow obstruction in patients with COPD in Canada.\textsuperscript{14} It has been approved in a number of European countries as a once-daily dose of 5 $\mu$g given through a Respimat\textsuperscript{®} Soft Mist\textsuperscript{TM} inhaler.\textsuperscript{15}

Olodaterol is a potent and selective agonist of the human $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor in vitro.\textsuperscript{16} Following inhalation, olodaterol binds to and activates $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptors in the airway, stimulating adenyl cyclase to synthesize cyclic 3′,5′-adenosine monophosphate, which then leads to smooth-muscle relaxation and bronchodilation.\textsuperscript{16} In vitro and in vivo data show that olodaterol potently reverses stimulated bronchi contraction, and reduces pro-fibrotic cellular activity in human lung fibroblast.\textsuperscript{16–18} The half-life of olodaterol is 17.8 hours.\textsuperscript{19} In animal studies, olodaterol has demonstrated efficacy over 24 hours.\textsuperscript{19} Rapid onset of action with improvement in lung function has been noted within 5 minutes after the first dose in Phase 3 trials in patients with COPD.\textsuperscript{20}

Ferguson et al\textsuperscript{20} more recently established the long-term efficacy and safety of a once-daily olodaterol at 5 $\mu$g and 10 $\mu$g in patients with GOLD stage 2–4 COPD. This was a two replicate, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group, Phase 3 trial over 48 weeks.\textsuperscript{20} van Noord et al\textsuperscript{21} investigated the efficacy of four different doses of olodaterol as a once-daily bronchodilator in a Phase 2 clinical trial, and showed a clear dose–response relationship with significant improvement in FEV$_1$ from baseline with values ranging between 0.070 L and 0.119 L for at least 24 hours in patients with COPD.\textsuperscript{21} In another Phase 2 randomized, double-blind, crossover study, van Noord et al\textsuperscript{22} demonstrated a statistically significant increase in lung function (FEV$_1$) outcome for at least 24 hours from baseline. Olodaterol was superior to placebo ($P<0.001$) in peak FEV$_1$ (0.121–0.213 L) and a clear dose–response relationship was observed, with values ranging from 0.070 L for olodaterol 2 $\mu$g to 0.119 L for olodaterol 20 $\mu$g. Overall, the 5 $\mu$g dose offered a superior 24-hours profile compared to both the 10 $\mu$g daily dose and the twice-daily 2 $\mu$g dose.\textsuperscript{23} Olodaterol was tolerated at all doses that were tested. There was no relationship of total daily dose to the overall incidence of AEs.\textsuperscript{20} Comparatively, Koch et al\textsuperscript{24} performed a replicate, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group, Phase 3 study investigating the long-term efficacy and safety of once-daily olodaterol via Respimat\textsuperscript{®} versus placebo and formoterol in patients with moderate-to-very-severe COPD. Olodaterol 10 $\mu$g was shown to have similar improvement in FEV$_1$ area under the curve from 0 hour to 3 hours (AUC$_{0-3}$) and trough FEV$_1$ to twice-daily formoterol.\textsuperscript{24} The 10 $\mu$g daily dose did not provide additional improvement compared to the 5 $\mu$g daily dose.\textsuperscript{24} A recent meta-analysis where 18 trials were identified (eight, olodaterol; ten, indacaterol) evaluating the efficacy of olodaterol and indacaterol in patients with COPD, concluded that both olodaterol and indacaterol had similar efficacy when compared under similar trial conditions.\textsuperscript{25}

**Tiotropium bromide**

Tiotropium bromide (Spiriva\textsuperscript{®}) is an anticholinergic bronchodilator, normally administered as a dry powder through a HandiHaler\textsuperscript{®} device. It is an antagonist of three muscarinic receptor subtypes.\textsuperscript{26} Tiotropium demonstrates competitive and reversible receptor antagonism and in vitro studies have shown that tiotropium has a half-life on the M3 receptor of 36 hours.\textsuperscript{27} FEV$_1$ rises slowly following one dose reaching a peak after 1–3 hours and the peak response is followed by a plateau of long duration.\textsuperscript{26} van Noord et al\textsuperscript{28} found that trough FEV$_1$ increased by 0.19 L (18%) from initial baseline after 8 days of daily administration. Tiotropium bromide is predominantly a renally excreted drug.\textsuperscript{26}

Tiotropium bromide has been in the market for at least 15 years and is the first-line long-acting anticholinergic bronchodilator for maintenance therapy in COPD. It has a duration of action of more than 24 hours.$^{5,29,30}$ It is taken once daily using a HandiHaler\textsuperscript{®} device and was compared with standard therapy in the four-year Understanding Potential Long-term Impact on Function with Tiotropium (UPLIFT) trial, showing significant improvement in lung function and health status with no evidence of increased cardiovascular risk.\textsuperscript{31} It has level A evidence (Supplementary material) for reducing exacerbations and repeated hospitalization as well as improving symptoms and health status, and level B evidence of improving the effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{4,32} In two other large trials, tiotropium was superior to salmeterol in reducing exacerbations although the difference was small.\textsuperscript{33,34}

More recently, comparisons have been made between tiotropium bromide and the newer individual LAMAs and LABAs as well as their respective FDCs (Table 1). Indacaterol, a LABA, was approved in 2011 as a maintenance therapy for COPD. The INVIGORATE\textsuperscript{35} study compared indacaterol with tiotropium bromide in a randomized, placebo-controlled study involving 3,444 patients with severe COPD. There was no difference in trough FEV$_1$; the estimated least squared mean (LSM) trough FEV$_1$ difference between the groups was $–0.011$ L (LSM with indacaterol [n=1,450] 1.134 L [standard error (SE) 0.008] versus tiotropium [n=1,467] 1.145 L [SE 0.008]; one-sided
Table 1 Differences between FDC LABA/LAMA and tiotropium via HandiHaler® for comparative clinical variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FDC LABA/LAMA versus tiotropium</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>AUC FEV₁, mL</th>
<th>FEV₁ trough, mL</th>
<th>Symptom score (TDI)</th>
<th>Quality of life (SGRQ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HandiHaler® 18 μg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilanterol 25/umeclidinium 62.5 μg via Ellipta® at 24 weeks³¹</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0–6 hours</td>
<td>74 (22, 125)⁴</td>
<td>90 (39, 141)⁴</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td>96 (50, 142)⁴</td>
<td>60 (10, 109)⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indacaterol 110/glycopyrronium 50 μg via Breezhaler® at 26 weeks³⁷</td>
<td>2.144</td>
<td>0–4 hours</td>
<td>120*</td>
<td>80*</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data awaited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formoterol/alcidinium via Genuair®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olodaterol/tiotropium 5/2.5 and 5/5 μg via Respimat®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olodaterol via Respimat® plus tiotropium via HandiHaler® versus tiotropium</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>0–3 hours</td>
<td>117 (37, 88)*</td>
<td>62 (37, 88)</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HandiHaler® at 12 weeks³²</td>
<td>1,137</td>
<td>0–3 hours</td>
<td>106 (0.078, 0.135)*</td>
<td>40 (14, 65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Mean (95% confidence interval); *P-value <0.05; n, number of participants.
Abbreviations: FDC, fixed-dose combination; LABA, long-acting β₂-agonist; LAMA, long-acting muscarinic antagonist; AUC, area under the curve; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; TDI, transition dyspnea index; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire.

97.5% confidence interval [CI] lower limit −0.026 L; P<0.0001), exacerbation rates (indacaterol, 0.79 versus tiotropium, 0.61, ratio 1.29 [one-sided 97.5% CI upper limit 1.44]), and AEs at 12 weeks.³⁵ Indacaterol produced statistical and clinically significant improvement in clinical outcomes of dyspnea (assessed by the transition dyspnea index [TDI]), use of rescue therapy (salbutamol), and health status (assessed by St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire [SGRQ]) when compared to tiotropium.³⁶

Glycopyrronium bromide, a LAMA, was compared to tiotropium as well as placebo in the glycopyrronium bromide in COPD airways 2 study (GLOW2)³⁷ study, which involved 802 patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. Trough FEV₁, TDI, SGRQ, reducing exacerbation, and rescue medication use was comparable in both groups.³⁷ More recently, similar findings were also found by Chapman et al³⁸ where 657 patients with moderate-to-severe COPD were randomized 1:1 to glycopyrronium and tiotropium.³⁸ The FDC Ultibro® (glycopyrronium plus indacaterol) has been compared to tiotropium in three different Phase 3 studies, namely SHINE,³⁹ BLAZE,⁴⁰ and SPARK.⁴¹ In SHINE, Ultibro® improved trough FEV₁ when compared with open-label tiotropium (LSM differences 80 mL; P<0.001 in all comparisons). The dyspnea score for Ultibro® was also significantly higher than for tiotropium (LSM difference 0.49, 95% CI 0.07–0.91; P=0.021).³⁹ These results however did not reach clinical significance. The overall rate of mild, moderate, and severe exacerbations was significantly reduced by glycopyrronium plus indacaterol compared with open-label tiotropium (14% reduction, relative risk [RR] 0.86, 95% CI 0.78–0.94, P=0.0017), similar to glycopyrronium alone. While glycopyrronium plus indacaterol also reduced moderate-to-severe exacerbation rates significantly compared with glycopyrronium monotherapy, they were comparable to open-label tiotropium (10% reduction, RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.79–1.02, P=0.096).⁴¹

Olodaterol and tiotropium bromide
Muscarinic receptors and β₂-adrenoceptors are physiologically antagonists for smooth-muscle tone in the airway. In the airway, these receptors are expressed at different sites, indicating that the effect of combining β₂-agonists and muscarinic antagonists results in dilatation across the whole bronchial tree.⁹,42,⁴³ β₂-agonists decrease the release of acetylcholine (ACh), and then amplify the bronchial smooth-muscle relaxation induced by the muscarinic antagonist. Tashkin et al demonstrated that combining bronchodilators with different mechanisms of action might increase the maximum degree of bronchodilation compared to using either drugs alone.⁷ Preclinical studies examining the combination of olodaterol and tiotropium bromide found beneficial effects, in particular offering superior bronchoprotection⁴⁴,⁴⁵ and anti-inflammatory effects.⁴² Bouyssou et al examined this FDC tiotropium and olodaterol on ACh-induced bronchoconstriction in anesthetized dogs. Inhalation of 3.0 μg of tiotropium with 6.0μg of olodaterol (BI 1744) using a Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler connected to the tracheal tube provided dose-dependent protection against ACh-induced bronchoconstriction compared with either monotherapy.⁴⁵ There were no relevant cardiovascular effects noted. In another study, Bouyssou et al assessed the synergistic bronchoprotection activity of olodaterol combined with tiotropium bromide and ciclesonide against ovalbumin-induced bronchoconstriction in anesthetized guinea pigs. Combining olodaterol with either tiotropium or ciclesonide displayed bronchoprotection in a dose-dependent manner.⁴⁴
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Drug delivery via Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler

Respimat® is a fine-mist inhaler delivering the medication independent of inspiratory effort and patient coordination. 46 Respimat® overcomes some of the limitations of pressurized metered dose inhalers (pMDI), dry powder inhalers, and nebulizers as it is portable, propellant-free, and does not need a spacer/holding chamber. It uses mechanical energy for actuation. Given the small aerosol particle size, it is thought to have more uniform delivery to the smaller Airways.46

In patients with COPD, drug delivery through a Respimat® was equally effective at a lower dose compared to a drug delivered through a pMDI.47 It is unclear if device preference leads to improved adherence or clinical outcomes. Schürmann et al48 showed that 81% preferred the device compared with a pMDI using the patient satisfaction and preference questionnaire, after a 7-week treatment period. 48 There was no difference between inhalers for efficacy measures such as peak expiratory flow, rescue inhaler use, and symptom scores. 46

Respimat® device and cardiovascular risk

There have been concerns regarding the safety issues around a Respimat® device delivering tiotropium. Initially, the Lung Health study 14 indicated that there was increased risk of cardiac deaths in patients using ipratropium bromide, a short-acting antimuscarinic agent.49 A meta-analysis raised concerns, as it showed an increase in the primary composite end point of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke (RR 1.60, 95% CI 1.22–2.10) and of all-cause mortality (RR 1.29, 95% CI 1.00–1.65).48 This meta-analysis 48 used 17 studies, 12 of which involved tiotropium bromide delivered by a HandiHaler®. Understanding Potential Long-term impact on Function with Tiotropium 51 study is a randomized trial of 5,993 patients with COPD, where tiotropium delivered by a HandiHaler® device was compared to placebo. It concluded that tiotropium was not associated with increased risk of mortality.31 Another systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled trials involving patients using tiotropium delivered by a Respimat® device in 2011 showed a 50% increased risk of mortality.49 There was a dose-dependent effect on all-cause mortality.49 Cardiovascular death was increased with an RR of 2.05 (95% CI 1.06–3.99).49

Two other independent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have reported a similar significant increased risk of all-cause mortality with tiotropium delivered through a Respimat®.50,51 Jenkins et al in an editorial in Thorax in 2013 concluded in the absence of evidence supporting a clinical benefit advantage with tiotropium Respimat® compared with tiotropium HandiHaler®, tiotropium delivered by a Respimat® should not be prescribed in the treatment of COPD.52 More recently, these concerns have increased by a Dutch database study,53 which suggested that patients with COPD treated with the Respimat® had a 27% greater mortality rate compared with those treated with the HandiHaler®. Wise et al (TIOSPIR study) conducted a randomized, double-blind, parallel group trial involving 17,135 patients with COPD, evaluating the safety and efficacy of tiotropium delivered by a Respimat® as a once-daily dose. Tiotropium 2.5 μg or 5 μg via a Respimat® had a safety profile and exacerbation efficacy similar to that of 18 μg tiotropium using a HandiHaler®.54 More importantly, the TIOSPIR study showed no difference in mortality between the two delivery systems. This is a well-conducted study and provides more robust evidence than either the meta-analysis or the database study.53 Recently, Tashkin et al55 presented an abstract at the ERS Congress, where they pooled data from all randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind and parallel group clinical trials of tiotropium in COPD of ≥4 weeks’ duration for which they estimated baseline creatinine clearance (Cockcroft-Gault) to provide a safety evaluation of tiotropium in both the HandiHaler® and Respimat®. A total of 10,905 patients (15 trials involved tiotropium delivered using a HandiHaler® and seven trials involved tiotropium delivered via a Respimat®) were evaluated and the conclusion was that the incidence of AEs, serious AEs, and fatal AEs with both inhaler devices compared to placebo showed no association with mild-to-moderately-impaired renal function. The database for patients with severe renal impairment is too small to draw any conclusion.55 However, the questions still remains if tiotropium delivered through the Respimat® device is safe in patients with a cardiac history or severe renal impairment, as these patient populations were excluded from the TIOSPIR study.

Clinical efficacy

Phase 3 trials

Tiotropium with olodaterol is a once-daily FDC using a Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler. Currently, clinical results with this particular combination have been presented as abstracts in the American Thoracic Society Congress in May 2014 and ERS Congress in September 2014 in addition to the recent publication by Buhl et al.56

Dosing

Two clinical Phase 2 trials57,58 have investigated the optimal dosing for olodaterol added to a fixed dose of tiotropium57
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and for tiotropium added to a fixed dose of olodaterol.\textsuperscript{58} Significant improvements in peak FEV\textsubscript{1} were demonstrated with tiotropium/olodaterol in three different doses of olodaterol combined with fixed dose tiotropium 5/2 \(\mu\)g (0.087 L, \(P=0.008\)), 5/5 \(\mu\)g (0.082 L, \(P=0.012\)), and 5/10 \(\mu\)g (0.144 L, \(P<0.0001\)) versus tiotropium monotherapy. There were also significant improvements in trough FEV\textsubscript{1} with tiotropium/olodaterol 5/10 \(\mu\)g versus tiotropium monotherapy (0.168 L, \(P=0.034\)).\textsuperscript{57} The other initial Phase 2 trial by Aalbers et al\textsuperscript{58} was reported at the ERS congress in 2012. It is a dose-ranging randomized, double-blind, four-period, incomplete crossover trial of 4-weeks duration in 232 COPD patients with post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1} of \(\geq 30\%\) and \(<80\%\) of predicted normal. The study tested tiotropium (1.25 \(\mu\)g, 2.5 \(\mu\)g, and 5 \(\mu\)g) with either olodaterol 5 \(\mu\)g or 10 \(\mu\)g in separate Respimat\textsuperscript{®} Soft Mist\textsuperscript{™} inhaler devices. Efficacy was measured by comparing respective doses of olodaterol as monotherapy. Tiotropium with olodaterol significantly improved lung function (FEV\textsubscript{1}) over 24 hours compared with olodaterol alone at all doses tested. After 4 weeks, the combination provided an adjusted mean difference of 0.144 L (95\% CI, 0.105–0.182 L) in lung function compared to olodaterol monotherapy at baseline over the first 6 hours (FEV\textsubscript{1}, AUC\textsubscript{0–6}) and an adjusted mean difference in trough FEV\textsubscript{1} of up to 0.080 L (95\% CI, 0.042–0.119 L).\textsuperscript{58}

### Clinical efficacy

The TOviTO is a Phase 3 program,\textsuperscript{59} which consists of ten studies evaluating the potential benefits and safety profile of the FDC of olodaterol and tiotropium through a Respimat\textsuperscript{®} Soft Mist\textsuperscript{™} inhaler. This is the largest Phase 3 trial involving over 8,000 patients with moderate-to-very-severe COPD. It includes two pivotal registration trials NCT01431274 (TONado 1)\textsuperscript{56} and NCT01431287 (TONado 2),\textsuperscript{56} involving over 5,000 patients and assessing lung function, quality of life, and safety.

### Lung function and quality of life

TONado 1\textsuperscript{56} and TONado 2\textsuperscript{56} are a multicentered, multinational, randomized, double-blind, five-arm, parallel group studies randomizing a total of 5,163 COPD patients across the spectrum of disease severity from GOLD stage 2 to GOLD stage 4 at more than 500 trial sites in approximately 40 countries. They were performed to assess the efficacy and safety of once-daily-inhaled FDC of tiotropium and olodaterol (2.5/5 \(\mu\)g, 5/5 \(\mu\)g) compared with the individual components using the Respimat\textsuperscript{®} inhaler over 52 weeks. The primary outcome measures were FEV\textsubscript{1} AUC\textsubscript{0–3} response and trough FEV\textsubscript{1} response compared to baseline as well as the SGRQ total score at 24 weeks. Secondary outcomes were Mahler Transition Dyspnea Index focal score at 24 weeks and rescue medication use. There was a significant and clinically relevant improvement in lung function in both FDC of tiotropium and olodaterol doses compared with each monotherapy: with FEV\textsubscript{1}, AUC\textsubscript{0–3} improving by over 100 mL. Statistically significant benefits were noted in quality of life and symptom measures with FDC compared with monotherapy, but these did not reach the anticipated minimal clinically important difference.

VIVACITO\textsuperscript{60} (NCT01559116), the third study within the TOviTO program, is a 6-week randomized, double-blind, crossover trial aimed at characterizing the 24-hour lung function profiles of tiotropium and olodaterol FDC at two different doses (2.5/5 \(\mu\)g, 5/5 \(\mu\)g) compared with monotherapy and placebo. All medications were delivered by the Respimat\textsuperscript{®} Soft Mist\textsuperscript{™} inhaler. The primary endpoint FEV\textsubscript{1} AUC\textsubscript{0–24} response at week 6 improved significantly at both doses of FDC compared with tiotropium (mean treatment difference of 110–127 mL, \(P<0.0001\)) or olodaterol (mean treatment difference of 115 mL, \(P<0.0001\)), monotherapies, or with placebo (mean treatment difference of 280 mL, \(P<0.0001\)). Both doses of the tiotropium and olodaterol FDC showed similar lung function profiles with an increase of 200 mL in trough FEV\textsubscript{1} compared with placebo.\textsuperscript{60}

ENERGITO\textsuperscript{61} (NCT01969721), the fourth study in the TOviTO program, is intended to provide evidence of superior improvements in lung function with high- and low-dose FDC of tiotropium and olodaterol (FDC1.25/2.5 \(\mu\)g and 2.5/2.5 \(\mu\)g) compared with high and low dose FDC of fluticasone propionate and salmeterol (Accuhaler\textsuperscript{®} 250/50 \(\mu\)g and 500/50 \(\mu\)g) in 228 COPD patients. In this four-period crossover trial, patients will undergo pulmonary function tests at regular intervals for 24 hours at the end of each 6-week treatment period. The primary outcome is change from baseline in FEV\textsubscript{1} AUC\textsubscript{0–12}. This study is currently recruiting patients and is due to complete in January 2015.

OTEMTO\textsuperscript{62} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} (NCT01964352), the fifth and sixth studies in the TOviTO program, are both randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled (tiotropium) parallel group studies to assess the efficacy of 12 weeks of once-daily treatment of two doses of tiotropium with olodaterol FDC via the Respimat\textsuperscript{®} Soft Mist\textsuperscript{™} inhaler in 1,550 patients with COPD. The primary outcomes are FEV\textsubscript{1} AUC\textsubscript{0–3}, trough FEV\textsubscript{1} and SGRQ total score, at 12 weeks. Recruiting has not yet commenced for this trial.
Exercise endurance

TORRACTO® (NCT01525615), the seventh study, is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to determine the effect of 12-weeks treatment of inhaled tiotropium with olodaterol FDC at two different doses (2.5/5 μg and 5/5 μg) delivered by the Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler on exercise endurance time during constant work rate cycle ergometry in 390 patients with COPD. The primary end point was endurance time to symptom limitation at 12 weeks. The geometric mean endurance time during constant work rate cycle ergometry was 527.51 seconds with tiotropium and olodaterol FDC 5/5 μg (14% increase versus placebo; P=0.021) and 503.46 seconds with tiotropium and olodaterol FDC 2.5/5 μg (9% increase versus placebo; P=0.142) after 12 weeks compared to placebo (463.63 seconds). At week 12, the slope of the intensity of breathing discomfort (Borg scale) during exercise decreased with tiotropium and olodaterol FDC 5/5 μg (P=0.060) and with tiotropium and olodaterol FDC 2.5/5 μg (P=0.022).

MORACTO 1® (NCT20153922) and 2® (NCT0153935) are replicate randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled, incomplete crossover studies assessing the efficacy of high- and low-dose tiotropium and olodaterol FDC on endurance time measured by cycle ergometry and lung hyperinflation measured by inspiratory capacity. Participants (n=295 and n=291) received 4/5 treatments T/O: 5/5 μg T/O; 2.5/5 μg; T 5 μg O 5 μg, or placebo. This trial is completed and results are awaited.

PHYSACTO® (NCT02085161), the tenth trial in the TOviTO program, is a 12-week randomized, placebo, parallel group study examining the efficacy of FDC tiotropium and olodaterol compared with monotherapy (T/O 5/5 μg T 5 μg; O 5 μg; placebo), exercise capacity, and physical activity in addition to supervised exercise training and behavior modification in 300 patients. The Endurance Shuttle Walk Test assesses exercise capacity, the primary outcome, at 8 weeks. Secondary outcome measures include daily walking intensity measured with an activity monitor and lung function. This trial is currently recruiting participants.

A trial assessing the efficacy of FDC tiotropium and olodaterol on exacerbations and survival, DYNAGITO® (NCT02296138), has started recruiting patients as of January 2015.

Safety

TOnado 1® and 2® are randomized trials, which were performed to assess safety of the FDC of olodaterol and tiotropium being delivered via the Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler. Pooled safety results over the 52-week period were reported in 5,163 patients. Incidence of AEs was generally balanced across all treatment groups with the majority being mild-to-moderate in severity. Overall, 6.4% of AEs were considered treatment-related. The rates of serious AEs and fatalities were similar across treatment arms.

Cardiovascular side effects, in particular prolonged QT, occurred in a dose-dependent manner. In healthy volunteers, the upper limit of the 90% CI of the placebo adjusted change from baseline was below 10 ms with olodaterol 10 μg. Patients taking tiotropium had a 30–60 ms change in the corrected QT (QTc) interval. No patients had a QTc interval of >500 ms, and this finding was not replicated in any of the other studies. (Spiriva® HandiHaler® [tiotropium bromide inhalation powder] package insert. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.; January 2004).

Discussion

FDC LABA/LAMA therapy is expected to become part of the pharmacological armamentarium in the management of COPD with the recent availability of several new once-daily LABA and muscarinic antagonists and their associated promise of improved efficacy and compliance. Studies to date have demonstrated that combining olodaterol combined with tiotropium delivered via the Respimat® Soft Mist™ device, improves lung function, dyspnea, and quality of life measures significantly compared with its mono components alone in patients with GOLD stage 2–4 COPD. These agents however have not demonstrated additional clinical benefit to existing individual LABAs and LAMAs used concurrently. The current consensus from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand suggests that FDCs have a role as add-on therapy in improving symptomatic breathlessness: they provide an effective, convenient, and potentially safer alternative to a LABA/ICS combination in step up therapy in symptomatic patients on regular LAMA, reducing the overuse of ICS in this group.

Once-daily medication is anticipated to increase compliance with inhaler therapy, a documented problem in patients with COPD. The use of a single, long-acting inhaler is reportedly associated with higher treatment persistence and adherence rates when compared with multiple long-acting inhaler use. With the advent of several new FDC long-acting once-daily LAMA/LABA combinations such as vilanterol/umeclidinium and indacaterol/glycopyrronium, the unique role of each combination including olodaterol/tiotropium needs to be determined. The diversity of the duration and rapid onset of action provided by these new agents may encourage the practice of personalized medicine.
where choices are guided by patient preference to drug and device, as well as phenotypic features (eg, breathlessness, non-eosinophilic airway inflammation).

The inhalational device used to deliver the FDC of olodaterol and tiotropium is a novel approach and overcomes some of the limitations of pMDI, such as hand-breath coordination and generation of high inspiratory flow.70 Currently, the evidence is inconclusive about the dangers of Respimat® delivering tiotropium. Meta-analyses and epidemiological studies comparing the tiotropium delivered via the Respimat® device with the HandiHaler® have raised the concern of an increased risk of cardiovascular events.48-51 This has been disproven in a large, well-designed and well-conducted prospective study (TIOSPiR) examining safety as its primary end point.53 The hypothesis that these findings may be due to an anticholinergic class effect remains to be tested.

Published safety data to date with FDC olodaterol/tiotropium in Phase 2 and 3 studies have shown no significant increased side effects compared with placebo. In conjunction with the increasing choice in FDC LABA/LAMA medications delivered by alternative devices, there may be a reluctance to use the Respimat® device until further data are available.

Large head-to-head comparisons among the new long FDC LABA/LAMA assessing the efficacy and safety profile with respect to cardiovascular outcomes are required. The benefits may lie in specific points of difference and reassurance of the FDC’s safety profile.
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Supplementary materials
1) Classification of Levels of Evidence
   Level A: Evidence is from end points of well-designed RCTs that provide a consistent pattern of findings in the population for which the recommendation is made. It requires substantial number of studies involving substantial number of participants.
   Level B: Evidence is from endpoints of intervention studies that include a limited number of patients, post hoc or subgroup analysis of RCTs, or meta-analysis of RCTs. In general, Category B pertains when few randomized trials exist, and were undertaken in a population that differs from the target population of the recommendation, or the results are somewhat inconsistent.
   Level C: Evidence is from outcomes of uncontrolled or non-randomized trials or from observational studies.
   Level D: Panel consensus judgment based on clinical experience or knowledge that does not meet the above-listed criteria.

NHMRC Level 1: Evidence obtained from systemic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials.
NHMRC Level 2: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed and conducted randomized controlled trial.

NHMRC Level 3
   Level 3.1: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudorandomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method)
   Level 3.2: Evidence obtained from comparative studies (including systematic reviews of such studies) with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, or interrupted time series with a control group
   Level 3.3: Evidence obtained from comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, or interrupted time series without a parallel group.

NHMRC Level 4: Evidence obtained from case series, either posttest or pretest/posttest.

2) Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea (mMRC) scale
   Score 0: I get breathless only with strenuous exercise.
   Score 1: I get short of breath when hurrying on a level ground or walking up a slight hill.
   Score 2: On level ground, I walk slower than other people my age because of breathlessness, or I have to stop for a breath when walking at my own pace.
   Score 3: I stop for breath after walking about 100 yards or after a few minutes on level ground.
   Score 4: I am too breathless to leave the house or I am breathless when dressing.